

Main goals :

1. Determine whether a quadratic form over \mathbb{Q} has a nontrivial zero.
2. Do the classification of quadratic forms over \mathbb{Q} .
(Determine whether two quadratic forms are equivalent)

In general, it is very hard to determine whether an equation over \mathbb{Q} of more than two variables has a solution, but it is much easier to do that in finite fields. Actually, you only have to test all the cases.

Our process of this problem begin with \mathbb{F}_p , then the p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p , and then \mathbb{Q} .

Main results :

1. A quadratic form over \mathbb{Q} has a nontrivial zero if and only if it has a nontrivial zero in each ℓ -adic field \mathbb{Q}_ℓ (p -adic field \mathbb{Q}_p or \mathbb{R}).
2. One can use Hilbert symbol to determine whether a quadratic form over \mathbb{Q}_p has a non-trivial zero.
3. Two quadratic forms over \mathbb{Q} are equivalent if and only if they are equivalent over all \mathbb{Q}_ℓ .

4. One can use the invariants rank, discriminant and another invariant ϵ to determine whether two quadratic forms over \mathbb{Q}_p are equivalent.

Part 1. Basic results and definition of quadratic forms.

Bourbaki, Alg chap. 13, n°4)
Definition 1 - Let V be a module over a commutative ring A . A function $Q: V \rightarrow A$ is called a quadratic form on V if:

- 1) $Q(ax) = a^2 Q(x)$ for $a \in A$ and $x \in V$
- 2) The function $(x, y) \mapsto Q(x+y) - Q(x) - Q(y)$ is a bilinear form. Skew

Such a pair (V, Q) is called a quadratic module.

In this note, we only consider when A is a field k with characteristic $\neq 2$ and V is a vector space over k of finite dimension.

Let $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a basis of V . Then we can express Q in a concrete way:

There exists a ^{symmetric} matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with respect to the basis $\{e_i\}$. If $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$, then $Q(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij} x_i x_j$.

Furthermore, we can choose a basis such that A is diagonal, then $Q(x) = \sum_{i \leq n} a_{ii} x_i^2$, which is more convenient to discuss its zeros.

We call $\det(A)$ (with is determined by Q if we ignore the multiplication of an element of $k^{\times 2}$) the discriminant of Q and denote it by $\text{disc}(Q)$.

We say \mathcal{Q} is nondegenerate if for any $x \neq 0 \in V$, there exists $y \in V$ s.t. $\frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{Q}(x+y) - \mathcal{Q}(x) - \mathcal{Q}(y)] \neq 0$ (We can define $x \cdot y = \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{Q}(x+y) - \mathcal{Q}(x) - \mathcal{Q}(y)]$).

It is easy to see that \mathcal{Q} is nondegenerate if and only if $\text{disc}(\mathcal{Q}) \neq 0$.

Definition 2. Let (V, \mathcal{Q}) and (V', \mathcal{Q}') be two quadratic modules over k . Let $s : V \rightarrow V'$ be a linear map of k -vector spaces. We say s is an metric morphism if ~~such that~~ for any $x \in V$, $\mathcal{Q}'(sx) = \mathcal{Q}'(s(x))$.

(If a metric morphism s is an isomorphism between vector spaces, then it is a metric isomorphism)

Then we state the famous thm of Witt without proof.

If (V, \mathcal{Q}) and (V', \mathcal{Q}') are isomorphic and non-degenerate, then every injective metric morphism

$s : U \rightarrow V'$
of a subspace U of V can be extended to a metric isomorphism of V onto V' .

Remark : We can state Witt's thm in a concrete way when \mathcal{Q} is nondegenerate.
First, let us make some notions.

Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} a_{ij}x_i x_j$ be a quadratic form in n variables over k (we put $a_{ji} = a_{ij}$ if $i > j$). The pair (k^n, f) is a quadratic module, associated to f .

To quadratic forms f and f' are called equivalent if the corresponding modules are isomorphic.

Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $g(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be two quadratic forms; we will denote $f+g$ the quadratic form $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) + g(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m})$ in $n+m$ variables.

Then Witt's thm indicates:

Let $f = g+h$ and $f' = g'+h'$ be two nondegenerate quadratic forms. If $f \sim f'$ and $g \sim g'$, then $h \sim h'$ (\sim means equivalent).

Part 2 Equations over \mathbb{F}_p and \mathbb{Q}_p

2.1

Theorem 1. (Chevalley - Warning)

K is a finite field.

- Let $f_2 \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be polynomials in n variables such that $\sum_a \deg f_a < n$, and let V be the set of their common zeros in K^n . One has $\text{Card}(V) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Proof see A course in arithmetic Chap 1 2.2

Cor. All quadratic forms in at least 3 variables over a finite field have a nontrivial zero.

2.2 Amelioration of approximate solutions

Assume $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ (or $f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$) and we have already find a solution of $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $\pmod{p^n}$.

There is an elementary way to try lifting it to a solution in \mathbb{Z}_p . Let's first see an easy example:

Try to solve $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0$ over \mathbb{Z}_5

Look at $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.

There is a solution $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$.

Assume $x = 5y + 2 \pmod{5^2}$.

Then $(5y+2)^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{25}$,

$$\Rightarrow 25y^2 \equiv -5 \pmod{25} \Rightarrow y \equiv 1 \pmod{5}.$$

And then assume $x = 25y + 5 + 2 = 25y + 7$

$$\text{Then } (25y+7)^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{125} \Rightarrow 25y^2 + 50y + 50 \equiv 0 \pmod{125}$$

$$\Rightarrow y \equiv 2 \pmod{5}.$$

And then assume $x = 125y + 125 \times 2 + 5 + 2$

.....

Do this over and over. When we have $x = 2 + 5 + 25 + \dots$ in \mathbb{Z}_p

is a solution lifted from $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$.

However, this sometimes fails. For example, $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ can not even be lift to a solution of $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

But just by some easy attempts, we can get the theorem below:

Lemma. - Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X_1, \dots, X_m]$, $x = (x_i) \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^m$, $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and i_j an integer such that $0 \leq i_j \leq m$. Suppose that $0 < 2k < n$ and that $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$ and $\nu_p\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x)\right) = k$, then for the eq $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+k}}$, there exists a ^{solution} y of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n+k}}$ in $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^m$ which is congruent to x module p^{n+k} . (Proof see A course in arithmetic Chap 2 2.2 ~~Lemma~~).

Note that in the y above, $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(y)\right) \equiv \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x)\right) \pmod{p^{n+k}}$.

So applying the lemma over and over again, we conclude the thm:

Theorem: Let f and x be mentioned in the lemma, then there exists a zero y in $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^m$ which is congruent to x module p^{n+k} .

For quadratic forms, there are some corollaries:

Case 1 - Suppose $p \neq 2$. Let $f(x) = \sum a_{ij}x_i x_j$ with $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ be a quadratic form with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p whose discriminant $\det(a_{ij})$ is invertible. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Every primitive solution of the equation $f(x) \equiv a \pmod{p}$ lifts to a true solution. (Here primitive means not all congruent to 0 mod p).

Case 2 - Suppose $p=2$. Let $f = \sum a_{ij}x_i x_j$ with $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ be a quadratic form with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let x be a primitive solution of $f(x) \equiv a \pmod{8}$. We can lift x to a true solution provided x does not annihilate all the $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ modulo 4 (which is satisfied when $\det(a_{ij}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$)

Part 3. Quadratic forms over \mathbb{F}_p and \mathbb{Q}_p

For convenience we consider $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^n$, $a_i \neq 0$.

1) When a quadratic form over \mathbb{F}_p has a nontrivial zero.

If $n=2$ $f(x) = a_1 x^2 + a_2 x^2$ has a nontrivial zero if and only if $-\frac{a_1}{a_2}$ is a quadratic residue modulo p , which means $\left(-\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ if $p > 2$
 $\quad \quad \quad a_1 \equiv -a_2 \pmod{p}$ if $p = 2$.

