$\S1$ SAT13 INTRO 1

May 19, 2018 at 02:30

1. Intro. This program is part of a family of "SAT-solvers" that I'm putting together for my own education as I prepare to write Section 7.2.2.2 of *The Art of Computer Programming*. My intent is to have a variety of compatible programs on which I can run experiments to learn how different approaches work in practice.

I'm hoping that this one, which has the lucky number SAT13, will be the fastest of all, on a majority of the example satisfiability problems that I've been exploring. Why? Because it is based on the "modern" ideas of so-called *conflict driven clause learning* (CDCL) solvers. This approach, pioneered notably by Sakallah and Marques-Silva (GRASP) and by Moskewicz, Madigan, Zhao, Zhang, Malik (CHAFF), has reportedly revolutionized the field, making SAT-solvers applicable to large-scale industrial problems.

My model for SAT13 has been Eén and Sörensson's MiniSAT solver, together with the Biere's PicoSAT solver, both of which were at one time representative of world-class CDCL implementations. The technology has continued to improve, and to become more complex than appropriate for my book to survey; therefore I have not added all the latest bells and whistles. But I think this program decently represents the main CDCL paradigms.

If you have already read SAT10 (or some other program of this series), you might as well skip now past all the code for the "I/O wrapper," because you have seen it before.

The input on stdin is a series of lines with one clause per line. Each clause is a sequence of literals separated by spaces. Each literal is a sequence of one to eight ASCII characters between ! and }, inclusive, not beginning with $\tilde{\ }$, optionally preceded by $\tilde{\ }$ (which makes the literal "negative"). For example, Rivest's famous clauses on four variables, found in 6.5–(13) and 7.1.1–(32) of TAOCP, can be represented by the following eight lines of input:

x2 x3 ~x4 x1 x3 x4 ~x1 x2 x4 ~x1 ~x2 x3 ~x2 ~x3 x4 ~x1 ~x3 ~x4 x1 ~x2 ~x4 x1 x2 ~x3

Input lines that begin with $^{\sim}_{\sqcup}$ are ignored (treated as comments). The output will be ' $^{\sim}$ ' if the input clauses are unsatisfiable. Otherwise it will be a list of noncontradictory literals that cover each clause, separated by spaces. ("Noncontradictory" means that we don't have both a literal and its negation.) The input above would, for example, yield ' $^{\sim}$ '; but if the final clause were omitted, the output would be ' $^{\sim}$ x1 $^{\sim}$ x2 x3', in some order, possibly together with either x4 or $^{\sim}$ x4 (but not both). No attempt is made to find all solutions; at most one solution is given.

The running time in "mems" is also reported, together with the approximate number of bytes needed for data storage. One "mem" essentially means a memory access to a 64-bit word. (These totals don't include the time or space needed to parse the input or to format the output.)

2 INTRO SAT13 §2

```
So here's the structure of the program. (Skip ahead if you are impatient to see the interesting stuff.)
                           /* count one mem */
\#define o mems ++
#define oo mems += 2
                               /* count two mems */
                                /* count three mems */
#define ooo mems += 3
#define O "%"
                      /* used for percent signs in format strings */
#define mod %
                       /* used for percent signs denoting remainder in C */
#define show_basics 1
                              /* verbose code for basic stats */
#define show_choices 2
                               /* verbose code for backtrack logging */
                               /* verbose code for further commentary */
#define show_details 4
#define show_gory_details 8
                                  /* verbose code for more yet */
                                   /* verbose code for info about clauses learned during warmups */
#define show_warmlearn 16
                                  /* verbose code to mention when recycling occurs */
#define show_recycling 32
#define show_recycling_details 64 /* verbose code to display clauses that survive recycling */
#define show_restarts 128
                                  /* verbose code to mention when restarts occur */
                                256
#define show_initial_clauses
                                      /* verbose code to list the unsatisfied clauses */
#define show_watches 512
                                /* verbose code to show when a watch list changes */
                                     /* verbose code sometimes used in change files */
#define show_experiments 4096
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include "gb_flip.h"
  typedef unsigned int uint;
                                  /* a convenient abbreviation */
  typedef unsigned long long ullng; /* ditto */
  \langle \text{Type definitions } 9 \rangle;
  (Global variables 4);
  (Debugging fallbacks 139);
  \langle \text{Subroutines } 31 \rangle;
  main(\mathbf{int} \ argc, \mathbf{char} * argv[])
    register int h, hp, i, j, jj, k, kk, l, ll, lll, p, q, r, s;
    register int c, cc, endc, la, t, u, v, w, x, y;
    register double au, av;
    \langle \text{Process the command line } 3 \rangle;
    \langle \text{Initialize everything } 12 \rangle;
    \langle \text{Input the clauses } 13 \rangle:
    if (verbose & show_basics) (Report the successful completion of the input phase 25);
    (Set up the main data structures 45);
    imems = mems, mems = 0;
    \langle Solve the problem 124\rangle;
  all\_done: \langle Close the files 8 \rangle;
    ⟨Print farewell messages 7⟩;
  }
```

 $\S 3$ SAT13 INTRO 3

- **3.** On the command line one can specify any or all of the following options:
- 'v (integer)' to enable various levels of verbose output on stderr.
- 'c (positive integer)' to limit the levels on which choices are shown by *show_choices*.
- 'H' positive integer' to limit the literals whose histories are shown by print_state.
- 'h' positive integer 'to adjust the hash table size.
- 'b
\ positive integer \>' to adjust the size of the input buffer.
- 's (integer)' to define the seed for any random numbers that are used.
- 'd (integer)' to set *delta* for periodic state reports. (See *print_state*.)
- 'D' positive integer' to set doomsday, the number of conflicts after which this world comes to an end.
- 'm' (positive integer)' to adjust the maximum memory size. (The binary logarithm is specified; it must be at most 31.)
- 't (positive integer)' to adjust trivial_limit (default 10). A trivial clause is substituted for a learned clause when the size of the latter is at least trivial_limit more than the size of the former.
- 'w (integer)' to set warmups, the number of "full runs" done after a restart (default 0).
- 'f (positive float)' to adjust restart_psi_fraction, the minimum agility threshold between automatically scheduled restarts (default 0.05).
- 'ij (integer)' to adjust recycle_bump, the number of conflicts before the first recycling pass (default 1000).
- 'J\phi positive integer\partial ' to adjust recycle_inc, the increase in number of conflicts between recycling passes (default 500).
- 'a\(\) float \(\)' to adjust \(alpha \), the weight given to unsatisfied levels when computing a clause's score during the recycling process (default 0.4). This parameter must be between 0 and 1.
- 'r' (positive float)' to adjust *var_rho*, the damping factor for variable activity scores.
- 'R(positive float)' to adjust clause_rho, the damping factor for clause activity scores.
- 'p\'\text{ nonnegative float}\'\'\' to adjust $rand_prob$, the probability that a branch variable is chosen randomly.
- 'P(nonnegative float)' to adjust true_prob, the probability that a variable's default initial value is true.
- 'x (filename)' to output a solution-eliminating clause to the specified file. If the given problem is satisfiable in more than one way, a different solution can be obtained by appending that file to the input.
- '1\langle filename \rangle' to output all of the learned clauses of length \leq learn_save to the specified file. (This data can be used, for example, as a certificate of unsatisfiability.)
- 'K (positive integer)' to adjust the learn_save parameter (default 10000).
- 'L\langle filename \rangle' to output some learned clauses to the specified file, for purposes of restarting after doomsday.

 (Those clauses can be combined with the original clauses and simplified by a preprocessor.)
- 'z(filename)' to input a "polarity file," which is a list of literals that receive specified default values to be used until forced otherwise. (Literals in this file whose names do not appear within any of the input clauses are ignored.)
- 'Z(filename)' to output a "polarity file" that will be suitable for restarting after doomsday.
- 'T(integer)' to set timeout: This program will abruptly terminate, when it discovers that mems > timeout.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \langle \operatorname{Process\ the\ command\ line\ 3} \rangle \equiv \\ & \textbf{for\ } (j = argc - 1, k = 0;\ j;\ j - -) \\ & \textbf{switch\ } (argv[j][0])\ \{ \\ & \langle \operatorname{Respond\ to\ a\ command-line\ option,\ setting\ k\ nonzero\ on\ error\ 5} \rangle; \\ & \textbf{default:\ } k = 1; \qquad /*\ unrecognized\ command-line\ option\ */ \\ & \} \\ & \langle \operatorname{If\ there's\ a\ problem,\ print\ a\ message\ about\ Usage:\ and\ exit\ 6} \rangle; \\ & \text{This\ code\ is\ used\ in\ section\ 2.} \end{array}
```

4 INTRO SAT13 §4

```
4. \langle Global variables 4\rangle \equiv
  int random\_seed = 0;
                           /* seed for the random words of gb\_rand */
  int verbose = show_basics; /* level of verbosity */
  uint show\_choices\_max = 1000000;
                                       /* above this level, show_choices is ignored */
  int hbits = 8;
                   /* logarithm of the number of the hash lists */
  int print\_state\_cutoff = 0;
                               /* don't print more than this many hists */
  int buf-size = 1024;
                          /* must exceed the length of the longest input line */
                      /* file for optional output of a solution-avoiding clause */
  FILE *out_file;
  char *out\_name;
                       /* its name */
                         /* file for learned clauses to be used in a restart */
  FILE *restart_file;
  char *restart_name;
                          /* its name */
  FILE *learned_file;
                          /* file for output of every learned clause */
                           /* its name */
  char *learned_name;
  int learn\_save = 10000;
                              /* threshold for not outputting to learned_file */
  ullng learned_out;
                         /* this many learned clauses have been output */
                            /* file for input of literal polarities */
  FILE *polarity_infile;
  char *polarity_in_name;
                              /* its name */
                             /* file for output of literal polarities */
  FILE *polarity_outfile;
                               /* its name */
  char *polarity_out_name;
                           /* mem counts */
  ullng imems, mems;
                  /* memory used by main data structures */
  ullng bytes;
  ullng nodes;
                  /* the number of nodes entered */
  ullng thresh = 0;
                       /* report when mems exceeds this, if delta \neq 0 *
  ullng delta = 0;
                      /* report every delta or so mems */
                                            /* give up after this many mems */
  ullng timeout = #1ffffffffffffff;
  uint memk_{-}max = memk_{-}max_{-}default;
                                            /* binary log of the maximum size of mem */
                           /* how much of mem has ever held data? */
  uint max_cells_used;
  int trivial\_limit = 10;
                           /* threshold for substituting trivial clauses */
                          /* damping factor for variable activity */
  float var_rho = 0.9;
  float clause\_rho = 0.9995;
                                /* damping factor for clause activity */
  float rand\_prob = 0.02;
                             /* probability of choosing at random */
                             /* probability of starting true on first ascent */
  float true\_prob = 0.50;
                            /* 2^{31} times rand\_prob */
  uint rand_prob_thresh;
  uint true_prob_thresh;
                            /* 2^{31} times true\_prob */
  float alpha = 0.4;
                        /* weighting for unsatisfiable levels in clause scores */
  int warmups = 0;
                        /* the number of full runs done after restart */
  ullng total_learned;
                          /* we've learned this many clauses */
                           /* and this is their total length */
  double cells_learned;
                              /* which was this before simplification */
  double cells_prelearned;
  ullng discards;
                     /* we quickly discarded this many of those clauses */
  ullng trivials;
                     /* we learned this many intentionally trivial clauses */
                           /* we subsumed this many clauses on-the-fly */
  ullng subsumptions;
  /* force endgame when total_learned exceeds this */
                         /* begin recycling when total_learned exceeds this */
  ullng next_recycle;
  ullng recycle\_bump = 1000;
                                 /* interval till the next recycling time */
                              /* amount to increase recycle_bump after each round */
  ullng recycle\_inc = 500;
                       /* begin to restart when total_learned exceeds this */
  ullng next_restart;
                        /* minimum agility threshold for restarts */
  ullng restart_psi;
  float restart_psi_fraction = .05; /* fractional equivalent of restart_psi */
  ullng actual_restarts;
See also sections 11, 27, 41, 59, 80, 90, 97, 111, and 140.
```

This code is used in section 2.

§5 SAT13 INTRO 5

```
5. (Respond to a command-line option, setting k nonzero on error 5 \equiv 1)
case 'v': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&verbose) - 1); break;
case 'c': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&show\_choices\_max) - 1); break;
case 'H': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", & print\_state\_cutoff) - 1); break;
case 'h': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&hbits) - 1); break;
case 'b': k = (sscanf(arqv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&buf\_size) - 1); break;
case 's': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&random\_seed) - 1); break;
case 'd': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"11d", \&delta) - 1); thresh = delta; break;
case 'D': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"lld", &doomsday) - 1); break;
\mathbf{case} \texttt{'m':} \ k \mid = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, \texttt{""}O\texttt{"d"}, \&memk\_max) - 1); \ \mathbf{break};
case 't': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&trivial\_limit) - 1); break;
\mathbf{case} \ \texttt{`w':} \ k \mid = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, \texttt{""}O\texttt{"d"}, \&warmups) - 1); \ \mathbf{break};
case 'j': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"lld", \&recycle\_bump) - 1); break;
\mathbf{case} \ \texttt{'J':} \ k \mid = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, \texttt{""}O\texttt{"1ld"}, \&recycle\_inc) - 1); \ \mathbf{break};
case 'K': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"d", \&learn\_save) - 1); break;
case 'f': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"f", \&restart\_psi\_fraction) - 1); break;
case 'a': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"f", \&alpha) - 1); break;
case 'r': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"f", \&var\_rho) - 1); break;
case 'R': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"f", &clause\_rho) - 1); break;
case 'p': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"f", \&rand\_prob) - 1); break;
case 'P': k = (sscanf(arqv[j] + 1, ""O"f", \&true\_prob) - 1); break;
case 'x': out\_name = argv[j] + 1, out\_file = fopen(out\_name, "w");
  \textbf{if } (\neg \textit{out\_file}) \textit{ fprintf}(\textit{stderr}, \texttt{"Sorry}, \bot I_{\bot} \texttt{can't}_{\bot} \texttt{open}_{\bot} \texttt{file}_{\bot} \texttt{`"} O \texttt{"s'}_{\bot} \texttt{for}_{\bot} \texttt{writing!} \texttt{`n"}, \textit{out\_name});\\
  break;
case 'l': learned\_name = argv[j] + 1, learned\_file = fopen(learned\_name, "w");
  if (\neg learned\_file)
     fprintf(stderr, "Sorry, \sqcup I \sqcup can't \sqcup open \sqcup file \sqcup `"O"s' \sqcup for \sqcup writing! \n", learned\_name);
  break:
case 'L': restart\_name = argv[j] + 1, restart\_file = fopen(restart\_name, "w");
  if (\neg restart\_file)
     fprintf(stderr, "Sorry, \sqcup I \sqcup can't \sqcup open \sqcup file \sqcup '"O"s' \sqcup for \sqcup writing! \n", restart\_name);
  break:
case 'z': polarity\_in\_name = argv[j] + 1, polarity\_infile = fopen(polarity\_in\_name, "r");
  if (\neg polarity\_infile)
     fprintf(stderr, "Sorry, _ | I_ | can't_ | open_ | file_ ' "O"s'_ | for_ | reading! n", polarity_ | in_ | name);
  break:
case 'Z': polarity\_out\_name = argv[j] + 1, polarity\_outfile = fopen(polarity\_out\_name, "w");
  if (\neg polarity\_outfile)
     fprintf(stderr, "Sorry, \sqcup I \sqcup can't \sqcup open \sqcup file \sqcup `"O"s' \sqcup for \sqcup writing! \n", polarity\_out\_name);
  break:
case 'T': k = (sscanf(argv[j] + 1, ""O"lld", \&timeout) - 1); break;
This code is used in section 3.
```

6 INTRO SAT13 §6

