Game-theoretic Foundations of Multi-agent Systems

Lecture 1: Introduction

Seyed Majid Zahedi



Outline

1. Course Mechanics

2. Course Outline

3. Overview of Game Theory and Mechanism Design



- Course website
 - https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~smzahedi/crs/ece750/
 - All course information, lecture notes, assignments, etc.





- Course website
 - https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~smzahedi/crs/ece750/
 - All course information, lecture notes, assignments, etc.
- Office hours
 - Book appointment online
 - Or catch me after class, or send me email to setup meeting





- Course website
 - https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~smzahedi/crs/ece750/
 - All course information, lecture notes, assignments, etc.



- Book appointment online
- Or catch me after class, or send me email to setup meeting
- Prerequisites
 - None
 - Helpful knowledge: algorithms, probability, comp. complexity, and optimization





- Course website
 - https://ece.uwaterloo.ca/~smzahedi/crs/ece750/
 - All course information, lecture notes, assignments, etc.



- Office hours
 - Book appointment online
 - Or catch me after class, or send me email to setup meeting
- Prerequisites
 - None
 - Helpful knowledge: algorithms, probability, comp. complexity, and optimization
- Anti-requisites
 - ECON.412 (Topics in Game Theory), CO.456 (Introduction to Game Theory), CS.886 (Multi-agent Systems), and MSCI.724 (Game Theory and Recent App)

Outline

1. Course Mechanics

2. Course Outline

3. Overview of Game Theory and Mechanism Design



Tentative Topics

- Introduction to multi-agent systems
 - Overview of game theory and mechanism design, rationality and self-interest, utility theorem, risk attitudes

Tentative Topics

- Introduction to multi-agent systems
 - Overview of game theory and mechanism design, rationality and self-interest, utility theorem, risk attitudes
- Games in normal form
 - Pure and mixed-strategy Nash equilibrium, iterative elimination of dominated strategies, price of anarchy, correlated equilibrium, computing solution concepts of normal-form games

Tentative Topics

- Introduction to multi-agent systems
 - Overview of game theory and mechanism design, rationality and self-interest, utility theorem, risk attitudes
- Games in normal form
 - Pure and mixed-strategy Nash equilibrium, iterative elimination of dominated strategies, price of anarchy, correlated equilibrium, computing solution concepts of normal-form games
- Games in extensive form
 - Perfect and imperfect-information games, finite and infinite-horizon games, subgame-perfect equilibrium, backward induction, one-shot deviation principle

Tentative Topics (cont.)

- Beyond normal and extensive-form games
 - Repeated games, stochastic games, Bayesian games, congestion games, trigger strategies, folk theorems, Bayes-Nash equilibrium, auctions, optimal auctions, revenue-equivalence theorem, incentive compatibility, VCG mechanisms

Tentative Topics (cont.)

- Beyond normal and extensive-form games
 - Repeated games, stochastic games, Bayesian games, congestion games, trigger strategies, folk theorems, Bayes-Nash equilibrium, auctions, optimal auctions, revenue-equivalence theorem, incentive compatibility, VCG mechanisms
- Learning in multi-agent systems
 - Multi-agent reinforcement learning, fictitious play, Bayesian learning, regret-minimization learning

Textbook and References

- Y. Shoham and K. Leyton-Brown, Multi-agent Systems: Algorithmic, Game-theoretic, and Logical Foundations (available online)
- N. Nisan, et al. Algorithmic Game Theory (available online)
- T. Roughgarden, Twenty Lectures on Algorithmic Game Theory (notes available online)
- D. Fudenberg and D. Levine, The Theory of Learning in Games
- D. Fudenberg and J. Tirole, Game Theory
- M. J. Osborne and A. Rubinstein, A Course in Game Theory (available online)



• Graduate offering (available to undergraduate students)

- Graduate offering (available to undergraduate students)
 - Quizzes 5%



- Graduate offering (available to undergraduate students)
 - Quizzes 5%
 - Assignments 20%

- Graduate offering (available to undergraduate students)
 - Quizzes 5%
 - Assignments 20%
 - Research project 45%

- Graduate offering (available to undergraduate students)
 - Quizzes 5%
 - Assignments 20%
 - Research project 45%
 - Final exam 30%

- Graduate offering (available to undergraduate students)
 - Quizzes 5%
 - Assignments 20%
 - Research project 45%
 - Final exam 30%
- Undergraduate offering (available to MEng students)

- Graduate offering (available to undergraduate students)
 - Quizzes 5%
 - Assignments 20%
 - Research project 45%
 - Final exam 30%
- Undergraduate offering (available to MEng students)
 - Quizzes 5%

- Graduate offering (available to undergraduate students)
 - Quizzes 5%
 - Assignments 20%
 - Research project 45%
 - Final exam 30%
- Undergraduate offering (available to MEng students)
 - Quizzes 5%
 - Assignments 20%



