# Introduction to Machine Learning

Linear Support Vector Machines
## Support Vector Machine Training

Learning goals

- Know that the SVM problem is not differentiable
- Know how to optimize the SVM problem in the primal via subgradient descent
- Know how to optimize SVM in the dual formulation via pairwise coordinate ascent

SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE TRAINING

- Until now, we have ignored the issue of solving the various convex optimization problems.
- The first question is whether we should solve the **primal** or the **dual problem**.
- In the literature SVMs are usually trained in the dual.
- However, SVMs can be trained both in the primal and the dual – each approach has its advantages and disadvantages.
- It is not easy to create an efficient SVM solver, and often specialized appraoches have been developed, we only cover basic ideas here.

TRAINING SVM IN THE PRIMAL

Unconstrained formulation of soft-margin SVM:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \theta_0} \quad \frac{\lambda}{2}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} L\left(y^{(i)}, f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})\right)$$

where $L\left(y, f(\mathbf{x})\right) = \max(0, 1 - yf)$ and $f(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T\mathbf{x} + \theta_0$.
(We inconsequentially changed the regularization constant.)

We cannot directly use GD, as the above is not differentiable.

Solutions:

1. Use smoothed loss (squared hinge, huber), then do GD.
   NB: Will not create a sparse SVM if we do not add extra tricks.

2. Use **subgradient** methods.

3. Do stochastic subgradient descent.
   Pegasos: Primal Estimated sub-GrAdient SOlver for SVM.

PEGASOS: SSGD IN THE PRIMAL

Approximate the risk by a stochastic 1-sample version:

$$\frac{\lambda}{2}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2 + L\left(y^{(i)}, f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})\right)$$

With: $f(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T\mathbf{x} + \theta_0$ and $L\left(y, f(\mathbf{x})\right) = \max(0, 1 - yf)$
The subgradient for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is $\lambda\boldsymbol{\theta} - y^{(i)}\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\mathbb{I}_{yf<1}$

Stochastic subgradient descent (without intercept θ_0)

1: **for** $t = 1, 2, ...$ **do**
2:     Pick step size α
3:     Randomly pick an index i
4:     If $y^{(i)}f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\right) < 1$ set $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[t+1]} = (1 - \lambda\alpha)\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[t]} + \alpha y^{(i)}\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$
5:     If $y^{(i)}f\left(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\right) \geq 1$ set $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[t+1]} = (1 - \lambda\alpha)\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[t]}$
6: **end for**

Note the weight decay due to the L2-regularization.

TRAINING SVM IN THE DUAL

The dual problem of the soft-margin SVM is

$$\max_\alpha \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j y^{(i)} y^{(j)} \left\langle \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(j)} \right\rangle$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y^{(i)} = 0$$

We could solve this problem using coordinate ascent. That means we optimize w.r.t. α_1, for example, while holding $\alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$ fixed.

But: We cannot make any progress since α_1 is determined by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y^{(i)} = 0$!

TRAINING SVM IN THE DUAL

$$\max_{\alpha} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j y^{(i)} y^{(j)} \left\langle \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}^{(j)} \right\rangle$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad 0 \le \alpha_i \le C \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y^{(i)} = 0$$

We move on the linear constraint until the pair-optimum or the boundary (here: $C = 1$).