Multivariate Optimization 1

Exercise 1: Gradient Descent


You are given the following data situation:

```
library(ggplot2)

set.seed(314)
n <- 100
X = cbind(rnorm(n, -5, 5),
    rnorm(n, -10, 10))
X_design = cbind(1, X)

z <- 2*X[,1] + 3*X[,2]
pr <- 1/(1+exp(-z))
y <- as.integer(pr > 0.5)
df <- data.frame(X = X, y = y)

ggplot(df) +
    geom_point(aes(x = X.1, y = X.2, color=y)) +
    xlab(expression(theta[1])) +
    ylab(expression(theta[2]))</pre>
```



In the following we want to estimate a logistic regression without intercept via gradient descent¹.

- (a) The data situation is called complete separation, i.e., the classes can be perfectly classified with a linear classifier. Show that in this situation if $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ perfectly classifies the data then: $\mathcal{R}_{emp}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) > \mathcal{R}_{emp}(\alpha \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ with $\alpha > 1$.
- (b) Visualize \mathcal{R}_{emp} in $[-1,4] \times [-1,4]$.

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{We}$ chose this algorithm for educational purposes; in practice, we typically use second order algorithms.

- (c) Find the gradient of \mathcal{R}_{emp} for arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.
- (d) Solve the logistic regression via gradient descent. Use a step size $\alpha = 0.01$, starting point $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[0]} = (0,0)^{\top}$ and train for 500 steps. Repeat this with $\alpha = 0.02$. Explain your observation. *Hint*: a)
- (e) Repeat d) but add an L2 penalization term (with $\lambda = 1$) to the objective. What do you observe now?
- (f) Visualize the regularized \mathcal{R}_{emp} in $[-1,4] \times [-1,4]$.
- (g) Repeat e) but with backtracking. Set $\gamma=0.9$ and $\tau=0.5$