If $n > 2$. By thm 1 in Part 2, f must have a nontrivial zero

2). When a quadratic form over \mathbb{F}_p represents a $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$,

In fact, if $n \geq 2$, for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$,

$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^2$ ($a_i \neq 0$ in \mathbb{F}_p) always represents n .

Pf: Consider $a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 - a_3 x_3^2$.

If $a=0$, then $f(0)=0$. If $a \neq 0$, then by thm 1 in part 2, it must has an nontrivial zero $x=(x_1, x_2, x_3)$.

If $(-\frac{a_2}{a_1}) \notin \mathbb{F}_p^{*2}$, then $x_3 \neq 0$, so $a_1(\frac{x_1}{x_3})^2 + a_2(\frac{x_2}{x_3})^2 = a$.

If $(-\frac{a_2}{a_1}) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{*2}$, assume $b^2 = -\frac{a_2}{a_1}$, then

$$x_1^2 + \frac{a_2}{a_1} x_2^2 = (x_1 - bx_2)(x_1 + bx_2), \text{ easy discussion}$$

shows it represents all elements in \mathbb{F}_p .

Rmk: In fact if $\text{char } k \neq 2$, then $x_1^2 - x_2^2$ always represents all elements in k .

3) How to classify quadratic forms over \mathbb{F}_p .

As mention before, two equivalent quadratic forms have same rank and discriminant (in $k^*/(k^*)^2$)
~~of equivalence~~
(We only need to discuss the case that the form is nondegenerate).

In fact, for quadratic forms over \mathbb{F}_p , these two invariants determine the equivalent class uniquely.

This is because every quadratic form is equivalent to a form of the form $x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2 + ax_n^2$.

To see it, note that in 2) we proved if f is of rank $n \geq 2$, then f represents. Then there exists $v \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ s.t. $f(v)=1$. Assume $\mathbb{F}_p^n = \langle v \rangle \oplus \langle v \rangle^\perp$
(This is a direct sum because $\langle v \rangle$ is a nondegenerate subspace)
Then there exists a quadratic form g of rank $n-1$ s.t. $f = g + g'$.

4) How to determine whether a quadratic form over \mathbb{Q}_p has a nontrivial zero.

This is much harder than that over \mathbb{A}_p . The answer is when $n \geq 5$, always, when $n \leq 4$, ~~there are~~ the result is quite a bit complicated.

First we have to introduce the Hilbert symbol.

Definition 1.

Let $a, b \in k^*$. We put :

$(a, b) = 1$ if $z^2 - ax^2 - by^2 = 0$ has a solution $(x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ in k^3 ,
 $(a, b) = -1$ otherwise.

Notations : $\varepsilon(x) = \frac{x-1}{2}$, $w(x) = \frac{x^2-1}{8}$ (Here x is a prime

~~Theorem~~ Theorem 1

If $k = \mathbb{R}$, ~~we have~~ we have $(a, b) = 1$ if a or b is > 0 , and $(a, b) = -1$ if a and b are < 0 .

If $k = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and if we write a, b in the form $p^\alpha u, p^\beta v$ where u and v belong to the group V of p -adic units, ~~we have~~ we have

$$(a, b) = (-1)^{\alpha\beta\varepsilon(p)} \left(\frac{u}{p}\right)^\beta \left(\frac{v}{p}\right)^\alpha \text{ if } p \neq 2$$

$$(a, b) = (-1)^{\varepsilon(u)\varepsilon(v) + 2w(u)v + \beta w(u)} \text{ if } p = 2.$$

Rank : From the result of this theorem, we know that the Hilbert symbol is bilinear (Here we regards multiplication of k^*/k^{*2} as addition so it is bilinear).

However the elementary proof requires quite a lot of computation. If one wants to try it, one can try in this way :

First notice the two results (in A course in arithmetic, chap 3 1.1 prop 1 and 2).

