

# A METHOD OF APPLYING CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATIONS USING GEOMETRIC ALGEBRA

SPENCER T. PARKIN

ABSTRACT. Abstract goes here...

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

For this paper, we assume the reader is already familiar with geometric algebra. (See [1] for introductory material on geometric algebra.) Despite what conventions may be used in other papers on geometric algebra, here we will let the outer product take precedence over the inner product, and the geometric product take precedence over the inner and outer products. The inner product used in this paper is the same one used in [1].

## 2. THE GEOMETRIC ALGEBRA

We begin by letting  $\{\mathbb{G}_i\}_{i=1}^m$  be a sequence of  $m$  Minkowski geometric algebras upon which the conformal model of  $n$ -dimensional euclidean space may be imposed. Each  $\mathbb{G}_i$  is the very geometric algebra found in [1]. If  $\mathbb{V}^i$  is a vector space generating  $\mathbb{G}_i$ , then  $\{e_{i,-}, e_{i,+}\} \cup \{e_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^n$  is a set of basis vectors generating  $\mathbb{V}_i$ , where  $\{e_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^n$  is an orthonormal basis for an  $n$ -dimensional euclidean space, and each of  $e_{i,-}$  and  $e_{i,+}$ , taken from [1], is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i,-} &= \frac{1}{2}\infty_i + o_i, \\ e_{i,+} &= \frac{1}{2}\infty_i - o_i, \end{aligned}$$

where here,  $o_i$  and  $\infty_i$  are the familiar null-vectors representative of the points at origin and infinity, respectively. With the exception of zero, we consider the sequence of geometric algebras  $\{\mathbb{G}_i\}_{i=1}^m$  to be pair-wise disjoint.

Taking our cue from the “mother algebra” in [1], we are now interested in forming the smallest geometric algebra  $\mathbb{G}$  containing each  $\mathbb{G}_i$  as a geometric sub-algebra. This geometric algebra  $\mathbb{G}$  is therefore generated by the vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ , given by

$$\mathbb{V} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{V}_i.$$

We now introduce a function  $\Psi_{i,j} : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$  defined as

$$\Psi_{i,j}(E) = \begin{cases} S_{i,j,1}E(S_{i,j,1})^{-1} & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ E & \text{if } i = j \end{cases},$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary .

where  $S_{i,j,k}$  is the constant given by

$$(2.1) \quad S_{i,j,k} = (1 - (-1)^k e_{i,-} e_{j,-}) (1 + (-1)^k e_{i,+} e_{j,+}) \prod_{r=1}^n (1 - (-1)^k e_{i,r} e_{j,r}).$$

Take notice that

$$(S_{i,j,1})^{-1} = 2^{-(n+2)} S_{i,j,0}.$$

Our definition of  $\Psi_{i,j}$  is motivated by the fact that for any vector  $v_i \in \mathbb{V}_i$  and its corresponding vector  $v_j \in \mathbb{V}_j$ , we have

$$v_j = \Psi_{i,j}(v_i).$$

Being in correspondance, this means that for all integers  $k \in [1, n]$ , we have

$$v_i \cdot e_{i,k} = v_j \cdot e_{j,k},$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} v_i \cdot o_i &= v_j \cdot o_j, \\ v_i \cdot \infty_i &= v_j \cdot \infty_j. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\Psi_{i,j}(v_j) = -v_i$ . For any vector  $v \notin \mathbb{V}_i$  and  $v \notin \mathbb{V}_j$ , the function  $\Psi_{i,j}$  leaves  $v$  invariant.

Though  $S_{i,j,1}$  in equation (2.1) is not a versor of  $\mathbb{G}$ , we will now show that  $\Psi_{i,j}$  is an outermorphism. (See [ ] for a definition of outermorphism.) For the sake of brevity, we will cut straight to the crux of the proof. It suffices to show that for any two vectors  $a, b \in \mathbb{V}$ , we have

$$a \cdot b = \Psi_{i,j}(a) \cdot \Psi_{i,j}(b).$$

To see this, begin by rewriting  $a$  and  $b$  as

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \sum_{k=1}^m a_k, \\ b &= \sum_{k=1}^m b_k, \end{aligned}$$

where for each pair, we have  $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{V}_k$ . We then have

$$a \cdot b = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \cdot b_k,$$

where we can make the observation that for any  $k \in [1, m]$ , we have

$$a_k \cdot b_k = \Psi_{i,j}(a_k) \cdot \Psi_{i,j}(b_k).$$

We now simply see that

$$\Psi_{i,j}(a) \cdot \Psi_{i,j}(b) = \sum_{k=1}^m \Psi_{i,j}(a_k) \cdot \Psi_{i,j}(b_k)$$

to complete the proof.

