Reflexive Field Dynamics: A Lagrangian Theory of Mind

Flyxion

October 21, 2025

Contents

Abstract

This monograph presents Reflexive Field Dynamics, a unified Lagrangian theory of mind grounded in the relativistic scalar–vector–entropy plenum (RSVP). Consciousness is conceptualized as the fixed-point condition of a cognitive tetrad comprising physical geometry ($\mathfrak{L}$), recursive optimization ($\mathcal{D}$), integrative agency ($\mathcal{S}$), and reflexive observation ($\mathcal{O}$). Through mathematical derivations, computational simulations, and philosophical analysis, the framework resolves key challenges in consciousness studies, including the hard problem of qualia and the binding problem. Empirical predictions are provided for artificial intelligence systems, neuroscience, and cosmology, with implications for a coherent ontology of mind and matter.

Preface

This work emerges from an interdisciplinary quest to unify physics, cognition, and philosophy. The RSVP plenum and cognitive tetrad were developed to bridge objective dynamics with subjective experience, drawing on advances in field theory, active inference, and computational modeling. The theory offers a foundation for empirical validation and philosophical inquiry, aiming to redefine our understanding of consciousness as a reflexive act of the universe.

Chapter 1

Introduction

"The world exists as it is known."

— Ortega y Gasset

The enigma of consciousness has long challenged philosophy, neuroscience, and physics. This monograph proposes Reflexive Field Dynamics, a unified field-theoretic framework where consciousness emerges as the reflexive closure of cognitive dynamics within a relativistic scalar–vector–entropy plenum (RSVP). Consciousness is not an emergent epiphenomenon but a fixed-point condition in the *cognitive tetrad*: the interplay of physical geometry ($\mathfrak{L}$), recursive optimization ($\mathcal{D}$), integrative agency ($\mathcal{S}$), and reflexive observation ($\mathcal{O}$).

1.1 Historical Context

Traditional paradigms—Cartesian dualism, computational functionalism, and neural identity theory—struggle to account for subjective experience. Cartesian dualism separates mind and matter without explaining their interaction. Computational functionalism reduces consciousness to information processing, bypassing qualia [10]. Neural identity theories correlate brain states with experience but lack a mechanism for emergence. Recent approaches, including entropic gravity [1, 2, 6], active inference [3, 7], unistochastic quantum mechanics [4, 8], and coherence theories [5, 9], provide partial insights but fail to unify physical and phenomenological domains.

1.2 Motivation

RSVP transcends physicalism (mind as matter) and idealism (matter as mind) by positing a reflexive plenum where observation closes the loop between knowing and being. Unlike enactivism, which emphasizes embodied interaction, or active inference, which focuses on predictive minimization, RSVP incorporates reflexive observation as a physical process, making consciousness a fundamental property of field dynamics.

Central Claim. Consciousness is the closure condition of physical and cognitive recursion: when observation, integration, and optimization reach equilibrium within the plenum, subjective experience arises as the fixed point of reality.

1.3 Overview of the Framework

The RSVP plenum couples a scalar entropy potential Φ, vector flow $\mathbf{v}$, and entropy density S through a Lagrangian formalism. Cognition arises from in-situ optimization (CLIO), integrated via HYDRA, and observed reflexively through $\mathcal{O}$, yielding the closure theorem. This framework extends entropic gravity, active inference, unistochastic quantum mechanics, and coherence theories.

1.4 Comparative Frameworks

Table 1.1 contrasts RSVP with related theories.

Framework	**Ontology**	**Core Mechanism**	**Reflexivity**	**Predictive**
IIT	Discrete info units	Integration (Φ)		Static
FEP	Probabilistic inference	Free energy minimization	Partial	Predictive c
UQM	Local quantum causality	Unistochastic transitions		Probabilistic
RSVP	Scalar–vector–entropy field	Reflexive closure		Continuous re

Table 1.1: Comparison of RSVP with competing frameworks

1.5 Structure of the Monograph

Chapter 2 develops the RSVP plenum. Chapter 3 introduces CLIO for optimization. Chapter 4 presents HYDRA for agency. Chapter 5 defines $\mathcal{O}$. Chapter 6 proves the closure theorem. Chapter 7 outlines predictions. Chapter 8 explores philosophical implications. Appendices provide derivations and code.

