- 1. Explain the two main concepts that we use to measures the goodness of a clustering structure without external information.
 - 1 Cohesiveness

Members of each cluster to be integrated and close to each other as possible.

2 Separability

Clusters to be separate & independent as possible from the other clusters.

- 2. Let's revisit the logic behind the voting method of classifier combination (used in Bagging, Random Forests, and Boosting to some extent). We are assuming that the errors between the two classifiers are uncorrelated
 - (a) First, let's assume our three independent classifiers both have an error rate of e = 0.4 calculated over 1000 instances with binary labels (500 A and 500 B).
 - (i) Build the confusion matrices for these classifiers, based on the assumptions above.
 - (ii) Using that the majority voting, what the expected error rate of the voting ensemble?

| | 1 | 1. | 1 |
|---|----|-----|---|
| 1 | a) | () | 1 |

| Actual class | # | P1 | # for Sys1 | P2 | # for Sys 2 | Р3 | # for Sys 3 | |
|--------------|---|---------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--|
| A 500 | | (500 x 0.6=) 300 | Α | (300 x 0.6=) 180 | Α | (180*0.6=)(108) | A | |
| | Α | | | | В | (180*0.4=) 72 | A | |
| | | | В | (300 x 0.4=) 120 | Α | (120*0.6=) 72 | A | |
| | | | | | В | (120*0.4=) 48 | B | |
| | В | (500 x 0.4=) 200 | _ | (200 × 0.6=) 120 | Α | (120*0.6=) 72 | A | |
| | | | Α | | В | (120*0.4=) 48 | B | |
| | | | В | (200 x 0.4=) 80 | Α | (80*0.6=) 48 | B | |
| | | | | | В | (80*0.4=) 32 | B | |
| В 500 | Α | (500 x 0.4=) 200 | А | (200 x 0.4=) 80 | Α | (80*0.4=) 32 | A | |
| | | | | | В | (80*0.6=) 48 | A | |
| | | | В | (200 x 0.6=) 120 | Α | (120*0.4=) 48 | A | |
| | | | | | В | (120*0.6=) 72 | B | |
| | В | (500 x 0.6=) 300 | Α | (300 x 0.4=) 120 | Α | (120*0.4=) 48 | A (some pred B as above | |
| | | | | | В | (120*0.6=) 72 | B as above | |
| | | | D | (300 x 0.6=) 180 | Α | (180*0.4=) 72 | В | |
| | | | В | | В | (180*0.6=) 108 | B | |

Expected
$$ER = \frac{352}{1000} = 0.352 < 0.4$$
 (individual ER)

learners correct each others' mistake (assume errors uncorrelated)

Alternative :

$$\binom{3}{2}(0.4)^2(0.6) + \binom{3}{3}(0.4)^3 = 0.352$$
thre making mistakes all wrong

- (b) Now consider three classifiers, first with $e_1 = 0.1$, the second and third with $e_2 = e_3 = 0.2$.
 - (i) Build the confusion matrices.
 - (ii) Using the majority voting, what the expected error rate of the voting ensemble?

| A | ctua | | (e.= o | | (e2 = 0 Pred | | (e2:0.2) Pred 3 | | |
|---|------|-----|--------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----|----------|
| | | | А | (500 x 0.9=) 450 | А | (450 x 0.8=) 360 | А | 288 | Α |
| | | | | | | | В | 72 | ٨ |
| | | | | | В | (450 x 0.2=) | А | 81 | Α |
| | А | 500 | | | В | 90 | В | 18 | В |
| | A | 300 | | (500 x 0.1=) | A | (50x 0.8=) | А | 36 | A |
| | | | В | | A | 40 | В | 8 | B |
| | | | В | 50 | В | (50 x 0.2=) 10 | А | 8 | B |
| | | | | | В | | В | 2 | <u>B</u> |
| | | | | (500 × 0.1=) 50 | А | (50 x 0.2=) | Α | 2 | A |
| | | | A | | | 10 | В | 8 | A |
| | | | _ A | | В | (50 x 0.8=) | А | 8 | A |
| | В | 500 | | | В | 40 | В | 32 | В |
| | 0 | | В | (500 × 0.9=) 450 | А | (450 x 0.2=) | А | 18 | A |
| | | | | | | 90 | В | 72 | В |
| | | | В | | В | (450 x 0.8=) 360 | А | 72 | ß |
| | | | | | В | | В | 288 | В |

Error count =
$$(18+8+8+2) + (2+8+8+18)$$

= 72
ER = $\frac{72}{1000} = 0.072 < 0.1$ (sys 1)

Alternative:

$$ER = (0.1)(0.2)^{2} + (0.9)(0.2)^{2} + 2 \times (0.1)(0.2)(0.8)$$
all wrong S2 & S3 S. & S2/S3 wrong
$$= 0.072$$

Consider the following dataset:

| | | | | | _ |
|----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|
| ja | apple | ibm | lemon | sun | label |
| А | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | fruit |
| В | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 | fruit |
| С | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | comp |
| D | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | comp |
| Ε | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | ? |
| F | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ? |

ጊ.

1. Treat the problem as an unsupervised machine learning problem (excluding the id and label attributes), and calculate the clusters according to k-means with k = 2, using the Manhattan distance:

- (a) Starting with seeds A and D.
- (b) Starting with seeds A and F.

=) two initial centroids:

1) Calculate distances from each instance to the controids

$$A \rightarrow C_1$$
 $B \rightarrow C_1$ $C \rightarrow C_1$ $D \rightarrow C_2$

$$E \rightarrow C_1 \qquad F \rightarrow C_1$$

3 Update controids (average the instances in that cluster)

$$d_{(A,C1)} = |4-2.8| + |0-1| + |1-2| + |1-0.8| = 3.4$$

$$d_{(B,C1)} = |5-2.8| + |0-1| + |5-2| + |2-0.8| = 7.4$$

$$d_{(C,C1)} = |2-2.8| + |5-1| + |0-2| + |0-0.8| = 7.6$$

$$d_{(D,C1)} = |1-2.8| + |2-1| + |1-2| + |7-0.8| = 10$$

$$d_{(E,C1)} = |2-2.8| + |0-1| + |3-2| + |1-0.8| = 3$$

$$d_{(E,C1)} = |1-2.8| + |0-1| + |1-2| + |0-0.8| = 4.6$$

$$d_{(C,C2)} = 10$$

Same as last iter! => Converged! => Stop!

(6) Skip.

tie:

$$d(E, C_1) = |2-4| + |0-0| + |3-1| + |1-1| = 4$$

$$d(E, C_2) = |2-1| + |0-0| + |3-1| + |1-0| = 4$$

(b) Perform agglomerative clustering of the above dataset (excluding the *id* and *label* attributes), using the Euclidean distance and calculating the group average as the cluster centroid.

In workshop slides.