
Optimization in Machine Learning

First order methods SGD





- SGD
- Stochasticity
- Convergence
- Batch size



STOCHASTIC GRADIENT DESCENT

NB: We use *g* instead of *f* as objective, bc. *f* is used as model in ML.

 $g: \mathbb{R}^d o \mathbb{R}$ objective, g average over functions:

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(\mathbf{x}),$$
 $g \text{ and } g_i \text{ smooth}$

Stochastic gradient descent (SGD) approximates the gradient

$$abla_{\mathbf{x}} g(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g_i(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{d} \quad \text{by}$$

$$\frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{i \in J} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g_i(\mathbf{x}) := \hat{\mathbf{d}},$$

with random subset $J \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of gradients called **mini-batch**. This is done e.g. when computing the true gradient is **expensive**.




STOCHASTIC GRADIENT DESCENT / 2

Algorithm Basic SGD pseudo code

- 1: Initialize $\mathbf{x}^{[0]}$, t = 0
- 2: while stopping criterion not met do
- 3: Randomly shuffle indices and partition into minibatches $J_1, ..., J_K$ of size m
- 4: for $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$ do
- 5: $t \leftarrow t + 1$
 - Compute gradient estimate with J_k : $\hat{\mathbf{d}}^{[t]} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in J_k} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g_i(\mathbf{x}^{[t-1]})$
- 7: Apply update: $\mathbf{x}^{[t]} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^{[t-1]} \alpha \cdot \hat{\mathbf{d}}^{[t]}$
- 8: end for

6:

9: end while



- Updates are computed faster, but also more stochastic:
 - In the simplest case, batch-size $m := |J_k|$ is set to m = 1
 - If *n* is a billion, computation of update is a billion times faster
 - But (later): Convergence rates suffer from stochasticity!



SGD IN ML

In ML, we perform ERM:

$$\mathcal{R}(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{L\left(y^{(i)}, f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \theta\right)\right)}_{g_i(\theta)}$$

for a data set

$$\mathcal{D} = \left(\left(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, y^{(1)} \right), \dots, \left(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, y^{(n)} \right) \right)$$

- a loss function $L(y, f(\mathbf{x}))$, e.g., L2 loss $L(y, f(\mathbf{x})) = (y f(\mathbf{x}))^2$,
- ullet and a model class f, e.g., the linear model $f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}\right) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}$.



SGD IN ML /2

For large data sets, computing the exact gradient

$$\mathbf{d} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} L\left(y^{(i)}, f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)\right)$$

may be expensive or even infeasible to compute and is approximated by


$$\hat{\mathbf{d}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in J} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} L\left(y^{(i)}, f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)\right),$$

for $J \subset 1, 2, ..., n$ random subset.

NB: Often, maximum size of *J* technically limited by memory size.



STOCHASTICITY OF SGD





Minimize $g(x_1, x_2) = 1.25(x_1 + 6)^2 + (x_2 - 8)^2$.

Left: GD. **Right:** SGD. Black line shows average value across multiple runs. (Source: Shalev-Shwartz et al., Understanding Machine Learning, 2014.)

STOCHASTICITY OF SGD / 2


Assume batch size m = 1 (statements also apply for larger batches).

- (Possibly) suboptimal direction: Approximate gradient $\hat{\mathbf{d}} = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g_i(\mathbf{x})$ might point in suboptimal (possibly not even a descent!) direction
- Unbiased estimate: If J drawn i.i.d., approximate gradient $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ is an unbiased estimate of gradient $\mathbf{d} = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g_i(\mathbf{x})$:


$$\mathbb{E}_{i} \left[\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(i=i)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \frac{1}{n} = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g(\mathbf{x}).$$

Conclusion: SGD might perform single suboptimal moves, but moves in "right direction" **on average**.

































Blue area: Each $-\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x})$ points towards minimum. **Red area** ("confusion area"): $-\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x})$ might point away from minimum and perform a suboptimal move.

• At location **x**, "confusion" is captured by variance of gradients

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}g_i(\mathbf{x})-\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}g(\mathbf{x})\|^2$$

- If term is 0, next step goes in gradient direction (for each i)
- If term is small, next step *likely* goes in gradient direction
- If term is large, next step likely goes in direction different than gradient



CONVERGENCE OF SGD

As a consequence, SGD has worse convergence properties than GD.

But: Can be controlled via increasing batches or reducing step size.

The larger the batch size m

- the better the approximation to $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} g(\mathbf{x})$
- the lower the variance
- the lower the risk of performing steps in the wrong direction


The smaller the step size α

- the smaller a step in a potentially wrong direction
- the lower the effect of high variance

As maximum batch size is usually limited by computational resources (memory), choosing the step size is crucial.



EFFECT OF BATCH SIZE



× 0 0 × × ×

SGD for a NN with batch size $\in \{0.5\%, 10\%, 50\%\}$ of the training data. The higher the batch size, the lower the variance.