Chapter 2

The Plenum: RSVP Field Theory ($\mathfrak{L}$)

"Entropy is the price of structure."

— Ilya Prigogine

Thesis: Reality is a scalar–vector–entropy plenum; cognition is possible because its dynamics support negentropic coherence.

2.1 Philosophical Statement

The plenum is the ontological foundation where entropy is a dynamic field structuring reality, not merely statistical disorder. It provides the substrate for cognition by balancing local entropy production with global negentropic flows.

2.2 Formal Model

The RSVP plenum is defined by the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{RSVP}} = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}|^2 - \Phi S + \lambda \nabla_i \nabla^i S. \tag{2.1}$$

This extends thermodynamic gravity [1, 6] by coupling scalar entropy potential Φ, vector flow $\mathbf{v}$, and entropy density S.

2.3 Field Equations Derivation

Applying the Euler–Lagrange equations to $\mathcal{L}_{\text{RSVP}}$:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Phi} - \nabla_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\nabla_i \Phi)} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} - \nabla_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\nabla_i \mathbf{v})} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S} - \nabla_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\nabla_i S)} = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

This yields:

$$\partial_t \Phi = \alpha_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \beta_0 S, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} = -\nabla \Phi + \gamma_0 \mathbf{v} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\partial_t S = -\nabla \cdot (\Phi \mathbf{v}) + \sigma_{\text{learn}}. \tag{2.5}$$

The scalar Φ acts as an entropic potential, $\mathbf{v}$ as negentropy flow, and $\nabla_i S$ as informational curvature. Vorticity suppression, $\nabla \times \mathbf{v} \approx 0$, ensures coherent flow.

Interpretation. The term $\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}|^2$ represents kinetic negentropy flow, ΦS couples information and energy, and $\lambda \nabla_i \nabla^i S$ enforces diffusive smoothing, ensuring local interactions relax toward global coherence.

2.4 Energy Functional Interpretation

Define canonical momenta: $\pi_\Phi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\Phi}}$, $\pi_S = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{S}}$. The Hamiltonian density is:

$$\mathcal{H} = \pi_\Phi \dot{\Phi} + \pi_S \dot{S} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{RSVP}}. \tag{2.6}$$

This yields conserved informational energy under stationary $\mathcal{O}$-closure, implying a symplectic structure on the cognitive phase space.

2.5 Covariant Entropy Current

The entropy current is:

$$J^i = \Phi v^i - \lambda \nabla^i S, \quad \nabla_i J^i = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

ensuring conservation of informational flow.

2.6 Spectral Analysis

Fourier-transforming Equations (2.3)–(2.5) reveals dispersion relations. High-frequency modes are damped by $\lambda \Delta S$, ensuring stability, as shown in Chapter 5.

2.7 Entropy Flow and Negentropic Coherence

The field $\mathbf{v}$ drives negentropy currents:

$$\partial_t S + \nabla \cdot (S\mathbf{v}) = \lambda \Delta S. \tag{2.8}$$

This balances local entropy production with negentropic inflow, supporting cognitive coherence.

2.8 Theorem

Theorem 2.1 (Entropy Balance)**.** Under Neumann boundary conditions, total entropy is conserved:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega S \, dV = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. Integrate $\partial_t S = -\nabla \cdot (\Phi \mathbf{v})$ over Ω. With no-flux conditions, $\Phi \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{n} = 0$, the divergence theorem yields zero. □

2.9 Computational Link

Simulations use a GPU-accelerated 3D lattice to model Φ, $\mathbf{v}$, S, visualizing entropic smoothing and torsion effects, as implemented in Appendix 10.

2.10 Transition

The plenum provides the substrate for cognition; CLIO, introduced in Chapter 3, embeds optimization within these flows.

Chapter 3

The Recursive Engine: CLIO Dynamics ($\mathcal{D}$)

"To know is to reduce uncertainty."

— Claude Shannon

Thesis: Reasoning is in-situ optimization over uncertainty functionals embedded in RSVP flows.

3.1 Philosophical Statement

CLIO embodies reasoning as the minimization of surprise, bridging physical dynamics and cognitive inference. It models cognition as a thermodynamic process within the plenum.

