

Lecture 11: Power rankings

Skidmore College, MA 251

Goals

- ▶ Power rankings in sports
- ▶ Models for paired comparisons
- ▶ Tools: Elo, Bradley-Terry

Set-up:

Let's rank NBA Western Conference teams at the end of the 2019 regular season. Judging criteria: Who would win a game played tomorrow on a neutral site?

Rank	Team
1	Golden State
2	Houston
3	OKC? Utah?

Paired comparisons

In a league with n_t number of teams, there are $n_t!$ possible allocations of power rankings. Ultimately, each rank comes down to a set of decisions called **paired comparisons**, where each player or team is compared to another player or team.

Ex: If $P(OKC > Utah) > 0.5$, then OKC ranks 3 (assuming OKC also better than all other teams besides Golden State, Houston.)

Note: $P(OKC > Utah) > 0.5$ is the probability of Oklahoma City beating Utah

What assumptions are we making in doing several paired comparisons?

Idea of paired comparisons

One participant versus another (see more here):

1. Players, teams in sport
2. Consumer products in market research
3. Images in psychology

Most common paired comparison model: **Bradley-Terry (BTM)**

Notation, BTM

- ▶ Players (or teams) i and j
- ▶ Assume $P(i \text{ beats } j) = \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_i + \alpha_j}$
- ▶ α_i and α_j reflect player **abilities** for i and j , respectively
 - ▶ $\alpha_i > 0$ and $\alpha_j > 0$
- ▶ Odds (i beats j) = $\frac{P(i \text{ beats } j)}{P(j \text{ beats } i)} = \frac{\alpha_i / (\alpha_i + \alpha_j)}{\alpha_j / (\alpha_i + \alpha_j)} = \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_j}$

Example, BTM:

Rank	Team	α
1	Golden State	5.3
2	Houston	4.7
3	OKC	2.9

Estimate

1. $P(\text{Golden State} > \text{OKC})$
2. $\text{Odds}(\text{Golden State} > \text{OKC})$
3. $P(\text{Houston} > \text{Golden State})$
4. $\text{Odds}(\text{Houston} > \text{Golden State})$

Notation, BTM

- ▶ $\text{logit}(P(i \text{ beats } j)) = \log(\text{Odds } (i \text{ beats } j)) = \log(\alpha_i) - \log(\alpha_j) = \lambda_i - \lambda_j$
 - ▶ $\lambda_i = \log(\alpha_i)$ for all i
 - ▶ $\alpha_i = e^{\lambda_i}$ for all i

Example, BTM:

Rank	Team	λ
1	Skidmore	1.2
2	Vassar	0
3	RIT	-0.9

Questions

1. $P(\text{Skidmore} > \text{RIT})$
2. What does it mean to have a λ of 0?

How to find BTM parameter estimates?

```
library(BradleyTerry2); library(tidyverse)
data("baseball", package = "BradleyTerry2")
head(baseball)
```

```
##   home.team away.team home.wins away.wins
## 1 Milwaukee  Detroit         4         3
## 2 Milwaukee  Toronto         4         2
## 3 Milwaukee  New York         4         3
## 4 Milwaukee   Boston         6         1
## 5 Milwaukee Cleveland        4         2
## 6 Milwaukee Baltimore        6         0
```

The model

```
baseballModel1 <- BTm(cbind(home.wins, away.wins), home.team, away.team,  
  data = baseball, id = "team")  
library(broom)  
tidy(baseballModel1)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 5  
##   term          estimate std.error statistic    p.value  
##   <chr>         <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>  
## 1 teamBoston     1.11     0.334     3.32 0.000908  
## 2 teamCleveland  0.684     0.332     2.06 0.0393  
## 3 teamDetroit    1.44     0.340     4.23 0.0000234  
## 4 teamMilwaukee  1.58     0.343     4.61 0.00000409  
## 5 teamNew York   1.25     0.336     3.71 0.000203  
## 6 teamToronto    1.29     0.337     3.84 0.000121
```

Where's Baltimore?

Next steps

```
BTabilities(baseballModel1)
```

##		ability	s.e.
##	Baltimore	0.0000000	0.0000000
##	Boston	1.1076977	0.3338779
##	Cleveland	0.6838528	0.3318764
##	Detroit	1.4364084	0.3395682
##	Milwaukee	1.5813559	0.3432557
##	New York	1.2476178	0.3358606
##	Toronto	1.2944851	0.3366691

Next steps

```
exp(BTabilities(baseballModel1))
```

##		ability	s.e.
##	Baltimore	1.000000	1.000000
##	Boston	3.027380	1.396373
##	Cleveland	1.981497	1.393581
##	Detroit	4.205564	1.404341
##	Milwaukee	4.861543	1.409529
##	New York	3.482038	1.399144
##	Toronto	3.649117	1.400276

Find estimated probability that (i) Boston defeats Cleveland and (ii) Baltimore defeats Boston

Home field advantage

```
baseball$home.team <- data.frame(team = baseball$home.team, at.home = 1)
baseball$away.team <- data.frame(team = baseball$away.team, at.home = 0)
baseballModel2 <- update(baseballModel1, formula = ~ team + at.home)
tidy(baseballModel2)
```

```
## # A tibble: 7 x 5
##   term          estimate std.error statistic    p.value
##   <chr>          <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>
## 1 teamBoston      1.14      0.338      3.39 0.000710
## 2 teamCleveland   0.705     0.335      2.10 0.0354
## 3 teamDetroit     1.48      0.345      4.28 0.0000185
## 4 teamMilwaukee   1.62      0.347      4.66 0.00000313
## 5 teamNew York    1.28      0.340      3.76 0.000167
## 6 teamToronto     1.33      0.340      3.90 0.0000964
## 7 at.home         0.302     0.131      2.31 0.0210
```

Compare the fit of these two models:

```
AIC(baseballModel1)
```

```
## [1] 140.5186
```

```
AIC(baseballModel2)
```

```
## [1] 137.108
```

```
exp(baseballModel2$coeff)
```

```
##      teamBoston teamCleveland teamDetroit teamMilwaukee teamNew York
##      3.138681    2.023228      4.372597    5.050842      3.601464
##      teamToronto      at.home
##      3.770133      1.352914
```

Interpret the effect of HFA in baseball.

Challenges and final thoughts

- ▶ Importance of data formatting for BTM
- ▶ Links to Elo
 - ▶ $\alpha_i = e^{S_i/k}$
 - ▶ k a sport-specific scale factor
 - ▶ S_i another team-level skill factor
 - ▶ Iterative process (can update after a game)
- ▶ Similarity to other systems (log 5, item-response)

Fun

```
df.predict <- data.frame(exp(BTabilities(baseballModel2)))
df.predict$Team <- rownames(df.predict)
boston_probs <- df.predict %>%
  filter(Team != "Boston") %>%
  mutate(p_boston = exp(3.03)/(exp(3.03) + exp(ability)))

p <- ggplot(boston_probs, aes(x = Team, y = p_boston)) +
  geom_col() +
  ylab("Probability") + theme_bw() +
  geom_hline(aes(yintercept = 0.5), color = "red") +
  ggtitle("Probability of Boston Beating Other Opponents")
```

Fun

