Distributed Learning: Risk Bound and Algorithm

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation Address email

Abstract

Preliminaries

We consider the supervised learning where a learning algorithm receives a sample of N labeled points

$$S = \{z_i = (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N \in (\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})^N,$$

- where \mathcal{X} denotes the input space and \mathcal{Y} denotes the output space. We assume \mathcal{S} is drawn identically
- and independently from a fixed, but unknown probability distribution \mathbb{P} on $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$. The goal is
- to learn a good prediction model $f \in \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$, whose prediction accuracy at instance $z = (\mathbf{x}, y)$
- is measured by a loss function $\ell(f, z)$.
- In this paper, we focus on the supervised learning over the some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} :

$$\hat{f} = \underset{f \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \, \hat{R}(f) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ell(f, z_i) + r(f) \tag{1}$$

- where $\ell(f,z)$ is the loss function, and r(f) is a regularizer.
- The expect of \hat{R} is defined as

$$R(f) = \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathbb{P}}[\ell(f, z)] + r(f). \tag{2}$$

- Let $f^* = \arg\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} R(f)$, and $R_* = R(f^*)$.
- In the distributed setting, we divide evenly amongst m processors or inference procedures. Let $S_i, i \in (1, 2, \dots, m)$, denote a subsampled dataset of size $n = \frac{N}{m}$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, the

$$\hat{f}_i = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \hat{R}_i(f) = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{z_i \in \mathcal{S}_i} \ell(f, z_j) + r(f). \right\}$$

The average local estimates is denote as

$$\bar{f} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \hat{f}_i.$$

In the next, we will estimate the discrepancy of $R(\bar{f})$ and $R(f^*)$.

Faster Rates of Distributed Learning

2.1 Assumptions

In the following, we use $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ to denote the norm induced by inner product of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Submitted to 32nd Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS 2018). Do not distribute.

Assumption 1. The function $\nu(f,z) = \ell(f,z) + r(f)$ is η-strongly convex and β-smooth with respect to the first variable f, that is $\forall f, f' \in \mathcal{H}$, $z \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$\langle \nabla \nu(f,z), f - f' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{\eta}{2} \|f - f'\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le \nu(f,z) - \nu(f',z), \tag{3}$$

$$\|\nabla \nu(f, z) - \nabla \nu(f', z)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le \beta \|f - f'\|_{\mathcal{H}}.\tag{4}$$

- 22 The above assumptions allow us to model many popular losses, such as square loss and logistic loss,
- and the regularizer, $r(f) = \lambda ||f||_{\mathcal{H}}^2$.
- Assumption 2. Let $f_* = \arg\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} R(f)$. We assume that the gradient at f_* is upper bounded by
- 25 M, that is

$$\|\nabla \ell(f^*, z)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le M, \forall z \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

The above assumption is a common assumption can be seen in [4, 2].

27 3 Faster Rates of Distributed Learning

- **Theorem 1.** For any $0 < \delta < 1$, ϵ , the cover number of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is defined as $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)$.
- 29 Under Assumptions 1 and 2, and if

$$m \le \frac{N\eta}{4\beta \log \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)},\tag{5}$$

with probability at least $1 - \delta$, we have

$$R(\bar{f}) - R(f_*) \le \frac{16\beta \log(4m/\delta)}{n^2 \eta} + \frac{128\beta R_* \log(4m/\delta)}{n\eta} + \frac{32\beta^2 \epsilon^2}{\eta} + \frac{64\beta L \log\left(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)\right) \epsilon}{n\eta}$$
$$\frac{64\beta \log^2\left(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)\right) \epsilon^2}{n^2 \eta} - \Delta(\bar{f}),$$

(6)

- 31 where $R_* = R(f^*)$, $\Delta_{ar{f}} = rac{\eta}{4m^2} \sum_{i,j=1, i
 eq j}^m \|\hat{f}_i \hat{f}_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$
- 32 By choosing ϵ small enough,

$$\frac{32\beta^{2}\epsilon^{2}}{\eta} + \frac{64\beta L \log \left(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H},\epsilon)\right)\epsilon}{n\eta} + \frac{64\beta \log^{2}\left(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H},\epsilon)\right)\epsilon^{2}}{n^{2}\eta}$$