```
6. (If there's a problem, print a message about Usage: and exit 6) \equiv
        if (k \lor hbits < 0 \lor hbits > 30 \lor buf\_size \le 0 \lor memk\_max < 2 \lor memk\_max > 31 \lor trivial\_limit \le 0 \lor (int)
                                    recycle\_inc < 0 \lor alpha < 0.0 \lor alpha > 1.0 \lor rand\_prob < 0.0 \lor true\_prob < 0.0 \lor var\_rho \le 0.0 \lor var\_rho
                                   0.0 \lor clause\_rho \le 0.0) {
                  fprintf(stderr, "Usage: "O"s [v<n>] [c<n>] [h<n] [b<n>] [s<n>] [s<n>] [d<n>] ", <math>argv[0]);
                  fprintf(stderr, " \sqcup [D < n >] \sqcup [m < n >] \sqcup [t < n >] \sqcup [w < n >] \sqcup [j < n >] \sqcup [J < n >] \sqcup [K < n >] ");
                  fprintf(stderr, " [f < f > ] [a < f > ] [r < f > ] [R < f > ] [p < f > ] [P < f > ] ");
                  fprintf(stderr, "[x<foo][1<bar>][L<bar>][z<poi][Z<poo][T<n][v<li>][T<n][v<li>][T<n][v<li>][T<n][v<li>][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v<l] ][v<li>][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v][v<ll>][v<ll>][v<ll>][v<ll>][v<ll>][v<ll>][v<ll>][v<ll>][v<ll>][v<ll>][v<ll>][v<ll>][v<
                  exit(-1);
This code is used in section 3.
7. \langle \text{Print farewell messages } 7 \rangle \equiv
        if (verbose & show_basics) {
                  fprintf(stderr, "Altogether_"O"llu+"O"llu_mems, "O"llu_bytes, "O"llu_node"O"s, ", imems, "O"llu_bytes, "O"llu_node"O"s, ", imems, "O"llu_bytes, "O"llu_node"O"s, ", imems, "O"llu, bytes, "O"llu, bytes
                                    mems, bytes, nodes, nodes \equiv 1 ? "" : "s");
                  fprintf(stderr, " \sqcup "O" llu \sqcup clauses \sqcup learned", total\_learned);
                  if (total_learned) fprintf(stderr, "u(aveu"O".1f->"O".1f)", cells_prelearned/(double)
                                             total_learned, cells_learned/(double) total_learned);
                  fprintf(stderr, ", "O"u\_memcells. \n", max\_cells\_used);
                   if (learned\_file) fprintf(stderr, ""O"lld\_learned\_clauses\_written\_to_lfile\_`"O"s'.\n", \\
                                             learned_out, learned_name);
                  if (trivials) fprintf(stderr, "("O"lld learned clause"O"s trivial.) \n", trivials,
                                             trivials \equiv 1 ? "\_was" : "s\_were");
                 \textbf{if} \ (\textit{discards}) \ \textit{fprintf} (\textit{stderr}, \texttt{"("O"lld} \\ \texttt{learned} \\ \texttt{uclause"O"s} \\ \texttt{udiscarded.)} \\ \texttt{\coloredge}, \\ \texttt{\coloredge},
                                             discards \equiv 1 ? "\_was" : "s\_were");
                  if (subsumptions) fprintf(stderr, "("O"lld_|clause"O"s_|subsumed_|on-the-fly.)\n",
                                             subsumptions, subsumptions \equiv 1 ? "\_was" : "s\_were");
                 fprintf(stderr, "("O"lld_restart"O"s.)\n", actual_restarts, actual_restarts \equiv 1?"": "s");
This code is used in section 2.
8. \langle \text{Close the files } 8 \rangle \equiv
        if (out_file) fclose(out_file);
        if (learned_file) fclose(learned_file);
        if (restart_file) fclose(restart_file);
        if (polarity_infile) fclose(polarity_infile);
        if (polarity_outfile) fclose(polarity_outfile);
This code is used in section 2.
```

 $\S9$ SAT13 THE I/O WRAPPER 7

9. The I/O wrapper. The following routines read the input and absorb it into temporary data areas from which all of the "real" data structures can readily be initialized. My intent is to incorporate these routines into all of the SAT-solvers in this series. Therefore I've tried to make the code short and simple, yet versatile enough so that almost no restrictions are placed on the sizes of problems that can be handled. These routines are supposed to work properly unless there are more than $2^{32} - 1 = 4,294,967,295$ occurrences of literals in clauses, or more than $2^{31} - 1 = 2.147,483,647$ variables or clauses.

In these temporary tables, each variable is represented by four things: its unique name; its serial number; the clause number (if any) in which it has most recently appeared; and a pointer to the previous variable (if any) with the same hash address. Several variables at a time are represented sequentially in small chunks of memory called "vchunks," which are allocated as needed (and freed later).

```
/* preferably (2^k - 1)/3 for some k */
#define vars_per_vchunk 341
\langle \text{Type definitions } 9 \rangle \equiv
  typedef union {
    char ch8 [8];
    uint u2[2];
    ullng lng;
  } octa;
  typedef struct tmp_var_struct {
                     /* the name (one to eight ASCII characters) */
    octa name;
                     /* 0 for the first variable, 1 for the second, etc. */
                    /* m if positively in clause m; -m if negatively there */
    int stamp;
                                         /* pointer for hash list */
    struct tmp_var_struct *next;
  } tmp_var;
  typedef struct vchunk_struct {
    struct vchunk_struct *prev;
                                        /* previous chunk allocated (if any) */
    tmp_var var[vars_per_vchunk];
  } vchunk;
See also sections 10, 28, 29, and 30.
This code is used in section 2.
```

10. Each clause in the temporary tables is represented by a sequence of one or more pointers to the tmp_var nodes of the literals involved. A negated literal is indicated by adding 1 to such a pointer. The first literal of a clause is indicated by adding 2. Several of these pointers are represented sequentially in chunks of memory, which are allocated as needed and freed later.

8 THE I/O WRAPPER SAT13 $\S11$

```
11. \langle \text{Global variables } 4 \rangle + \equiv
                   /* buffer for reading the lines (clauses) of stdin */
  char *buf;
  tmp_var **hash;
                          /* heads of the hash lists */
  uint hash\_bits[93][8];
                             /* random bits for universal hash function */
  vchunk *cur\_vchunk;
                              /* the vchunk currently being filled */
  tmp\_var * cur\_tmp\_var;
                                 /* current place to create new tmp_var entries */
  tmp\_var *bad\_tmp\_var;
                                 /* the cur_tmp_var when we need a new vchunk */
                            /* the chunk currently being filled */
  chunk *cur\_chunk;
  tmp_var **cur_cell;
                             /* current place to create new elements of a clause */
                             /* the cur_cell when we need a new chunk */
  tmp_var **bad_cell;
                   /* how many distinct variables have we seen? */
  ullng vars;
                      /* how many clauses have we seen? */
  ullng clauses;
  ullng nullclauses;
                          /* how many of them were null? */
  int unaries;
                    /* how many were unary? */
                    /* how many were binary? */
  int binaries;
                    /* how many occurrences of literals in clauses? */
  ullng cells;
12. \langle \text{Initialize everything } 12 \rangle \equiv
  gb\_init\_rand(random\_seed);
  buf = (\mathbf{char} *) \ malloc(buf\_size * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{char}));
  if (\neg buf) {
    fprintf(stderr, "Couldn't_allocate_the_input_buffer_(buf_size="O"d)!\n", buf_size);
    exit(-2);
  hash = (\mathbf{tmp\_var} **) \ malloc(\mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{tmp\_var}) \ll hbits);
  if (\neg hash) {
    fprintf(stderr, "Couldn't_allocate_u"O"d_hash_list_heads_u(hbits="O"d)!\n", 1 \ll hbits, hbits);
  for (h = 0; h < 1 \ll hbits; h \leftrightarrow) hash[h] = \Lambda;
See also section 18.
This code is used in section 2.
```

 $\S13$ SAT13 THE I/O WRAPPER

9

13. The hash address of each variable name has h bits, where h is the value of the adjustable parameter hbits. Thus the average number of variables per hash list is $n/2^h$ when there are n different variables. A warning is printed if this average number exceeds 10. (For example, if h has its default value, 8, the program will suggest that you might want to increase h if your input has 2560 different variables or more.)

All the hashing takes place at the very beginning, and the hash tables are actually recycled before any SAT-solving takes place; therefore the setting of this parameter is by no means crucial. But I didn't want to bother with fancy coding that would determine h automatically.

```
\langle \text{Input the clauses } 13 \rangle \equiv
  while (1) {
     if (\neg fgets(buf, buf\_size, stdin)) break;
     clauses ++;
     if (buf[strlen(buf) - 1] \neq '\n') {
       fprintf(stderr, "The_lclause_lon_line_l"O"lld_l("O".20s...)_lis_ltoo_llong_lfor_lme; \n", clauses,
       fprintf(stderr, "umyubuf_size_lis_lonly_l"O"d!\n", buf_size);
       fprintf(stderr, "Please_use_the_command-line_option_b<newsize>. \n");
       exit(-4);
     \langle \text{ Input the clause in } buf 14 \rangle;
  if ((vars \gg hbits) \ge 10) {
     fprintf(stderr, "There\_are\_"O"lld\_variables\_but\_only\_"O"d\_hash\_tables; \n", vars, 1 \ll hbits);
     for (h = hbits + 1; (vars \gg h) \ge 10; h ++);
     fprintf(stderr, "\_maybe\_you\_should\_use\_command-line\_option\_h"O"d?\n", h);
  }
  clauses -= nullclauses;
  if (clauses \equiv 0) {
     fprintf(stderr, "No_{\square}clauses_{\square}were_{\square}input! \n");
     exit(-77);
  if (vars \ge *80000000) {
    fprintf(stderr, "Whoa, \_the \_input \_had \_"O"llu \_variables! \n", vars);
     exit(-664);
  if (clauses > #80000000) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Whoa, \_the \_input \_had \_"O"llu \_clauses! \n", clauses);
     exit(-665);
  if (cells > #10000000) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Whoa, \_the \_input \_had \_"O"llu \_occurrences \_of \_literals! \n", cells);
     exit(-666);
This code is used in section 2.
```

10 The I/O Wrapper Satis $\S14$

```
14. (Input the clause in buf 14) \equiv
  for (j = k = 0; ; )  {
     while (buf[j] \equiv ` \sqcup `) j \leftrightarrow ;
                                            /* scan to nonblank */
     if (buf[j] \equiv '\n') break;
     if (buf[j] < , , \lor buf[j] > , , ) {
        fprintf(stderr, "Illegal \cup character \cup (code \cup \#"O"x) \cup in \cup the \cup clause \cup on \cup line \cup "O"lld! \n",
              buf[j], clauses);
        exit(-5);
     if (buf[j] \equiv , , ) i = 1, j ++;
     else i=0;
     \langle Scan \text{ and record a variable}; \text{ negate it if } i \equiv 1 \text{ 15} \rangle;
  if (k \equiv 0) {
     fprintf(stderr, "(Empty_line_l"O"lld_lis_lbeing_lignored)\n", clauses);
                            /* strictly speaking it would be unsatisfiable */
  goto clause_done;
empty_clause: (Remove all variables of the current clause 22);
clause\_done: cells += k;
  if (k \equiv 1) unaries ++;
  else if (k \equiv 2) binaries ++;
This code is used in section 13.
15. We need a hack to insert the bit codes 1 and/or 2 into a pointer value.
#define hack_in(q,t) (tmp_var *)(t | (ullng) q)
\langle Scan and record a variable; negate it if i \equiv 1 15\rangle \equiv
     register tmp_var *p;
     if (cur\_tmp\_var \equiv bad\_tmp\_var) (Install a new vchunk 16);
     \langle \text{ Put the variable name beginning at } buf[j] \text{ in } cur\_tmp\_var \neg name \text{ and compute its hash code } h \text{ 19} \rangle;
     \langle \text{Find } cur\_tmp\_var \neg name \text{ in the hash table at } p \text{ 20} \rangle;
     if (p \rightarrow stamp \equiv clauses \lor p \rightarrow stamp \equiv -clauses) (Handle a duplicate literal 21)
     else {
        p \rightarrow stamp = (i ? -clauses : clauses);
        if (cur\_cell \equiv bad\_cell) (Install a new chunk 17);
        *cur\_cell = p;
        if (i \equiv 1) *cur\_cell = hack\_in(*cur\_cell, 1);
        if (k \equiv 0) *cur\_cell = hack\_in(*cur\_cell, 2);
        cur\_cell++, k++;
This code is used in section 14.
```

 $\S16$ SAT13 THE I/O WRAPPER 11

```
\langle \text{Install a new vchunk 16} \rangle \equiv
     register vchunk *new_vchunk;
     new\_vchunk = (\mathbf{vchunk} *) \ malloc(\mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{vchunk}));
     if (\neg new\_vchunk) {
        fprintf(stderr, "Can't_allocate_a_new_vchunk!\n");
        exit(-6);
     }
     new\_vchunk \neg prev = cur\_vchunk, cur\_vchunk = new\_vchunk;
     cur\_tmp\_var = \&new\_vchunk \rightarrow var[0];
     bad\_tmp\_var = \&new\_vchunk \rightarrow var[vars\_per\_vchunk];
  }
This code is used in section 15.
17. \langle \text{Install a new chunk } 17 \rangle \equiv
     register chunk *new_chunk;
     new\_chunk = (\mathbf{chunk} *) \ malloc(\mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{chunk}));
     if (\neg new\_chunk) {
        fprintf(stderr, "Can't_allocate_a_new_chunk!\n");
        exit(-7);
     new\_chunk \neg prev = cur\_chunk, cur\_chunk = new\_chunk;
     cur\_cell = \&new\_chunk \neg cell[0];
     bad\_cell = \&new\_chunk \rightarrow cell[cells\_per\_chunk];
  }
This code is used in section 15.
      The hash code is computed via "universal hashing," using the following precomputed tables of random
bits.
\langle Initialize everything 12\rangle + \equiv
  for (j = 92; j; j--)
     for (k = 0; k < 8; k \leftrightarrow) hash\_bits[j][k] = gb\_next\_rand();
19. \(\rightarrow\) Put the variable name beginning at buf[j] in cur\_tmp\_var \neg name and compute its hash code h 19\(\rightarrow\)
  cur\_tmp\_var \neg name.lng = 0;
  for (h = l = 0; buf[j + l] > ' " ' \land buf[j + l] \leq ' " '; l ++)  {
     if (l > 7) {
        fprintf(stderr, "Variable \ name \ "O".9s... \ in \ the \ clause \ on \ line \ "O"lld \ is \ too \ long!\ ",
             buf + j, clauses);
        exit(-8);
     h \oplus = hash\_bits[buf[j+l] - '!'][l];
     cur\_tmp\_var \rightarrow name.ch8[l] = buf[j+l];
  if (l \equiv 0) goto empty_clause; /* '~' by itself is like 'true' */
  j += l;
  h \&= (1 \ll hbits) - 1;
This code is used in sections 15 and 79.
```

12 The I/O Wrapper Satis $\S 20$

