

Exercise (Neyman-Pearson Theorem). *Let \mathcal{A} be a distinguisher, let $\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ be the ratio of false positives and let $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ be the ratio of false negatives. Then we can define a weighted average $\delta(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda\alpha(\mathcal{A}) + (1-\lambda)\beta(\mathcal{A})$ for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Now consider two near-identical deterministic distinguishers which differ only on the input x_* :*

$$\forall x \neq x_* : \quad \mathcal{A}_0(x) = \mathcal{A}_1(x) \ .$$

For clarity, let us assume $\mathcal{A}_0(x_) = 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_1(x_*) = 1$. Establish under which conditions $\delta(\mathcal{A}_0) \geq \delta(\mathcal{A}_1)$ and conclude that describe the decision rule of a distinguisher that minimises δ . Let δ_λ^* be the attainable δ value for each λ . Each of these values δ_λ^* places a restriction on attainable $\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ and $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ values on $\alpha\beta$ -plane. Sketch the corresponding border lines and explain why The Neyman-Pearson theorem is a direct consequence.*