Comparative Effectiveness and Personalized Medicine Research Using Real-World Data

Thomas P. A. Debray, Tri-Long Nguyen, and Robert W. Platt

5/3/23

Table of contents

Preface

This is a Quarto book.

To learn more about Quarto books visit https://quarto.org/docs/books.

```
1 + 1
```

```
[1] 2
```

1 Confounding adjustment using propensity score methods

The purpose of this document is to provide example R code that demonstrates how to estimate the propensity score and implement matching, stratification, weighting, and regression adjustment for the continuous propensity score. In this example using simulated data, we have two disease modifying therapies (DMT1 and DMT0) and the outcome is the number of post-treatment multiple sclerosis relapses during follow-up. We will estimate the average treatment effect in the treated (ATT) using propensity score matching, stratification, and weighting. We will estimate the average treatment effect in the population (ATE) using regression adjustment for the continuous propensity score. The treatment effects can be interpreted as annualized relapse rate ratios (ARR).

We consider an example dataset with the following characteristics:

```
head(dat)
```

```
   age female prevDMTefficacy premedicalcost numSymptoms prerelapse_num
1:  50      1            None        3899.61           1               1
2:  51      0            None        9580.51           1               0
3:  56      0            None        4785.89           1               0
4:  44      1            None        8696.80           1               1
5:  63      0            None        2588.03           1               0
6:  28      1            None        5435.57           1               0
   treatment y      years      Iscore
1:      DMT1 0 1.78507871 Moderate A1
2:      DMT1 0 0.01368925     High A1
3:      DMT1 2 3.25530459     High A1
4:      DMT1 2 5.73853525     Neutral
5:      DMT1 0 1.31143053     High A1
6:      DMT1 0 0.59137577 Moderate A0
```

2 Comparing baseline characteristics

- `DMT1` is the treatment group and `DMT0` is the control group
- `prevDMTefficacy` is previous DMT efficacy (none, low efficacy, and medium/high efficacy)
- `prerelapse_num` is the number of previous MS relapses

	DMT0	DMT1
n	2300	7700
age (mean (SD))	51.39 (8.32)	44.25 (9.79)
female = 1 (%)	1671 (72.65)	5915 (76.82)
prevDMTefficacy (%)		
None	1247 (54.22)	3171 (41.18)
Low_efficacy	261 (11.35)	858 (11.14)
Medium_high_efficacy	792 (34.43)	3671 (47.68)
prerelapse_num (mean (SD))	0.39 (0.62)	0.46 (0.68)

3 Estimating the propensity score

3.1 Logistic regression

We sought to restore balance in the distribution of baseline covariates in patients treated with DMT1 (index treatment) and DMT0 (control tratment). We fit a multivariable logistic regression model in which treatment was regressed on baseline characteristics including age, sex, previous DMT efficacy, and previous number of relapses.

```r
# Fit logistic regression model
ps.model <- glm(treatment ~ age + female + prevDMTefficacy + prerelapse_num,
                data = dat, family = binomial())

# Summary of logistic regression model
summary(ps.model)
```

```
Call:
glm(formula = treatment ~ age + female + prevDMTefficacy + prerelapse_num,
    family = binomial(), data = dat)

Deviance Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-2.7949   0.2585   0.5220   0.7478   1.5033

Coefficients:
                                   Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)                        4.809473   0.157127  30.609  < 2e-16 ***
age                               -0.086708   0.002996 -28.939  < 2e-16 ***
female1                            0.253611   0.057664   4.398 1.09e-05 ***
prevDMTefficacyLow_efficacy        0.310394   0.083022   3.739 0.000185 ***
prevDMTefficacyMedium_high_efficacy 0.660266   0.054393  12.139  < 2e-16 ***
prerelapse_num                     0.156318   0.039288   3.979 6.93e-05 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

    Null deviance: 10786  on 9999  degrees of freedom
Residual deviance:  9597  on 9994  degrees of freedom
AIC: 9609

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
```

```r
# Extract propensity scores
dat$ps <- predict(ps.model, data = dat, type = "response")
```