- will becomes non-dominating. To be specific, we have the following corollary:
- Corollary 1. By setting $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ in Theorem 1, with high probability, we have

$$R(\bar{f}) - R(f_*) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{R_* \log(m)}{n} + \frac{\log(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \frac{1}{n}))}{n^2} - \Delta(\bar{f})\right).$$

5 3.1 Linear Hypothesis Space

36 If we consider the linear hypothesis space, that is

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ f = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \le B \right\}.$$

According to the [1], the cover number of linear hypothesis space can be bounded:

$$\log \left(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, 1/n) \right) \le d \log \left(6Bn \right).$$

38 Thus, from Corollary 1, we have

$$R(\bar{f}) - R(f_*) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{R_* \log m}{n} + \frac{d \log n}{n^2} - \Delta(\bar{f})\right)$$

When the minimal risk is small, i.e., $R_* = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)$, the rate is improved to

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{d\log(mn)}{n^2} - \Delta(\bar{f})\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{d\log N}{n^2} - \Delta(\bar{f})\right).$$

Therefore, if $m \le \sqrt{\frac{N}{d \log N}}$, we have

$$R(\bar{f}) - R(f_*) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N} - \Delta(\bar{f})\right).$$

41 3.2 Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space

- The reproducing kernel Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_K associated with the kernel K is defined to be the closure of
- the linear span of the set of functions $\{K(\mathbf{x},\cdot):\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}\}$ with the inner product satisfying

$$\langle K(\mathbf{x},\cdot), f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_K} = f(\mathbf{x}), \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}, f \in \mathcal{H}_K.$$

In this subsection, we consider hypothesis space as the reproducing kernel Hilbert space,

$$\mathcal{H} := \{ f \in \mathcal{H}_K : ||f||_{\mathcal{H}_K} \le B \}.$$

45 From [5], if the Mercer kernel

$$K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = k(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'), k(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}{\sigma^2}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in [0, 1]^d,\right\},$$

then for $0 \le \epsilon \le B/2$, there holds:

$$\log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, 1/n)) = \mathcal{O}\left(\log^d(nB)\right)$$

47 According to Corollary 1, we can obtain that

$$R(\bar{f}) - R(f_*) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{R_* \log m}{n} + \frac{\log^d n}{n^2} - \Delta(\bar{f})\right).$$

When the minimal risk R_* is small, $R_* = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log^{(d-1)} n}{n}\right)$, if $m \leq n$, we have

$$R(\bar{f}) - R(f_*) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\log^d n}{n^2} - \Delta(\bar{f})\right)$$

Therefore, if $m \leq \sqrt{\frac{N}{\log^2 n}}$, we have

$$R(f) - R(f_*) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N} - \Delta(\bar{f})\right)$$

50 3.3 Comparison with Related Work

51 Under the smooth, strongly convex and other some common assumption, [4] shows that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\bar{f} - f_*\|^2\right] = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N} + \frac{\log d}{n^2}\right). \tag{7}$$

If $\nu(f,z)$ is L-Lipschitz continuous over f, that is

$$\forall f, f \in \mathcal{H}, z \in \mathcal{Z}, |\nu(f, z) - \nu(f', z)| \le L ||f - f'||_{\mathcal{H}},$$

53 it is easy to verity that

$$R(f) - R(f_*) \le L\mathbb{E}\left[\|\bar{f} - f_*\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right] \le L\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[\|\bar{f} - f_*\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2\right]}$$
$$= \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} + \frac{\sqrt{\log d}}{n}\right). \tag{8}$$

- According to the subsections and , we know that if m is not very large, the order of this paper can
- reach $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N} \Delta(\bar{f})\right)$, which is much sharper than the order of (8).
- 56 [3] consider the kernel ridge regression, under some assumptions over the feature map induced by the
- kernel function, and if m is not very large, they show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\bar{f} - f_*\|^2\right] = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right).$$

If $\nu(f,z)$ is L-Lipschitz continuous over f, same as the above analysis, it is easy to verity that

$$R(f) - R(f_*) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\right),$$

which is much looser than of propose bound.