- Graduate offering (available to undergraduate students)
 - Quizzes 5%
 - Assignments 20%
 - Research project 45%
 - Final exam 30%
- Undergraduate offering (available to MEng students)
 - Quizzes 5%
 - Assignments 20%
 - Survey project 25%



- Graduate offering (available to undergraduate students)
 - Quizzes 5%
 - Assignments 20%
 - Research project 45%
 - Final exam 30%
- Undergraduate offering (available to MEng students)
 - Quizzes 5%
 - Assignments 20%
 - Survey project 25%
 - Final exam 50%

Survey Project (Undergraduate Offering)

- Goal is to review existing literature in sub-area of multi-agent systems and possibly explore open research questions in that sub-area
- Two milestones: proposal (15%) and final written report (85%)



Research Project (Graduate Offering)

- Goal is to try to do something novel, rather than merely surveying existing work
- Only real constraint is that it has to have something to do with covered material
- Projects may be theoretical, experimental (based on simulations), experimental (based on real-world data), a useful software artifact, or any combination thereof
- Three milestones: proposal (15%), oral progress report (optional), and final written report (85%)
- Creativity is encouraged

Outline

1. Course Mechanics

2. Course Outline

3. Overview of Game Theory and Mechanism Design

- How should we represent games (i.e., strategic settings)?
 - Game-theoretic representations not always concise enough



- How should we represent games (i.e., strategic settings)?
 - Game-theoretic representations not always concise enough
- What does it mean to solve a game?
 - Solution concepts from game theory, e.g., Nash equilibrium



- How should we represent games (i.e., strategic settings)?
 - Game-theoretic representations not always concise enough
- What does it mean to solve a game?
 - Solution concepts from game theory, e.g., Nash equilibrium
- How computationally hard is it to solve a games?
 - Can we solve them approximately?

- How should we represent games (i.e., strategic settings)?
 - Game-theoretic representations not always concise enough
- What does it mean to solve a game?
 - Solution concepts from game theory, e.g., Nash equilibrium
- How computationally hard is it to solve a games?
 - Can we solve them approximately?
- Is there a role for (machine) learning in games?



- How should we represent games (i.e., strategic settings)?
 - Game-theoretic representations not always concise enough
- What does it mean to solve a game?
 - Solution concepts from game theory, e.g., Nash equilibrium
- How computationally hard is it to solve a games?
 - Can we solve them approximately?
- Is there a role for (machine) learning in games?
- What types of modeling problems do we face when addressing real-world games?

• Optimization theory: Optimize a single objective over a decision variable

min. u(x)s.t. $x \in X$

• Optimization theory: Optimize a single objective over a decision variable

min.
$$u(x)$$

s.t. $x \in X$

• Game theory: Study of multi-agent decision problems

Optimization theory: Optimize a single objective over a decision variable

min.
$$u(x)$$

s.t. $x \in X$

- Game theory: Study of multi-agent decision problems
 - Model cooperation and competition between intelligent and rational decision makers

• Optimization theory: Optimize a single objective over a decision variable

min.
$$u(x)$$

s.t. $x \in X$

- Game theory: Study of multi-agent decision problems
 - Model cooperation and competition between intelligent and rational decision makers
 - *n* agents, each chooses some $x_i \in X_i$, and has a utility function

$$u_i(x_i, x_{-i}), \quad x_{-i} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

Optimization theory: Optimize a single objective over a decision variable

min.
$$u(x)$$

s.t. $x \in X$

- Game theory: Study of multi-agent decision problems
 - Model cooperation and competition between intelligent and rational decision makers
 - *n* agents, each chooses some $x_i \in X_i$, and has a utility function

$$u_i(x_i, x_{-i}), \quad x_{-i} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

• What are the possible outcomes?

Optimization vs. Game Theory

Optimization theory: Optimize a single objective over a decision variable

min.
$$u(x)$$

s.t. $x \in X$

- Game theory: Study of multi-agent decision problems
 - Model cooperation and competition between intelligent and rational decision makers
 - *n* agents, each chooses some $x_i \in X_i$, and has a utility function

$$u_i(x_i, x_{-i}), \quad x_{-i} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

- What are the possible outcomes?
- Steady-state, stable operating point, characteristics?



Optimization vs. Game Theory

Optimization theory: Optimize a single objective over a decision variable

min.
$$u(x)$$

s.t. $x \in X$

- Game theory: Study of multi-agent decision problems
 - Model cooperation and competition between intelligent and rational decision makers
 - *n* agents, each chooses some $x_i \in X_i$, and has a utility function

$$u_i(x_i, x_{-i}), \quad x_{-i} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

- What are the possible outcomes?
- Steady-state, stable operating point, characteristics?
- How do you get there (learning dynamics, computation of equilibrium)?