3.2 Assessing overlap

We examined the degree of overlap in the distribution of propensity scores across treatment groups using histograms and side-by-side box plots.

```r
# Histogram
ggplot(dat, aes(x = ps, fill = as.factor(treatment), color = as.factor(treatment))) +
  geom_histogram(alpha = 0.3, position='identity', bins = 15) +
  facet_grid(as.factor(treatment) ~ .) +
  xlab("Probability of Treatment") +
  ylab("Count") +
  ggtitle("Propensity Score Distribution by Treatment Group") +
  theme(legend.position = "bottom", legend.direction = "vertical")
```




```r
# Side-by-side box plots
ggplot(dat, aes(x=as.factor(treatment), y=ps, fill=as.factor(treatment))) +
  geom_boxplot() +
  ggtitle("Propensity Score Distribution by Treatment Group") +
  ylab("Probability of Treatment") +
  xlab("Treatment group") +
  theme(legend.position = "none")
```




```r
# Distribution of propensity scores by treatment groups
summary(dat$ps[dat$treatment == "DMT1"])
```

```
   Min. 1st Qu.  Median    Mean 3rd Qu.    Max.
0.3230  0.7214  0.8265  0.7970  0.9010  0.9854
```

```r
summary(dat$ps[dat$treatment == "DMT0"])
```

```
   Min. 1st Qu.  Median    Mean 3rd Qu.    Max.
0.3230  0.5730  0.6894  0.6795  0.7975  0.9799
```

4 Propensity score matching

4.1 1:1 Optimal full matching without replacement

```
library(MatchIt)

# Use MatchIt package for PS matching
opt <- matchit(treatment ~ age + female + prevDMTefficacy + prerelapse_num,
               data = dat,
               method = "full",
               estimand = "ATT")

opt
```

```
A matchit object
 - method: Optimal full matching
 - distance: Propensity score
             - estimated with logistic regression
 - number of obs.: 10000 (original), 10000 (matched)
 - target estimand: ATT
 - covariates: age, female, prevDMTefficacy, prerelapse_num
```

4.2 Assess balance after matching

```
summary(opt)
```

```
Call:
matchit(formula = treatment ~ age + female + prevDMTefficacy +
    prerelapse_num, data = dat, method = "full", estimand = "ATT")

Summary of Balance for All Data:
```

	Means Treated	Means Control	Std. Mean Diff.
distance	0.7970	0.6795	0.8943
age	44.2496	51.3883	-0.7289
female0	0.2318	0.2735	-0.0987
female1	0.7682	0.7265	0.0987
prevDMTefficacyNone	0.4118	0.5422	-0.2649
prevDMTefficacyLow_efficacy	0.1114	0.1135	-0.0065
prevDMTefficacyMedium_high_efficacy	0.4768	0.3443	0.2651
prerelapse_num	0.4595	0.3930	0.0976

	Var. Ratio	eCDF Mean	eCDF Max
distance	0.7873	0.1917	0.3379
age	1.3868	0.1519	0.3085
female0	.	0.0417	0.0417
female1	.	0.0417	0.0417
prevDMTefficacyNone	.	0.1304	0.1304
prevDMTefficacyLow_efficacy	.	0.0020	0.0020
prevDMTefficacyMedium_high_efficacy	.	0.1324	0.1324
prerelapse_num	1.1990	0.0133	0.0383

Summary of Balance for Matched Data:

	Means Treated	Means Control	Std. Mean Diff.
distance	0.7970	0.7970	0.0001
age	44.2496	44.1364	0.0116
female0	0.2318	0.2517	-0.0470
female1	0.7682	0.7483	0.0470
prevDMTefficacyNone	0.4118	0.4157	-0.0079
prevDMTefficacyLow_efficacy	0.1114	0.1224	-0.0347
prevDMTefficacyMedium_high_efficacy	0.4768	0.4619	0.0297
prerelapse_num	0.4595	0.4654	-0.0087

	Var. Ratio	eCDF Mean	eCDF Max
distance	0.9955	0.0012	0.0116
age	1.0161	0.0076	0.0260
female0	.	0.0199	0.0199
female1	.	0.0199	0.0199
prevDMTefficacyNone	.	0.0039	0.0039
prevDMTefficacyLow_efficacy	.	0.0109	0.0109
prevDMTefficacyMedium_high_efficacy	.	0.0148	0.0148
prerelapse_num	0.9530	0.0057	0.0110

	Std. Pair Dist.
distance	0.0022
age	0.1688
female0	0.5149
female1	0.5149

```
prevDMTefficacyNone                          0.1816
prevDMTefficacyLow_efficacy                  0.5944
prevDMTefficacyMedium_high_efficacy          0.4731
prerelapse_num                               0.3893

Sample Sizes:
              Control Treated
All           2300.      7700
Matched (ESS)  198.89    7700
Matched       2300.      7700
Unmatched        0.         0
Discarded        0.         0
```

```r
plot(summary(opt))
```




```r
# black line is treated group, grey line is control group
plot(opt, type = "density", which.xs = vars)
```