Discrepant Distributed Algorithms (DDA)

According to the above results, under some assumptions, we know that

$$R(f) - R(f_*) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N} - \Delta(\bar{f})\right),$$

- where $\Delta_{\bar{f}} = \frac{\eta}{4m^2} \sum_{i,j=1,i\neq j}^m \|\hat{f}_i \hat{f}_j\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$. Thus, to obtain tight bound, the discrepancy of each
- local estimate \hat{f}_i , $i=1,\ldots,m$ should be large. Therefore, it is reasonable to derive the following
- optimization problem:

$$\hat{f}_i = \underset{f \in \mathcal{H}}{\arg\min} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{z_i \in \mathcal{S}_i} \ell(f, z_i) + r(f) - \gamma \|f - \bar{f}_{\setminus i}\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{9}$$

where $\bar{f}_{\setminus i} = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{m} \hat{f}_{j}$.

4.1 Linear Hypothesis Space

When \mathcal{H} is a linear Hypothesis space, we consider the following problem:

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}_i = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{z_i \in \mathcal{S}_i} (\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_i - y_i)^2 + \lambda ||\mathbf{w}||_2^2 - \gamma ||\mathbf{w} - \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\setminus i}||_2^2,$$

- where $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\backslash i} = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i} \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j$. If given $\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\backslash i} = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i} \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j$, it is easy to verity that $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_i$ can

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}_i = \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}_{\mathcal{S}_i} \mathbf{X}_{\mathcal{S}_i}^{\mathrm{T}} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_d - \gamma \mathbf{I}_d\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}_{\mathcal{S}_i} \mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{S}_i} - \gamma \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\backslash i}\right),$$

- where $\mathbf{X}_{S_i} = (\mathbf{x}_{t_1}, \mathbf{x}_{t_2}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{t_n}), \mathbf{y}_{S_i} = (y_{t_1}, y_{t_2}, \dots, y_{t_n})^T, z_{t_i} \in S_i, i = 1, \dots, n.$
- The Let $\mathbf{A}_i = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}_{\mathcal{S}_i} \mathbf{X}_{\mathcal{S}_i}^{\mathrm{T}} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_d \gamma \mathbf{I}_d, \, \mathbf{b}_i = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}_{\mathcal{S}_i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{S}_i}.$
- 72 Let $\mathbf{d}_i = \mathbf{A}_i^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{i}$, $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_i = \mathbf{A}_i^{-1} \mathbf{b}_i$, we have

$$\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\backslash i}^{\mathrm{T}}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{i} = \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\backslash i}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{A}_{i}^{-1}\mathbf{b}_{i} = \left(\mathbf{A}_{i}^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\backslash i}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{b}_{i} = \mathbf{d}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{b}_{i},$$

- thus $\mathbf{d}_i = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\backslash i}^{\mathrm{T}}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_i}{\mathbf{b}_i}$
- The DDA Algorithm is given as follows:
- Input : $\lambda, \gamma, \mathbf{X}, m, \zeta > 0$. 75
- For t = 0, 1, ..., T76
- Each branch node *i*: 77

$$\mathbf{If} \ t = 0$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}_i^0 = \mathbf{A}_i^{-1} \mathbf{b}_i;$$

else 80

81 82

84

85 86 87

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{d}_{i}^{t} &= \frac{\left(\bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\backslash i}^{0}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{i}^{0}}{\mathbf{b}_{i}}\\ \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{i}^{t} &= \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{i}^{0} - \gamma\mathbf{d}_{i}^{t};\\ \text{push } \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{i}^{t} \text{ to center node;} \end{split}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}_i^t = \hat{\mathbf{w}}_i^0 - \gamma \mathbf{d}$$

83

Center node:

$$\bar{\mathbf{w}}^t = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \hat{\mathbf{w}}$$

