Spring 2012 / Exercise session ?? / Example Solution

Exercise (Full proof for randomised self-reducibility of DDL). Show that for any  $\mathbb{B}$  defined as above there exists an algorithm  $\mathbb{A}$ , which has roughly the same running-time as  $\mathbb{B}$  and for any three group elements  $g^a, g^b, g^c$ , distinguish  $g^{ab}$  from  $g^c$  with roughly the same advantage as  $Adv^{ddh}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathbb{B})$ . More precisely, let the following games

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_0^{\mathcal{A}} & \qquad \qquad \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathcal{A}} \\ \begin{bmatrix} c \neq ab & & & \\ \textit{return } \mathcal{A}(g^a, g^b, g^c) & & & \\ \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} c \leftarrow ab & & \\ \textit{return } \mathcal{A}(g^a, g^b, g^c) & & \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

model the distinguishing task. Then the corresponding advantage is

$$\mathsf{Adv}^{\mathsf{f-ddh}}_{\mathbb{G},a,b,c}(\mathcal{A}) = \left| \Pr \left[ \mathcal{G}_0^{\mathcal{A}} = 1 \right] - \Pr \left[ \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathcal{A}} = 1 \right] \right| \ .$$

Show that if q is prime then for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ , the advantage  $\mathsf{Adv}^{\mathsf{f-ddh}}_{\mathbb{G},a,b}(\mathcal{A})$  can be bounded from below by a multiple of  $\mathsf{Adv}^{\mathsf{ddh}}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathfrak{B})$ , while the running-time of  $\mathcal{A}$  is linear wrt the running-time of  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

**Solution.** Recall that the weak self-reducibility construction re-randomises only the first two elements  $g^a$  and  $g^b$  of the Diffie-Hellman tuple. The corresponding correction relies on the equation

$$(a+x)(b+y) = (xy + ay + bx) + ab$$

where the first three terms on the right are correction terms, i.e., the new randomised tuple is

$$g^{a+x}, g^{b+y}, g^{xy} \cdot (g^a)^y \cdot (g^b)^x \cdot g^c$$
 for  $x, y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ .

Note that for fixed  $ab \neq c$  the distribution of xy + ay + bx is not guaranteed to be uniform over  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ . Hence also the sum xy + ay + bx + c is not guaranteed to be uniform, which itself implies that a re-randomised non-Diffe-Hellman tuple is a uniformly chosen triple and thus  $\mathcal{B}$  is not guaranteed to preserve its advantage.

To avoid this pitfall, we use a more complex re-randomisation for the first two tuple elements:

$$g^a \leadsto g^{a+x}, \qquad g^b \leadsto g^{by+z}$$
.

The corresponding correction is based on the equation

$$(a+x)(by+z) = xz + az + bxy + ab \cdot y$$

which leads to the following re-randomisation

$$q^{a+x}, (q^b)^y \cdot q^z, q^{xz} \cdot (q^a)^z \cdot (q^b)^{xy} \cdot (q^c)^y$$
 for  $x, y, z \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ .

Again, note that if  $ab \neq c$  then the discrete logarithm of the third element is

$$\Delta = xz + az + bxy + c \cdot y = (bx + c)y + (a + x)z.$$

To analyse the distribution of  $\Delta$  further, we must use the following fact.

**Lemma 0.1** Let z be an invertible element of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ . Then the product  $x \cdot z$  has uniform distribution over  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  whenever x is picked uniformly from  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ .

The claim follows from the fact that the equation xz = y has a single solution for any y and thus

$$\Pr\left[x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q : zx = y\right] = \Pr\left[x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q : x = z^{-1}y\right] = \frac{1}{q}.$$

Let us continue the analysis of  $\Delta$  by fixing the values of x, y. Since  $z \leftarrow_u \mathbb{Z}_q$  we know that (a+x)z is uniformly distributed whenever a+x is invertible. As we assumed that the group  $\mathbb{G}$  has a prime order q, the term is uniformly distributed for any  $a+x\neq 0$ . The latter also implies that  $\Delta$  is uniformly distributed for any fixed  $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}_q$  such that  $x\neq -a$ . If x=-a then  $\Delta=(bx+c)y=(c-ab)y$ . By same reasoning  $\Delta$  has a uniform distribution as long as  $ab\neq c$ , i.e., we do not re-randomise Diffie-Hellman tuples.

As a consequence, we can conclude that the new re-randomisation takes Diffie-Hellman tuple to a random Diffie-Hellman tuple and non-Diffie-Hellman tuple to a random triple of group elements. This leads to the following random self-reduction:

Notice that all parameters thrown to  $\mathcal{B}$  can be calculated in a constant time  $\delta$ . Hence, the  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $(t + \delta)$ -time algorithm whenever  $\mathcal{B}$  is t-time algorithm. By our extensive reasoning

$$\Pr\left[\mathcal{G}_{0}^{\mathcal{A}}=1\right]=\Pr\left[\mathcal{Q}_{0}^{\mathcal{B}}=1\right] \text{ and } \Pr\left[\mathcal{G}_{1}^{\mathcal{A}}=1\right]=\Pr\left[\mathcal{Q}_{1}^{\mathcal{B}}=1\right]$$

where  $Q_0$  and  $Q_1$  denote ordinary DDH games. Hence,  $\mathsf{Adv}^\mathsf{f-ddh}_{\mathbb{G},a,b,c}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathsf{Adv}^\mathsf{ddh}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathfrak{B})$ .