4.3 Estimating the ATT

We can estimate the ATT in the matched sample using Poisson regression in which the number of post-treatment relapses is regressed on treatment status and follow-up time for each patient (captured by the variable `years`). More details are provided at https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/MatchIt/vignettes/estimating-effects.html.

```
# Matched data
matched.data <- match.data(opt)

# Poisson regression model
opt.fit <- glm(y ~ treatment + offset(log(years)),
               family = poisson(link = "log"),
```

```
            data = matched.data,
            weights = weights)

# Treatment effect estimation
opt.comp <- comparisons(opt.fit,
                        variables = "treatment",
                        vcov = ~subclass,
                        newdata = subset(matched.data, treatment == "DMT1"),
                        wts = "weights",
                        transform_pre = "ratio")

opt.comp |> tidy()
```

```
# A tibble: 1 x 9
  type     term      contrast   estim~1 std.e~2 stati~3  p.value conf.~4 conf.~5
  <chr>    <chr>     <chr>        <dbl>   <dbl>   <dbl>    <dbl>   <dbl>   <dbl>
1 response treatment mean(DMT1~   0.761   0.100    7.59 3.21e-14   0.564   0.958
# ... with abbreviated variable names 1: estimate, 2: std.error, 3: statistic,
#   4: conf.low, 5: conf.high
```

As indicated in the summary output above, the annualized relapse rate ratio for DMT1 vs DMT0 among patients treated with DMT0 (ATT) is given as 0.76 with a 95% confidence interval ranging from 0.56 to 0.96.

5 Propensity score stratification

5.1 Divide sample into quintiles of propensity scores

We will form five mutually exclusive groups of the estimated propensity score.

```
# Create five strata
dat <- dat %>% mutate(ps.strata = cut(ps,
                                      breaks = c(quantile(ps, probs=seq(0,1,0.2))),
                                      labels = seq(1:5),
                                      include.lowest = TRUE))

# Number of patients in each stratum
table(dat$ps.strata)
```

```
   1    2    3    4    5
2002 2015 1991 1997 1995
```

5.2 Assess balance within each propensity score stratum

Within each propensity score stratum, treated and control patients should have similar values of the propensity score and the distribution of baseline covariates should be approximately balanced between treatment groups.

5.2.1 Propensity Score Stratum #1

```
tab1.strata1 <- CreateTableOne(vars, data = dat %>% filter(ps.strata == 1),
                               factorVars = c("female", "prevDMTefficacy"),
                               strata = "treatment", test = FALSE)

tab1.strata1.print <- print(tab1.strata1, catDigits = 2, contDigits = 2,
                            smd = TRUE)
```

	DMT0	DMT1	SMD
n	901	1101	
age (mean (SD))	58.38 (3.67)	57.45 (3.73)	0.251
female = 1 (%)	605 (67.15)	775 (70.39)	0.070
prevDMTefficacy (%)			0.056
None	650 (72.14)	771 (70.03)	
Low_efficacy	106 (11.76)	130 (11.81)	
Medium_high_efficacy	145 (16.09)	200 (18.17)	
prerelapse_num (mean (SD))	0.29 (0.53)	0.33 (0.56)	0.074

5.2.2 Propensity Score Stratum #2

```
tab1.strata2 <- CreateTableOne(vars, data = dat %>% filter(ps.strata == 2),
                               factorVars = c("female", "prevDMTefficacy"),
                               strata = "treatment", test = FALSE)

tab1.strata2.print <- print(tab1.strata2, catDigits = 2, contDigits = 2,
                            smd = TRUE)
```

	DMT0	DMT1	SMD
n	617	1398	
age (mean (SD))	52.18 (4.35)	51.97 (4.22)	0.049
female = 1 (%)	458 (74.23)	1048 (74.96)	0.017
prevDMTefficacy (%)			0.054
None	292 (47.33)	624 (44.64)	
Low_efficacy	69 (11.18)	162 (11.59)	
Medium_high_efficacy	256 (41.49)	612 (43.78)	
prerelapse_num (mean (SD))	0.40 (0.64)	0.41 (0.66)	0.004

5.2.3 Propensity Score Stratum #3

```
tab1.strata3 <- CreateTableOne(vars, data = dat %>% filter(ps.strata == 3),
                               factorVars = c("female", "prevDMTefficacy"),
                               strata = "treatment", test = FALSE)

tab1.strata3.print <- print(tab1.strata3, catDigits = 2, contDigits = 2,
                            smd = TRUE)
```

	DMT0	DMT1	SMD
n	392	1599	
age (mean (SD))	46.73 (4.06)	46.36 (4.08)	0.092
female = 1 (%)	305 (77.81)	1193 (74.61)	0.075
prevDMTefficacy (%)			0.041
None	168 (42.86)	687 (42.96)	
Low_efficacy	52 (13.27)	191 (11.94)	
Medium_high_efficacy	172 (43.88)	721 (45.09)	
prerelapse_num (mean (SD))	0.49 (0.68)	0.47 (0.66)	0.031