If
$$\|\bar{\mathbf{w}}^t - \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{t-1}\| \leq \zeta$$
, End

$$\begin{split} &\bar{\mathbf{w}}^t = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \hat{\mathbf{w}}_i^t \\ &\mathbf{If} \ \|\bar{\mathbf{w}}^t - \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{t-1}\| \leq \zeta, \mathbf{End} \\ &\mathbf{else} \ \text{push} \ \bar{\mathbf{w}}_{\backslash i}^t = \frac{m\bar{\mathbf{w}}^t - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_i^t}{m-1} \ \text{to each branch node} \ i \end{split}$$

End

Output: $\bar{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{i}^{T}$

4.2 Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space

When \mathcal{H} is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, we consider the following problem:

$$\hat{f}_i = \underset{f \in \mathcal{H}}{\arg \min} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{z_i \in \mathcal{S}_i} (f(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i)^2 + \lambda ||f||_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \gamma ||f - \bar{f}_{\setminus i}||_{\mathcal{H}}^2,$$
(10)

where $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j K(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x})$, which can be written as

$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{i} = \underset{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{S}_{i}} \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{S}_{i}}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{S}_{i}} \mathbf{c} - \gamma \left(\mathbf{c} - \bar{\mathbf{c}}_{\backslash i}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{S}_{i}} \left(\mathbf{c} - \bar{\mathbf{c}}_{\backslash i}\right). \tag{11}$$

If given $\bar{\mathbf{c}}_{\setminus i} = \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i} \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j$, it is easy to verity that $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_i$ can be written as

$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}_i = \left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{S}_i} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_n - \gamma \mathbf{I}_n\right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{S}_i} - \gamma \bar{\mathbf{c}}_{\setminus i}\right)$$

94 Let
$$\mathbf{A}_i = \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{S}_i} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_n - \gamma \mathbf{I}_n$$
, $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{y}_{\mathcal{S}_i}$.

Input : $\lambda, \gamma, \mathbf{X}, m, \zeta > 0$. 95

For t = 0, 1, ..., T

Each branch node *i*:

If
$$t = 0$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}_i^0 = \mathbf{A}_i^{-1} \mathbf{b}_i;$$

else

96

97

98 99

100

104

105 106 107

101
$$\mathbf{d}_{i}^{t} = \frac{\left(\bar{\mathbf{c}}_{\backslash i}^{0}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{i}^{0}}{\mathbf{b}_{i}}$$
102
$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{i}^{t} = \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{i}^{0} - \gamma \mathbf{d}_{i}^{t};$$
103 push $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{i}^{t}$ to center node;

$$\begin{split} &\bar{\mathbf{c}}^t = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \hat{\mathbf{c}}_i^t \\ &\mathbf{If} \ \|\bar{\mathbf{c}}^t - \bar{\mathbf{c}}^{t-1}\| \leq \zeta, \mathbf{End} \\ &\mathbf{else} \ \text{push} \ \bar{\mathbf{c}}_{\backslash i}^t = \frac{m\bar{\mathbf{c}}^t - \hat{\mathbf{c}}_i^t}{m-1} \ \text{to each branch node } i \end{split}$$

End 108

109 **Output** :
$$\bar{\mathbf{c}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \hat{\mathbf{c}}_i^T$$

Analysis 5 110

5.1 The Key Idea 111

Since R(f) is η -strongly convex function, we have

$$R(\bar{f}) \le \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} R(\hat{f}_i) - \frac{\eta}{2m^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} ||\hat{f}_i - \hat{f}_j||^2.$$

Therefore, we have

$$R(\bar{f}) - R(f_*) \le \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[R(\hat{f}_i) - R(f_*) \right] - \frac{\eta}{4m^2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \ne j}^{m} \|\hat{f}_i - \hat{f}_j\|^2.$$
 (12)

In the next, we will estimate $R(\hat{f}_i) - R(f_*)$.