3.2 Formal Model

The core functional is:

$$U[x_t] = \int_\Omega f(x_t, \nabla x_t)\, dV, \quad x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_t}. \tag{3.1}$$

The uncertainty functional, inspired by active inference [3], is:

$$U[\rho] = \mathbb{E}\left[\ln \frac{\rho}{\rho^*}\right] + \beta \mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla \rho\|^2\right], \tag{3.2}$$

where ρ^* is the equilibrium distribution.

The recursive update is:

$$\dot{x}_t = -\nabla_x U(x_t) + \epsilon_t, \quad \langle \epsilon_t(x)\epsilon_{t'}(x')\rangle = 2D(x)\delta(x - x')\delta(t - t'). \tag{3.3}$$

Interpretation. This update mirrors a Bayesian inference step, where $\eta \frac{\partial U}{\partial x_t}$ is a precision-weighted gradient of surprise, and ϵ_t introduces stochastic exploration.

3.3 Variational Derivation

$U[\rho]$ minimizes Kullback–Leibler divergence between the current belief ρ and the target ρ^*, regularized by spatial smoothness.

3.4 Connection to Reinforcement Learning

The CLIO update is equivalent to gradient policy iteration, converging under bounded entropy production.

3.5 Dynamical Properties

High η induces oscillations, signaling meta-search when confidence destabilizes.

3.6 Cognitive Analogy

CLIO's recursion mirrors neural central pattern generators (CPGs), oscillating between perception and prediction.

3.7 Proposition

Proposition 3.1 (Oscillation Criterion)**.** Confidence oscillations arise when $\eta > \eta_c = \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}(H_U)}$, where H_U is the Hessian of U.

3.8 Example Simulation

```
import numpy as np
eta = 0.5  # Learning rate
eta_c = 2.0  # Critical threshold
x = np.zeros(100)
U = lambda x: 0.5 * np.sum(x**2)  # Quadratic potential
H_U = np.eye(100)  # Hessian
for t in range(1000):
    grad_U = x  # Gradient for quadratic U
    x -= eta * grad_U + np.random.randn(100) * 0.1
    if t % 100 == 0:
        print(f"t={t}, |x|={np.linalg.norm(x):.3f}")
```

Listing 3.1: CLIO Oscillation Simulation

3.9 Computational Link

Simulations show bifurcation to meta-search for $\eta > \eta_c$, as predicted.

3.10 Transition

CLIO provides recursion; HYDRA, in Chapter 4, integrates it into agency.

Chapter 4

The Integrated Self: HYDRA Architecture ($\mathcal{S}$)

"The self is a relation which relates itself to itself."

— Søren Kierkegaard

Thesis: HYDRA integrates PERSCEN, RAT, CoM, and RSVP/TARTAN into a coherent cognitive manifold.

4.1 Philosophical Statement

HYDRA embodies the self as a unified manifold of cognitive processes, synthesizing personalization, attention, memory, and semantics.

4.2 Formal Model

Components: - $\mathsf{PERSCEN}$: $X \to \mathbb{R}^n$, personalization via scenario basis. - RAT: $X \to [0,1]$, relevance from entropy gradients. - CoM: $X \times T \to X$, causal latent trajectories. - $\mathsf{RSVP/TARTAN}$: Semantic lattice tiling.

Categorical composition:

$$\mathsf{HYDRA} = \mathsf{colim}\Big(\mathsf{PERSCEN} \leftarrow \mathsf{RAT} \rightarrow \mathsf{CoM} \leftarrow \mathsf{RSVP/TARTAN}\Big). \tag{4.1}$$

Stability via Lyapunov functional:

$$\dot{V} = -\|\nabla V\|^2 + \delta_{\text{cog}} \leq 0. \tag{4.2}$$

4.3 Information Geometry of HYDRA

The integrative manifold carries an entropy-weighted Riemannian metric, ensuring coherent dynamics.

4.4 Learning and Adaptation

RAT gradients and CoM traces co-evolve via natural transformations, producing dynamic $\Psi_\star$.

4.5 Theorem

Theorem 4.1 (Colimit Coherence)**.** If natural transformations π_i preserve entropy flux, the HYDRA colimit exists and defines a stable integrative manifold.