5.2.4 Propensity Score Stratum #4

```
tab1.strata4 <- CreateTableOne(vars, data = dat %>% filter(ps.strata == 4),
                               factorVars = c("female", "prevDMTefficacy"),
                               strata = "treatment", test = FALSE)

tab1.strata4.print <- print(tab1.strata4, catDigits = 2, contDigits = 2,
                            smd = TRUE)
```

	DMT0	DMT1	SMD
n	269	1728	
age (mean (SD))	41.07 (4.11)	40.88 (4.29)	0.046
female = 1 (%)	203 (75.46)	1356 (78.47)	0.071
prevDMTefficacy (%)			0.084
None	105 (39.03)	634 (36.69)	
Low_efficacy	22 (8.18)	181 (10.47)	
Medium_high_efficacy	142 (52.79)	913 (52.84)	
prerelapse_num (mean (SD))	0.50 (0.69)	0.51 (0.71)	0.012

5.2.5 Propensity Score Stratum #5

```
tab1.strata5 <- CreateTableOne(vars, data = dat %>% filter(ps.strata == 5),
                               factorVars = c("female", "prevDMTefficacy"),
                               strata = "treatment", test = FALSE)

tab1.strata5.print <- print(tab1.strata5, catDigits = 2, contDigits = 2,
                            smd = TRUE)
```

	DMT0	DMT1	SMD
n	121	1874	
age (mean (SD))	33.26 (4.95)	32.04 (5.58)	0.233
female = 1 (%)	100 (82.64)	1543 (82.34)	0.008
prevDMTefficacy (%)			0.050
None	32 (26.45)	455 (24.28)	
Low_efficacy	12 (9.92)	194 (10.35)	
Medium_high_efficacy	77 (63.64)	1225 (65.37)	
prerelapse_num (mean (SD))	0.52 (0.66)	0.52 (0.73)	0.004

5.3 Estimating and pooling of stratum-specific treatment effects

The overall ATT across strata can be estimated by weighting stratum-specific estimates by the proportion of treated patients in each stratum over all treated patients in the sample.

We first define a function `att.strata.function()` to calculate stratum-specific estimates of the treatment effect:

```
att.strata.function <- function(data, stratum, confint = TRUE) {

  fit <- glm("y ~ treatment + offset(log(years))",
      family = poisson(link = "log"),
      data = data %>% filter(ps.strata == stratum))

  arr <- round(as.numeric(exp(coef(fit)["treatmentDMT1"])), digits = 3)
  ll <- ul <- NA

  if (confint) {
    ll <- round(exp(confint(fit))["treatmentDMT1",1], digits = 3)
    ul <- round(exp(confint(fit))["treatmentDMT1",2], digits = 3)
  }

  return(c("stratum" = stratum,
           "arr" = arr,
           "ci_lower"  = ll,
           "ci_upper"  = ul))
}

arr.strata <- as.data.frame(t(sapply(1:5, att.strata.function, data = dat)))
arr.strata
```

```
  stratum   arr ci_lower ci_upper
1       1 0.904    0.760    1.076
2       2 0.822    0.696    0.975
3       3 0.798    0.666    0.961
4       4 0.716    0.587    0.881
5       5 0.589    0.463    0.761
```

Subsequently, we define a function `weights.strata.function()` to calculate the weights for each stratum. The weight is the proportion of treated patients in each stratum over all treated patients in the sample:

```r
weights.strata.function <- function(data, stratum) {
  n_DMT1_stratum <- nrow(data %>% filter(ps.strata == stratum & treatment == "DMT1"))
  n_DMT1_all <- nrow(data %>% filter(treatment == "DMT1"))
  weight <- n_DMT1_stratum/n_DMT1_all
  return(c("stratum" = stratum, "weight" = weight))
}

weights.strata <- as.data.frame(t(sapply(1:5, weights.strata.function, data = dat)))
weights.strata
```

```
  stratum    weight
1       1 0.1429870
2       2 0.1815584
3       3 0.2076623
4       4 0.2244156
5       5 0.2433766
```

```r
# Create table with ARRs and weights for each PS stratum
arr.weights.merged <- merge(arr.strata, weights.strata, by = "stratum")

# Calculate the weighted ARR for each stratum
arr.weights.merged <- arr.weights.merged %>%
  mutate(weighted.arr = as.numeric(arr) * weight)

# Sum the weighted ARRs across strata to get the overall ATT
sum(arr.weights.merged$weighted.arr)
```

```
[1] 0.7482462
```