```
\langle \text{Find } cur\_tmp\_var \neg name \text{ in the hash table at } p \text{ 20} \rangle \equiv
  for (p = hash[h]; p; p = p \rightarrow next)
     if (p \rightarrow name.lng \equiv cur\_tmp\_var \rightarrow name.lng) break;
                  /* new variable found */
  if (\neg p) {
     p = cur\_tmp\_var ++;
     p \rightarrow next = hash[h], hash[h] = p;
     p \neg serial = vars ++;
     p \rightarrow stamp = 0;
This code is used in section 15.
21. The most interesting aspect of the input phase is probably the "unwinding" that we might need to do
when encountering a literal more than once in the same clause.
\langle Handle a duplicate literal 21 \rangle \equiv
     if ((p \rightarrow stamp > 0) \equiv (i > 0)) goto empty\_clause;
This code is used in section 15.
22. An input line that begins with '~__' is silently treated as a comment. Otherwise redundant clauses are
logged, in case they were unintentional. (One can, however, intentionally use redundant clauses to force the
order of the variables.)
\langle Remove all variables of the current clause 22\rangle \equiv
  while (k)
     \langle \text{Move } cur\_cell \text{ backward to the previous cell } 23 \rangle;
     k--;
  if ((buf[0] \neq ```) \lor (buf[1] \neq `\_'))
     fprintf(stderr, "(The_lclause_lon_lline_l"O"lld_lis_lalways_lsatisfied)\n", clauses);
  null clauses ++;
This code is used in section 14.
23. (Move cur_cell backward to the previous cell 23) \equiv
  if (cur\_cell > \& cur\_chunk \neg cell[0]) cur\_cell ---;
  else {
     register chunk *old\_chunk = cur\_chunk;
     cur\_chunk = old\_chunk \rightarrow prev; free(old\_chunk);
     bad\_cell = \&cur\_chunk \neg cell[cells\_per\_chunk];
     cur\_cell = bad\_cell - 1;
This code is used in sections 22 and 50.
24. (Move cur\_tmp\_var backward to the previous temporary variable 24) \equiv
  if (cur\_tmp\_var > \& cur\_vchunk \rightarrow var[0]) cur\_tmp\_var ---;
  else {
     register vchunk *old\_vchunk = cur\_vchunk;
     cur\_vchunk = old\_vchunk \neg prev; free(old\_vchunk);
     bad\_tmp\_var = \& cur\_vchunk \neg var[vars\_per\_vchunk];
     cur\_tmp\_var = bad\_tmp\_var - 1;
This code is used in section 54.
```

 $\S25$ SAT13 THE I/O WRAPPER 13

25. \langle Report the successful completion of the input phase 25 \rangle \equiv $fprintf(stderr, "("O"lld_Uvariables, "O"lld_Uclauses, "O"llu_Uliterals_Usuccessfully_read) \n", <math>vars, clauses, cells);$

This code is used in section 2.

SAT13

14

26. SAT solving, version 13. The methods used in this program have much in common with what we've seen before in SAT0, SAT1, etc.; yet conflict-driven clause learning is also rather different. So we might as well derive everything from first principles.

As usual, our goal is to find strictly distinct literals that satisfy all of the given clauses, or to prove that those clauses can't all be satisfied. Thus our subgoal, after having created a "trail" $l_0l_1 \dots l_t$ of literals that don't falsify any clause, will be to extend that sequence until finding a solution, and to do this without failing unless no solution exists.

If there's a clause c of the form $l \vee \bar{a}_1 \vee \cdots \vee \bar{a}_k$, where a_1 through a_k are in the trail but l isn't, we append l to the trail and say that c is its "reason." This operation, often called unit propagation, is basic to our program; we shall simply call it *forcing*. (We're forced to make l true, if a_1 through a_k are true, because c must be satisfied.) A *conflict* occurs if the complementary literal \bar{l} is already in the trail, because l can't be both true and false; but let's assume for now that no conflicts arise.

If no such forcing clause exists, and if the clauses aren't all satisfied, we choose a new distinct literal in some heuristic way, and append it to the trail with a "reason" of 0. Such literals are called *decisions*. They partition the trail into a sequence of decision levels, with literal l_j belonging to level d if and only if $i_d \leq j < i_{d+1}$. In general $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$; and we also define $i_0 = 0$. (Level 0 is special; it contains literals that are forced by clauses of length 1, if such clauses exist. Any such literals are unconditionally true. Every other level begins with exactly one decision.)

If the reason for l includes the literal \bar{l}' , we say "l depends directly on l'," and we write $l \succ l'$. And if there's a chain of one or more direct dependencies $l \succ l_1 \succ \cdots \succ l_k = l'$, we write $l \succ^+ l'$ and say simply that "l depends on l'." For example, given the three clauses a and $\bar{a} \lor b$ and $\bar{b} \lor \bar{c} \lor d$, we might begin the trail with $l_0l_1l_2l_3 = abcd$, where the first clause is the reason for a, the second clause is the reason for b, and the third clause is the reason for d, while c is a decision. Then $d \succ c$ and $d \succ b$ and $b \succ a$; hence $d \succ^+ a$.

Notice that a literal can depend only on literals that precede it in the trail. Furthermore, every literal l that's forced at level d > 0 depends directly on some *other* literal on that same level; hence l must necessarily depend on the dth decision.

The reason for reasons is that we need to deal with conflicts. We will see that every conflict allows us to construct a new clause c that must be true whenever the existing clauses are satisfiable, although c itself does not contain any existing clause. Therefore we can "learn" c by adding it to the existing clauses, and we can try again. This learning process can't go on forever, because only finitely many clauses are possible. Sooner or later we will therefore either find a solution or learn the empty clause.

A conflict clause c_d on decision level d has the form $l \vee \bar{a}_1 \vee \cdots \vee \bar{a}_k$, where l and all the a's belong to the trail; furthermore l and at least one a_i belong to level d. We can assume that l is rightmost in the trail, of all the literals in c_d . Hence l cannot be the d th decision; and it has a reason, say $l \vee \bar{a}'_1 \vee \cdots \vee \bar{a}'_{k'}$. Resolving c_d with this reason gives the clause $c = \bar{a}_1 \vee \cdots \vee \bar{a}_k \vee \bar{a}'_1 \vee \cdots \vee \bar{a}'_{k'}$, which includes at least one literal \bar{l}' for which l' is on level d. If more than one such literal is present, we can resolve c with the reason of a rightmost l'; the result will involve negations of literals that are still further to the left. By repeating this process we'll eventually obtain c of the form $\bar{l}' \vee \bar{b}_1 \vee \cdots \vee \bar{b}_r$, where l' is on level d and where b_1 through b_r are on lower levels.

Such a c is learnable, as desired, because it can't contain any existing clause. (Every subclause of c, including c itself, would have given us something to force at a lower level.) We can now discard levels > d' of the trail, where d' is the maximum level of b_1 through b_r ; and we append \bar{l}' to the end of level d', with c as its reason. The forcing process now resumes at level d', as if the learned clause had been present all along.

Okay, that's the basic idea of conflict-driven clause learning. Many other issues will come up as we refine it, of course. For example, we'll see that the clause c can often be simplified by removing one or more of its literals \bar{b}_i . And we'll want to "unlearn" clauses that outlive their usefulness.

27. What data structures support this procedure? We obviously need to represent the trail, as well as the levels, the values, and the reasons for each of its literals.

A principal concern is to make forcing as fast as possible. Many applications involve numerous binary clauses (that is, clauses of length 2); and binary clauses make forcing quite easy. So we should have a special mechanism to derive binary implications quickly.

Long clauses are also important. (Even if they aren't common in the input, the clauses that we learn may well turn out to involve dozens of literals.) "Watch lists" provide a good way to recognize when such clauses become ready for forcing: We choose two literals in each long clause, neither of which is false, and we pay no attention to that clause until one of its watched literals becomes false. In the latter case, we'll be able to watch it with another literal, unless the clause has become true or it's ready to force something. (We've used a similar idea, but with only one watched literal per clause, in SAT0W and SAT10.)

We'll want a good heuristic for choosing the decision literals. This program adopts the strategy of Eén and Sörensson's MiniSAT, which associates a floating-point *activity* score with each variable, and uses a heap to choose the most active variable.

Learned clauses also have a measure of clause quality devised by Gilles Audemard and Laurent Simon. The original clauses are static and stay in place, but we must periodically decide which of the learned clauses to keep.

```
\langle \text{Global variables 4} \rangle + \equiv
                 /* master array of clause data */
  cel *mem;
  uint memsize;
                    /* the number of cells allocated for it */
                         /* boundary between original and learned clauses */
  uint min_learned;
                         /* address of the first learned clause */
  uint first_learned;
                         /* the first unused position of mem */
  uint max_learned;
  int max\_lit;
                  /* value of the largest legal literal */
  uint *bmem:
                    /* binary clause data */
  literal *lmem;
                      /* attributes of literals */
                        /* attributes of variables */
  variable *vmem;
                   /* priority queue for sorting variables by their activity */
  uint *heap;
              /* number of items currently in the heap */
  int hn;
                  /* literals currently assumed, or forced by those assumptions */
  uint *trail;
                /* just past the end of the trail */
  int eptr;
  int ebptr;
                 /* just past where binary propagations haven't been done yet */
  int lptr;
               /* just past where we've checked nonbinary propagations */
  int lbptr;
                /* just past where we've checked binary propagations */
  char *history;
                     /* type of assertion, for diagnostic printouts */
                 /* twice the current level */
  int llevel:
  int *leveldat;
                    /* where levels begin; also conflict data on full runs */
```

28. Binary clauses $u \lor v$ are represented by putting v into a list associated with \bar{u} and u into a list associated with \bar{v} . These "binary implication" lists are created once and for all at the beginning of the run, as explained below.

Longer clauses (and binary clauses that are learned later) are represented in a big array mem of 32-bit integers. (Entries of mem are often called "cells" in this documentation.) The literals of clause c are mem[c].lit, mem[c+1].lit, mem[c+2].lit, etc.; the first two of these are "watching" c. The number of literals, size(c), is mem[c-1].lit; and we keep links to other clauses being watched by the same literals in $link\theta(c) = mem[c-2].lit$ and link1(c) = mem[c-3].lit.

(Incidentally, this linked structure for watch lists was originally introduced in PicoSAT by Armin Biere [Journal on Satisfiability, Boolean Modeling and Computation 4 (2008), 75–97]. Nowadays the fastest solvers use a more complicated mechanism called "blocking literals," due to Niklas Sörensson, which is faster because it is more cache friendly. However, I'm sticking to linked lists, because (1) they don't need dynamic storage allocation of sequential arrays; (2) they use fewer memory accesses; and (3) on modern multithreaded machines they can be implemented so as to avoid the cache misses, by starting up threads whose sole purpose is to preload the link-containing cells into the cache. I expect that software to facilitate such transformations will be widely available before long.)

Sometimes we learn that a clause can be strengthened by removing one of its literals. In such cases we add $sign_bit$ to the surplus literal, swap it to the end of the clause, and decrease the size field. Except for such deleted literals, the sign bit of every cell in mem should be zero. (The earliest cell of a learned clause c is the nonnegative floating-point value activ(c). The final clause should be followed by a zero cell, so that garbage at the end isn't confused with a deleted literal.)

If c is the current reason for literal l, its first literal mem[c].lit is always equal to l. This condition makes it easy to tell if a given clause plays an important role in the current trail.

A learned clause is identifiable by the condition $c \ge min_learned$. Such clauses have additional information, range(c) = mem[c-4].lit and activ(c) = mem[c-5].flt, which will help us decide whether or not to keep them after memory has begun to fill up.

```
#define size(c) mem[(c) - 1].lit
#define link\theta(c) mem[(c)-2].lit
#define link1(c) mem[(c) - 3].lit
#define clause_extra 3
                             /* every clause has a 3-cell preamble */
#define sign_bit #80000000
#define range(c) mem[(c) - 4].lit
#define activ(c) mem[(c) - 5].flt
#define activ\_as\_lit(c) ((ullng) mem[(c) - 5].lit \ll 32)
#define learned_supplement 2 /* learned clauses have this many more cells in their preamble */
#define learned_extra (clause_extra + learned_supplement)
                                                               /* preamble length */
\langle Type definitions 9 \rangle + \equiv
  typedef union {
    uint lit;
    float flt;
  } cel;
```

29. The variables are numbered from 1 to n. The literals corresponding to variable k are k+k and k+k+1, standing respectively for v and \bar{v} if the kth variable is v.

Several different kinds of data are maintained for each variable: its eight-character name; its activity score (used to indicate relative desirability for being chosen to make the next decision); its current value, which also encodes the level at which the value was set; its current location, tloc, in the trail; and its current location, hloc, in the heap (which is used to find a maximum activity score). There's also oldval and stamp, which will be explained later.

```
#define bar(l) ((l) \oplus 1)
#define thevar(l) ((l) \gg 1)
#define litname(l) (l) & 1? "~": "", vmem[thevar(l)].name.ch8 /* used in printouts */
#define poslit(v) ((v) \ll 1)
#define neglit(v) (((v) \ll 1) + 1)
                                /* value when the variable hasn't been assigned */
#define unset #ffffffff
#define isknown(l) (vmem[thevar(l)].value \neq unset)
#define iscontrary(l) ((vmem[thevar(l)].value \oplus (l)) \& 1)
\langle \text{Type definitions } 9 \rangle + \equiv
  typedef struct {
    octa name;
    double activity;
    uint value;
    int tloc;
                  /* is -1 if the variable isn't in the heap */
    int hloc:
    uint oldval;
    uint stamp;
                    /* not used, but gives octabyte alignment */
    uint filler;
  } variable;
```

30. Special data for each literal goes into *lmem*, containing the literal's *reason* for being true, the first clause (if any) that it watches, and the boundaries of its binary implications in *bmem*.

```
⟨Type definitions 9⟩ +≡
typedef struct {
  int reason; /* is negative for binary reasons, otherwise clause number */
  uint watch; /* head of the list of clauses watched by this literal */
  uint bimp_start; /* where binary implications begin in bmem */
  uint bimp_end; /* just after where they end (or zero if there aren't any) */
} literal;
```

Here is a subroutine that prints the binary implicant data for a given literal. (Used only when debugging.) \langle Subroutines 31 $\rangle \equiv$ void print_bimp(int l) register uint la; $printf(""O"s"O".8s("O"d)_{\sqcup} -> ", litname(l), l);$ **if** $(lmem[l].bimp_end)$ { for $(la = lmem[l].bimp_start; la < lmem[l].bimp_end; la ++)$ $printf("_{\sqcup}"O"s"O".8s("O"d)", litname(bmem[la]), bmem[la]);$ $printf("\n");$ } See also sections 32, 33, 34, 39, 42, 43, 44, and 71. This code is used in section 2. Similarly, we can print the numbers of all clauses that l is currently watching. \langle Subroutines 31 $\rangle +\equiv$ void print_watches_for(int l) register uint c; $printf(""O"s"O".8s("O"d)_{\sqcup}watched_{\sqcup}in", litname(l), l);$ for (c = lmem[l].watch; c;) $printf("_{\sqcup}"O"u",c);$ **if** $(mem[c].lit \equiv l)$ $c = link\theta(c)$; else c = link1(c); $\mathit{printf}\,(\verb"\n");$

And we also sometimes need to see the literals of a given clause.

```
\langle Subroutines 31\rangle + \equiv
  void print_clause(uint c)
     register int k, l;
     printf (""O"u:", c);
     \mathbf{if} \ (c < \mathit{clause\_extra} \ \lor c \geq \mathit{max\_learned}) \ \{
        printf(" \sqcup clause \sqcup "O" d \sqcup doesn't \sqcup exist! \n", c);
        return;
     for (k = 0; k < size(c); k++) {
        l = mem[c+k].lit;
        if (l < 2 \lor l > max\_lit) {
           printf(" \sqcup BAD! \n");
           return;
        printf("_{\sqcup}"O"s"O".8s("O"u)", litname(l), l);
     while (mem[c+k].lit \& sign\_bit) {
        l = mem[c + k].lit \oplus sign\_bit;
        if (l < 2 \lor l > max\_lit) {
           printf("_{\sqcup}!BAD! \setminus n");
           return;
        printf("_{\sqcup}!"O"s"O".8s("O"u)", litname(l), l);
     printf("\n");
  }
#define sanity_checking 0
                                        /* set this to 1 if you suspect a bug */
```

34. Speaking of debugging, here's a routine to check if the redundant parts of our data structure have gone awry.

```
\langle Subroutines 31\rangle + \equiv
  void sanity(int eptr)
     register uint k, l, c, endc, u, v, clauses, watches, vals, llevel;
      ⟨ Check all clauses for spurious data 35⟩;
      \langle Check the watch lists 36\rangle;
      \langle Check the sanity of the heap 72\rangle;
      \langle \text{ Check the trail } 37 \rangle;
      \langle Check the variables 38\rangle;
  }
```

20

```
\langle Check all clauses for spurious data 35\rangle \equiv
for (clauses = k = 0, c = clause\_extra; c < min\_learned; k = c, c = endc + clause\_extra) {
  endc = c + size(c);
  clauses ++;
  if (link\theta(c) \ge max\_learned) {
     fprintf(stderr, "bad_link0("O"u)! \n", c);
    return;
  if (link1(c) \ge max\_learned) {
     fprintf(stderr, "bad_{\sqcup}link1("O"u)! \n", c);
     return;
  if (size(c) < 2) fprintf(stderr, "size("O"u)="O"d! \n", c, size(c));
  for (k = 0; k < size(c); k++)
     if (mem[c+k].lit < 2 \lor mem[c+k].lit > max\_lit)
       fprintf(stderr, "bad_llit_l"O"d_lof_l"O"d! \n", k, c);
  while (mem[c+k].lit \& sign\_bit) {
    \mathbf{if} \ (\mathit{mem}[c+k].\mathit{lit} < 2 + \mathit{sign\_bit} \lor \mathit{mem}[c+k].\mathit{lit} > \mathit{max\_lit} + \mathit{sign\_bit})
       fprintf(stderr, "bad_deleted_lit_"O"d_of_"O"d!\n", k, c);
     k++, endc++;
  }
if (c \neq min\_learned) fprintf (stderr, "bad\_last\_unlearned\_clause\_("O"d)! \n", k);
  for (k = 0, c = first\_learned; c < max\_learned; k = c, c = endc + learned\_extra) {
     endc = c + size(c);
     clauses ++;
     if (link\theta(c) \ge max\_learned) {
       fprintf(stderr, "bad_{\sqcup}link0("O"u)! \n", c);
       return;
     if (link1(c) \ge max\_learned) {
       fprintf(stderr, "bad_link1("O"u)! \n", c);
       return;
     if (size(c) < 2) fprintf(stderr, "size("O"u)="O"d! \n", c, size(c));
     for (k = 0; k < size(c); k++)
       if (mem[c+k].lit < 2 \lor mem[c+k].lit > max\_lit)
          fprintf(stderr, "bad_llit_l"O"d_lof_l"O"d! \n", k, c);
     while (mem[c+k].lit \& sign\_bit) {
       if (mem[c+k].lit < 2 + sign\_bit \lor mem[c+k].lit > max\_lit + sign\_bit)
         fprintf(stderr, "bad\_deleted\_lit_"O"d\_of_"O"d! \n", k, c);
       k++, endc++;
     }
  if (c \neq max\_learned) fprintf(stderr, "bad\_last\_learned\_clause\_("O"d)! \n", k);
  if (mem[c-learned\_extra].lit) fprintf(stderr, "missing_zero_at_end_of_mem! \n");
```

This code is used in section 34.

In really bad cases this routine will get into a loop. I try to avoid segmentation faults, but not loops.