① Let $a, b \in k^*$ and let $k_b = k(\sqrt{b})$. For $(a|b) = 1$ it is necessary and sufficient that a belongs to the group $N_{k_b}^*$ of norms of elements of k_b^*

② if $(a|b) = (b, a)$ and $(a, c^2) = 1$.

$$\text{(i)} (a, -a) = 1 \text{ and } (a, 1-a) = 1$$

$$\text{(ii)} (a|b) = 1 \Rightarrow (aa', b) = (a', b)$$

$$\text{(iii)} (a|b) = (a, -ab) = (a, (1-a)b).$$

Also note that we only need to consider the case

$\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}^2$. And for $\alpha = \beta = 1$, by (iii) in prop ①,

$$(pu, pr) = (pu, -pruv) = (pu, -uv).$$

Then we can try to discuss all situations.

Now go back to quadratic forms

First we introduce another invariance ϵ .

For $f = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x_k^2$, $\epsilon(f) = \prod_{i < j} (a_i, a_j)$.

It can be shown that ϵ is invariant with ~~the~~ change of basis of the quadratic module. Witt's lemma might be useful here.

Thm 2 - For f to represent 0 it is necessary and sufficient

that: i) $n=2$ and $d = -1$ (in k^*/k^{*2})

ii) $n=3$ and $(-1, -d) = \epsilon$.

iii) $n=4$ and either $d \neq 1$ or $d=1$ and $\epsilon = (-1, -1)$.

iv) $n \geq 5$

Where $f = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x_k^2$, $d = d(f)$, $\epsilon = \epsilon(f)$.

Corollary . Let $a \in k^*/k^{*2}$. In order that f represent a it is necessary and sufficient that :

- i) $n=1$ and $a=d$,
- ii) $n=2$ and $(a, -d) \geq \epsilon$
- iii) $n=3$ and either $a \neq d$ or $a=d$ and $(-1, -d) = \epsilon$
- iv) $n \geq 4$.

Theorem (Classification) Two quadratic forms over $k=\mathbb{Q}_p$ are equivalent if and only if they have the same rank, discriminant and invariant ϵ .

Pf: " \Leftarrow " is trivial.

" \Rightarrow " Assume f and g have same invariants d, ϵ, n then by previous theorem and corollary, f and g represents same elements of k .

Assume f represents $a \in k^*$.

Then there exists f_1, g_1 of rank $n-1$ s.t.

$$f = f_1 + a x^2, \quad g = g_1 + a x^2.$$

$$\text{Then } d(f_1) = d(g_1) = \frac{d}{a}, \quad \epsilon(f_1) = \epsilon(g_1) = \overline{\left(a, \frac{d}{a}\right)}.$$

So by induction on n $f_1 \sim g_1$, and $f \sim g$.

Part 4. Quadratic forms over \mathbb{Q} .

First we need to introduce some global properties of the Hilbert symbol.

Let V denotes the set of all primes in \mathbb{Z} and ∞

Theorem 1 (product formula). If $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}^*$,

we have $(a, b)_v = 1$ for almost all $v \in V$ and

$$\prod_{v \in V} (a, b)_v = 1.$$

Theorem 2 Let $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ be a finite family of elements in \mathbb{Q}^* and let $(\xi_{i,v})_{i \in I, v \in V}$ be a family of numbers equal to ± 1 . In order that there exists $x \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ such that $(a_i, x)_v = \xi_{i,v}$ for all ~~v~~ $i \in I, v \in V$. it is necessary and sufficient that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) Almost all $\xi_{i,v}$ are equal to 1.

(2) For all $i \in I$ we have $\prod_{v \in V} \xi_{i,v} = 1$

(3) For all $v \in V$ there exists $x_v \in \mathbb{Q}_v^*$ such that $(a_i, x_v) = \xi_{i,v}$ for all $i \in I$.

Rmk. Theorem 2 can be viewed as the reverse of theorem 1, (1) (2) just come from the result of theorem 2 and (3) is just natural.

It requires some preparations to proof thm 2.

Lemma 1. Chinese remainder theorem.

