# Final Project Draft

### Introduction

"The Billboard Hot 100 is the music industry standard record chart in the United States for songs, published weekly by Billboard magazine. Chart rankings are based on sales (physical and digital), radio play, and online streaming in the United States."

The data was found on TidyTuesday and is from Data.World with the original data points found on Billboard.com and Spotify. The cases are songs from the certain week(s) in which they appeared on the Billboard 100 chart. It includes every weekly Hot 100 singles chart from Billboard.com. Relevant variables from Billboard include song, performer, instance (ordinal for which appearance on the chart it is for the song), previous\_week\_position, and more. Relevant variables from Spotify include spotify\_genre, spotify\_track\_duration, danceability (double 0-1; factoring in tempo, rhythm stability, beat strength), energy (double 0-1; perceptual measure of intensity and activity), key, acousticness (double 0-1), and valence (double 0-1; "musical positiveness"), and more. Both include a song name in the variable "song" that we'll use for joining.

From this dataset, we're interested in examining songs from 2000 to today and songs that made it to the #1 song position on the Billboard 100. Since there are so many song attributes in the dataset, we decided to focus on attributes that are easily identifiable through hearing by the general population: genre, danceability, energy, speechiness, valence, and tempo. Our main research question is: what factors play a role in a song becoming #1 on the Billboard 100 and the longevity of songs on the Billboard 100 after peaking at #1?

Variable description: Genre - is based on what genre Spotify puts the song into.

Danceability - describes how suitable a track is for dancing based on a combination of musical elements including tempo, rhythm stability, beat strength, and overall regularity. A value of 0.0 is least danceable and 1.0 is most danceable.

Energy - a measure from 0.0 to 1.0 and represents a perceptual measure of intensity and activity. Typically, energetic tracks feel fast, loud, and noisy. For example, death metal has high energy, while a Bach prelude scores low on the scale. Perceptual features contributing to this attribute include dynamic range, perceived loudness, timbre, onset rate, and general entropy.

Speechiness - detects the presence of spoken words in a track. The more exclusively speech-like the recording (e.g. talk show, audio book, poetry), the closer to 1.0 the attribute value. Values above 0.66 describe tracks that are probably made entirely of spoken words. Values between 0.33 and 0.66 describe tracks that may contain both music and speech, either in sections or layered, including such cases as rap music. Values below 0.33 most likely represent music and other non-speech-like tracks.

Valence - A measure from 0.0 to 1.0 describing the musical positiveness conveyed by a track. Tracks with high valence sound more positive (e.g. happy, cheerful, euphoric), while tracks with low valence sound more negative (e.g. sad, depressed, angry).

Tempo - The overall estimated tempo of a track in beats per minute (BPM). In musical terminology, tempo is the speed or pace of a given piece and derives directly from the average beat duration.

### library(tidyverse)

```
## Warning in system("timedatectl", intern = TRUE): running command 'timedatectl'
## had status 1
## -- Attaching packages ------ tidyverse 1.3.1 --
```

```
## v ggplot2 3.3.5 v purrr 0.3.4
## v tibble 3.1.5 v dplyr 1.0.7
## v tidyr 1.1.4 v stringr 1.4.0
## v readr 2.0.2
                     v forcats 0.5.1
## -- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
## x dplyr::lag()
                    masks stats::lag()
library(tidymodels)
## Registered S3 method overwritten by 'tune':
##
    required_pkgs.model_spec parsnip
## -- Attaching packages ----- tidymodels 0.1.4 --
              0.7.9 v rsample 0.1.0
## v broom
## v dials 0.0.10 v tune 0.1.6
## v infer 1.0.0 v workflows 0.2.4
## v modeldata 0.1.1 v workflowsets 0.1.0
## v parsnip 0.1.7 v yardstick 0.0.8
## v recipes
               0.1.17
## -- Conflicts ----- tidymodels_conflicts() --
## x scales::discard() masks purrr::discard()
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
## x recipes::fixed() masks stringr::fixed()
## x dplyr::lag() masks stats::lag()
## x yardstick::spec() masks readr::spec()
## x recipes::step() masks stats::step()
## * Search for functions across packages at https://www.tidymodels.org/find/
library(stringr)
```

### Setting Up Our Project

## chr (7): song\_id, performer, song, spotify\_genre, spotify\_track\_id, spotify...

```
## dbl (14): spotify_track_duration_ms, danceability, energy, key, loudness, mo...
## lgl (1): spotify_track_explicit
##
## i Use `spec()` to retrieve the full column specification for this data.
## i Specify the column types or set `show_col_types = FALSE` to quiet this message.
```