Our theoretical analysis is built upon the following inequality:

$$R(\hat{f}_{i}) - R(f_{*}) + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|^{2} \leq \langle \nabla R(\hat{f}_{i}), \hat{f}_{i} - f_{*} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \nabla R(\hat{f}_{i}) - \nabla R(f_{*}) - [\nabla \hat{R}(\hat{f}_{i}) - \nabla \hat{R}(f_{*})], \hat{f}_{i} - f_{*} \rangle + \langle \nabla \hat{R}(\hat{f}_{i}) - \nabla \hat{R}(f_{*}) + \nabla R(f_{*}), \hat{f}_{i} - f_{*} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \nabla R(\hat{f}_{i}) - \nabla R(f_{*}) - [\nabla \hat{R}(\hat{f}_{i}) - \nabla \hat{R}(f_{*})], \hat{f}_{i} - f_{*} \rangle + \langle \nabla R(f_{*}) - \nabla \hat{R}(f_{*}), \hat{f}_{i} - f_{*} \rangle$$

$$\leq \left(\underbrace{\|\nabla R(\hat{f}_{i}) - \nabla R(f_{*}) - [\nabla \hat{R}(\hat{f}_{i}) - \nabla \hat{R}(f_{*})]\|}_{:-A_{*}} + \underbrace{\|\nabla R(f_{*}) - \nabla \hat{R}(f_{*})\|}_{:-A_{*}} \right) \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|$$

$$(13)$$

- where η is the strong convexity modulus of $R(\cdot)$ if exists otherwise it is zero.
- **Lemma 1.** Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let ξ be a random variable with values in \mathcal{H} . Assume
- 118 $\|\xi\| \le M \le \infty$ almost surely. Denote $\sigma^2(\xi) = \mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|^2]$. Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be m independent drawers of ξ .
- 119 For any $0 \le \delta \le 1$, with confidence 1δ ,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [\xi_j - \mathbb{E}[\xi_j]] \right\| \le \frac{2M \log(2/\delta)}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2(\xi) \log(2/\delta)}{n}}.$$

Lemma 2. Under Assumptions ??, ?? and ??, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, for any $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)$,

121 we have

$$\left\| \nabla R(f) - \nabla R(f_*) - \left[\nabla \hat{R}(f) - \nabla \hat{R}(f_*) \right] \right\|$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta \log \left(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon) \right) \|f - f_*\|}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{\beta \log \left(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon) \right) \left(R(f) - R(f_*) \right)}{n}}.$$
(14)

122 *Proof.* Let $\nu(f) = \ell(f, \cdot) + r(f)$. Note that $\nu(f)$ is β -smooth, so we have

$$\|\nabla \nu(f) - \nabla \nu(f_*)\| \le \beta \|f - f_*\| \tag{15}$$

Because ν is β -smooth and convex, by (2.1.7) of?, we have

$$\left\|\nabla \nu(f) - \nabla \nu(f_*)\right\|^2 \le \beta \left(\nu(f) - \nu(f_*) - \langle \nabla \nu(f_*), f - f_* \rangle\right).$$

Taking expectation over both sides, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla \nu(f) - \nabla \nu(f_*)\|^2]$$

$$\leq \beta \left(R(\hat{f}_i) - R(f_*) - \langle \nabla R(f_*), f - f_* \rangle \right)$$

$$\leq \beta \left(R(\hat{f}_i) - R(f_*) \right)$$

where the last inequality follows from the optimality condition of f_* , i.e.,

$$\langle \nabla R(f_*), f - f_* \rangle \ge 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

126

Following Lemma 1, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, we have

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \nabla R(f) - \nabla R(f_*) - \left[\nabla \hat{R}(f) - \nabla \hat{R}(f_*) \right] \right\| \\ & = \left\| \nabla R(f) - \nabla R(f_*) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{z_i \in \mathcal{S}_i} \left[\nabla \nu(f) - \nabla \nu(f_*) \right] \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{2\beta \|f - f_*\| \log(2/\delta)}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{2\beta (R(f) - R(f_*)) \log(2/\delta)}{n}} \end{split}$$

- We obtain Lemma 2 by taking the union bound over all $f \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)$.
- **Lemma 3.** with probability at least 1δ , we have

$$\left\| \nabla R(f_*) - \nabla \hat{R}(f_*) \right\| \le \frac{2M \log(2/\delta)}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{8\beta R_* \log(2/\delta)}{n}}.$$
 (16)