The topology structure on \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{Z}_p .

The topology structure on \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{Z}_p can be defined by 2 ways which are equivalent.

One comes from p -adic metric $\text{norm}_{\text{horm}}$ on \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Q} .

Assume $r = p^d \frac{u}{v}$ where $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p \nmid uv$ is an element of \mathbb{Q} .

Then the norm $|r|$ is defined to be p^{-d} .

And for $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$, $d(r, s) = |r - s|$.

Then d is a well-defined metric and introduces a topology on \mathbb{Q} (or \mathbb{Z}).

Then \mathbb{Z}_p and \mathbb{Q}_p are defined to be the completion of metric spaces \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Q} .

The other comes from filtration.

Here \mathbb{Z}_p is defined to be the direct limit of the system

$$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow \dots$$

Then $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ is the unique maximal ideal of \mathbb{Z}_p and

$$\mathbb{Z}_p \supseteq p\mathbb{Z}_p \supseteq (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^2 \supseteq \dots$$

A topology ~~structure~~ can be defined by this filtration by

taking ~~a~~ a topology base to be $\{x + p^n\mathbb{Z}_p \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_p, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
Similarly things work for \mathbb{Q}_p .

In fact, $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +)$ is a topological group and (\mathbb{Q}_p^*, \cdot) is also a

topological group. ~~In other words~~ Moreover \mathbb{Q}_p is a topological field.

Lemma 2 ("Approximation theorem") - Let S be a finite subset

of V . Then image of Ω in $\prod_{v \in S} \Omega_v$ is dense in this product (product topology of

Pf: We can suppose $S = \{\infty, p_1, \dots, p_n\}$.

(those of Ω_v)

Assume $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega_{p_1} \times \dots \times \Omega_{p_n}$.

Note that Ω_v is a topological field for each v .

So if $\{r_n\}$ converges to x_i ,

$\{a r_n\}$ converges to $a x_i$ for any integer i .

Therefore without loss of generality we can assume $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ by multiplying an integer.

By CRT there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $N_{p_i}(x_0 - x_i) > N$ for all i .

Choose an integer $q \geq 2$ which is prime to all p_i .

Then rational numbers of the form a/q^m , $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq 0$ are dense in \mathbb{R} .

Choose ^{such} a number $u = a/q^m$ with

$$|x_0 - x_\infty + u p_1^{-N} \dots p_n^{-N}| \leq \epsilon$$

Then let $x = x_0 + u p_1^{-N} \dots p_n^{-N}$, $|x - x_0| < \epsilon$

and $|x - x_{p_i}|_{p_i} \leq \frac{1}{p_i^{N+1}}$ for each i .

So the image of $x \in \Omega$ and (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) can be ^{as} close as possible, which proves the image of Ω is dense.

infinitely many primes $p \equiv a \pmod{m}$ for any a, m
 s.t. $(a, m) = 1$.

Back to thm 4.

Sketch proof:

Let $\{\alpha_i\}_i$ be a family of numbers satisfying (1), (2), (3).
 wlog α_i are all integers.

Let $S = \{\infty, 2\} \cup \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l\}$ for some $i \in S$.

$T = \{n \mid \exists i \in S \text{ with } \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i n = 1\}$.

Case 1) $S \cap T = \emptyset$. Set $a = \prod_{l \in T} b_l$, $m = \prod_{l \neq \infty, 2} b_l$,

there exists a prime $p \equiv a \pmod{m}$ with $p \notin S \cup T$.

Then $x = ap$ has the desired property.

Case 2) In general

Since $(\mathbb{Q}_r^*)^2$ is open in \mathbb{Q}_r , its intersection with
 the image of \mathbb{Q}^* is non-empty by lemma 2.

So there exists $x' \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ s.t. x'/x_r is a square in
 \mathbb{Q}_r^* for all $r \in S$. Then $(x', \alpha_i)_r = (\alpha_i, x_r)_r$ for all $i \in S$.