We now define a new function `ps.stratification.bootstrap()` that integrates estimation of the ATT and the PS weights for bootstrapping purposes:

```
ps.stratification.bootstrap <- function(data, inds) {
  d <- data[inds,]

  d$ps.strata <- cut(d$ps,
                     breaks = c(quantile(dat$ps, probs = seq(0, 1, by = 0.2))),
                     labels = seq(5),
                     include.lowest = TRUE)

  arr.strata <- as.data.frame(t(sapply(1:5, att.strata.function,
                                       data = d, confint = FALSE)))

  weights.strata <- as.data.frame(t(sapply(1:5, weights.strata.function, data = d)))

  return(arr.strata$arr[1] * weights.strata$weight[1] +
           arr.strata$arr[2] * weights.strata$weight[2] +
           arr.strata$arr[3] * weights.strata$weight[3] +
           arr.strata$arr[4] * weights.strata$weight[4] +
           arr.strata$arr[5] * weights.strata$weight[5])
}
```

We can now estimate the treatment effect and its confidence interval using the bootstrap procedure:

```
library(boot)
```

```
Attaching package: 'boot'

The following object is masked from 'package:survival':

    aml
```

```
set.seed(1854)
arr.stratification.boot <- boot(data = dat,
                                statistic = ps.stratification.bootstrap,
                                R = 1000)

# Bootstrapped ARR
```

```r
median(arr.stratification.boot$t)
```

```
[1] 0.7558609
```

```r
# Bootstrapped ARR 95% CI
quantile(arr.stratification.boot$t[,1], c(0.025, 0.975))
```

```
     2.5%     97.5%
0.6835885 0.8362947
```

6 Propensity score weighting

6.1 Calculate propensity score weights for ATT

Propensity score weighting reweights the study sample to generate an artificial population (i.e., pseudo-population) in which the covariates are no longer associated with treatment, thereby removing confounding by measured covariates. For the ATT, the weight for all treated patients is set to one. Conversely, the weight for patients in the control group is set to the propensity score divided by one minus the propensity score, that is, (PS/(1 − PS)). We estimated stabilized weights to address extreme weights.

```
library(WeightIt)

w.out <- weightit(treatment ~ age + female + prevDMTefficacy + prerelapse_num,
                  data = dat,
                  method = "ps",
                  estimand = "ATT")
                  #stabilize = TRUE)

w.out
```

```
A weightit object
 - method: "ps" (propensity score weighting)
 - number of obs.: 10000
 - sampling weights: none
 - treatment: 2-category
 - estimand: ATT (focal: DMT1)
 - covariates: age, female, prevDMTefficacy, prerelapse_num
```

```
summary(w.out)
```

```
                Summary of weights

- Weight ranges:
```

```
        Min                                    Max
DMT0 0.4772 |--------------------------| 48.6856
DMT1 1.0000  ||                           1.0000

- Units with 5 most extreme weights by group:

         9492    8836    6544    9610    4729
 DMT0 32.1027 32.1027 34.3126 38.1817 48.6856
            6       4       3       2       1
 DMT1       1       1       1       1       1

- Weight statistics:

     Coef of Var   MAD Entropy # Zeros
DMT0       1.098 0.673   0.383       0
DMT1       0.000 0.000  -0.000       0

- Effective Sample Sizes:

              DMT0 DMT1
Unweighted 2300.   7700
Weighted   1043.16 7700
```

```
plot(summary(w.out))
```



6.2 Assess balance in the weighted sample

```
bal.tab(w.out, stats = c("m", "v"), thresholds = c(m = .05))
```

```
Balance Measures
                                        Type Diff.Adj     M.Threshold
prop.score                          Distance  -0.0045 Balanced, <0.05
age                                  Contin.   0.0054 Balanced, <0.05
female                                Binary   0.0005 Balanced, <0.05
prevDMTefficacy_None                  Binary  -0.0003 Balanced, <0.05
prevDMTefficacy_Low_efficacy          Binary   0.0023 Balanced, <0.05
prevDMTefficacy_Medium_high_efficacy  Binary  -0.0020 Balanced, <0.05
prerelapse_num                       Contin.  -0.0034 Balanced, <0.05
                                    V.Ratio.Adj
prop.score                               0.9926
age                                      1.0102
female                                        .
prevDMTefficacy_None                          .
prevDMTefficacy_Low_efficacy                  .
prevDMTefficacy_Medium_high_efficacy          .
prerelapse_num                           1.0941
```

```
Balance tally for mean differences
                    count
Balanced, <0.05         7
Not Balanced, >0.05     0

Variable with the greatest mean difference
 Variable Diff.Adj     M.Threshold
      age   0.0054 Balanced, <0.05

Effective sample sizes
              DMT0 DMT1
Unadjusted 2300.   7700
Adjusted   1043.16 7700
```

6.3 Estimate the ATT

One way to estimate the ATT is to use the survey package. The function `svyglm()` generates model-robust (Horvitz-Thompson-type) standard errors by default, and thus does not require additional adjustments.

```r
library(survey)

weighted.data <- svydesign(ids = ~1, data = dat, weights = ~w.out$weights)

weighted.fit <- svyglm(y ~ treatment + offset(log(years)),
                       family = poisson(link = "log"),
                       design = weighted.data)

exp(coef(weighted.fit)["treatmentDMT1"])
```

```
treatmentDMT1
    0.7083381
```

```r
exp(confint(weighted.fit))["treatmentDMT1",]
```

```
    2.5 %    97.5 %
0.6245507 0.8033662
```

As indicated above, propensity score weighting yielded an ATT estimate of 0.71 (95% CI: 0.62; 0.8).