```
\langle Check the watch lists 36\rangle \equiv
   for (watches = 0, l = 2; l \leq max\_lit; l++) {
       for (c = lmem[l].watch; c;) {
          watches ++;
          if (c < clause\_extra \lor c \ge max\_learned) {
              fprintf(stderr, "clause_{\sqcup}"O"u_{\sqcup}in_{\sqcup}watch_{\sqcup}list_{\sqcup}"O"u_{\sqcup}out_{\sqcup}of_{\sqcup}range! \n", c, l);
          if (mem[c].lit \equiv l) c = link\theta(c);
          else if (mem[c+1].lit \equiv l) c = link1(c);
          else {
              \mathit{fprintf}\,(\mathit{stderr}, \texttt{"clause}_{\sqcup} \texttt{"}O \texttt{"u}_{\sqcup} \texttt{improperly}_{\sqcup} \texttt{on}_{\sqcup} \texttt{watch}_{\sqcup} \texttt{list}_{\sqcup} \texttt{"}O \texttt{"u}! \\ \texttt{\clause}_{\sqcup};
              return;
       }
   if (watches \neq clauses + clauses)
       fprintf(stderr, ""O"u_{\sqcup}clauses_{\sqcup}but_{\sqcup}"O"u_{\sqcup}watches!\n", clauses, watches);
This code is used in section 34.
```

SAT13

```
37. \langle Check the trail 37\rangle \equiv
         for (k = llevel = 0; k < eptr; k++)  {
                  l = trail[k];
                  if (l < 2 \lor l > max\_lit) {
                            fprintf(stderr, "bad_{\sqcup}lit_{\sqcup}"O"u_{\sqcup}in_{\sqcup}trail["O"u]! \n", l, k);
                            return:
                    \textbf{if} \ (vmem[thevar(l)].tloc \neq k) \ fprintf(stderr, ""O"s"O".8s\_has\_bad\_tloc\_("O"d\_not\_"O"d)! \\ \n",
                                                litname(l), vmem[thevar(l)].tloc, k);
                   if (k \equiv leveldat[llevel + 2]) {
                            llevel += 2;
                            if (lmem[l].reason)
                                      fprintf(stderr, ""O"s"O".8s("O"u), level "O"u, shouldn't have reason! n", <math>litname(l), litname(l), l
                                                         l, llevel \gg 1);
                   } else {
                            if (llevel \land \neg lmem[l].reason) fprintf(stderr,
                                                         ""O"s"O". 8s("O"u), \Boxlevel\Box"O"u, \Boxshould\Boxhave\Boxreason!\backslashn", litname(l), l, llevel \gg 1);
                   if (lmem[bar(l)].reason) fprintf(stderr,
                                                 ""O"s"O". 8s("O"u), \Boxlevel\Box"O"u, \Boxcomp\Boxhas\Boxreason!\backslashn", litname(l), l, llevel \gg 1);
                   if (vmem[thevar(l)].value \neq llevel + (l \& 1))
                            fprintf(stderr, ""O"s"O".8s("O"u), \_level\_"O"u, \_las_bad\_value! \n", <math>litname(l), l, llevel \gg 1);
                   if (llevel) {
                            if (lmem[l].reason \leq 0) {
                                      if (lmem[l].reason \equiv -1 \lor lmem[l].reason < -max\_lit)
                                               fprintf(stderr, ""O"s"O".8s("O"u), level "O"u, has wrong binary reason ("O"u)! n",
                                                                   litname(l), l, llevel \gg 1, c);
                            } else {
                                      c = lmem[l].reason;
                                      if (mem[c].lit \neq l)
                                               fprintf(stderr, ""O"s"O".8s("O"u), | level | "O"u, | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | reason | ("O"u)! \n", | has | wrong | w
                                                                   litname(l), l, llevel \gg 1, c);
                                      u = bar(mem[c+1].lit);
                                      if (vmem[thevar(u)].value \neq llevel + (u \& 1))
                                               fprintf(stderr, ""O"s"O".8s("O"u), \_level\_"O"u, \_has\_bad\_reason\_("O"u)! \\ \n", \_has\_bad\_reason\_("O"u)! \\ \
                                                                   litname(l), l, llevel \gg 1, c);
This code is used in section 34.
38. \langle Check the variables 38\rangle \equiv
         for (vals = 0, v = 1; v \le vars; v ++) {
                  if (vmem[v].value > llevel + 1) {
                            if (vmem[v].value \neq unset) fprintf(stderr, "strange_uval_u"O".8s_u(level_u"O"u)!\n",
                                                         vmem[v].name.ch8, vmem[v].value \gg 1);
                            else if (vmem[v].hloc < 0)
                                       fprintf(stderr, ""O".8s_should_be_in_the_heap!\n", vmem[v].name.ch8);
                   \} else vals ++;
         if (vals \neq eptr) fprintf(stderr, "I_lfound_l"O"u_lvalues, lbut_leptr="O"u! n", vals, eptr);
This code is used in section 34.
```

uint props;

39. The $print_stats$ subroutine presents a digest of the current goings-on. First it shows the number of literals learned at level zero (z). Then it shows recent smoothed-average values of decision depth (d), mems per conflict (m), propagations per conflict (p), resolutions per conflict (r), literals per learned clause (L) and (L), where the latter is restricted to nontrivial clauses), glucose per learned clause (g), and clauses of length six or less per learned clause (s), together with the recent agility (a). For my own edification I also estimate mems per propagation (m/p).

```
#define two_to_the_32 4294967296.0
\langle \text{Subroutines } 31 \rangle + \equiv
  void print_stats(void)
     register double mpc = mems\_per\_confl, ppc = props\_per\_confl;
     fprintf(stderr, "z="O"d_d="O".1f_t="O".1f_m="O".1f_p="O".1f_p="O".1f_p="O".1f_m/p="O".1f", leveldat[2],
          (double) depth_per_decision/two_to_the_32,(double) trail_per_decision/two_to_the_32,
          mpc/two\_to\_the\_32, ppc/two\_to\_the\_32, mpc/ppc);
     fprintf(stderr, "\_r = "O".1f\_L = "O".1f\_l = "O".1f\_g = "O".1f\_s = "O".2f\_a = "O".2f n", (double))
          res_per_conft/two_to_the_32, (double) lits_per_conft/two_to_the_32,
          (double) lits_per_nontriv/two_to_the_32, (double) glucose_per_conft/two_to_the_32, (double)
          short_per_conft/two_to_the_32, (double) agility/two_to_the_32);
  }
40. We represent the statistics \sigma = (x_0 + x_1 \zeta + x_2 \zeta^2 + \cdots)/(1 + \zeta + \zeta^2 + \cdots), for various integer quantities x,
as 64-bit unsigned integers with 32 bits of fraction. Here x_k denotes the value of x at the k-from-last conflict,
and \zeta is the damping factor 1-2^{-\gamma}.
  Thus, to update \sigma with a new value of x at conflict time, we replace it by \zeta \sigma + 2^{32} x/(1+\zeta+\zeta^2+\cdots) =
\sigma - \sigma/2^7 + 2^{25}x.
\langle \text{Update the smoothed-average stats after a clause has been learned 40} \rangle \equiv
  mems\_per\_confl += -(mems\_per\_confl \gg 7) + ((mems-mems\_at\_prev\_confl) \ll 25);
  mems\_at\_prev\_confl = mems;
  props\_per\_confl += -(props\_per\_confl \gg 7) + ((ullng) props \ll 25);
  props = 0;
  res\_per\_confl += -(res\_per\_confl \gg 7) + ((ullng) resols \ll 25);
  lits\_per\_confl += -(lits\_per\_confl \gg 7) + ((ullng) learned\_size \ll 25);
  if (\neg trivial\_learning) lits_per_nontriv +=-(lits\_per\_nontriv \gg 7)+((ullng)\ learned\_size \ll 25);
  short\_per\_confl += -(short\_per\_confl \gg 7) + (learned\_size > 6?0:1 \ll 25);
  glucose\_per\_confl += -(glucose\_per\_confl \gg 7) + ((ullng) \ clevels \ll 25);
This code is used in section 102.
41. \langle Global variables 4 \rangle + \equiv
                                   /* smoothed average of llevel \gg 1 at decision time */
  ullng depth_per_decision;
  ullng trail_per_decision;
                                 /* smoothed average of eptr at decision time */
  ullng mems_per_confl, lits_per_confl, lits_per_nontriv;
                                                                 /* smoothed averages */
                                                 /* more smoothies */
  ullng res_per_confl, glucose_per_confl;
                                                /* this one ought to be nonzero */
  ullng props\_per\_confl = two\_to\_the\_32;
  uint short_per_confl;
                           /* smoothed probability of learned clause being short */
                     /* smoothed probability of forced flips in value */
  ullng mems_at_prev_confl;
                                   /* mems at the previous update */
```

/* propagations since the previous update */

24

In long runs it's helpful to know how far we've gotten. A numeric code summarizes the histories of literals that appear in the current trail: 0 or 1 means that we're trying to set a variable true or false, as a decision at the beginning of a level; 2 or 3 is similar, but after we've learned that the decision was wrong (hence we've learned a clause that has forced the opposite decision); 4 or 5 is similar, but when the value was forced by the decision at the previous decision node; 6 or 7 is similar, but after we learned that a previous decision forces this one. (In the latter case, the learned clause forced a variable that was not the decision variable at its level.) This code is also used for unit clauses in the input.

A special history array is used to provide these base codes (0, 2, 4, or 6). No mems are assessed for maintaining history, because it isn't used in any decisions taken by the algorithm; it's purely for diagnostic purposes.

The variable trail_marker marks a place in the trail that I'm trying to study. This subroutine inserts a vertical line at that point, so that I can watch where it goes. (Maybe other users might even find it informative some day, who knows?)

Note: These codes are analogous to similar codes in SAT0, SAT0W, SAT10, and SAT11. But they don't really give an easy-to-read picture of progress, as they did in the others, because they don't increase lexicographically in the presence of restarts. Therefore they are displayed only if the user has set print_state_cutoff to a positive value, using the command-line parameter H.

```
\langle Subroutines 31 \rangle + \equiv
  void print_state(int eptr)
     register uint j, k;
     fprintf(stderr, "\_after\_"O"lld\_mems: ", mems);
     if (print_state_cutoff) {
       for (k = 0; k < eptr; k++) {
          if (k \equiv trail\_marker) fprintf (stderr, "|");
          fprintf(stderr, ""O"d", history[k] + (trail[k] \& 1));
          if (k \geq print\_state\_cutoff) {
            fprintf(stderr, "..."); break;
       fprintf(stderr, "\n");
     fprintf(stderr, " \sqcup ");
     print_stats();
     fflush(stderr);
```

43. We might also like to see the complete trail, including names and reasons.

```
\langle Subroutines 31\rangle + \equiv
  void print_trail(int eptr)
     register int k, l;
     for (k = 0; k < eptr; k++) {
       l = trail[k];
       if (k \ge vars \lor l < 2 \lor l > max\_lit) return;
       fprintf(stderr, ""O"d:_{\square}"O"d_{\square}"O"d_{\square}"O"s"O".8s("O"d)", k, history[k] + (l \& 1),
            vmem[thevar(l)].value \gg 1, litname(l), l);
       if (lmem[l].reason > 0) {
         if ((vmem[thevar(l)].value \gg 1) \lor lmem[l].reason < min\_learned)
            fprintf(stderr, "\_\#"O"u\n", lmem[l].reason);
         else fprintf(stderr, "□learned\n");
                                                     /* learned at root level */
       } else if (lmem[l].reason < 0) fprintf(stderr, "u<-u"O"s"O".8s\n", litname(-lmem[l].reason));
       else fprintf(stderr, "\n");
  }
```

44. Here's a diagnostic routine that runs through all the nonbinary, nonlearned clauses, printing any that are unsatisfied with respect to the current partial assignment of values to variables.

```
\langle Subroutines 31 \rangle + \equiv
  void print_unsat(void)
    register int c, endc, k, l;
    for (c = clause\_extra; c < min\_learned; c = endc + clause\_extra) {
       endc = c + size(c);
       for (k = endc - 1; k \ge c; k - -) {
         l = mem[k].lit;
         if (isknown(l) \land \neg iscontrary(l)) break;
                       /* clause c not satisfied */
       if (k < c) {
         fprintf(stderr, ""O"d:", c);
         for (k = 0; k < size(c); k++) {
            l = mem[c + k].lit;
            if (\neg isknown(l)) fprintf (stderr, " \sqcup "O"s"O".8s", litname(l));
         fprintf(stderr, " \sqcup | ");
                                     /* the remaining literals are false */
         for (k = 0; k < size(c); k++) {
            l = mem[c+k].lit;
            if (isknown(l)) fprintf(stderr, ""O"s"O".8s", litname(l));
         fprintf(stderr, "\n");
       while (mem[endc].lit \& sign\_bit) endc ++;
  }
```

26

45. Initializing the real data structures. We're ready now to convert the temporary chunks of data into the form we want, and to recycle those chunks. The code below is, of course, similar to what has worked in previous programs of this series.

```
\langle Set up the main data structures 45\rangle \equiv
  \langle Allocate vmem and heap 46\rangle;
  if (polarity_infile) \( \text{Initialize the heap from a file 79} \)
  else (Initialize the heap randomly 78);
  \langle Allocate the other main arrays 47\rangle;
   (Copy all the temporary cells to the mem and bmem and trail arrays in proper format 49);
   (Copy all the temporary variable nodes to the vmem array in proper format 54);
   \langle \text{ Check consistency 55} \rangle;
   \langle Allocate the auxiliary arrays 57\rangle;
This code is used in section 2.
46. \langle Allocate vmem and heap 46\rangle \equiv
  vmem = (variable *) malloc((vars + 1) * sizeof(variable));
  if (\neg vmem) {
     exit(-12);
  bytes += (vars + 1) * sizeof(variable);
  for (k = 1; k \le vars; k++) o, vmem[k].value = unset, vmem[k].tloc = -1;
  heap = (\mathbf{uint} *) \ malloc(vars * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{uint}));
  if (\neg heap) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I \sqcup can't \sqcup allocate \sqcup the \sqcup heap \sqcup array! \n");
     exit(-11);
  bytes += vars * sizeof(uint);
This code is used in section 45.
```

SAT13

This code is used in section 45.

```
\langle Allocate the other main arrays 47\rangle \equiv
   free(buf); free(hash);
                                     /* a tiny gesture to make a little room */
   \langle Figure out how big mem ought to be 48\rangle;
   mem = (\mathbf{cel} *) \ malloc(memsize * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{cel}));
   if (\neg mem) {
      fprintf(stderr, "Oops, _ \sqcup I_ \sqcup can't_ \sqcup allocate_ \sqcup the_ \sqcup big_ \sqcup mem_ \sqcup array! \n");
      exit(-10);
   bytes += max\_cells\_used * sizeof(cel);
   max\_lit = vars + vars + 1;
   lmem = (literal *) malloc((max\_lit + 1) * sizeof(literal));
   if (\neg lmem) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I \sqcup can't \sqcup allocate \sqcup the \sqcup lmem \sqcup array! \n");
      exit(-13);
   bytes += (max\_lit + 1) * sizeof(literal);
   trail = (\mathbf{uint} *) \ malloc(vars * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{uint}));
   if (\neg trail) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I \sqcup can't \sqcup allocate \sqcup the \sqcup trail \sqcup array! \n");
      exit(-14);
   \textit{bytes} \mathrel{+}= \textit{vars} * \mathbf{sizeof(uint)};
See also section 56.
```

28

48. The mem array will contain $2^k - 1 < 2^{31}$ cells of four bytes each, where k is the parameter memk_max; this parameter is memk_max_default (currently 26) by default, and changeable by the user via m on the command line. (Apology: This program is for my own use in experiments, so I haven't bothered to give it a more user-friendly interface.)

It will begin with data for all clauses of length 3 or more; then come the learned clauses, which have slightly longer preambles. During the initialization, some of the eventual space for learned clauses is used temporarily to hold the binary clause information.

We will record in bytes and max_cells_used only the number of cells actually utilized; this at least gives the user some clue about how big m should be.