Guess 2/3 of the Average (2/3-Beauty Contest Game)

- Everyone writes down an integer between 1 and 100
- Person closest to 2/3 of the average wins

Guess 2/3 of the Average (2/3-Beauty Contest Game)

- Everyone writes down an integer between 1 and 100
- Person closest to 2/3 of the average wins
- Example:
 - A says 50 B says 10 C says 90
 - 2/3 of average(50, 10, 90) = $2/3 \times 50 = 33.33$
 - A is the closest (|50 33.33| = 16.67), so A wins

Mechanism Design

- Inverse Game Theory: Design of game forms to implement desirable outcomes
 - E.g. to incentivize independent agents to reveal their types truthfully

Mechanism Design

- Inverse Game Theory: Design of game forms to implement desirable outcomes
 - E.g. to incentivize independent agents to reveal their types truthfully
- In Economics, MD is all about designing the right incentives
 - Mechanisms map signals from independent agents into allocations and payments
 - Optimal Mechanisms (Myerson): Design a mechanism that maximizes profits
 - Efficient Mechanisms (Vickrey-Clarke-Groves (VCG) Mechanisms): Design a mechanism to maximize a social or system-wide objective



Mechanism Design

- Inverse Game Theory: Design of game forms to implement desirable outcomes
 - E.g. to incentivize independent agents to reveal their types truthfully
- In Economics, MD is all about designing the right incentives
 - Mechanisms map signals from independent agents into allocations and payments
 - Optimal Mechanisms (Myerson): Design a mechanism that maximizes profits
 - Efficient Mechanisms (Vickrey-Clarke-Groves (VCG) Mechanisms): Design a mechanism to maximize a social or system-wide objective
- In CS/Engineering, focus is more on the design of efficient decentralized protocols that take into account incentives
 - Mechanisms in networks, distributed and online mechanisms, mechanisms that operate with limited information

Example: Single-item Auction

- Sealed-bid auction: every bidder submits bid in sealed envelope
- First-price sealed-bid auction: highest bid wins, pays amount of own bid
- Second-price sealed-bid auction: highest bid wins, pays amount of 2nd-highest bid

Which Auction Generates More Revenue?

- Each bid depends on
 - bidder's true valuation for the item (utility = valuation payment)
 - bidder's beliefs over what others will bid
 - and ... auction mechanism used
- In first-price auction, it does not make sense to bid your true valuation
 - Even if you win, your utility will be 0
- In second-price auction, it always makes sense to bid your true valuation (we will see this later)



• Designing mechanism = designing a game

- Designing mechanism = designing a game
- We can use game theory to predict what will happen under mechanism

- Designing mechanism = designing a game
- We can use game theory to predict what will happen under mechanism
 - If agents act strategically

- Designing mechanism = designing a game
- We can use game theory to predict what will happen under mechanism
 - If agents act strategically
- When is a mechanism "good"?

- Designing mechanism = designing a game
- We can use game theory to predict what will happen under mechanism
 - If agents act strategically
- When is a mechanism "good"?
 - Should it result in outcomes that are "good" for reported or for true preferences?

- Designing mechanism = designing a game
- We can use game theory to predict what will happen under mechanism
 - If agents act strategically
- When is a mechanism "good"?
 - Should it result in outcomes that are "good" for reported or for true preferences?
 - Should agents ever end up lying about their preferences (in game-theoretic solution)?

- Designing mechanism = designing a game
- We can use game theory to predict what will happen under mechanism
 - If agents act strategically
- When is a mechanism "good"?
 - Should it result in outcomes that are "good" for reported or for true preferences?
 - Should agents ever end up lying about their preferences (in game-theoretic solution)?
 - Should it always generate the best allocation?

- Designing mechanism = designing a game
- We can use game theory to predict what will happen under mechanism
 - If agents act strategically
- When is a mechanism "good"?
 - Should it result in outcomes that are "good" for reported or for true preferences?
 - Should agents ever end up lying about their preferences (in game-theoretic solution)?
 - Should it always generate the best allocation?
 - Should agents ever burn money?(!?)

- Designing mechanism = designing a game
- We can use game theory to predict what will happen under mechanism
 - If agents act strategically
- When is a mechanism "good"?
 - Should it result in outcomes that are "good" for reported or for true preferences?
 - Should agents ever end up lying about their preferences (in game-theoretic solution)?
 - Should it always generate the best allocation?
 - Should agents ever burn money?(!?)
- Can we solve for the optimal mechanism?

Acknowledgment

- This lecture is a slightly modified version of ones prepared by
 - Asu Ozdaglar [MIT 6.254]
 - Vincent Conitzer [Duke CPS 590.4]