An alternative approach is to use `glm()` to estimate the treatment effect and calculate robust standard errors.

```
# Alternative way to estimate treatment effect
weighted.fit2 <- glm(y ~ treatment + offset(log(years)),
              family = poisson(link = "log"),
              data = dat,
              weights = w.out$weights)

# Extract the estimated ARR
exp(coef(weighted.fit2))["treatmentDMT1"]
```

```
treatmentDMT1
    0.7083381
```

```
# Calculate robust standard error and p-value of the log ARR
coeftest(weighted.fit2, vcov. = vcovHC)["treatmentDMT1",]
```

```
     Estimate    Std. Error       z value      Pr(>|z|)
-3.448337e-01  6.442745e-02 -5.352280e+00  8.685284e-08
```

```
# Derive 95% confidence interval of the ARR
exp(lmtest::coefci(weighted.fit2,
       level = 0.95, # 95% confidence interval
       vcov. = vcovHC)["treatmentDMT1",])
```

```
    2.5 %    97.5 %
0.6243094 0.8036767
```

Using this approach, the ATT estimate was 0.71 (95% CI: 0.62; 0.8).

7 Regression adjustment for the propensity score for the ATE

In this approach, a regression model is fitted to describe the observed outcome as a function of the received treatment and the estimated propensity score:

```
ps.reg.fit <- glm(y ~ treatment + ps + offset(log(years)),
                  family = poisson(link = "log"),
                  data = dat)

summary(ps.reg.fit)
```

```
Call:
glm(formula = y ~ treatment + ps + offset(log(years)), family = poisson(link = "log"),
    data = dat)

Deviance Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-2.0160  -0.7336  -0.4441  -0.1352   4.2634

Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)  -1.99585    0.10359 -19.266  < 2e-16 ***
treatmentDMT1 -0.25598    0.04431  -5.777 7.60e-09 ***
ps            1.07521    0.13878   7.748 9.36e-15 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)

    Null deviance: 7514.7  on 9999  degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 7443.0  on 9997  degrees of freedom
AIC: 12378
```

```
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
```

```r
# ATE
exp(coef(ps.reg.fit))["treatmentDMT1"]
```

```
treatmentDMT1
    0.7741606
```

```
Waiting for profiling to be done...
Waiting for profiling to be done...
```

Bootstrapped confidence intervals can be obtained as follows:

```r
# Function to bootstrap for 95% CIs
ps.reg.bootstrap <- function(data, inds) {
  d <- data[inds,]

  fit <- glm(y ~ treatment + ps + offset(log(years)),
             family = poisson(link = "log"),
             data = d)

  return(exp(coef(fit))["treatmentDMT1"])
}

set.seed(1854)

# Generate 1000 bootstrap replicates
arr.boot <- boot(dat, statistic = ps.reg.bootstrap, R = 1000)

# Extract the median annualized relapse rate across 1000 bootstrap replicates
median(arr.boot$t)
```

```
[1] 0.7750426
```

```r
# Take 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles to be 95% CI
quantile(arr.boot$t[,1], c(0.025, 0.975))
```

```
     2.5%     97.5%
0.7010540 0.8545169
```

8 Overview

Method	Estimand	Estimate	95% CI (lower)	95% CI (upper)
Optimal full matching	ATT	0.7610138	0.5644807	0.9575469
Propensity score stratification	ATT	0.7482462	NA	NA
Propensity score stratification (with bootstrapping)	ATT	0.7558609	0.6835885	0.8362947
Propensity score weighting	ATT	0.7083381	0.6245507	0.8033662
Propensity score weighting (robust SE)	ATT	0.7083381	0.6243094	0.8036767
PS regression adjustment	ATE	0.7741606	0.7101080	0.8448218
PS regression adjustment (bootstrapping)	ATE	0.7750426	0.7010540	0.8545169

9 Effect Modification Analysis within the Propensity score Framework

First, we need to install the R package `simcausal`, which can be obtained from GitHub:

```
devtools::install_github('osofr/simcausal', build_vignettes = FALSE)
```