### 3. GEOMETRIC REPRESENTATION

Having now set forth our geometric algebra  $\mathbb{G}$ , we're ready to discuss geometric representation. Letting  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional euclidean vector sub-space of  $\mathbb{V}_1$ , our geometric representation scheme is to let a geometry be the set of all points  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that

$$\bigwedge_{j=1}^m p_j(x) \cdot A = 0,$$

where  $A$  is an  $m$ -vector (not necessarily an  $m$ -blade) of  $\mathbb{G}$ , and where the function  $p_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_1$ , reminding us of the conformal mapping found in [], is defined as

$$p_j(x) = \Psi_{1,j} \left( o_1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2\infty_1 \right).$$

Here, it is the  $m$ -vector  $A$  that serves as the representative of our geometry. It is not hard to see that  $A$ , representative of a geometry by equation (??), is simply the zero set of a polynomial of degree at most  $2m$ .<sup>1</sup>

For all polynomials of degree  $r$  with  $m < r \leq 2m$ , there does not necessarily exist an  $m$ -vector  $A$  representative of its zero set. For all polynomials of degree  $r$  with  $0 \leq r \leq m$ , however, there does exist such an  $m$ -vector  $A$ . Taking advantage of the linearity of the inner product, it suffices to show that this is the case for every monomial of such a degree. Indeed, the  $m$ -vector  $A$ , (in this case an  $m$ -blade), is given by

$$A = \lambda \bigwedge_{j=1}^r a_j \wedge \bigwedge_{j=r+1}^m \infty_j,$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is a scalar,  $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^r$  is set of  $r$  vectors with each  $a_j \in \mathbb{V}_j$ , and the first product is one in the case that  $r = 0$ . To see this, let  $x_j = \Psi_{1,j}(x)$  and write

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{j=1}^m p_j(x) \cdot A &= \bigwedge_{j=1}^r x_j \wedge \bigwedge_{j=r+1}^m o_j \cdot A \\ &= \lambda(-1)^{m-r} \bigwedge_{i=1}^r x_i \cdot \bigwedge_{i=1}^r a_i \\ &= \lambda(-1)^{m-r} \prod_{i=1}^r x_i \cdot a_i, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that for an appropriate choice of the vectors in  $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^r$ , and that of  $\lambda$ , we can formulate  $A$  as being representative of any monomial.

It is not difficult to convert between polynomial functions and  $m$ -vectors  $A$  of our geometric algebra  $\mathbb{G}$ .

### 4. APPLYING CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATIONS TO GEOMETRIES

We now come to the main result of this papers, which is to show that the conformal transformations are applicable to any geometry that may be represented as an  $m$ -vector by equation (??). We simply observe that if  $V \in \mathbb{G}_1$  is a versor

---

<sup>1</sup>An algebraic set is the zero set of one or more polynomial functions. It can be shown that such sets may be represented by the blades of a geometric algebra, but the geometric representation method of this paper is restricted to those sets that are the zero set of one and only one polynomial function.

representative of a conformal transformation found in [], then the desired geometry is given by the set of all points  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that

$$\bigwedge_{j=1}^m V^{-1} p_j V \cdot A = 0.$$

It will not be hard to show that the element  $A'$  representative of this very set of points by equation (??) is given by

$$A' = W E W^{-1},$$

where the versor  $W$  is given by

$$W = \prod_{j=1}^m V_j,$$

where  $V_j = \Psi_{1,j}(V)$ . Taking advantage of the linearity of the inner product once again, we need only prove our main result in the case that  $A$  is an  $m$ -blade. Let  $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^m$  be a set  $m$  vectors, such that

$$A = \bigwedge_{j=1}^m a_j.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{j=1}^m V^{-1} p_j V \cdot \bigwedge_{j=1}^m a_j &= \prod_{j=1}^m V^{-1} p_j V \cdot a_j \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^m p_j \cdot V a_j V^{-1} \\ &= \bigwedge_{j=1}^m p_j \cdot \bigwedge_{j=1}^m V a_j V^{-1} \\ &= \bigwedge_{j=1}^m p_j \cdot \bigwedge_{j=1}^m W a_j W^{-1} \\ &= \bigwedge_{j=1}^m p_j \cdot W A W^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

## 5. PUTTING THEORY INTO PRACTICE

PUT ADDRESS HERE