# Section 2.7 Exercises Herstein's Topics In Algebra

Spencer T. Parkin

March 11, 2016

# Problem 3

Let G be a finite abelian group of order |G| and suppose the integer n is relatively prime to |G|. Prove that every  $g \in G$  can be written as  $g = x^n$  with  $x \in G$ .

I'm doubtful I could have done this problem without the hint Herstein provides.

Let  $\phi: G \to G$  be defined as  $\phi(y) = y^n$ . We then easily see that

$$\phi(xy) = (xy)^n = x^n y^n = \phi(x)\phi(y),$$

since G is abelian, and so  $\phi$  is a homomorphism. Consider the kernel of  $\phi$ . Note that

$$y^n = e \implies y = e,$$

since the order of  $y \neq e$  cannot divide a number n that is coprime with |G|, by Lagrange's theorem. It follows that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism. Now since G is finite, we can easily claim that every element has the form  $x^n$  for some  $x \in G$ . (To find such an x for a given g, just let  $x = \phi^{-1}(g)$ .)

## Problem 4

#### Part A

Given any group G and a subset U, let  $\hat{U}$  be the smallest subgroup of G which contains U. Prove there is such a subgroup  $\hat{U}$  in G.

I would write

$$\hat{U} = \{g \in G | g \in \prod_{w \in W} w, W \subseteq V\},\$$

where the set V is given by

$$V = \{u^z | u \in U, z \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

I believe this is the smallest subgroup of G containing U, because no element is added unnecessarily.

One drawback of this formulation, however, is that it makes it difficult to write the form of a general element of the group. If we restrict ourselves to finite or even countably infinite subset U of G, then we can write a general element  $u \in \hat{U}$  as

$$u = \prod_{i} u_i^{z_i},$$

where  $\{u_i\} \subseteq U$  and  $\{z_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  are each finite or countably infinite sequences.

## Part B

If  $gug^{-1} \in U$  for all  $g \in G$ ,  $u \in U$ , prove that  $\hat{U}$  is a normal subgroup of G. Let  $u \in \hat{U}$ . We then see that

$$gug^{-1} = \prod_{i} gu_i^{z_i} g^{-1} = \prod_{i} (gu_i g^{-1})^{z_i} \in \hat{U}.$$

## 1 Problem 5

Let  $U = \{xyx^{-1}y^{-1}|x,y \in G\}$ . In this case  $\hat{U}$  is usually written G' and is called the *commutator subroup of* G.

## Part A

Prove that G' is normal in G.

By part B of problem 4, we need only show that for any commutator  $u \in U$ , and any  $g \in G$ , we have  $gug^{-1} \in U$ . Let  $u = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ , and see that

$$gug^{-1} = gxyx^{-1}y^{-1}g^{-1} = (gxg^{-1})(gyg^{-1})(gxg^{-1})^{-1}(gyg^{-1})^{-1} \in U.$$

## Part B

Prove that G/G' is abelian.

For  $a, b \in G$ , we have

$$G'aG'b(G'a)^{-1}(G'b)^{-1} = Gaba^{-1}b^{-1} = G \implies G'aG'b = G'bG'a.$$

#### Part C

If G/N is abelian, prove that  $N \supseteq G'$ .

For all  $a, b \in G$ ,

$$Naba^{-1}b^{-1} = N \implies aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in N \implies G' \subseteq N.$$

I have to try to say something here concerning the significance of commutator groups. For a non-abelian group, this shows that the largest abelian factor group we can find is found by mod-ing out by G'. And I remember reading somewhere that the order of this factor group in comparison to the order of G is somehow a measure of "how abelian" the group G is.

#### Part D

Prove that if H is a subgroup of G and  $H \supseteq G'$ , then H is normal in G.

If H = G', we're done. So let  $H \supset G'$ . Now if  $h \in G'$  and  $g \in G$ , clearly  $ghg^{-1} \in G' \subset H$  by the normality of G', so let  $h \in H - G'$ . Now since  $c = ghg^{-1}h^{-1} \in G'$ , we have  $ghg^{-1} = ch \in H$  by closure in H.

# Problem 17

Let G be the group of real numbers under addition and let N be the subgroup of G consisting of all the integers. Prove that G/N is isomorphic to the group of all complex numbers of absolute value 1 under multiplication.

Let  $\phi: \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$  be defined by

$$\phi(\mathbb{Z} + r) = \exp(2\pi ri).$$

Now for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{Z} + a = \mathbb{Z} + b \iff a = b + z,$$

for some integer  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We then have

$$\exp(2\pi ai) = \exp(2\pi(b+z)i) = \exp(2\pi bi) \exp(2\pi zi) = \exp(2\pi bi).$$

Thus far we have shown that  $\phi$  is well-defined and onto-to-one. Clearly  $\phi$  is onto  $\mathbb{C}$ . Is  $\phi$  operation preserving?

$$\phi(\mathbb{Z} + a + \mathbb{Z} + b) = \phi(\mathbb{Z} + a + b) = \exp(2\pi(a+b)i)$$
$$= \exp(2\pi ai) \exp(2\pi bi) = \phi(\mathbb{Z} + a)\phi(\mathbb{Z} + b)$$