We will use the following data-generation model:

```
require(simcausal)
D <- DAG.empty()
D <- D +
  node("age", distr = "rnorm",
       mean = 2, sd = 4) +
  node("gender", distr = "rbern",
       prob = plogis(4)) +
  node("education", distr = "rbern",
       prob = plogis(3 + 5* age)) +
  node("diet", distr = "rbern",
       prob = plogis(1 -3 * education)) +
  node("income", distr = "rbern",
       prob = plogis(2 - 5 * education - 4 * age)) +
  node("smoking", distr = "rbern",
       prob = plogis(1 + 1.2 * gender + 2 * age)) +
  node("hypertension", distr = "rbern",
       prob = plogis(1 + log(3) * diet +
                     log(1.3) * age +
                     log(3.5) * smoking +
                     log(0.5) * gender))
Dset <- set.DAG(D)
plotDAG(Dset)
```



We can now generate an example dataset:

```
Obs.Data <- sim(DAG = Dset, n = 50000, rndseed = 123)
```

9.1 Effect measure assessment via adding interaction term

Table 9.1: Sample data from the hypothetical example of association between hypertension and smoking, where other variables such as income, age [centered], gender, education and diet also plays a role in the data generation process.

age	gender	education	diet	income	smoking	hypertension
12.29	1	1	1	0	1	1
10.40	1	1	0	0	1	1
2.99	1	1	0	0	1	0
-4.31	0	0	0	1	0	1
-6.44	0	0	0	1	0	1

Below, we estimate a logistic regression model to assess whether the effect of smoking (the exposure) on hypertension is modified by income. The covariates age and gender are confounders.

```
Obs.Data$smoking <- as.character(Obs.Data$smoking)
Obs.Data$income <- as.factor(Obs.Data$income)
Obs.Data$income <- relevel(Obs.Data$income, ref = "1")
fit.w.em <- glm(hypertension ~ smoking * income + age + gender,
            family = binomial(link = "logit"), data = Obs.Data)

require(jtools)
results.model <- summ(fit.w.em, model.info = FALSE,
                      model.fit = FALSE,
```

```
                        exp = TRUE)
results.model
```

	exp(Est.)	2.5%	97.5%	z val.	p
(Intercept)	5.46	4.37	6.82	14.97	0.00
smoking1	2.93	2.60	3.30	17.69	0.00
income0	0.48	0.41	0.57	-8.28	0.00
age	1.29	1.27	1.31	36.77	0.00
gender	0.54	0.43	0.67	-5.55	0.00
smoking1:income0	1.27	1.04	1.56	2.33	0.02

Standard errors: MLE

The interaction term in `results.model` is significant.

Presentation of effect measures

```
require(interactionR)
em.object <- interactionR(fit.w.em,
                          exposure_names = c("income0", "smoking1"),
                          ci.type = "mover", ci.level = 0.95,
                          em = TRUE, recode = FALSE)
```

Table 9.2: Summary report from an effect modification analysis by income when investigating association between one exposure variable (smoking) and outcome hypertension. Adjusted odds ratios are reported for income levels (high' = 0, andlow' = 1)

Measures	Estimates	CI.ll	CI.ul	p
OR(smoking1 on outcome [income0==0]	2.93	2.60	3.30	0.00
OR(smoking1 on outcome [income0==1]	3.72	3.14	4.41	0.00
Multiplicative scale	1.27	1.04	1.56	0.02

10 Dealing with irregular and informative visits

We first load the required packages

```
library(dplyr)
library(broom)
library(ggplot2)
library(mice)
```

10.1 Example dataset

In this example dataset, we have a discrete outcome `y` that is affected by its baseline value `edss`, age, sex, and the treatment duration `time`.

```
set.seed(9843626)

dataset  <- sim_data_EDSS(npatients = 500,
                          ncenters = 10,
                          follow_up = 12*5, # Total follow-up (number of months)
                          sd_a_t = 0.5,   # DGM - Within-visit variation in EDSS scores
                          baseline_EDSS = 1.3295,    # DGM - Mean baseline EDDS score
                          sd_alpha_ij = 1.46,    # DGM - Between-subject variation in base
                          sd_beta1_j = 0.20,    # DGM - Between-site variation in baseline
                          mean_age = 42.41,
                          sd_age = 10.53,
                          min_age = 18,
                          beta_age = 0.05, # DGM - prognostic effect of age
                          beta_t = 0.014,  # DGM - prognostic effect of time
                          beta_t2 = 0,    # DGM - prognostic effect of time squared
                          delta_xt = 0, # DGM - interaction treatment time
                          delta_xt2 = 0, # 0.0005    # DGM - interaction treatment time2
                          p_female = 0.75,
                          beta_female = -0.2 ,  ## DGM - prognostic effect of male sex
                          delta_xf = 0,      ## DGM - interaction sex treatment
```

```
                                rho = 0.8,              # DGM - autocorrelation of between alpha_
                                corFUN = corAR1,        # DGM - correlation structure of the late
                                tx_alloc_FUN = treatment_alloc_confounding_v2 ) ## or treatment_
```

We remove y according to the informative visit process that depends on the received treatment, gender, and age.

```
dataset_visit <- censor_visits_a5(dataset, seed = 12345) %>%
  dplyr::select(-y) %>%
  mutate(time_x = time*x)
```

In the censored data, a total of 17 out of 5000 patients have a visit at `time=60`.

