

Principal Component Analysis with SVD.

Recall from last week

- empirical average

$$\bar{z} = \frac{1}{n} (z_1 + \dots + z_n)$$

- empirical covariance matrix

$$S = \frac{1}{n} (\Omega^T - \bar{z}e^T)(\Omega^T - \bar{z}e^T)^T = \frac{1}{n} WW^T.$$

$$\Omega = [z_1, \dots, z_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times M} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{[Uncentered feature matrix]} \\ \boxed{\text{Theorem}} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{[W = Centered} \\ \text{feature} \\ \text{matrix]} \end{array}$$

If $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_M \geq 0$ are the eigenvalues of S ,

u_1, \dots, u_M orthonormal basis of eigenvectors, then

$$U = \{u_1, \dots, u_d\}$$

minimizes squared distance

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|(\bar{z}_i - \bar{z}) - P_U(z_i - \bar{z})\|^2.$$

u_1, \dots, u_d are called the principal components.

The problem if M is very large, not practical to compute the eigenvalues.

example in "Geometry of Images" section of NB6, $M > 3 \cdot 10^2$,
but at most n nonzero eigenvalues

Assuming noisy data, $\text{rank}(W) = n$.

SVD of W :

$$W = U D V^T \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times n}$$

where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times n}$ $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ $D = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$

where $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n \geq 0$ are the singular values.

Recall ($\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$ for the positive λ_i)

Then $S = \frac{1}{n} (U D V^T)(V D U^T) = \frac{1}{n} U D^2 U^T$

and the eigendecomposition is $W^T W = V D^2 V^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

Eigendecomposition of
n × n matrix is much smaller
than M × M matrix!

Moreover if $U = [u_1 \dots u_n]$

$$V = [v_1 \dots v_n] \quad \text{then } u_i = \frac{W v_i}{\|W v_i\|}$$

So computing eigendecomposition is sufficient to recover principal components.

Lemma

$$R = W^T W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

{ symmetric + positive semidefinite }
 \Rightarrow eigenvalues = singular values -

Then

$$R = (I_n - \frac{1}{n}ee^T) G (I_n - \frac{1}{n}ee^T)$$

$$\text{where } G = \Sigma \Sigma^T \approx \left(\kappa(x_i, x_j) \right)$$

$$1 \leq i, j \leq n$$

Note

$$\text{We assume } G \text{ full rank, and } (I_n - \frac{1}{n}ee^T)(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}) = (\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix})^{-\frac{1}{n}} \binom{n}{n} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{rank}(R) \leq n-1.$$

$$\text{Rank} \leq n-1$$

Given $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$ we need to pick d

to find "size" of set for projection.

ex • $\lambda_d > 0, \lambda_{d+1} \approx 0$

- maximize $\frac{\lambda_d}{\lambda_{d+1}}$

- maximize $\lambda_d - \lambda_{d+1}$

- maximize relative distance $\frac{\lambda_d - \lambda_{d+1}}{\lambda_d}$

To do

Notebook 6

- Points on a circle : How is equation found?
 - What if you add a higher order polynomial (x^3)?
- Geometry of images : What happens as we change k ? What do we detect in eigenvalues?
- What do you detect with other measurements than $\frac{\lambda_d - \lambda_{\text{avg}}}{\lambda_d}$.
- Generative models • Follow code, what do we gain by adding the probabilistic component?

Probabilistic method:

x_1, \dots, x_n chosen independently from random variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$.

Let $d \leq D$. Take Gaussian batch variable

$$\zeta \sim N(\mu, B) \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{aligned} \mu &\in \mathbb{R}^d \\ B &\in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \text{ positive definite} \end{aligned}$$

So $x = A\zeta + b + \varepsilon$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ A \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d} & b \in \mathbb{R}^D & \varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \mathbb{I}_D) \end{array}$$

That is $(x | \zeta) \sim N(A\zeta + b, \sigma^2 \mathbb{I}_D)$

Lemma $x \sim N(A\mu + b, ABAT + \sigma^2 \mathbb{I}_D)$

Theorem

Suppose we have a prior $\gamma \sim N(\mu, B)$

Then the posterior distribution of γ given x is

$$(\gamma | x) \sim N(m, C)$$

with covariance matrix $C = (\sigma^2 A^T A + B^{-1})^{-1}$

$$m = C(\sigma^2 A^T(x - b) + B^{-1} \mu)$$

Pf

By Bayes theorem

$$P(\gamma | x) = P(x | \gamma) \cdot \frac{P(\gamma)}{P(x)}$$

$$\log(P(\gamma | x)) = \log P(x | \gamma) + \log(P(\gamma)) - C$$

independent
of γ .

We assumed

$$(x, \gamma) \sim N(A\gamma + b, \sigma^2 I_D)$$

$$\Rightarrow \log(P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})) = \boxed{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|A\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{x}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{z})^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{z}) + c}$$

We've seen this before with MAP

See Theorem 3.17 of notes.