```
/* allow 64 million cells in mem by default */
#define memk_max_default 26
\langle Figure out how big mem ought to be 48 \rangle \equiv
     ullng proto\_memsize = (clauses - unaries - binaries) * clause\_extra + (cells - unaries - 2 * binaries) +
          clause\_extra;
     min\_learned = proto\_memsize;
     proto\_memsize += 2 * binaries + learned\_supplement;
     if (proto\_memsize \ge \#80000000) {
       fprintf(stderr, "Sorry, \sqcup I_{\sqcup} can't_{\sqcup} handle_{\sqcup}"O"llu_{\sqcup} cells_{\sqcup}(2^31_{\sqcup} is_{\sqcup} my_{\sqcup} limit)! \n",
            proto_memsize);
       exit(-665);
     max\_cells\_used = proto\_memsize - learned\_supplement + 2;
     first\_learned = max\_learned = min\_learned + learned\_supplement;
     memsize = 1 \ll memk\_max;
     if (max\_cells\_used > memsize) {
       fprintf(stderr, "Immediate\_memory\_overflow\_(memsize="O"u<"O"u),\_please\_increase\_m!\n",
            memsize, max\_cells\_used);
       exit(-666);
     if (verbose \& show\_details) fprintf(stderr, "(learned_clauses_begin_at_"O"u)\n", first\_learned);
This code is used in section 47.
```

Binary data is copied temporarily into cells starting at $min_learned + 2$. (The '+2' is needed because the final clause processed is input with $c = min_learned$.) \langle Copy all the temporary cells to the mem and bmem and trail arrays in proper format 49 \rangle /* empty the trail in preparation for unit clauses */ for $(l=2; l \leq max_lit; l++)$ oo, $lmem[l].reason = lmem[l].watch = lmem[l].bimp_end = 0;$ for $(c = clause_extra, j = clauses, jj = min_learned + 2; j; j--)$ { \langle Insert the cells for the literals of clause c 50 \rangle ; if $(k \le 2)$ \langle Do special things for unary and binary clauses 51 \rangle else { o, size(c) = k;l = mem[c].lit; $ooo, link\theta(c) = lmem[l].watch, lmem[l].watch = c;$ l = mem[c+1].lit;ooo, link1(c) = lmem[l].watch, lmem[l].watch = c; $c += k + clause_extra;$ } $o, mem[c - clause_extra].lit = 0;$ /* put zero at end of mem */ if $(c \neq min_learned)$ { $fprintf(stderr, "Oh_{\sqcup}oh_{\sqcup}I_{\sqcup}didn't_{\sqcup}load_{\sqcup}the_{\sqcup}correct_{\sqcup}number_{\sqcup}of_{\sqcup}cells_{\sqcup}("O"u:"O"u)!\n", c,$ $min_learned$); exit(-17);**if** $(jj \neq max_cells_used)$ { $fprintf(stderr, "Oh_{\sqcup}Oh_{\sqcup}I_{\sqcup}miscounted_{\sqcup}binaries_{\sqcup}somehow_{\sqcup}("O"u:"O"u)! \n", jj, max_cells_used);$ exit(-18); ⟨Reformat the binary implications 53⟩; This code is used in section 45. The basic idea is to "unwind" the steps that we went through while building up the chunks. #define $hack_out(q)$ (((ullng) q) & #3) #define $hack_clean(q)$ ((tmp_var *)((ullng) q & -4)) \langle Insert the cells for the literals of clause c 50 $\rangle \equiv$ for (i = 0; i < 2;) $\langle Move \ cur_cell \ backward \ to \ the \ previous \ cell \ 23 \rangle$; $i = hack_out(*cur_cell);$

This code is used in section 49.

p += p + (i & 1) + 2;o, mem[c + k++].lit = p;

 $p = hack_clean(*cur_cell) \rightarrow serial;$

30

```
(Do special things for unary and binary clauses 51) \equiv
     if (k < 2) \( Define mem[c].lit \text{ at level } 0.52 \)
     else {
                                                  /* no mem charged for these */
       l = mem[c].lit, ll = mem[c+1].lit;
       oo, lmem[bar(l)].bimp\_end ++;
       oo, lmem[bar(ll)].bimp\_end++;
       o, mem[jj].lit = l, mem[jj+1].lit = ll, jj += 2; /* copy the literals temporarily */
This code is used in section 49.
52. We have to watch for degenerate cases: Unit clauses in the input might be duplicated or contradictory.
\langle \text{ Define } mem[c].lit \text{ at level } 0 \text{ 52} \rangle \equiv
     l = mem[c].lit, v = thevar(l);
     if (o, vmem[v].value \equiv unset) {
       o, vmem[v].value = l \& 1, vmem[v].tloc = eptr;
       o, history[eptr] = 6, trail[eptr ++] = l;
     } else if (vmem[v].value \neq (l \& 1)) goto unsat;
This code is used in section 51.
53. \langle Reformat the binary implications 53\rangle \equiv
  for (l = 2, jj = 0; l \leq max\_lit; l++) {
     o, k = lmem[l].bimp\_end;
    if (k) o, lmem[l].bimp\_start = lmem[l].bimp\_end = jj, jj += k;
  for (jj = min\_learned + 2, j = binaries; j; j--) {
     o, l = mem[jj].lit, ll = mem[jj + 1].lit, jj += 2;
     ooo, k = lmem[bar(l)].bimp\_end, bmem[k] = ll, lmem[bar(l)].bimp\_end = k + 1;
     ooo, k = lmem[bar(ll)].bimp\_end, bmem[k] = l, lmem[bar(ll)].bimp\_end = k + 1;
This code is used in section 49.
54. Copy all the temporary variable nodes to the vmem array in proper format 54 \ge 10^{-2}
  for (c = vars; c; c--) {
     \(\langle \text{Move } \cur_tmp_var \text{ backward to the previous temporary variable 24}\);
     o, vmem[c].name.lng = cur\_tmp\_var \rightarrow name.lng;
     o, vmem[c].stamp = 0;
  }
This code is used in section 45.
```

55. We should now have unwound all the temporary data chunks back to their beginnings.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \langle \operatorname{Check\ consistency\ 55} \rangle \equiv \\ & \text{ if } (\operatorname{cur\_cell} \neq \& \operatorname{cur\_chunk} \neg \operatorname{cell}[0] \vee \operatorname{cur\_chunk} \neg \operatorname{prev} \neq \Lambda \vee \operatorname{cur\_tmp\_var} \neq \\ & \& \operatorname{cur\_vchunk} \neg \operatorname{var}[0] \vee \operatorname{cur\_vchunk} \neg \operatorname{prev} \neq \Lambda) \ \{ \\ & \operatorname{fprintf}(\operatorname{stderr}, "\operatorname{This}_{\sqcup}\operatorname{can'} \mathsf{t}_{\sqcup}\operatorname{happen}_{\sqcup}(\operatorname{consistency}_{\sqcup}\operatorname{check}_{\sqcup}\operatorname{failure}) ! \\ & \operatorname{exit}(-14); \\ \} \\ & \operatorname{free}(\operatorname{cur\_chunk}); \ \operatorname{free}(\operatorname{cur\_vchunk}); \\ \text{This\ code\ is\ used\ in\ section\ 45}. \end{array}
```

56. A few arrays aren't really of "main" importance, but we need to allocate them before incorporating the clause information into mem.

```
⟨ Allocate the other main arrays 47⟩ +≡
bmem = (uint *) malloc(binaries * 2 * sizeof(uint));
if (¬bmem) {
    fprintf(stderr, "Oops, □I□can't□allocate□the□bmem□array!\n");
    exit(-16);
}
bytes += binaries * 2 * sizeof(uint);
history = (char *) malloc(vars * sizeof(char));
if (¬history) {
    fprintf(stderr, "Oops, □I□can't□allocate□the□history□array!\n");
    exit(-15);
}
bytes += vars * sizeof(char);
```

57. The other arrays can perhaps make use of the memory chunks that are freed while we're reformatting the clause and variable data.

```
⟨ Allocate the auxiliary arrays 57⟩ ≡
  leveldat = (int *) malloc(vars * 2 * sizeof(int));
  if (¬leveldat) {
    fprintf(stderr, "Oops, □I□can't□allocate□the□leveldat□array!\n");
    exit(-16);
  }
  bytes += vars * 2 * sizeof(int);
See also sections 89, 96, 109, and 116.
```

This code is used in section 45.

32 FORCING SAT13 §58

58. Forcing. This program spends most of its time adding literals to the current trail when they are forced to be true because of earlier items on the trail.

The "inner loop" of the forcing phase tries to derive the consequences of literal l that follow from binary clauses in the input. At this point l is a literal in the trail. Furthermore $lat = lmem[l].bimp_end$ has just been fetched, and it's known to be nonzero.

(I apologize for the awkward interface between this loop and its context. Maybe I shouldn't worry so much about saving mems in the inner loop. But that's the kind of guy I am.)

```
\langle \text{Propagate binary implications of } l; \text{ goto } confl \text{ if a conflict arises } 58 \rangle \equiv
  for (lbptr = eptr; ; )  {
     for (la = lmem[l].bimp\_start; la < lat; la ++) {
       o, ll = bmem[la];
       if (o, isknown(ll)) {
          if (iscontrary(ll)) {
            props ++;
            ⟨ Deal with a binary conflict 66⟩;
       } else {
          props ++;
          if (verbose & show_details)
            fprintf(stderr, """O"s"O".8s"->""O"s"O".8s n", litname(l), litname(ll));
          o, history[eptr] = 4, trail[eptr] = ll;
          o, lmem[ll].reason = -l;
          o, vmem[thevar(ll)].value = llevel + (ll \& 1), vmem[thevar(ll)].tloc = eptr ++;
          agility = agility \gg 13; /* use the damping factor 1 - 2^{-13} */
          if (o, (vmem[thevar(ll)].oldval + ll) \& 1) agility += 1 \ll 19;
       }
     }
     while (1) {
       if (lbptr \equiv eptr) {
                              /* kludge for breaking out of two loops */
          l = 0; break;
       o, l = trail[lbptr++];
       o, lat = lmem[l].bimp\_end;
       if (lat) break;
     if (l \equiv 0) break;
This code is used in sections 65 and 127.
59. \langle Global variables 4 \rangle + \equiv
  uint lt;
                /* literal on the trail */
                /* its bimp_end */
  uint lat;
                           /* a clause in its watch list */
  uint wa, next_wa;
```

 $\S60$ SAT13 FORCING 33

60. The "next to inner loop" of forcing looks for nonbinary clauses that have at most one literal that isn't false

At this point we're looking at a literal lt that was placed on the trail. Its binary implications were found at that time; now we want to examine the more complex ones, by looking at all clauses on the watch list of bar(lt).

While doing this, we swap the first two literals, if necessary, so that bar(lt) is the second one watching. Counting of mems is a bit tricky here: If c is the address of a clause, either mem[c].lit and mem[c+1].lit are in the same octabyte, or link0(c) and link1(c), but not both. So we make three memory references when we're reading from or storing into all four items.

```
\langle Propagate nonbinary implications of lt; goto confl if there's a conflict 60 \rangle
  o, wa = lmem[bar(lt)].watch;
  if (wa) {
     for (q = 0; wa; wa = next_wa) {
       o, ll = mem[wa].lit;
       if (ll \equiv bar(lt)) {
          o, ll = mem[wa + 1].lit;
          oo, mem[wa].lit = ll, mem[wa + 1].lit = bar(lt);
          o, next_wa = link\theta(wa);
          o, link\theta(wa) = link1(wa), link1(wa) = next_wa;
       } else o, next_wa = link1(wa);
       (If clause wa is satisfied by ll, keep wa on the watch list and continue 63);
       for (o, s = size(wa), j = wa + s - 1; j > wa + 1; j - -) {
          o, l = mem[j].lit;
          if (o, \neg isknown(l) \lor \neg iscontrary(l)) break;
          if (vmem[thevar(l)].value < 2 \land llevel) \(\rightarrow Delete l\) from clause wa 61\);
       if (i > wa + 1) (Swap wa to the watch list of l and continue 62);
       \langle \text{ Keep } wa \text{ on the watch list } 64 \rangle;
       ⟨ Force a new value, if appropriate, or goto confl 65⟩;
     \langle \text{Keep } wa \text{ on the watch list } 64 \rangle; /* this terminates the watch list with 0 */
This code is used in section 127.
```

61. The literal l is known to be permanently false, so we seize this opportunity to remove it from the active memory. (Such deletions will be important later, when we attempt to do "on-the-fly subsumption.")

At this point, s is the current size of clause wa.

This code is used in section 60.

```
\langle \, \text{Delete } l \, \, \text{from clause } wa \, \, 61 \, \rangle \equiv \{ \\ o, size(wa) = --s; \\ \textbf{if } (j \neq wa + s) \, \, oo, mem[j].lit = mem[wa + s].lit; \quad /* \, \, \text{swap past end of clause } */o, mem[wa + s].lit = l + sign\_bit; \\ \}
```

34 FORCING SAT13 $\S 62$

```
32. \langle \text{Swap } wa \text{ to the watch list of } l \text{ and } \mathbf{continue } 62 \rangle \equiv \{ \\ & \text{if } (\textit{verbose } \& \textit{show\_watches}) \ \textit{fprintf}(\textit{stderr}, " \sqcup "O" \texttt{s}"O" . 8 \texttt{s} \sqcup \texttt{watched} \sqcup \texttt{in} \sqcup "O" \texttt{d} \backslash \texttt{n}", \textit{litname}(l), wa); \\ & \textit{oo}, \textit{mem}[wa+1]. \textit{lit} = l, \textit{mem}[j]. \textit{lit} = \textit{bar}(lt); \\ & \textit{o}, \textit{link1}(wa) = \textit{lmem}[l]. \textit{watch}; \\ & \textit{o}, \textit{lmem}[l]. \textit{watch} = \textit{wa}; \\ & \textbf{continue}; \\ \}
```

This code is used in section 60.

63. We're looking at clause wa, which is watched by bar(lt) and ll, where lt is known to be true (at least with respect to the decisions currently in force).

Consider what happens in the case that literal ll is also true, thereby satisfying clause wa: We can continue with wa on the watch list of bar(lt), even though bar(lt) is false, because this clause will remain satisfied until backtracking makes lt undefined.

```
\langle If clause wa is satisfied by ll, keep wa on the watch list and continue 63\rangle \equiv if ((o, isknown(ll)) \land \neg iscontrary(ll)) { \langle Keep wa on the watch list 64\rangle; continue; \rangle
```

This code is used in section 60.

64. A satisfied clause wa can be watched by a false literal, as noted above. Furthermore, during full runs we allow clauses to become entirely false; in such cases both watchers must have become false on the maximum level of all literals in wa.

```
\langle \text{Keep } wa \text{ on the watch list } 64 \rangle \equiv 
 \text{if } (q \equiv 0) \ o, lmem[bar(lt)].watch = wa; 
 \text{else } o, link1(q) = wa; 
 q = wa;
```

This code is used in sections 60 and 63.

 $\S65$ SAT13 FORCING 35

65. Well, all literals of clause wa, except possibly the first one, did in fact turn out to be false. That first literal is what the program calls ll, and we've already verified that ll isn't true.

```
If ll is false, we've run into a conflict. Otherwise we will force ll to be true at the current decision level.
```

```
\langle Force a new value, if appropriate, or goto confl 65\rangle \equiv
  props ++;
  if (isknown(ll)) \langle Deal with a nonbinary conflict 67\rangle
  else {
     if (verbose \& show\_details) fprintf(stderr, "\_"O"s"O".8s\_from\_"O"d\n", litname(ll), wa);
     o, history[eptr] = 4, trail[eptr] = ll;
     o, vmem[thevar(ll)].tloc = eptr++;
     vmem[thevar(ll)].value = llevel + (ll \& 1);
     agility = agility \gg 13; /* use the damping factor 1 - 2^{-13} */
     if (o, (vmem[thevar(ll)].oldval + ll) \& 1) agility += 1 \ll 19;
     o, lmem[ll].reason = wa;
     o, lat = lmem[ll].bimp\_end;
     if (lat) {
        l = ll;
        \langle Propagate binary implications of l; goto confl if a conflict arises 58 \rangle;
This code is used in section 60.
66. In the case considered here, a conflict has arisen from the binary clause \bar{u} \vee \bar{v}, where u = l and \bar{v} = ll.
This clause is represented only implicitly in the bmem array, not explicitly in mem.
\langle Deal with a binary conflict 66\rangle \equiv
     if (verbose & show_details)
        fprintf(stderr, """O"s"O".8s"->""O"s"O".8s"+\n", litname(l), litname(ll));
     if (full\_run \land llevel) (Record a binary conflict 68)
     else {
        c = -l;
        goto confl;
This code is used in section 58.
67. \langle \text{ Deal with a nonbinary conflict 67} \rangle \equiv
      \textbf{if} \ (\textit{verbose} \ \& \ \textit{show\_details}) \ \textit{fprintf} \ (\textit{stderr}, " \sqcup "O" \texttt{s}"O". \texttt{8s} \sqcup \texttt{from} \sqcup "O" \texttt{d} \sqcup \# \ ", \ litname \ (ll), \ wa); \\ 
     if (full\_run \land llevel) (Record a nonbinary conflict 69)
     else {
        c = wa;
```

This code is used in section 65.

goto confl;

36 FORCING SAT13 §68

68. During a "full run," we continue to propagate after finding a conflict. We remember only the first one, at any given level, putting its clause number into leveldat[llevel + 1].

The "clause number" of a binary clause is considered to be -l, and the value of bar(ll) is saved in odd-numbered entries of the *conflictdat* array.

A stack of levels on which conflicts have occurred is maintained in the even-numbered entries of conflictdat. The top of this stack is called $conflict_level$.

```
{
    if (¬conflict_seen) {
        conflict_seen = 1;
        o, leveldat[llevel + 1] = -l;
        o, conflictdat[llevel] = conflict_level, conflict_level = llevel;
    }
}
This code is used in section 66.

69. ⟨Record a nonbinary conflict 69⟩ ≡
{
    if (¬conflict_seen) {
        conflict_seen = 1;
        o, leveldat[llevel] = conflict_level, conflict_level = llevel;
    }
}
This code is used in section 67.
```

 $\S70$ SAT13 ACTIVITY SCORES 37

70. Activity scores. Experience shows that it's usually a good idea to branch on a variable that has participated recently in the construction of conflict clauses. More precisely, we try to maximize "activity," where the activity of variable v is proportional to the sum of $\{\rho^t \mid v \text{ participates in the } t \text{ th-from-last conflict}\}$; here ρ is a parameter representing the rate of decay by which influential activity decays with time. (Users can change the default ratio $\rho = .95$ if desired.)

