## Chapters 9-11 Supplementary Exercises Gallian's Book on Abstract Algebra

Spencer T. Parkin

March 19, 2014

## Exercise 36

A proper subgroup H of a group G is called maximal if there is no subgroup K such that  $H \subset K \subset G$ . Prove that Q under addition has no maximal subgroups.

Let H be any non-trivial, proper subgroup of Q. Then, if  $h \in Q$  is also a member of H, then so is any integer multiple of h. In other words,

$$hZ = \{zh|z \in Z\} \subseteq H.$$

Notice that hZ is also a subgroup of H.

Now, since H is a proper subgroup of Q, there exists  $r \in Q \backslash H$ . If we wanted to form a subgroup of Q containing H and r, then it must contain at least H and rZ. Letting H + rZ denote the set

$$H + rZ = \{h + zr | h \in H, z \in Z\},\$$

it is not hard to show that H + rZ is a subgroup of Q properly containing H. What remains to be shown, however, is that H + rZ is a proper subgroup of Q.

To that end, suppose Q=H+rZ in the hopes of reaching a contradiction. This then implies that

$$\langle r + H \rangle = Q/H$$
,

which is to say that the factor group Q/H is cyclic, being generated by r+H. But, since  $rZ \cap H$  is a non-trivial group, it follows that the order of r+H is finite. (Then, interestingly, since Q=H+rZ is the smallest subgroup of Q containing H properly, we're also assuming here that H is maximal; and since H is maximal, Q/H must be a cyclic group of prime order, it having no non-trivial and proper subgroups.) In any case, let n=|r+H|. (We do not care that n is prime.) Now realize that for the rational  $r/n \in Q$ , there must exist  $h \in H$  and  $z \in Z$  such that r/n = h + zr. But then this implies that

$$r = nh + nzr \in H$$
,

(since  $nzr \in H$  by the order of r + H), which is a contradiction. Our assumption, therefore, that Q = H + rZ, is false, and we must have H + rZ a proper subgroup of Q. This completes the proof!

In hindsight, we didn't need to consider the factor group Q/H. It was enough to notice that  $H \cap rH$  is non-trivial.

Can we show that Q has no minimal subgroup? Let H be any subgroup of Q and write it as

$$H = \langle h_1 \rangle + \langle h_2 \rangle + \dots,$$

where  $h_i$  is a sequence containing all of H. (We can do this, because Q is countably infinite.) Now simply notice that  $\langle 2h_1 \rangle$  is a non-trivial and proper subgroup of H, because  $\langle 2h_1 \rangle < \langle h_1 \rangle \leq H$ .