

**Exercise (Naor commitments with extended message space).** *The main drawback of the Naor commitment scheme is message expansion – to commit one bit one must send  $n$  bits. One possibility is to increase the size of the message space. Let the message space  $\mathcal{M}$  be a subset of a finite field  $(\mathbb{F}_{2^n}; +, \times)$  such that we can treat all  $n$ -bit strings as elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . Then we can define modified commitment scheme:*

Gen	Com <sub>pk</sub> ( $x$ )	Open <sub>pk</sub> ( $c, d$ )
$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{pk} \leftarrow_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^* \\ \text{return } \text{pk} \end{array} \right.$	$\left[ \begin{array}{l} d \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^k \\ c \leftarrow f(d) + x \times \text{pk} \\ \text{return } (c, d) \end{array} \right.$	$\left[ \begin{array}{l} y \leftarrow c \oplus f(d) \\ \text{if } y \notin \text{pk} \times \mathcal{M} \text{ then } \text{return } \perp \\ \text{else } \text{return } y \times \text{pk}^{-1} \end{array} \right.$

*Establish the corresponding security guarantees under the assumption that  $f : \{0, 1\}^k \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  is a  $(t_1, \varepsilon_1)$ -pseudorandom generator. How big must be the message space  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  to achieve reasonable security guarantees against double openings?*

**Solution.**

**BINDING.** The outcome  $c, d_1, d_2$  of an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  can be double opening only if  $\text{pk}$  is a solution to equation .... As this equation can have at most ... solutions the number of public keys that can lead to a double opening is bounded by .... Consequently, ...

**HIDDING.** Recall that commitment scheme is  $(t, \varepsilon)$ -hiding if any  $t$ -time adversary ... Recall that a function  $f$  is a  $(t, \varepsilon)$ -pseudorandom generator if ...

**QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE BINDING BOUND...** as a result the size of the message space  $\mathcal{M}$  is bounded by ...