There's a simple way to implement this quantity, because activity is also proportional to the sum of $\{\rho^{-t} \mid v \text{ participates in the } t \text{ th conflict}\}$; that sum counts forward in time rather than backward. We can therefore get proper results by adding var_bump to v's score whenever v participates in a conflict, and then dividing var_bump by ρ after each conflict.

If the activity scores computed in this way become too large, we simply scale them back, so that relative ratios are preserved.

Incidentally, the somewhat mysterious acronym VSIDS, which stands for "variable state independent decaying sum," is often used by insiders to describe this aspect of a CDCL solver. The activity scoring mechanism adopted here, due to Niklas Eén in the 2005 version of MiniSAT, was inspired by a similar but less effective VSIDS scheme originally introduced by Matthew Moskewitz in the CHAFF solver.

```
\langle \text{ Bump } l \text{ 's activity } 70 \rangle \equiv v = thevar(l);
o, av = vmem[v].activity + var\_bump;
o, vmem[v].activity = av;
if (av \geq 1 \cdot 10^{100}) \langle \text{Rescale all variable activities } 83 \rangle;
o, h = vmem[v].hloc;
if (h > 0) \langle \text{Sift } v \text{ up in the heap } 73 \rangle;
This code is used in sections 87, 88, and 95.
```

71. The heap contains hn variables, ordered in such a way that $vmem[x].activity \ge vmem[y].activity$ whenever x = heap[h] and y = heap[2 * h + 1] or y = heap[2 * h + 2]. In particular, heap[0] always names a variable of maximum activity.

38 ACTIVITY SCORES SAT13 §72

```
\langle Check the sanity of the heap 72 \rangle \equiv
  for (k = 1; k \le vars; k++) {
    if (vmem[k].hloc \ge hn)
       fprintf(stderr, "hloc_lof_l"O".8s_lexceeds_l"O"d!\n", vmem[k].name.ch8, hn-1);
     else if (vmem[k].hloc \ge 0 \land heap[vmem[k].hloc] \ne k)
       fprintf(stderr, "hloc_lof_l"O".8s_lerrs!\n", vmem[k].name.ch8);
  for (k = 0; k < hn; k++) {
     v = heap[k];
     if (v \le 0 \lor v > vars) fprintf (stderr, "heap["O"d]="O"d! \n", k, v);
     else if (k) {
       u = heap[(k-1) \gg 1];
       if (u > 0 \land u \leq vars \land vmem[u].activity < vmem[v].activity)
         fprintf(stderr, "heap["O"d]act<heap["O"d]act!\n", (k-1) \gg 1, k);
  }
This code is used in section 34.
73. At this point we assume that av = vmem[v].activity.
\langle \text{Sift } v \text{ up in the heap } 73 \rangle \equiv
  {
                             /* the "parent" of position h */
     hp = (h-1) \gg 1;
     o, u = heap[hp];
    if (o, vmem[u].activity < av) {
       while (1) {
          o, heap[h] = u;
          o, vmem[u].hloc = h;
          h = hp;
         if (h \equiv 0) break;
          hp = (h-1) \gg 1;
          o, u = heap[hp];
         if (o, vmem[u].activity \ge av) break;
       o, heap[h] = v;
       o, vmem[v].hloc = h;
       j=1;
  }
This code is used in sections 70 and 74.
74.
      \langle \text{Put } v \text{ into the heap } 74 \rangle \equiv
     o, av = vmem[v].activity;
    h = hn ++, j = 0;
    if (h > 0) (Sift v up in the heap 73);
    if (j \equiv 0) oo, heap[h] = v, vmem[v].hloc = h;
This code is used in section 128.
```

 $\S75$ SAT13 ACTIVITY SCORES 39

75. With probability $rand_prob$, we select a variable from the heap at random; this policy is a heuristic designed to avoid getting into a rut. Otherwise we take the variable at the top, because that variable has maximum activity.

Variables in the heap often have known values, however. If our first choice was one of them, we keep trying from the top, until we find $vmem[v].value \equiv unset$.

The variable's polarity is taken from vmem[v].oldval, because good values from prior experiments tend to remain good.

As in other programs of this family, the cost of generating 31 random bits is four mems.

```
#define two_to_the_31 ((unsigned long) #80000000)
\langle Choose the next decision literal, l 75 \rangle \equiv
  if (rand_prob_thresh) {
     mems += 4, h = gb\_next\_rand();
     if (h < rand\_prob\_thresh) {
       \langle Set h to a random integer less than hn 76\rangle
       o, v = heap[h];
       if (o, vmem[v].value \neq unset) h = 0;
     } else h = 0;
  } else h = 0;
  if (h \equiv 0) {
     while (1) {
       o, v = heap[0];
       \langle \text{ Delete } v \text{ from the heap } 77 \rangle;
       if (o, vmem[v].value \equiv unset) break;
  }
  o, l = poslit(v) + (vmem[v].oldval \& 1);
This code is used in section 124.
     \langle \text{Set } h \text{ to a random integer less than } hn 76 \rangle \equiv
     register unsigned long t = two\_to\_the\_31 - (two\_to\_the\_31 \mod hn);
     register long r;
     do {
       mems += 4, r = gb\_next\_rand();
        while (t \leq (unsigned long) r);
     h = r \mod hn;
```

This code is used in sections 75 and 78.

40 ACTIVITY SCORES SAT13 §77

Here we assume that v = heap[0].

```
\langle \text{ Delete } v \text{ from the heap } 77 \rangle \equiv
  o, vmem[v].hloc = -1;
  if (--hn) {
     o, u = heap[hn];
                           /* we'll move u into the "hole" at position 0 */
     o, au = vmem[u].activity;
     for (h = 0, hp = 1; hp < hn; h = hp, hp = h + h + 1) {
       oo, av = vmem[heap[hp]].activity;
       if (hp + 1 < hn \land (oo, vmem[heap[hp + 1]].activity > av)) hp +++, av = vmem[heap[hp]].activity;
       if (au \ge av) break;
       o, heap[h] = heap[hp];
       o, vmem[heap[hp]].hloc = h;
     o, heap[h] = u;
     o, vmem[u].hloc = h;
This code is used in sections 75 and 137.
78. At the very beginning, all activity scores are zero. We'll permute the variables randomly in heap, for
the sake of variety.
\langle Initialize the heap randomly 78\rangle \equiv
     if (true\_prob \ge 1.0) true\_prob\_thresh = #80000000;
     else true\_prob\_thresh = (int)(true\_prob * 2147483648.0);
     for (k = 1; k \le vars; k++) o, heap[k-1] = k;
     for (hn = vars; hn > 1;)
       \langle \text{ Set } h \text{ to a random integer less than } hn 76 \rangle;
       hn --;
       if (h \neq hn) {
         o, k = heap[h];
         ooo, heap[h] = heap[hn], heap[hn] = k;
     for (h = 0; h < vars; h ++) {
       o, v = heap[h];
       o, vmem[v].hloc = h;
       if (true\_prob\_thresh \land (mems += 4, gb\_next\_rand() < true\_prob\_thresh)) \ vmem[v].oldval = 0;
       else vmem[v].oldval = 1;
       o, vmem[v].activity = 0.0;
     hn = vars;
This code is used in section 45.
```

§79 SAT13 41

Literals that occur in *polarity_infile* must be separated by whitespace, but they can appear on any number of lines. If the literal isn't in the hash table, we ignore it. (Perhaps a preprocessor has made this literal obsolete.)

```
\langle Initialize the heap from a file 79\rangle \equiv
     if (true\_prob > 1.0) true\_prob\_thresh = #80000000;
     else true\_prob\_thresh = (int)(true\_prob * 2147483648.0);
     for (q = 0; ; )  {
       register tmp_var *p;
       if (fscanf(polarity\_infile, ""O"s", buf) \neq 1) break;
       if (buf[0] \equiv , ``, ) i = j = 1;
       else i = j = 0;
       \langle \text{Put the variable name beginning at } buf[j] \text{ in } cur\_tmp\_var \neg name \text{ and compute its hash code } h \text{ 19} \rangle;
       for (p = hash[h]; p; p = p \rightarrow next)
          if (p \rightarrow name.lng \equiv cur\_tmp\_var \rightarrow name.lng) break;
       if (p) {
          v = p \rightarrow serial + 1;
          o, vmem[v].oldval = i, vmem[v].hloc = q;
          o, heap[q] = v;
          o, vmem[v].activity = (vars - q)/(double) vars;
          o, vmem[v].tloc = 0;
          q++;
       }
     for (v = 0; q < vars; q ++) {
       while (o, vmem[++v].tloc \equiv 0);
                                               /* bypass variables already seen */
       vmem[v].hloc = q;
       if (true\_prob\_thresh \land (mems += 4, qb\_next\_rand() < true\_prob\_thresh)) \ vmem[v].oldval = 0;
       else vmem[v].oldval = 1;
       o, heap\left[q\right] = v;
     hn = vars;
This code is used in section 45.
80. \langle Global variables 4 \rangle + \equiv
  double var\_bump = 1.0;
  float clause\_bump = 1.0;
  double var_bump_factor;
                                     /* reciprocal of var_rho */
  float clause_bump_factor;
                                     /* reciprocal of clause_rho */
```

81. Learned clauses also have activity scores. They aren't used as heavily as the scores for variables; we look at them only when deciding what clauses to keep after too many learned clauses have accumulated.

```
\langle \text{Bump } c \text{'s activity } 81 \rangle \equiv
   {
      float ac;
      o, ac = activ(c) + clause\_bump;
      o, activ(c) = ac;
      if (ac > 1 \cdot 10^{20}) (Rescale all clause activities 84);
```

This code is used in sections 87 and 93.

42 ACTIVITY SCORES SAT13 §82

```
82. \langle Bump the bumps 82 \rangle \equiv var\_bump *= var\_bump\_factor; clause\_bump *= clause\_bump\_factor; This code is used in sections 125 and 133.
```

83. When a nonzero activity is rescaled, we are careful to keep it nonzero so that a variable once active will not take second place to a totally inactive variable. (I doubt if this is terrifically important, but Niklas Eén told me that he recommends it.)

```
#define tiny 2.225073858507201383 \cdot 10<sup>-308</sup>
             /* 2^{-1022}, the smallest positive nondenormal double */
\langle Rescale all variable activities 83\rangle \equiv
     register int v;
     register double av;
     for (v = 1; v \le vars; v ++) {
       o, av = vmem[v].activity;
       if (av) o, vmem[v]. activity = (av * 1 \cdot 10^{-100} < tiny ? tiny : av * 1 \cdot 10^{-100});
     var\_bump *= 1 \cdot 10^{-100};
This code is used in section 70.
84. #define single\_tiny 1.1754943508222875080 \cdot 10^{-38}
             /* 2^{-126}, the smallest positive nondenormal float */
\langle Rescale all clause activities 84\rangle \equiv
     register int cc, endc;
     for (cc = first\_learned; cc < max\_learned; cc = endc + learned\_extra) {
       o, endc = cc + size(cc);
       o, ac = activ(cc);
       if (ac) o, activ(cc) = (ac * 1 \cdot 10^{-20} < single\_tiny ? single\_tiny : <math>ac * 1 \cdot 10^{-20});
       while (o, mem[endc].lit \& sign\_bit) endc++;
     clause\_bump *= 1 \cdot 10^{-20};
  }
```

This code is used in section 81.

85. Learning from a conflict. A conflict arises when some clause is found to have no true literals at the current level. This program relies on a technique for avoiding such a conflict in the future, by creating a new clause that is worth learning. Our current goal is to implement (and thereby to understand) that technique.

Let's say that a literal is "new" if it has become true or false at the current decision level; otherwise it is "old." A conflict must contain at least two new literals, because we don't start a new level until every unsatisfied clause is watched by two unassigned literals.

(Hedge: In a "full run" we march boldly into deeper levels after finding conflicts; and in such cases the conflict clauses of level d are watched by two literals that are false at level d. However, even in this case, every unsatisfied clause that could lead to a conflict at a deeper level is watched by two unassigned literals.)

Suppose all literals of c are false. If $\bar{l} \in c$ and c' is the reason for l, we can resolve c with c' to get a new clause c''. This clause c'' is obtained from c by deleting \bar{l} and then inserting \bar{l}' for all l' such that $l \succ l'$. (Indeed, when introducing the method of conflict-driven clause learning above, we defined this direct dependency relation by saying that $l \succ l'$ if and only if \bar{l}' appears in the reason for l.) Notice that all of the literals that belong to c'' are false; hence c'', like c, represents a conflict.

By starting with a conflict clause c and repeatedly resolving away its rightmost literal, using the ordering of the trail, we'll eventually obtain a clause c_0 that has only one new literal. And if c_0 was derived by resolving with other clauses c_1, \ldots, c_k , the old literals of c_0 will be the old literals of c, c_1, \ldots, c_k .

We could now learn the clause c_0 , and return to decision level d, the maximum of the levels of c_0 's old literals. (Its new literal will now be forced false at that level.)

Actually, we'll try to simplify c_0 before learning it, by removing some of its old literals if they are redundant. But that's another story, which we can safely postpone until later. The main idea is this: Starting with a conflict clause c, containing two or more new literals, we boil it down to a clause c_0 that contains only one. Then we can resume at a previous level.

86. So much for theory; let's proceed to practice. We can use the *stamp* field to identify literals that appear in the conflict clause c, or in the clauses derived from c as we compute c_0 : A variable's *stamp* will equal *curstamp* if and only if we have just marked it. At this point llevel > 0.

```
 \begin{tabular}{ll} \be
```

44

```
87.
       \langle \text{Initialize a nonbinary conflict } 87 \rangle \equiv
     o, l = bar(mem[c].lit);
     o, tl = vmem[thevar(l)].tloc;
     o, vmem[thevar(l)].stamp = curstamp;
     \langle \text{Bump } l \text{'s activity } 70 \rangle;
     if (c \geq first\_learned) (Bump c's activity 81);
     for (o, s = size(c), k = c + s - 1; k > c; k - -) {
        o, l = bar(mem[k].lit);
        j = vmem[thevar(l)].tloc;
                                          /* mem will be charged when fetching value */
       if (j > tl) tl = j;
        \langle Stamp l as part of the conflict clause milieu 95\rangle;
  }
This code is used in section 86.
88. Here the conflict is that l implies ll, where literal l = -c is true but literal ll is false.
\langle Initialize a binary conflict 88 \rangle \equiv
  {
     o, tl = vmem[thevar(ll)].tloc;
     o, vmem[thevar(ll)].stamp = curstamp;
     l = ll;
     \langle \text{Bump } l \text{'s activity } 70 \rangle;
     l = -c:
     if (o, vmem[thevar(l)].tloc > tl) tl = vmem[thevar(l)].tloc;
     o, vmem[thevar(l)].stamp = curstamp;
     \langle \text{Bump } l \text{'s activity } 70 \rangle;
     xnew = 1;
This code is used in section 86.
89. \langle Allocate the auxiliary arrays 57\rangle + \equiv
  learn = (\mathbf{uint} *) \ malloc(vars * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{uint}));
  if (\neg learn) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup}can't_{\sqcup}allocate_{\sqcup}the_{\sqcup}learn_{\sqcup}array! \n");
  bytes += vars * sizeof(uint);
90. \langle Global variables 4\rangle +\equiv
  uint curstamp;
                          /* a unique value for marking literals and levels of interest */
  uint *learn;
                      /* literals in a clause being learned */
                    /* this many old literals contributed to learned clause so far */
  int oldptr;
  int jumplev;
                      /* level to which we'll return after learning */
               /* trail location for examination of stamped literals */
  int tl:
                   /* excess new literals in the current conflict clause */
  int xnew;
                     /* levels represented in the current conflict clause */
  int clevels;
  uint resols;
                     /* resolutions made while reducing the current conflict clause */
                            /* number of literals in the learned clause */
  uint learned_size;
                              /* learned_size before simplification */
  int prelearned_size;
                              /* does the learned clause involve every decision? */
  int trivial_learning;
```

```
The algorithm that follows will use curstamp, curstamp + 1, and curstamp + 2.
\langle \text{Bump } curstamp \text{ to a new value } 91 \rangle \equiv
  if (curstamp \ge \#fffffffe) {
     for (k = 1; k \le vars; k++) oo, vmem[k].stamp = levstamp[k+k-2] = 0;
     curstamp = 1;
  } else curstamp += 3;
This code is used in section 86.
92. \langle \text{Reduce } xnew \text{ to zero } 92 \rangle \equiv
  while (xnew) {
     while (1) {
       o, l = trail[tl --];
       if (o, vmem[thevar(l)].stamp \equiv curstamp) break;
     xnew--;
     \langle Resolve with the reason of l 93\rangle;
This code is used in section 86.
93. At this point the current conflict clause is represented implicitly as the set of negatives of the literals
trail[j] for j \leq tl that have stamp = curstamp, together with bar(l). Old literals in that set are in the learn
array. The conflict clause contains exactly xnew + 1 new literals besides bar(l); we will replace bar(l) by the
other literals in l's reason.
\langle Resolve with the reason of l 93\rangle \equiv
  resols ++;
  if (verbose \& show\_gory\_details) fprintf(stderr, "u["O"s"O".8s]", litname(l));
  o, c = lmem[l].reason;
  if (c < 0) (Resolve with binary reason 94)
  else if (c) { /* l = mem[c].lit */
     if (c \ge first\_learned) (Bump c's activity 81);
     for (o, s = size(c), k = c + s - 1; k > c; k - -) {
       o, l = bar(mem[k].lit);
       if (o, vmem[thevar(l)].stamp \neq curstamp) (Stamp l as part of the conflict clause milieu 95);
    if (xnew + oldptr + 1 < s \land xnew) \(\script{Subsume } c\) by removing its first literal 98\);
This code is used in section 92.
      \langle Resolve with binary reason 94\rangle \equiv
    l = -c:
     if (o, vmem[thevar(l)].stamp \neq curstamp) (Stamp l as part of the conflict clause milieu 95);
This code is used in section 93.
```

46

```
95.
      \langle \text{Stamp } l \text{ as part of the conflict clause milieu } 95 \rangle \equiv
     o, jj = vmem[thevar(l)].value \& -2;
     if (\neg jj) confusion("permanently_false_lit");
       o, vmem[thevar(l)].stamp = curstamp;
       \langle \text{Bump } l \text{'s activity } 70 \rangle;
       if (jj \geq llevel) xnew ++;
       else {
          if (jj > jumplev) jumplev = jj;
          o, learn[oldptr++] = bar(l);
          if (verbose & show_gory_details)
             fprintf(stderr, "u"O"s"O".8s{"O"d}", litname(bar(l)), vmem[thevar(l)].value \gg 1);
          \mathbf{if}\ (o, levstamp[jj] < curstamp)\ o, levstamp[jj] = curstamp, clevels ++;\\
          else if (levstamp[jj] \equiv curstamp) o, levstamp[jj] = curstamp + 1;
     }
  }
```

This code is used in sections 87, 93, and 94.