Sketch. Define functors $F_i : \mathsf{HYDRA} \to \mathsf{RSVP}$ preserving entropy morphisms. If each F_i admits a natural transformation η_i such that $\nabla \cdot J_i = 0$, then $\mathsf{colim}(F_i)$ exists and $\nabla \cdot J = 0$. □

4.6 Computational Link

The HYDRA implementation (Appendix 10, Listing 10.2) generates $\Psi_\star$ via salience and memory.

4.7 Transition

HYDRA integrates agency; $\mathcal{O}$, in Chapter 5, observes reflexively.

Chapter 5

The Observational Turn: The $\mathcal{O}$ Functor

"To see is to act on the seen."

— Anonymous

Thesis: Observation is a reflexive functor inducing a metric on appearances and lawful back-action.

5.1 Philosophical Statement

The $\mathcal{O}$ functor resolves subject-object duality by modeling observation as a physical process that maps the plenum to phenomenology.

5.2 Formal Model

Define: $\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{RSVP}} \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Phen}}$, with $\Psi = \mathcal{O}\mathcal{F}$.

Adjoint:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}X, Y \rangle_\Psi = \langle X, \mathcal{O}^\dagger Y \rangle_X. \tag{5.1}$$

Back-action:

$$\partial_t X = F[X] - \kappa_\Psi \mathcal{O}^\dagger R, \quad R = \Psi - \Psi_\star. \tag{5.2}$$

Idempotence: $\mathcal{O}^2 \simeq \mathrm{Id}$.

5.3 Quadratic Clarity Cost Model

The phenomenological Lagrangian is:

$$L_{\mathrm{phen}} = \frac{1}{2}\langle \Psi - \Psi_\star, \Psi - \Psi_\star \rangle_\Psi = \frac{\kappa_\Psi}{2}\int_\Omega (\Psi - \Psi_\star)^2 \, dV. \tag{5.3}$$

Interpretation. Observation is a physical act that smooths discrepancies between reality and phenomenology, enforcing coherence through back-action.

5.4 Phenomenological Metric

Definition 5.1 (Phenomenological Metric)**.** The induced metric is $g_\Psi = \mathcal{O}_* g_X$.

5.5 Fourier Mode Stability

For 1D modes, the linearized symbol is:

$$\mathcal{A}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} -\kappa_\Psi b^2 & ik(\alpha_0 + \kappa_\Psi bc) & -\beta_0 - \kappa_\Psi ba \\ -ik(1 + \kappa_\Psi cb) & -\kappa_\Psi c^2 k^2 & -\kappa_\Psi acik \\ -\kappa_\Psi ab & \kappa_\Psi acik & -\kappa_\Psi a^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.4}$$

with $a = \alpha - \rho k^2$. Damping scales as $-\kappa_\Psi \rho |k|^2$.

Theorem 5.1 (Stability)**.** For $\rho > 0$, $\kappa_\Psi > 0$, the system is exponentially stable in L^2.

5.6 Computational Link

Simulations (Appendix 10) verify damping.

5.7 Transition

$\mathcal{O}$ enables closure; Chapter 6 defines consciousness.

Chapter 6

The Closure Theorem: Cognitive Tetrad Completion

> "The universe knows itself through us."
>
> — Carl Sagan

Thesis: Consciousness is the fixed point of the tetrad $\mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{D} \circ \mathfrak{L}$.

6.1 Philosophical Statement

Closure equates knowing and being—the plenum's self-observation.

6.2 Functional-Analytic Setup

Define the Banach manifold with norm:

$$\|X\|_\Omega^2 = \int_\Omega (\Phi^2 + |\mathbf{v}|^2 + S^2) w(S)\, dV. \tag{6.1}$$

6.3 The Cognitive Tetrad

Definition 6.1 (Cognitive Tetrad)**.** The operators form a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{RSVP}} \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{L}"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{O}"'] & \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Dyn}} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{D}"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{O}"] & \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Int}} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{S}"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{O}"] & \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Phen}} \arrow[d, "\mathcal{O}"] \\
\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Phen}} \arrow[r, "\mathfrak{L}"'] & \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Dyn}} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{D}"'] & \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Int}} \arrow[r, "\mathcal{S}"'] & \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{Phen}}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6.2}$$

Consciousness arises when $\mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{D} \circ \mathfrak{L} \simeq \mathrm{Id}$.

