## Homework 1: Due November 1 in class

Reading: Read chapter 1 of Lecture notes.

1. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and define  $\phi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  by  $\phi(x) = Ax - b$ .

Prove that for every  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  one has that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x_i}(x)\phi_j(x) = a_i^T (Ax - b).$$

2. Hand In Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , where  $m \leq n$ , and assume that r(A) = m.

Prove that  $A^{\dagger} = A^T (AA^T)^{-1}$ .

3. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ .

Prove that, if  $A = U\Sigma V^T$  is a singular value decomposition for A, then  $A^{\dagger} = V\Sigma^{-1}U^T$ .

- 4. (a) Compute by hand a singular value decomposition and the pseudoinverse of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .
  - (b) Now try to do the same using Julia. Do you get what you expected? What happens if you compare the pseudoinverse obtained via the command pinv to the one obtained by taking  $V\Sigma^{-1}U^T$ ? Produce a jupyter notebook documenting your work, including your comments on the behavior above.
- 5. Let  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  for  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Prove that  $\mathbb{E}X = \mu$  and  $\mathrm{Var}(X) = \sigma^2$ .

Hint: it might be useful to recall that  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = \sqrt{2\pi}$ .

6. Let X and Y be two real random variables that are either:

both discrete; both continuous, have respective densities  $f_X$ ,  $f_Y$  and finite expected values, i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}(X)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(Y) < \infty$ .

Prove that for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  one has that  $\mathbb{E}(aX + bY) = a\mathbb{E}(X) + b\mathbb{E}(Y)$ .

Hint: use the transformation law (Lemma 1.25 in the notes) for g(X,Y) = X + Y and that for every random variable  $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$  if and only if  $\mathbb{E}X < \infty$  (see Eq. (3.1.7) in Ash's book). The concept of marginal density might also be useful.

7. Let  $\Omega := \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_n \ge 0$  with  $p_1 + \dots + p_n = 1$ . Prove that the following algorithm generates a random variable  $X \in \Omega$  with  $P(X = x_i) = p_i$ :

define the numbers  $w_k := \sum_{i=1}^k p_i$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ , and  $w_0 := 0$ ; draw  $Y \sim \text{Unif}([0,1])$  (for instance, in Julia one can draw Y using the command rand()); let k be such that  $w_{k-1} \le Y < w_k$ ; return  $x_k$ .

- 8. The element caesium-137 has a half-life of about 30,17 years. In other words, a single atom of caesium-137 has a 50 percent chance of surviving after 30,17 years, a 25 percent chance of surviving after 60,34 years, and so on.
  - (a) Determine the probability that a single atom of caesium-137 decays (i.e., does not survive) after a single day. How would you model the random variable X that takes the value 1 when the atom decays and 0 otherwise?
  - (b) Using Julia, simulate 1000 times the behaviour of a collection C of  $10^6$  caesium-137 atoms in a single day. How would you model the random variable  $Y = |\{\text{atoms in } C \text{ decaying after a single day}\}|$ ?
  - (c) The Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$  is a discrete probability distribution that is used to "model rare events".

When  $Z \sim Pois(\lambda)$ , one has that  $P(Z = k) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$ . Plot the Poisson distribution with  $\lambda = 10^6 \cdot p$ , where p is the probability computed in part (a).

(d) Compare the empirical distribution in part (b) to the theoretical distribution in part (c).

Some Julia packages that might be useful: Distributions, StatsPlots.