96. The *stack* and *conflictdat* arrays have enough room for twice the number of variables in the worst case.

The *levstamp* array also has that same size. We use its even-numbered slots when learning and its odd-numbered slots when recycling.

```
\langle Allocate the auxiliary arrays 57\rangle + \equiv
  stack = (\mathbf{int} *) \ malloc(vars * 2 * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{int}));
  if (\neg stack) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup}can't_{\sqcup}allocate_{\sqcup}the_{\sqcup}stack_{\sqcup}array! \n");
     exit(-16);
  bytes += vars * 2 * sizeof(int);
  conflictdat = (\mathbf{int} *) \ malloc(vars * 2 * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{int}));
  if (\neg conflictdat) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, LI can't allocate the conflict dat array! \n");
     exit(-16);
  bytes += vars * 2 * sizeof(int);
  levstamp = (\mathbf{uint} *) \ malloc(2 * vars * \mathbf{sizeof}(\mathbf{uint}));
  if (\neg levstamp) {
     fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcup I_{\sqcup}can't_{\sqcup}allocate_{\sqcup}the_{\sqcup}levstamp_{\sqcup}array! \n");
     exit(-16);
  bytes += 2 * vars * sizeof(uint);
  for (k = 0; k < vars; k++) o, levstamp[k + k] = 0;
97. \langle Global variables 4 \rangle + \equiv
  int *stack;
                      /* place for homemade recursion control */
                       /* number of elements in the stack */
  int stackptr;
  int *conflictdat;
                            /* recorded data about conflicts in full runs */
                             /* pointer to top of the recorded conflict stack */
  int conflict_level;
  \mathbf{uint} * levstamp;
                            /* memos for recursive answers; also binary conflict info */
```

98. Here now is the technique of "on-the-fly subsumption," which allows us to strengthen the clause c because it happens to contain the current conflict clause. [This technique was discovered by Han and Somenzi in America, and independently by Hamadi, Jabbour, and Saïs in Europe, both in 2009!]

The current conflict has been obtained by resolving c with another clause, and by removing literals that are false at level 0. We've also removed such literals from c. Therefore we know that the current conflict clause equals c minus its first literal (which is true and was resolved away).

Clause c is the reason for l, and it becomes the reason for a false literal that would have produced an earlier conflict. (That false literal must have become false at the current trail level.) We don't have to update the reason data, because backtracking will clear it out before it will be needed.

There are strange scenarios in which $c = prev_learned$ and the newly learned clause might duplicate the previous one. The previous one won't be removed unless we now happen to be watching the literal that will later be called bar(lll).

```
\langle Subsume c by removing its first literal 98\rangle \equiv
     l = mem[c].lit;
                            /* no mem charged; we already knew this literal */
     o, size(c) = --s, subsumptions ++;
     if (learned\_file \land s \leq learn\_save) {
        fprintf(learned\_file, " \sqcup ");
                                          /* this space identifies a subsumer */
        \textbf{for } (k=c+1; \ k \leq c+s; \ k++) \ \textit{fprintf} (\textit{learned\_file}, " \sqcup "O" \texttt{s}" O" . \texttt{8s}", \textit{litname} (\textit{mem}[k].lit));
        fprintf(learned\_file, "\n");
        fflush(learned_file);
        learned\_out ++;
     }
     o, r = link\theta(c);
     \langle \text{Remove } c \text{ from } l \text{'s watch list } 106 \rangle;
     o, ll = mem[c+s].lit;
                                 /* this false literal will now be moved elsewhere */
     for (lll = ll, k = c + s; ; k--) { /* lll = mem[k].lit */
        o, r = vmem[thevar(lll)].value \& -2;
        if (r \equiv llevel) break;
        o, lll = mem[k-1].lit;
     if (lll \neq ll) o, mem[k].lit = ll;
     oo, mem[c+s].lit = l + sign\_bit, mem[c].lit = lll;
     ooo, link\theta(c) = lmem[lll].watch, lmem[lll].watch = c;
     if (verbose \& show\_watches) fprintf(stderr, " ["O"s"O".8s watches "O"d]", litname(lll), c);
```

This code is used in section 93.

48

99. Simplifying the learned clause. Suppose the clause to be learned is $\bar{l} \vee \bar{a}_1 \vee \cdots \vee \bar{a}_k$. Many of the literals \bar{a}_j often turn out to be redundant, in the sense that a few well-chosen resolutions will remove them. For example, if the reason of a_4 is $a_4 \vee \bar{a}_1 \vee \bar{b}_1$ and the reason of b_1 is $b_1 \vee \bar{a}_2 \vee \bar{b}_2$ and the reason of b_2 is $b_2 \vee \bar{a}_1 \vee \bar{a}_3$, then \bar{a}_4 is redundant.

Niklas Sörensson, one of the authors of MiniSAT, noticed that learned clauses could typically be shortened by 30% when such simplifications are made. Therefore we certainly want to look for removable literals, even though the algorithm for doing so is somewhat tricky.

The literal \bar{a} is redundant in the clause-to-be-learned if and only if the other literals in its reason are either present in that clause or (recursively) redundant. (In the example above we must check that \bar{a}_1 and \bar{b}_1 satisfy this condition; that boils down to observing that \bar{b}_1 is redundant, because \bar{b}_2 is redundant.)

Since the relation \succ^+ is a partial ordering, we can determine redundancy by using a "bottom up" method with this recursive definition. Or we can go "top down" with memoization (which is what we'll do): We shall stamp a literal b with curstamp + 1 if \bar{b} is known to be redundant, and with curstamp + 2 if \bar{b} is known to be nonredundant. Once we know a literal's status, we won't need to apply the recursive definition again.

A nice trick (also due to Sörensson) can be used to speed this process up, using the fact that a non-decision literal always depends on at least one other literal at the same level: A literal \bar{a}_j can be redundant only if it shares a level with some other literal \bar{a}_i in the learned clause. Furthermore, a literal \bar{b} not in that clause can be redundant only if it shares a level with some \bar{a}_j .

A careful reader of the code in the previous sections will have noticed that we've set levstamp[t+t] = curstamp if level t contains exactly one of the literals \bar{a}_j , and we've set levstamp[t+t] = curstamp + 1 if it contains more than one. Those facts will help us decide non-redundancy without pursuing the whole recursion into impossible levels.

100. Instead of doing this computation with a recursive procedure, I want to control the counting of memory accesses, and to take advantage of the special logical structure that's present. So the program here uses an explicit stack to hold the parameters of unfinished queries.

When we enter this section, stackptr will be zero (it says here). When we leave it, whether by going to redundant or not, the original value of l will be in ll. I think this loop makes an instructive example of how recursion relates to iteration.

One can prove inductively that, at label test, we have $vmem[thevar(l)].stamp \leq curstamp$, with equality if and only if stackptr = 0.

```
\langle \text{ If } l \text{ is redundant, } \mathbf{goto} \text{ } redundant \text{ } 100 \rangle \equiv
  if (stackptr) confusion("stack");
test: ll = l;
  o, c = lmem[l].reason;
                                     /* decision literal is never redundant */
  if (c \equiv 0) goto clear\_stack;
  if (c < 0) {
                   /* binary reason */
    l = bar(-c);
     o, s = vmem[thevar(l)].stamp;
     if (s \ge curstamp) {
       if (s \equiv curstamp + 2) goto clear\_stack;
                                                       /* known non-redundant */
     } else {
       o, stack[stackptr++] = ll;
       goto test;
  } else {
     for (o, k = c + size(c) - 1; k > c; k - -) {
       oo, l = bar(mem[k].lit), s = vmem[thevar(l)].stamp;
       if (s \ge curstamp) {
         if (s \equiv curstamp + 2) goto clear\_stack; /* known non-redundant */
                         /* in learned clause or known redundant */
       o, s = vmem[thevar(l)].value \& -2;
       if (s \equiv 0) continue;
                                 /* literals on level 0 are redundant */
       o, s = levstamp[s];
       if (s < curstamp) {
                                 /* the level is bad */
         o, vmem[thevar(l)].stamp = curstamp + 2;
         goto clear_stack;
       o, stack[stackptr] = k, stack[stackptr + 1] = ll, stackptr += 2;
       goto test;
     test1: continue;
  }
is\_red: o, vmem[thevar(ll)].stamp = curstamp + 1;
                                                           /* we've proved bar(ll) redundant */
  if (stackptr) {
     oo, ll = stack[--stackptr], c = lmem[ll].reason;
     if (c < 0) goto is\_red;
     o, k = stack[--stackptr];
     goto test1;
                     /* jump back into the loop */
  goto redundant;
  \langle \text{ Clear the stack 101} \rangle;
This code is used in section 102.
```

101. If any of the literals we encounter during that recursive exploration are non-redundant, the literal ll we're currently working on is non-redundant, and so are all of the literals on the stack.

(The literal at the bottom of the stack belongs to the learned clause, so we keep its stamp equal to curstamp. The other literals, whose stamp was less than curstamp, are now marked with curstamp + 2.)

```
 \begin{split} \langle \operatorname{Clear \ the \ stack \ 101} \rangle &\equiv \\ \operatorname{clear\_stack: \ if \ } (\operatorname{stackptr}) \ \{ \\ o, \operatorname{vmem}[\operatorname{thevar}(ll)].\operatorname{stamp} &= \operatorname{curstamp} + 2; \\ o, \operatorname{ll} &= \operatorname{stack}[--\operatorname{stackptr}]; \\ o, \operatorname{c} &= \operatorname{lmem}[\operatorname{ll}].\operatorname{reason}; \\ & \text{if \ } (c > 0) \ \operatorname{stackptr} - -; \\ & \text{goto \ } \operatorname{clear\_stack}; \\ \} \end{split}
```

This code is used in section 100.

102. Sometimes the learned clause turns out to be unnecessarily long even after we simplify it. This can happen, for example, if the decision literal l on level 1 is not part of the clause, but all the other literals have a reason that depends on l; then no literal is redundant, by our definitions, yet many literals can be from the same level.

If the learned clause size exceeds the jump level plus *trivial_limit*, we replace it by a "trivial" clause based on decision literals only. (In such cases we are essentially doing no better than an ordinary backtrack algorithm.)

```
\langle Simplify the learned clause 102\rangle \equiv
  learned\_size = oldptr + 1;
  cells\_prelearned += learned\_size, prelearned\_size = learned\_size;
  for (kk = 0; kk < oldptr; kk ++) {
     o, l = bar(learn[kk]);
     oo, s = levstamp[vmem[thevar(l)].value \& -2];
     if (s < curstamp + 1) continue;
                                                /* l's level doesn't support redundancy */
     \langle \text{If } \bar{l} \text{ is redundant, goto } redundant | 100 \rangle;
     continue;
  redundant: learned_size ---;
                                                /* note that l has been moved to ll */
     if (verbose & show_gory_details)
        fprintf(stderr, "("O"s"O".8s_is_iredundant)\n", litname(bar(ll)));
  if (learned\_size \leq (jumplev \gg 1) + trivial\_limit) trivial\_learning = 0;
  \textbf{else} \ \ \textit{trivial\_learning} = 1, \textit{clevels} = \textit{jumplev} \gg 1, \textit{learned\_size} = \textit{clevels} + 1, \textit{trivials} + +;
  cells\_learned += learned\_size, total\_learned ++;
   (Update the smoothed-average stats after a clause has been learned 40);
This code is used in section 125.
```

103. The following code is used only when $learned_size > 1$. (Learned unit clauses are, of course, happy events; but we deal with them separately.)

The new clause must be watched by two literals. One literal in this clause, namely *lll*, was formerly false but it will become true. It's the one that survived from the conflict on the active level, and it will be one of the watchers we need.

All other literals in the learned clause are currently false. We must choose one of those on the highest level (furthest from root level) to be a watcher. For if we don't, backtracking might take us to a lower level on which the clause becomes forcing, yet we won't see that fact — we won't be watching it! (The true literal and an unwatched literal become unassigned during backtracking. Then, if the unwatched literal becomes false, we won't notice that the formerly true literal is now forced true again.)

```
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Learn the simplified clause 103} \right\} \equiv \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\langle \text{Determine the address, } c, \text{ for the learned clause 104} \right\rangle; \\ \left\langle \text{Store the learned clause } c \text{ 107} \right\rangle; \\ prev\_learned = c; \\ \text{if } \left( learned\_file \wedge learned\_size \leq learn\_save \right) \\ \left\langle \text{Output } c \text{ to the file of learned clauses 108} \right\rangle; \\ \right\}
```

104. In early runs of this program, I noticed several times when the previously learned clause is immediately subsumed by the next clause to be learned. On further inspection, it turned out that this happened when the previously learned clause was the reason for a literal on a level that is going away (because *jumplev* is smaller).

So I now check for this case. Backtracking has already zeroed out this literal's reason.

```
\langle Determine the address, c, for the learned clause 104\rangle \equiv
  if (prev_learned) {
    o, l = mem[prev\_learned].lit;
    if (\neg trivial\_learning \land (o, lmem[l].reason \equiv 0) \land (o, vmem[thevar(l)].value \equiv unset))
       (Discard clause prev_learned if it is subsumed by the current learned clause 105);
                          /* this will be the address of the new clause */
  c = max\_learned;
  o, mem[c + learned\_size].lit = 0;
                                          /* put zero at end of mem */
  max\_learned += learned\_size + learned\_extra;
  if (max\_learned > max\_cells\_used) {
    if (max\_learned \ge memsize) {
       fprintf(stderr, "Memory \cup overflow \cup (memsize = "O" u < "O" u), \cup please \cup increase \cup m! \n", memsize,
            max\_cells\_used + 1);
       exit(-666);
    bytes += (max\_learned - max\_cells\_used) * sizeof(cel);
    max\_cells\_used = max\_learned;
```

This code is used in section 103.

This code is used in sections 125 and 134.