130 *Proof.* Let $\nu(f, z_i) = \ell(f, z_i) + r(f)$ Since $\nu(\cdot, z_i)$ is β -smooth and nonegative, from Lemma 4 of 131 Srebro et al. (2010), we have

$$\left\|\nabla\nu(f_*, z_i)\right\|^2 \le 4\beta\nu(f_*, z_i)$$

132 and thus

$$\mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\left\|\nabla \nu(f_*, z)\right\|^2\right] \leq 4\beta \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \mathbb{P}}\left[\nu(f_*, z)\right] = 4\beta R(f_*).$$

From the **Assumption**, we have $\nabla \|\nu(f_*,z)\| \leq M, \forall z \in \mathcal{Z}$. Then, according to Lemma 1, with probability at least $1-\delta$, we have

$$\left\| \nabla R(f_*) - \nabla \hat{R}(f_*) \right\| = \left\| \nabla R(f_*) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{z_j \in \mathcal{S}_i} \nabla \nu(f_*, z_j) \right\|$$

$$\leq \frac{2\beta \log(2/\delta)}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{8\beta R_* \log(2/\delta)}{n}}.$$

Theorem 2. At least $1-2\delta$, if

$$n \ge \frac{4\beta \log \left(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon) \right)}{n},\tag{17}$$

137 we have

135

$$R(\bar{f}) - R(f_*) \le \frac{16\beta \log(2m/\delta)}{n^2 \eta} + \frac{128\beta R_* \log(2m/\delta)}{n\eta} + \frac{32\beta^2 \epsilon^2}{\eta} + \frac{64\beta L \log(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon}{n\eta} + \frac{64\beta \log^2(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon^2}{n^2 \eta} - \frac{\eta}{4m^2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{m} \|\hat{f}_i - \hat{f}_j\|^2.$$
(18)

Proof. From the property of ϵ -net, we know that there exists a point $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)$ such that

$$\|\hat{f}_i - \tilde{f}\| \le \epsilon.$$

138 According to **Assumption ??**, we have

$$\left\| \nabla R(\hat{f}_{i}) - \nabla R(f_{*}) - [\nabla \hat{R}(\hat{f}_{i}) - \nabla \hat{R}(f_{*})] \right\|$$

$$\leq \left\| \nabla R(\tilde{f}) - \nabla R(f_{*}) - [\nabla \hat{R}(\tilde{f}) - \nabla \hat{R}(f_{*})] \right\| + 2\beta\epsilon$$

$$\stackrel{(14)}{\leq} \frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \|\tilde{f} - f_{*}\|}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) (R(\tilde{f}) - R(f_{*}))}{n}} + 2\beta\epsilon$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|}{n} + \frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon}{n} + 2\beta\epsilon$$

$$+ \sqrt{\frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) (R(\hat{f}_{i}) - R(f_{*}))}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) (\left| R(\hat{f}_{i}) - R(\tilde{f}) \right|)}{n}}$$

$$\stackrel{(22)}{\leq} \frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|}{n} + \frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon}{n} + 2\beta\epsilon$$

$$+ \sqrt{\frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) (R(\hat{f}_{i}) - R(f_{*}))}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\beta L \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon}{n}}$$

$$(19)$$

Substituting (19) and (16) into (13), with probability at least $1 - 2\delta$, we have

$$R(\hat{f}_{i}) - R(f_{*}) + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|^{2}}{n} + \frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|}{n} + 2\beta \epsilon \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|$$

$$+ \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\| \sqrt{\frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) (R(\hat{f}_{i}) - R(f_{*}))}{n}} + \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\| \sqrt{\frac{\beta L \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon}{n}}$$

$$+ \frac{2\beta \log(2/\delta) \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|}{n} + \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\| \sqrt{\frac{8\beta R_{*} \log(2/\delta)}{n}}.$$

$$(20)$$

140 Note that

$$\sqrt{ab} \le \frac{a}{2\eta} + \frac{b\eta}{2}, \forall a, b, \eta \ge 0.$$

141 Therefore, we can obtain that

$$\|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\| \sqrt{\frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) (R(\hat{f}_{i}) - R(f_{*}))}{n}} \leq \frac{2\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) (R(\hat{f}_{i}) - R(f_{*}))}{n\eta} + \frac{\eta}{8} \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|^{2},$$