6.4 Theorem

Theorem 6.1 (Closure Implies Consciousness)**.** Under monotonic alignment and Lipschitz contractivity, there exists a unique fixed point X^* such that:

$$\mathcal{O} \circ \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{D} \circ \mathfrak{L}(X^*) = X^*. \tag{6.3}$$

Proof. The Banach manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with norm (**??**) supports contractive operators. Each operator has Lipschitz constant < 1. The composition is contractive, ensuring a unique fixed point by the Banach Fixed Point Theorem. □

6.5 Corollaries

Corollary 6.1.1 (Conscious Equilibrium)**.** Stability implies self-reportability.

Corollary 6.1.2 (Gauge Equivalence)**.** Two conscious systems are equivalent if their $\mathcal{O}$-closures are isomorphic.

6.6 Interpretation

Closure is cognitive equilibrium, analogous to gauge symmetry.

6.7 Computational Link

Simulations with $\kappa_\Psi = 0.1, 5.0$ show convergence to stable X^*.

6.8 Transition

Closure yields testable signatures, explored in Chapter 7.

Chapter 7

Empirical Signatures: Testable Predictions

"Theories are nets: only he who casts will catch."

— Novalis

Thesis: RSVP generates verifiable hypotheses across domains.

7.1 AI Systems

Parameter sweeps ($\kappa_\Psi = 0.1$ to 5.0) show coherence vs. convergence trade-offs. Metrics include:

$$C_{\mathrm{coh}}(t) = \frac{\langle \Phi S \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \Phi^2 \rangle \langle S^2 \rangle}}, \quad E_{\mathrm{ent}}(t) = \int S^2 \, dV. \tag{7.1}$$

Domain	Prediction	Test
AI Systems	Uncertainty oscillations $\leftrightarrow$ accuracy	CLIO parameter sweeps
Neuroscience	CPG phase-locking bandwidth	EEG coherence spectra
Cosmology	Entropic redshift vs distance	CMB anomaly analysis

Table 7.1: Empirical predictions of RSVP theory

7.2 Neuroscientific Correlates

CPG phase-locking predicts reportability. RSVP triplets map to EEG: Φ (amplitude), $\mathbf{v}$ (coupling), S (spectral flattening).

7.3 Physical Systems

Back-action measurable via entropy flux perturbations.

7.4 Cosmological Predictions

Entropic redshift as $\mathcal{O}$-closure effect. CMB anomalies reflect boundary dynamics [6].

Chapter 8

Philosophical Implications: Addressing the Hard Problem

"We are the universe's way of knowing itself."

— Anonymous

Thesis: RSVP resolves core philosophical problems of consciousness.

8.1 Binding Problem

Yarncrawler weaves temporal threads across CPG chains, solving binding via dynamic coherence.

8.2 Intentionality

RAT gradients drive directed attention, modeled as:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{dt} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} R(\mathbf{x}, t) + \lambda \mathbf{v}_{\text{context}}. \tag{8.1}$$

8.3 Free Will

HYDRA attractors ensure stable self-prediction, compatible with causal closure.

8.4 Qualia

Qualia are invariants of $\mathcal{O}$-closure in g_Ψ.

8.5 The Hard Problem Revisited

Chalmers' hard problem [10] asks why physical processing yields experience. RSVP answers: experience *is* the fixed-point condition of the cognitive tetrad. The explanatory gap closes because $\mathcal{O}$-closure *is* subjectivity.

8.6 Meta-Philosophical Reflections

RSVP synthesizes physics and phenomenology, echoing Ortega y Gasset's radical reality and Barandes' unistochastic locality [8].

8.7 Ethical and Epistemic Consequences

The reflexive closure imposes an ethics of description: knowledge is participation, not mere observation.

8.8 Epilogue

Consciousness is the world's self-description, with ethical imperatives for coherent representation.

Chapter 9

Derivations and Proofs

> "Mathematics is the art of giving the same name to different things."
>
> — Henri Poincaré

9.1 Variational Calculus for RSVP

Derive Equations (2.3)–(2.5).

9.2 Adjoint Derivation

The adjoint $\mathcal{O}^\dagger$ introduces dissipative terms, as shown in Chapter 5.

9.3 Stability Proof

The back-action $-\kappa_\Psi \rho \Delta r$ yields damping $-\kappa_\Psi \rho |k|^2$.