Set $y_{ir} = \epsilon_{ir} \alpha_i x'_r$. Then $(y_{ir})_r$ verifies (1), (2), (3)
 and $y_{ir} = 1$ if $r \in S$.

So by "case 1" there exists $y \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ s.t. $(\alpha_i, y)_r = y_{ir}$ for all i, r

Set $x = yx'$ then x has the desired properties.

Theorem 3 (Hasse - Minkowski) - In order that a quadratic form f over \mathbb{Q} represents 0, it is necessary and sufficient that for all $n \in V$, f_n (which is a quadratic form over \mathbb{Q}_n whose coefficients are images of coefficients of f) represents 0.

Remark Hasse's principle says if an equation of polynomials has 0 in all local fields,
(Homogeneous polynomials)

then it has a zero in the global field.

~~This~~ Not all equations verify this principle.
for example, the equation

$3x^3 + 4y^3 + 5z^3 = 0$ has a nontrivial solution
in each \mathbb{Q}_p but none in \mathbb{Q} .

(See reference on the link).

However, Hasse's principle is valid for all
algebraic curves of genus 0.

Sketch proof of the thm.

Consider $n =$ the rank of f .

It is quite easy for $n=2$.
For $n \geq 3$, the proof is very technical so skipped.

The theorem 2 is useful for the case

For $n \geq 5$, we use induction on n .

We write f in the form $f = h + g$

with $h = a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2$, $g = -(a_3 x_3^2 + \dots + a_n x_n^2)$.

Let S be the subset of V consisting of x_1, x_2 and primes p such that $np \mid a_i$ for one $i \geq 3$; it is finite.

Let $\alpha \in S$. Since f_α represents 0, there exists $a_\alpha \in Q_\alpha^{+}$ which is represented by both h and g .

There exists $x_{\alpha} \in Q_\alpha$, $i=1, \dots, n$ s.t. $h(x_1^{\alpha}, x_2^{\alpha}) = a_\alpha = g(x_3^{\alpha}, \dots, x_n^{\alpha})$

Since $(Q_\alpha^{+})^2$ is open in Q_α , by approximation theorem this implies the existence of $x_1, x_2 \in Q$ s.t.

$a/a_\alpha \in Q_\alpha^{+2}$ for all a_α represented by $h(x_1, x_2)$ and all $\alpha \in S$.

Now consider $f_1 = a z^2 - g$.

Then f_1 represents 0 in all Q_α .

By induction f_1 represents 0 in Q so g represents $a \in A$

then f represents 0.

For $n < 4$, theorem 2 is useful.

Write $f = a x_1^2 + b x_2^2 - (c x_3^2 + d x_4^2)$. There exists $x \in Q^{+}$ represented by both $a x_1^2 + b x_2^2$ and $c x_3^2 + d x_4^2$. $\Rightarrow ad(x_1, -ab)_n = (a/b)_n$ and $(x_2, -cd)_n = (c/d)_n$

Since $\prod_{n \in V} (a/b)_n = \prod_{n \in V} (c/d)_n = 1$ by thm 1. By thm 4.

There exists $x \in Q^{+}$ s.t. $(x_1, -ab)_n = (a/b)_n$ and $(x_2, -cd)_n = (c/d)_n$ for all n .

Then it is reduced to the case $n=3$.

Classification

Thm 4 - Let f and f' be two quadratic forms over \mathbb{Q} .

For f and f' to be equivalent over \mathbb{Q} it is necessary and sufficient that they are equivalent over each \mathbb{Q}_n .

" \Rightarrow " Trivial

" \Leftarrow " By Thm 3, there exists $a \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ represented by both f and f' . Then we can use induction to show $f \sim f'$.

Remark: Invariants of a quadratic form f over \mathbb{Q} .

rank n ,

$d_n(f)$, $n \in V$,

$\Sigma_r(f)$, $n \in V$,

and the invariant s, r for the real case

i.e. $f \approx x_1^2 + \dots + x_s^2 - y_1^2 - \dots - y_r^2$ over \mathbb{R} .