To conduct our work, we first need to combine our datasets, which is slightly complicated. For the billboard dataset, each entry is not a song, but rather the position of a song for each week. For example, a song is on the Billboard Top 100 for multiple weeks, it would be entered multiple times, each time with it's week's ranking. In contrast, each of the audio\_feature's rows is a song. Songs are not entered in multiple times. To be able to combine the datasets together, we have to condense the Billboard 100 to having each row represent a song. Since we only care about #1 songs and songs from the 2000s, we plan on filtering the billboard data set so that there is only one song that appears for each week, the #1 song. If there are songs that remain #1 for multiple weeks we will filter these out so they only appear once. After creating the new dataset, we will hopefulle be able to merge the 2 data sets creating a final large dataset with x number of observations and 31 variables. (we need to discuss with the TA and professor smith about how to do this)

```
billboard2 <- billboard %>%
  mutate(date = as.Date(week_id, format = "%m/%d/%Y", origin = "1958-08-02")) %>%
  mutate(month = format(date, "%m")) %>%
  mutate(year= format(date, "%Y"))

all_combined <- audio_features %>%
  right_join(billboard2, by= c("song", "performer"))

combined <- all_combined %>%
  filter(year > 1999) %>%
  filter(spotify_genre != "[]")
```

### Attributes and Time

##By Year

To examine how attributes in #1 Billboard songs have changed over time, we created visualizations to show the distribution of values for each numerical factor that we're interested in: danceability, energy, speechiness, valence, and tempo. As mentioned in our introduction, in Billboard #1 songs, we predict that speechiness and tempo have decreased then increased over the 2000s due to the rising popularity of rap. We also predict that there are no significant patterns for danceability, valence, and energy; we think that the values for these factors will remain relatively constant. To keep our visualization readable, we decided that instead of keeping the time format that the current dataset has (M/D/Y), we will group by year.

```
#probably create one graph with each factor in a different color
```

Insert discussion about the graph

#### By Season

Besides looking by year, there are other time components that would influence what attributes are preferred over others. Seasons can influence what song attributes are preferred. For example, winter has many holidays, so that could mean that happier songs with medium tempos are preferred. To further examine attributes and #1 songs, we separated the dataset by seasons and looked at the distribution of attributes' values. We defined fall as from September to November, winter as from December to February, spring as March to May, and summer as June to August.

### Genre and Longtivity Billboard #1 Songs

If this happens: Since it seems like insert factor or factors don't really follow a pattern, we decided to remove insert factor or factors in the below analyses.

### Testing Pop Genre

To examine the correlation between genre and Billboard rankings, we created a null and alternative hypothees to test with simulation-based methods. Since the labels "pop genre" and "popular genre" tend to be interchangeable, we predict that the majority of songs that are #1 on the Billboard are of the pop genre.

Null Hypothesis: 50% of the #1 Billboard songs are of the pop genre. Alternative Hypothesis: Over 50% of the #1 Billboard songs are of the pop genre.

$$H_0: p_{pop} = 0.5 \text{v.s.} H_a: p_{pop} > 0.5$$

```
combined_1 <- combined %>%
  filter(week_position == 1)

typeof(combined_1$spotify_genre)
```

```
## [1] "character"

combined_1 <- combined_1 %>%

mutate(ifelse(str_detect(spotify_genre, "pop")== TRUE, 1, 0))
```

To verify our conclusion from above, we also decided to perform a 98% Confidence Interval Test.

Insert Discussion

### **Testing Predictors**

NEED TO DISCUSS AS A GROUP BECAUSE IF OUR WHOLE DATASET IS SONGS THAT ARE #1, CAN WE DO A LINEAR REGRESSION TEST? Wouldn't linear regression not work if we're trying to find which attributes predict a song being #1 if the whole dataset is songs that are #1? I'm going to change it so the response variable is instance, thus we're examining the longetivity of songs being on the chart.

To further examine the relationship between attributes and songs on the Billboard, we decided to use linear regression. We want to see what attribute is the strongest predictor of a song remaining on the Billboard 100 after peaking at #1. We predict that energy is the strongest predictor for longevity. But we will also test, dancebility, tempo, valence and speechiness.

To test this, we created a linear regression model with the explanatory variable as an attribute and the response variable as the instance variable. Instance in our dataset is defined as the number of times a song has appeared on the chart. We then found the R-squared value to see which attribute is the strongest predictor.

Insert Discussion

### Probability of Songs Becoming #1

Now, that we've found which attribute/attributes is/are the strongest predictors, we want to delve deeper into these attributes' relationship with song's longevity. We first found the average value of these attributes. Given that the song is #1 and the attribute is at its average value, what is the probability that the song will remain on the billboard for over a month?

<sup>&</sup>quot;'{ visualizing-null-p-value}

<sup>&</sup>quot;' Insert Discussion

## Things We Want Feedback on from Peer Review

Is our research question clear?

What do you think of the tests and visualizations that we're planning on performing?

How do you feel about our organization? Do you have suggestions on how we should be organizing our things?

Are there other tests/hypotheseses we should look at?

Note: this is just a basic template of our project. Some of the things that we are examining or variables could change just keep this in mind. Let us know what we can do to improve our project!