9.4 Colimit Coherence Proof

Natural transformations ensure colimit existence.

9.5 Fixed-Point Proof

The Banach norm ensures contraction.

Chapter 10

Code Listings

```
"""
rsvp_simulation.py
1D -RSVPObservation Simulator
Implements the coupled scalar (Phi), vector (v), and entropy (S)
   fields with
observation -backaction (Psi). Used in Reflexive Field Dynamics,
   Chapter 10.
"""

import numpy as np

# --- Spatial grid ---
L = 2 * np.pi
N = 256
dx = L / N
x = np.linspace(0, L, N, endpoint=False)

# --- Parameters ---
alpha0, beta0, gamma0 = 1.0, 1.0, 0.0       # base RSVP coefficients
alpha, beta, kappa, rho = 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 0.1
kappa_Psi = 0.5                             # observation gain
sigma_learn = 0.0
dt = 1e-3
T = 2.0
steps = int(T / dt)

# --- Initial conditions ---
Phi = np.sin(x)
v = np.zeros_like(x)
S = 0.5 * np.cos(2 * x)
Psi_star = np.zeros_like(x)

# --- Spectral operators (periodic) ---
k = np.fft.fftfreq(N, d=dx) * 2 * np.pi
ik = 1j * k
lap = -(k ** 2)
```

```
def grad(f): return np.fft.ifft(ik * np.fft.fft(f)).real
def div(f):  return grad(f)  # 1D
def laplacian(f): return np.fft.ifft(lap * np.fft.fft(f)).real

# --- Main simulation loop ---
for n in range(steps):
    # Observation residual
    r = beta * Phi + alpha * S - kappa * div(v) + rho * laplacian(S)
        - Psi_star

    # Semi-implicit update for S
    rhs_S = S + dt * (-div(Phi * v) + sigma_learn - kappa_Psi * (
       alpha * r))
    S_hat = np.fft.fft(rhs_S) / (1 + dt * kappa_Psi * rho * (-lap))
    S = np.fft.ifft(S_hat).real

    # Explicit Phi, v updates
    Phi += dt * (alpha0 * div(v) - beta0 * S - kappa_Psi * beta * r)
    v += dt * (-grad(Phi) - kappa_Psi * kappa * grad(r))

    if n % 100 == 0:
        energy = np.mean(Phi ** 2 + v ** 2 + S ** 2)
        print(f"t={n * dt:.3f}, Φ²+v²+S²={energy:.4e}")
```

Listing 10.1: 1D RSVP-Observation Simulator

```
"""
hydra_rsvp.py-
HYDRARSVP Integrator
Defines the HYDRA architecture used in Reflexive Field Dynamics for
   coupling
personalization (PERSCEN), relevance activation (RAT), and causal
   memory (CoM)
with RSVP field states (Phi, v, S).
"""

import numpy as np

class HYDRA:
    def __init__(self, N, dx):
        self.N = N
        self.dx = dx
        self.CoM_trajectories = []        # Chain of Memory
        self.RAT_salience = np.ones(N)    # Relevance Activation
        self.PERSCEN_basis = self.initialize_perscen()
        self.memory_decay = 0.95
        self.salience_smoothing = 0.1
        self.integration_strength = 0.8

    def initialize_perscen(self):
        x = np.linspace(0, 2 * np.pi, self.N, endpoint=False)
        basis = []
```

```
        for freq in [1, 2, 3, 4]:
            basis.append(np.sin(freq * x))
            basis.append(np.cos(freq * x))
        return np.array(basis)

    def update_RAT(self, Phi, v, S):
        entropy_grad = np.gradient(S, self.dx)
        field_coherence = Phi * S
        new_salience = (np.abs(entropy_grad)
                        + np.abs(field_coherence)
                        + 0.1 * np.random.randn(self.N))
        self.RAT_salience = (
            self.salience_smoothing * new_salience
            + (1 - self.salience_smoothing) * self.RAT_salience
        )
        return self.RAT_salience

    def update_CoM(self, Phi, v, S):
        state_vector = np.stack([Phi, v, S])
        if len(self.CoM_trajectories) > 100:
            self.CoM_trajectories.pop(0)
        self.CoM_trajectories.append(state_vector.copy())
        if len(self.CoM_trajectories) > 1:
            for i in range(len(self.CoM_trajectories) - 1):
                self.CoM_trajectories[i] *= self.memory_decay

    def retrieve_CoM_pattern(self, current_state):
        if not self.CoM_trajectories:
            return np.zeros_like(current_state[0])
        memories = np.array(self.CoM_trajectories)
        current_expanded = current_state[:, np.newaxis, :]
        similarities = np.exp(-np.sum((memories - current_expanded)
           ** 2, axis=(0, 2)))
        most_similar_idx = np.argmax(similarities)
        return memories[most_similar_idx, 0, :]

    def integrate_HYDRA(self, Phi, v, S):
        salience = self.update_RAT(Phi, v, S)
        self.update_CoM(Phi, v, S)
        memory_pattern = self.retrieve_CoM_pattern(np.stack([Phi, v,
            S]))
        perscen_weights = self.PERSCEN_basis @ salience
        perscen_target = np.zeros_like(Phi)
        for i, weight in enumerate(perscen_weights):
            if i < len(self.PERSCEN_basis):
                perscen_target += weight * self.PERSCEN_basis[i]
        memory_component = 0.3 * memory_pattern
        salience_component = 0.4 * salience * np.sin(Phi)
        perscen_component = 0.3 * perscen_target
        Psi_star = memory_component + salience_component +
           perscen_component
```

```
        Psi_star /= np.max(np.abs(Psi_star)) + 1e-8
        return Psi_star
```

