Reinforcement Learning

Mark Craven and David Page Computer Sciences 760 Spring 2019

Goals for the lecture

you should understand the following concepts

- the reinforcement learning task
- Markov decision process (MDP)
- value functions
- value iteration
- Q functions
- Q learning
- exploration vs. exploitation tradeoff
- compact representations of Q functions


Reinforcement learning (RL)

Task of an agent embedded in an environment

repeat forever

- 1) sense world
- 2) reason
- 3) choose an action to perform
- 4) get feedback (usually reward = 0)
- 5) learn

the environment may be the physical world or an artificial one








Example: RL Backgammon Player


[Tesauro, CACM 1995]

- world
 - 30 pieces, 24 locations
- actions
 - roll dice, e.g. 2, 5
 - move one piece 2
 - move one piece 5
- rewards
 - win, lose
- TD-Gammon 0.0
 - trained against itself (300,000 games)
 - as good as best previous BG computer program (also by Tesauro)
- TD-Gammon 2
 - beat human champion



Reinforcement learning

- set of states S
- set of actions A
- at each time t, agent observes state $s_t \in S$ then chooses action $a_t \in A$
- then receives reward r_t and changes to state s_{t+1}



$$s_0 \xrightarrow{a_0} s_1 \xrightarrow{a_1} s_2 \xrightarrow{a_2} r_2$$


Reinforcement learning as a Markov decision process (MDP)

Markov assumption

$$P(s_{t+1} | s_t, a_t, s_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, ...) = P(s_{t+1} | s_t, a_t)$$

also assume reward is Markovian

$$P(r_t \mid s_t, a_t, s_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, \ldots) = P(r_t \mid s_t, a_t)$$



$$s_0 \xrightarrow{a_0} s_1 \xrightarrow{a_1} s_2 \xrightarrow{a_2} r_2$$


Goal: learn a policy $\pi: S \to A$ for choosing actions that maximizes

$$E\left[r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \ldots\right]$$
 where $0 \le \gamma < 1$

for every possible starting state s_0

Reinforcement learning task

• Suppose we want to learn a control policy $\pi: S \to A$ that maximizes $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t E[r_t]$ from every state $s \in S$



each arrow represents an action a and the associated number represents deterministic reward r(s, a)

Value function for a policy

given a policy $\pi: S \to A$ define

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} E[r_{t}]$$

assuming action sequence chosen $V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} E[r_{t}]$ assuming action sequence chosen according to π starting at state s


we want the optimal policy π^* where

$$\pi^* = \arg\max_{\pi} V^{\pi}(s)$$
 for all s

we'll denote the value function for this optimal policy as $V^*(s)$

Value function for a policy π


• Suppose π is shown by red arrows, $\gamma = 0.9$



 $V^{\pi}(s)$ values are shown in red

Value function for an optimal policy π^*

• Suppose π^* is shown by red arrows, $\gamma = 0.9$



 $V^*(s)$ values are shown in red

Using a value function

If we knew $r(s_t, a)$, $P(s_t \mid s_{t-1}, a_{t-1})$, and $V^*(s)$, we could compute $\pi^*(s)$

$$\pi^*(s_t) = \underset{a \in A}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \left[r(s_t, a) + \gamma \sum_{s \in S} P(s_{t+1} = s \mid s_t, a) V^*(s) \right]$$

Value iteration for learning $V^*(s)$

```
initialize V(s) arbitrarily
loop until policy good enough
     loop for s \in S
                                                       Think of Q as a "quality"
                                                       estimate for "a from s"
          loop for a \in A
               Q(s,a) \leftarrow r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P(s' \mid s,a) V(s')
          V(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} Q(s,a)
```

Value iteration for learning $V^*(s)$

- V(s) converges to $V^*(s)$
- works even if we randomly traverse environment instead of looping through each state and action methodically
 - but we must visit each state infinitely often
- implication: we can do online learning as an agent roams around its environment
- assumes we have a model of the world: i.e. know $P(s_t \mid s_{t-1}, a_{t-1})$
- What if we don't?

