

Homework 8

APPM 5650 Fall 2021

Randomized Algorithms

Due date: Monday, Oct 18 2021
Theme: Entry-wise sampling

Instructor: Prof. Becker
Revision date: 10/11/2021

Instructions Collaboration with your fellow students is allowed and in fact recommended, although direct copying is not allowed. Please write down the names of the students that you worked with. The internet is allowed for basic tasks only, not for directly looking for solutions.

An arbitrary subset of these questions will be graded.

In this homework, we will consider an entry-wise sampling scheme. For a given $m \times n$ target matrix X , consider a sparse matrix \hat{X} where each entry of \hat{X} is chosen independently, and

$$\hat{X}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} X_{i,j}/p_{i,j} & \text{with probability } p_{i,j} \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - p_{i,j} \end{cases} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, M, j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (1)$$

We will use X to be the matrix from the MNIST data we used previously, so X is a 784×3000 matrix.

Problem 1: [READING] Skim the paper “[Matrix Entry-wise Sampling: Simple is Best](#)” (Achlioptas, Karnin, and Liberty, KDD 2013). Note: the paper has a good intro but is a bit rough (it promises an “extended version” which never appeared; this extended version might have turned into “[Near-Optimal Entrywise Sampling for Data Matrices](#)” aka “Near-optimal Distributions for Data Matrix Sampling”, by the same 3 authors, in NIPS 2013, which is a bit more readable).

Compute the quantities involved in equation (9) of that paper for the matrix X from the MNIST data mentioned above.

Deliverable: the left-hand and right-side quantities in equation (9) of the paper (applied to the MNIST matrix X), and a sentence describing if you expect ℓ_1 or ℓ_2 sampling to do better (based on equation (9)).

Problem 2: [MATH] For \hat{X} sampled according to the above scheme in Eq. (1),

- Prove $\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}] = X$
- Calculate $\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}\hat{X}^T]$, and discuss how we could make an unbiased estimator of XX^T . (This would be useful for estimating covariance matrices).

Problem 3: [CODING]

Sample \hat{X} as discussed above, according to two schemes:

- ℓ_1 sampling: take $p_{i,j} \propto |X_{i,j}|$, e.g., $p_{i,j} = \min\left(1, c|X_{i,j}|/(\sum_{i',j'} |X_{i',j'}|)\right)$ and adjust the constant c to vary the expected number of sampled entries.
- ℓ_2 sampling: take $p_{i,j} \propto X_{i,j}^2$, and adjust a constant as in part (a)

Deliverable: for several sparsities (i.e., different values of c), record the error (in either spectral or Frobenius norm) of $\|X - \hat{X}\|/\|X\|$ for both ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 sampling schemes, and make a plot of sparsity vs. error. Discuss if the results agree with your prediction based on Problem 1. Ensure that your sparsity levels are in reasonable ranges (like between 0% and 100%).

Hint: to make sure your code is correct, you might want to run some experiments to verify $\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}] = X$, e.g., by looking at $\|1/N \sum_{k=1}^N \hat{X}_k - X\|$ for independent draws \hat{X}_k , and plotting this error on a log-log plot to make sure it decays to 0.

Bonus (not required, only for fun): try ℓ_2 sampling with a truncation scheme for small entries. Does this help? Or, try ℓ_1 or ℓ_2 sampling where the normalization is done per row or per column. Does this improve overall errors vs. compression?

Double bonus (not required, only for fun): under either sampling scheme, can you make an estimator that is an unbiased estimator of the covariance $\frac{1}{n}XX^T$? You may need to record a little more information than just \hat{X} .