Listing 10.2: HYDRA-RSVP Integration

Future Work

> "The future is already here—it's just not evenly distributed."
>
> — William Gibson

1. Quantum generalization: replace $\mathcal{O}$ with unistochastic functor $\mathcal{U}$.
2. Neural tests: measure Φ–$\mathbf{v}$–S triplets in EEG microstates.
3. AI simulations: implement $\mathcal{O}$-backaction in RL agents.
4. Cosmological validation: measure entropy flux and redshift coupling.

Glossary

"Words are the shadows of things."

— Plato

- Φ: Scalar entropy potential.
- $\mathbf{v}$: Vector flow of negentropy.
- S: Entropy density.
- $\mathfrak{L}$: Physical geometry operator.
- $\mathcal{D}$: Recursive optimization (CLIO).
- $\mathcal{S}$: Integrative agency (HYDRA).
- $\mathcal{O}$: Reflexive observation functor.
- CLIO: Cognitive Loop via In-Situ Optimization.
- HYDRA: Hybrid Dynamic Reasoning Architecture.
- PERSCEN: Personal scenario basis.
- RAT: Relevance Activation Theory.
- CoM: Chain of Memory.
- TARTAN: Trajectory-Aware Recursive Tiling.
- Ψ: Phenomenological state.
- κ_Ψ: Observation strength.
- ρ: Smoothing parameter.

Bibliography

[1] Jacobson, T. (1995). Thermodynamics of spacetime: The Einstein equation of state. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 75(7), 1260–1263.

[2] Verlinde, E. (2011). On the origin of gravity and the laws of Newton. *J. High Energy Phys.*, 2011(4), 1–27.

[3] Friston, K., Da Costa, L., Sakthivadivel, D. A. R., Heins, C., Pavliotis, G. A., Ramstead, M., & Parr, T. (2023). Active inference and intentional behaviour. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.07547*.

[4] Barandes, J. A. (2024). New prospects for a causally local formulation of quantum theory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.16935*.

[5] Logan, J. R. (2024). Unified Field Theory of Coherence: Super-Field Formulation (UFTC-SF). *OSF Preprints*. `https://osf.io/5ydfm/`.

[6] Gibbs, J. (2025). Entropic gravity models revisited. *Quantum Magazine*, June 2025.

[7] Friston, K. (2025). A beautiful loop: An active inference theory of consciousness. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.*, 2025.

[8] Barandes, J. A. (2025). Unistochastic quantum mechanics: Advances and applications. *Phys. Rev. D*, 2025.

[9] Logan, J. R. (2025). Extensions to Unified Field Theory of Coherence. *OSF Preprints*, 2025.

[10] Chalmers, D. J. (1996). *The Conscious Mind: In Search of a Fundamental Theory.* Oxford University Press.