Q learning

define a new function, closely related to V^*

$$V^{*}(s) = E[r(s, \pi^{*}(s))] + \gamma E_{s'+s, \pi^{*}(s)}[V^{*}(s')]$$


$$Q(s,a) = E[r(s, a)] + \gamma E_{s' \mid s, a}[V^*(s')]$$

if agent knows Q(s, a), it can choose optimal action without knowing $P(s' \mid s, a)$


$$\pi^*(s) = \underset{a}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} Q(s, a) \qquad V^*(s) = \underset{a}{\operatorname{max}} Q(s, a)$$

and it can learn Q(s, a) without knowing $P(s' \mid s, a)$


Q values



r(s, a) (immediate reward) values



Q(s, a) values



 $V^*(s)$ values

Q learning for deterministic worlds


```
for each s, a initialize table entry \hat{Q}(s,a) \leftarrow 0 observe current state s do forever
```

select an action a and execute it receive immediate reward r observe the new state s' update table entry

$$\hat{Q}(s,a) \leftarrow r + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q}(s',a')$$

$$s \leftarrow s'$$

Updating Q



$$\hat{Q}(s_1, a_{right}) \leftarrow r + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q}(s_2, a')$$

$$\leftarrow 0 + 0.9 \max_{a'} \left\{ 63, 81, 100 \right\}$$

$$\leftarrow 90$$

Q learning for nondeterministic worlds

for each s, a initialize table entry $\hat{Q}(s,a) \leftarrow 0$ observe current state s do forever

select an action a and execute it receive immediate reward r observe the new state s' update table entry

$$\hat{Q}_n(s,a) \leftarrow (1-\alpha_n)\hat{Q}_{n-1}(s,a) + \alpha_n \left[r + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q}_{n-1}(s',a') \right]$$

$$s \leftarrow s'$$

where α_n is a parameter dependent on the number of visits to the given (s, a) pair


$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{1 + \mathsf{visits}_n(s, a)}$$

Convergence of Q learning

- Q learning will converge to the correct Q function
 - in the deterministic case
 - in the nondeterministic case (using the update rule just presented)

in practice it is likely to take many, many iterations

Q's vs. V's



- Which action do we choose when we're in a given state?
- *V*'s (model-based)
 - need to have a 'next state' function to generate all possible states
 - choose next state with highest V value.
- *Q*'s (model-free)
 - need only know which actions are legal
 - generally choose next state with highest Q value.

Exploration vs. Exploitation


- in order to learn about better alternatives, we shouldn't always follow the current policy (exploitation)
- sometimes, we should select random actions (exploration)
- one way to do this: select actions probabilistically according to:

$$P(a_i \mid s) = \frac{c^{\hat{Q}(s, a_i)}}{\sum_{i} c^{\hat{Q}(s, a_j)}}$$

where c > 0 is a constant that determines how strongly selection favors actions with higher Q values


Q learning with a table

As described so far, Q learning entails filling in a huge table



Representing *Q* functions more compactly

We can use some other function representation (e.g. a neural net) to <u>compactly</u> encode a substitute for the big table



each input unit encodes a property of the state (e.g., a sensor value) or could have <u>one net</u> for <u>each</u> possible action

Why use a compact *Q* function?

- 1. Full *Q* table may not fit in memory for realistic problems
- 2. Can generalize across states, thereby speeding up convergence
 - i.e. one instance 'fills' many cells in the Q table

Notes

- 1. When generalizing across states, cannot use $\alpha=1$
- 2. Convergence proofs only apply to *Q* tables
- 3. Some work on bounding errors caused by using compact representations (e.g. Singh & Yee, *Machine Learning* 1994)

Q tables vs. Q nets

Given: 100 Boolean-valued features
10 possible actions

Size of Q table 10×2^{100} entries

```
Size of Q net (assume 100 hidden units)

100 \times 100 + 100 \times 10 = 11,000 \text{ weights}
weights between inputs and HU's HU's and outputs
```

Representing *Q* functions more compactly

we can use other regression methods to represent Q functions k-NN
 regression trees
 support vector regression
 etc.

Q learning with function approximation

- 1. measure sensors, sense state s_0
- 2. predict $\hat{Q}_n(s_0,a)$ for each action a
- 3. select action a to take (with randomization to ensure exploration)
- 4. apply action a in the real world
- 5. sense new state s_i and immediate reward r
- 6. calculate action a' that maximizes $\hat{Q}_n(s_1, a')$
- 7. train with new instance

$$x = s_0$$

$$y = (1 - \alpha)\hat{Q}(s_0, a) + \alpha \left[r + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q}(s_1, a') \right]$$

Calculate Q-value you would have put into Q-table, and use it as the training label