10.2 Estimation of treatment effect

We will estimate the marginal treatment effect at time `time=60`.

10.2.1 Original data

```
origdat60 <- dataset %>% filter(time == 60)

# Predict probability of treatment allocation
fitps <- glm(x ~ age + sex + edss, family = 'binomial',
             data = origdat60)

# Derive the propensity score
origdat60 <- origdat60 %>% mutate(ipt = ifelse(x == 1, 1/predict(fitps, type = 'response')
                                               1/(1-predict(fitps, type = 'response'))))

# Estimate
fit_ref_m <- tidy(lm(y ~ x, weight = ipt, data = origdat60), conf.int = TRUE)
```

10.2.2 Doubly-weighted marginal treatment effect

```
obsdat60 <- dataset_visit %>% mutate(visit = ifelse(is.na(y_obs),0,1)) %>% filter(time ==

gamma <- glm(visit ~ x + sex + age + edss, family = 'binomial', data = obsdat60)$coef
```

```
obsdat60 <- obsdat60 %>% mutate(rho_i = 1/exp(gamma["(Intercept)"] +
                                                gamma["x"]*x +
                                                gamma["sex"]*sex +
                                                gamma["age"]*age))

# Predict probability of treatment allocation
fitps <- glm(x ~ age + sex + edss, family='binomial', data = obsdat60)

# Derive the propensity score
obsdat60 <- obsdat60 %>% mutate(ipt = ifelse(x==1, 1/predict(fitps, type='response'),
                                             1/(1-predict(fitps, type='response'))))


fit_w <- tidy(lm(y_obs ~ x, weights = ipt*rho_i, data = obsdat60), conf.int = TRUE)
```

10.2.3 Multilevel multiple imputation

We impute the entire vector of `y_obs` for all 61 potential visits and generate 10 imputed datasets. Note: `mlmi` currently does not support imputation of treatment-covariate interaction terms.

```
imp <- impute_y_mice_3l(dataset_visit, seed = 12345)
```

We can now estimate the treatment effect in each imputed dataset

```
# Predict probability of treatment allocation
fitps <- glm(x ~ age + sex + edss, family='binomial', data = dataset_visit)

# Derive the propensity score
dataset_visit <- dataset_visit %>% mutate(ipt = ifelse(x==1, 1/predict(fitps, type='respon
                                                       1/(1-predict(fitps, type='response'

Q <- U <- rep(NA, 10) # Error variances

for (i in seq(10)) {
  dati <- cbind(dataset_visit[,c("x","ipt","time")], y_imp = imp[,i]) %>% filter(time == 6

  # Estimate
  fit <- tidy(lm(y_imp ~ x, weight = ipt, data = dati), conf.int = TRUE)
```

```
  Q[i] <- fit %>% filter(term == "x") %>% pull(estimate)
  U[i] <- (fit %>% filter(term == "x") %>% pull(std.error))**2
}

fit_mlmi <- pool.scalar(Q = Q, U = U)
```

10.3 Reproduce the results using all data to compute the marginal effect with IIV-weighted

10.3.1 Doubly -weighted marginal treatment effect total

```
obsdatall <- dataset_visit %>% mutate(visit = ifelse(is.na(y_obs),0,1))
gamma <- glm(visit ~ x + sex + age + edss, family = 'binomial', data = obsdatall)$coef
obsdatall <- obsdatall %>% mutate(rho_i = 1/exp(gamma["(Intercept)"] +
                                                gamma["x"]*x +
                                                gamma["sex"]*sex +
                                                gamma["age"]*age))
# Predict probability of treatment allocation
fitps <- glm(x ~ age + sex + edss, family='binomial', data = obsdatall)
# Derive the propensity score
obsdatall <- obsdatall %>% mutate(ipt = ifelse(x==1, 1/predict(fitps, type='response'),
                                               1/(1-predict(fitps, type='response'))))
fit_w <- tidy(lm(y_obs ~ x, weights = ipt*rho_i, data = obsdatall), conf.int = TRUE)
```

10.4 Results


Marginal treatment effect
1
0
−1
−2
Doubly weighted all times combined
Doubly weighted t=60
Multilevel Multiple Imputation t=60
Reference
method

References