$$\frac{2\beta \log(2/\delta) \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|}{n} \leq \frac{8\beta \log(2/\delta)}{n^{2}\eta} + \frac{\eta}{16} \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|^{2},$$

$$\|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\| \sqrt{\frac{8\beta R_{*} \log(2/\delta)}{n}} \leq \frac{64\beta R_{*} \log(2/\delta)}{n\eta} + \frac{\eta}{32} \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|^{2},$$

$$2\beta \epsilon \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\| \leq \frac{32\beta^{2}\epsilon^{2}}{\eta} + \frac{\eta}{64} \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|^{2},$$

$$\|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\| \sqrt{\frac{\beta L \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon}{n}} \leq \frac{32\beta L \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon}{n\eta} + \frac{\eta}{128} \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|^{2}$$

$$\frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|}{n} \leq \frac{32\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon))^{2} \epsilon^{2}}{n^{2}\eta} + \frac{\eta}{128} \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|^{2}.$$

Substituting the above inequation into (20), we can obtain that

$$R(\hat{f}_{i}) - R(f_{*}) + \frac{\eta}{4} \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|^{2}}{n} + \frac{2\beta \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) (R(\hat{f}_{i}) - R(f_{*}))}{n\eta} + \frac{8\beta \log(2/\delta)}{n^{2}\eta} + \frac{64\beta R_{*} \log(2/\delta)}{n\eta} + \frac{32\beta^{2}\epsilon^{2}}{\eta} + \frac{32\beta L \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon}{n\eta} + \frac{32\beta \log^{2} (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon^{2}}{n^{2}\eta}$$

$$\leq \frac{\eta}{4} \|\hat{f}_{i} - f_{*}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (R(\hat{f}_{i}) - R(f_{*})) + \frac{8\beta \log(2/\delta)}{n^{2}\eta} + \frac{32\beta L \log (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon}{n\eta} + \frac{32\beta \log^{2} (\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon^{2}}{n^{2}\eta}.$$

Thus, with $1 - 2\delta$, we have

$$R(\hat{f}_{i}) - R(f_{*}) \leq \frac{16\beta \log(2/\delta)}{n^{2}\eta} + \frac{128\beta R_{*} \log(2/\delta)}{n\eta} + \frac{32\beta^{2}\epsilon^{2}}{\eta} + \frac{64\beta L \log(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon))\epsilon}{n\eta} + \frac{64\beta L \log(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon))\epsilon^{2}}{n^{2}\eta}.$$
(21)

144 Combining (12) and (21), with $1 - 2\delta$, we have

$$R(\bar{f}) - R(f_*) \le \frac{16\beta \log(2m/\delta)}{n^2 \eta} + \frac{128\beta R_* \log(2m/\delta)}{n\eta} + \frac{32\beta^2 \epsilon^2}{\eta} + \frac{64\beta L \log(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon}{n\eta} + \frac{64\beta \log^2(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon)) \epsilon^2}{n^2 \eta} - \frac{\eta}{4m^2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^m \|\hat{f}_i - \hat{f}_j\|^2.$$

146 References

145

- [1] G. Pisier. The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry, volume 94. Cambridge
 University Press, 1999.
- [2] L. Zhang, T. Yang, and R. Jin. Empirical risk minimization for stochastic convex optimization: O(1/n)-and $O(1/n^2)$ -type of risk bounds. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Learning Theory* (COLT 2017), pages 1954–1979, 2017.

- 152 [3] Y. Zhang, J. Duchi, and M. Wainwright. Divide and conquer kernel ridge regression. In Proceedings of Conference on Learning Theory (COLT 2013), pages 592–617, 2013.
- 154 [4] Y. Zhang, M. J. Wainwright, and J. C. Duchi. Communication-efficient algorithms for statistical optimization. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 1502–1510, 2012.
- [5] D.-X. Zhou. The covering number in learning theory. *Journal of Complexity*, 18(3):739–767,
 2002.