105. The first literal of $prev_learned$ has no set value, so it isn't part of the conflict clause. We will discard $prev_learned$ if all literals of the learned clause appear among the other literals of $prev_learned$. \langle Discard clause $prev_learned$ if it is subsumed by the current learned clause $105 \rangle \equiv \{$ for $(o, k = size(prev_learned) - 1, q = learned_size; <math>q \land k > q; k - 1 \}$

```
for (o, k = size(prev\_learned) - 1, q = learned\_size; q \land k \ge q; k--) {
        oo, l = mem[prev\_learned + k].lit, r = vmem[thevar(l)].value \& -2;
        if ((l \equiv lll \lor (uint) \ r \leq jumplev) \land (o, vmem[thevar(l)].stamp \equiv curstamp)) \ q--;
             /* yes, l is in the learned clause */
     if (q \equiv 0) {
        max\_learned = prev\_learned;
                                               /* forget the previously learned clause */
        if (verbose \& show\_gory\_details) fprintf(stderr, "(clause_\"O"d_\discarded)\n", prev\_learned);
        discards ++;
        o, c = prev\_learned, activ(c) = 0;
        o, l = mem[c].lit, r = link\theta(c);
        \langle \text{Remove } c \text{ from } l \text{'s watch list } 106 \rangle;
        oo, l = mem[c+1].lit, r = link1(c);
        \langle \text{Remove } c \text{ from } l \text{'s watch list } 106 \rangle;
This code is used in section 104.
106. At this point r is the successor of c in the watch list.
\langle Remove c from l 's watch list 106 \rangle \equiv
  for (o, wa = lmem[l].watch, q = 0; wa \neq c; q = wa, wa = next\_wa) {
     o, p = mem[wa].lit;
     o, next_wa = (p \equiv l? link\theta(wa) : link1(wa));
  if (\neg q) o, lmem[l].watch = r;
  else if (p \equiv l) o, link\theta(q) = r;
  else o, link1(q) = r;
```

This code is used in sections 98 and 105.

```
107.
       \langle Store the learned clause c 107\rangle \equiv
  if (activ(c)) confusion("bumps");
  size(c) = learned\_size;
                              /* no mem need be charged here, since we're charging for link0, link1 */
  o, mem[c].lit = lll;
  oo, link\theta(c) = lmem[lll].watch;
  o, lmem[lll].watch = c;
  if (trivial_learning) {
    for (j = 1, k = jumplev; k; j++, k-= 2) {
       oo, l = bar(trail[leveldat[k]]);
       if (j \equiv 1) ooo, link1(c) = lmem[l].watch, lmem[l].watch = c;
       o, mem[c+j].lit = l;
    if (verbose & show_gory_details) fprintf(stderr, "(trivial uclause uis usubstituted) \n");
  } else
    for (k = 1, j = 0, jj = 1; k < learned\_size; j++)  {
       o, l = learn[j];
       if (o, vmem[thevar(l)].stamp \equiv curstamp) { /* not redundant */
         o, r = vmem[thevar(l)].value;
         if (jj \land r \ge jumplev) {
           o, mem[c+1].lit = l;
            oo, link1(c) = lmem[l].watch;
           o, lmem[l].watch = c;
           jj=0;
         } else o, mem[c+k+jj].lit = l;
         k++;
This code is used in section 103.
108. (Output c to the file of learned clauses 108) \equiv
    for (k = c; k < c + learned\_size; k++) fprintf (learned\_file, "\_"O"s"O".8s", litname(mem[k].lit));
    fprintf(learned\_file, "\n");
    fflush(learned_file);
    learned\_out ++;
  }
This code is used in section 103.
```

109. Recycling unhelpful clauses. After thousands of conflicts have occurred, we have learned thousands of new clauses. New clauses guide the search by steering us away from unproductive paths; but they also slow down the propagation process because we have to watch them.

Therefore we try to rank the clauses that have accumulated, and we periodically attempt to weed out the ones that appear to be hurting us more than they help.

This program assesses the utility of learned clauses by using a heuristic measure of quality inspired by the paper of Gilles Audemard and Laurent Simon in *IJCAI* 21 (2009), 399-404. Suppose the literals of clause c appear on exactly p+q distinct levels of the trail, where there's at least one true literal in p of those levels, but all literals of the other q levels are false. Then we give c the score $p+\alpha q$, called its "range." Heuristically, this range will tend to be small if c is going to participate in future forcing operations.

The parameter α equals 0.2 by default, but users can tune it to their heart's content, as long as $0 \le \alpha \le 1$. Audemard and Simon considered only the case $\alpha = 1$ in their paper, calling p+q the "literal block distance" of c. Smaller values of α appeared to give even better results, in my early tests; however, I've had mixed results since then. Certainly $\alpha = 0$ is too small, because p tends to have a limited range and q is needed to break ties. Similarly, I think $\alpha = 1$ is inadvisable, because p is needed to break ties in clauses with the same literal block distance.

If a learned clause is currently used as the reason for some literal in the trail, we must keep it: That clause is "asserting." So we give it range 0. (Except at root level.)

Armin Biere has advised me not to recycle clauses of size 3 or less. But this program doesn't make any special provision for such clauses, because they will almost surely stick around as a consequence of the range heuristic.

Let's suppose that we have accumulated h learned clauses in mem, and that we want to reduce that number from h to h/2. We shall do that by retaining those clauses whose range lies below the median range.

A precise determination of the median isn't necessary, because ranges are only heuristic. We actually convert the range to an 8-bit number by computing $\min(\lfloor 16(p+\alpha q)\rfloor, 255)$. (All ranges of 16 or more are therefore considered to be equally bad.) Knowing the distribution of these scaled ranges then makes it easy to select the smallest ones.

```
#define buckets 256 /* number of distinct range levels after scaling */ #define badlevel 16.0 /* ranges greater than this are essentially infinite */ \langle Allocate the auxiliary arrays 57\rangle +\equiv rangedist = (int *) malloc(buckets * sizeof(int)); if (\negrangedist) { fprintf(stderr, "Oops, \sqcupI_{\sqcup}can't_{\sqcup}allocate_{\sqcup}the_{\sqcup}rangedist_{\sqcup}array!\n"); exit(-16); } bytes += buckets * sizeof(int); for (k=0; k+k < buckets; k++) o, rangedist[k+k = l] = 0;
```

110. The following program computes the scaled range by using the auxiliary array levstamp to identify levels that have been seen before. All odd-numbered entries of levstamp should be less than c when this code begins.

```
\langle Compute the scaled range of c 110\rangle \equiv
    o, l = mem[c].lit;
    if (o, lmem[l].reason \equiv c) {
       if (o, vmem[thevar(l)].value \& -2) o, range(c) = 0, asserts ++;
       else goto its_true; /* true at root level */
    } else {
       for (p = q = 0, k = c + size(c) - 1; k \ge c; k - -)
         oo, l = mem[k].lit, v = vmem[thevar(l)].value;
         if (v < 2) { /* l is defined at root level */
           if ((v \oplus l) \& 1) continue;
                                          /* it's false, ignore it */
         its\_true: v = buckets + 1; o, range(c) = buckets + 1;
           goto range_set;
                              /* it's true, clause is superfluous */
         } else {
           if (o, levstamp[(v \& -2) + 1] < c) o, levstamp[(v \& -2) + 1] = c, q++;
                /* q here is called p + q above */
           if (levstamp[(v \& -2) + 1] \equiv c \land (((l \oplus v) \& 1) \equiv 0)) /* true literal */
              o, levstamp[(v \& -2) + 1] = c + 1, p ++;
         }
       }
       v = (int)((buckets/badlevel) * ((float) p + alpha * (float)(q - p)));
       if (v > buckets) v = buckets - 1;
       o, range(c) = v;
       if (v < minrange) minrange = v;
       if (v > maxrange) maxrange = v;
       oo, rangedist[v] ++;
  range\_set:;
This code is used in section 112.
111. \langle Global \ variables \ 4 \rangle + \equiv
                      /* how many clauses have a particular scaled range? */
  int *rangedist;
  int asserts;
                   /* how many learned clauses are assertions that must remain? */
  int minrange;
                     /* the smallest scaled range we've seen on this round */
  int maxrange;
                      /* the largest scaled range we've seen on this round */
  int recycle_point;
                        /* the first clause learned after the current full run */
  int budget;
                  /* the desired number of learned clauses after recycling */
                           /* auxiliary array for partially sorting clause activity */
  ullng *clause_heap;
  int clause_heap_size;
                            /* its maximum size */
```

56

112. Each clause recycling pass is a major event, something like spring cleaning. First we prepare to compute the ranges by doing a full run, so that every variable has been assigned to a level and a tentative Boolean value. Then we backtrack to level zero, possibly learning new clauses as we go. (Any such clauses c will have $c \geq recycle_point$; they have no range, so we treat them as if they were asserted, with range zero.) And then we drastically reduce our database of learned clauses, using this opportunity to remove clauses that are permanently satisfied and to remove literals that are permanently false. During this process the watch lists need to be dismantled and rebuilt.

Notice that the second step in this process, backtracking to level zero, is very much like doing a restart. (The only difference is that "warmup" rounds are automatically scheduled after every true restart.) Thus the decisions that are taken at levels 1, 2, ... will not necessarily match the decisions that were in force at those levels when we decided to do a recycling pass.

I don't think that is a bad thing. However, we could recreate those decisions if we wanted to, by doing the following when backtracking past a decision literal l: Set l's activity to the currently largest activity, which is the activity of the variable currently in heap[0]; then bump it up, so that it becomes the new champion.

```
\langle Compute ranges for clause recycling 112\rangle \equiv
  recycle\_point = max\_learned;
  minrange = buckets, maxrange = 0;
  asserts = 0;
  for (k = 0; k < vars; k++) o, levstamp[k + k + 1] = 0;
  for (h = 0, c = first\_learned; c < max\_learned; h+++, c = endc + learned\_extra) {
     o, endc = c + size(c);
     \langle Compute the scaled range of c 110\rangle;
     while (o, mem[endc].lit \& sign\_bit) endc ++;
  budget = h/2;
  prev\_learned = 0;
This code is used in section 133.
       \langle Recycle half of the learned clauses 113\rangle \equiv
   \langle \text{ Compress the database } 114 \rangle;
  \langle Recompute all the watch lists 122\rangle;
  recycle\_point = 0;
This code is used in section 133.
```

```
114.
       \langle \text{ Compress the database } 114 \rangle \equiv
  for (o, j = minrange, s = asserts + rangedist[j]; s < budget \land j < maxrange;) o, s += rangedist[++j];
  if (s > budget) (Remove t = s - budget clauses at the threshold 115);
  for (k = minrange \gg 1; k + k \leq maxrange; k++) o, rangedist[k + k] = rangedist[k + k + 1] = 0;
  for (h = 0, cc = c = first\_learned; c < max\_learned; c = endc + learned\_extra) {
    o, jj = endc = c + size(c);
    while (o, mem[endc].lit \& sign\_bit) \ o, mem[endc++].lit = 0;
    if (c < recycle\_point \land (o, range(c) > j)) continue; /* reject when the range is too high */
    for (kk = cc, k = c; k < jj; k++) {
       o, l = mem[k].lit;
       o, v = vmem[thevar(l)].value;
       if ((uint) \ v \neq unset) { /* l has a permanent value at root level */
         if ((v \oplus l) \& 1) continue;
                                      /* don't copy a permanently false literal */
                  /* and don't copy a permanently satisfied clause */
         break;
       } else o, mem[kk++].lit = l; /* but do copy otherwise */
    if (k < jj) continue;
                               /* reject a satisfied clause */
    h +++; (Wrap up clause cc 121);
  max\_learned = cc, prev\_learned = 0;
  o, mem[max\_learned - learned\_extra].lit = 0;
                                                   /* put zero at end of mem */
  if (verbose & (show_recycling + show_recycling_details))
    fprintf(stderr, " (recycling reduced "O"d learned clauses to "O"d) n", budget * 2 + 1, h);
       /* a little white lie sometimes */
This code is used in section 113.
```

115. Clause activity scores are used only to break ties. So it's natural to ask whether the effort of computing them and sorting through them is actually worthwhile. Armin Biere has told me that a small but significant number of problems do have a fairly large number of clauses at the median range, so I'm following his recommendation.

```
register ullng accum;

t = s - budget;

jj = rangedist[j] - t;

if (jj > clause_heap_size) jj = clause_heap_size;

⟨Put jj entries of range j into the clause heap 117⟩;

⟨Establish heap order in the clause heap 118⟩;

⟨Increase the range of t clauses from j to j + 1 120⟩;
}

This code is used in section 114.

116. ⟨Allocate the auxiliary arrays 57⟩ +≡

clause_heap_size = recycle_bump ≫ 1;

clause_heap = (ullng *) malloc(clause_heap_size * sizeof(ullng));

if (¬clause_heap) {

fprintf(stderr, "Oops, □I□can't□allocate□the□clause_heap□array!\n");

exit(-16);
}

bytes += clause_heap_size * sizeof(ullng);
```

 $\langle \text{Remove } t = s - budget \text{ clauses at the threshold } 115 \rangle \equiv$

117. Entries of *clause_heap* are packed so that they sort on activity first, location second. (If two clauses have equally low activity, we prefer to forget the one that has had more time to become active.)

We use the fact that nonnegative **float** numbers can be compared as if they were integers. Thus we interpret active(c) as a 'lit' instead of as a 'flt'.

```
\langle \text{Put } jj \text{ entries of range } j \text{ into the clause heap } 117 \rangle \equiv
  for (h = 0, c = first\_learned; h < jj; c = endc + learned\_extra) {
     if (c \geq recycle\_point) confusion("rangedist1");
     o, endc = c + size(c);
     while (o, mem[endc].lit \& sign\_bit) endc ++;
     if (o, range(c) \equiv j) clause\_heap[h++] = activ\_as\_lit(c) + c;
  }
This code is used in section 115.
118. (Establish heap order in the clause heap 118) \equiv
  for (h = jj \gg 1; h;)
     q = h + h, p = --h, o, accum = clause\_heap[p];
     \langle \, {\rm Sift} \,\, accum \,\, {\rm into} \,\, {\rm the} \,\, {\rm clause} \,\, {\rm heap} \,\, {\rm at} \,\, p \,\, {\rm 119} \, \rangle;
This code is used in section 115.
119. At this point q = p + p + 2.
\langle \text{Sift } accum \text{ into the clause heap at } p \text{ 119} \rangle \equiv
  while (q \leq jj) {
     if (q \equiv jj \lor (oo, clause\_heap[q-1] < clause\_heap[q])) q--;
     if (accum \leq clause\_heap[q]) break;
                                                          /* equality can't actually occur */
     o, clause\_heap[p] = clause\_heap[q];
     p = q, q = p + p + 2;
  o, clause\_heap[p] = accum;
This code is used in sections 118 and 120.
```

120. We continue to pass over all learned clauses, looking for those whose range is j, until t more are found.

```
(Increase the range of t clauses from j to j + 1 120) \equiv
  for ( ; ; c = endc + learned\_extra)  {
     if (c \geq recycle\_point) confusion("rangedist2");
     if (o, range(c) \equiv j) {
        o, accum = activ\_as\_lit(c) + c;
        if (o, accum < clause\_heap[0]) {
          o, range(c) = j + 1;
          if (--t \equiv 0) break;
        } else {
          o, range((\mathbf{int})(clause\_heap[0] \& \#ffffffff)) = j + 1;
          if (--t \equiv 0) break;
          p = 0, q = 2;
          \langle \text{Sift } accum \text{ into the clause heap at } p \text{ 119} \rangle;
     }
     o, endc = c + size(c);
     while (o, mem[endc].lit \& sign\_bit) endc ++;
This code is used in section 115.
```

121. At this point we're operating at root level; that is, llevel = 0. And we've just copied the literals of a learned-clause-to-remember into positions mem[cc].lit, mem[cc+1].lit, ..., mem[kk-1].lit.

In rare circumstances the simplifications we've made might result in a learned clause of size 1. Or even size 0!

This code is used in section 114.

This code is used in section 122.

```
122. \langle Recompute all the watch lists 122 \rangle \equiv
  for (l = 2; l \leq max\_lit; l++) o, lmem[l].watch = 0;
  for (c = clause\_extra; c < min\_learned; c = endc + clause\_extra) {
    o, endc = c + size(c);
     \langle Watch the first two literals of c 123\rangle;
    while (o, mem[endc].lit \& sign\_bit) endc ++;
                                                           /* necessary for c < min\_learned */
  for (c = first\_learned; c < max\_learned; c = endc + learned\_extra) {
    o, endc = c + size(c);
     \langle Watch the first two literals of c 123\rangle;
This code is used in section 113.
123. A technicality for mem counting: We save one memory access either when fetching mem[c+1].lit or
when storing into link1(c).
\langle Watch the first two literals of c 123\rangle \equiv
    o, l = mem[c].lit;
     ooo, link\theta(c) = lmem[l].watch, lmem[l].watch = c;
    l = mem[c+1].lit;
     ooo, link1(c) = lmem[l].watch, lmem[l].watch = c;
```

124. Putting it all together. Most of the mechanisms that we need to solve a satisfiability problem are now in place. We just need to set them in motion at the proper times.

```
\langle Solve the problem 124\rangle \equiv
  ⟨ Finish the initialization 130⟩;
square\_one: llevel = warmup\_cycles = 0;
  if (sanity_checking) sanity(eptr);
  if (verbose & show_initial_clauses) print_unsat();
  lptr = 0;
startup: conflict\_level = 0;
  full\_run = (warmup\_cycles < warmups ? 1 : 0);
proceed: conflict\_seen = 0;
  (Complete the current level, or goto confl 127);
newlevel: if (sanity_checking) sanity(eptr);
  if (delta \land (mems \ge thresh)) thresh += delta, print\_state(eptr);
  if (mems \ge timeout) {
    fprintf(stderr, "TIMEOUT!\n"); goto all_done;
  if (eptr \equiv vars) {
    if (\neg conflict\_level) goto satisfied;
    \langle \text{Finish a full run } 133 \rangle;
    goto startup;
                             /* no conflicting literals are on the trail */
  if (\neg conflict\_level) {
    if (total\_learned > doomsday) \langle Call it quits 138 \rangle;
    if (total\_learned \ge next\_recycle) full\_run = 1;
    else if (total\_learned \ge next\_restart) \langle Restart unless agility is high 136 \rangle;
  llevel += 2;
  \langle Choose the next decision literal, l 75\rangle;
  "Level_{\sqcup}"O"d,_{\sqcup}trying_{\sqcup}"O"s"O".8s_{\sqcup}("O"lld_{\sqcup}mems)\n", llevel \gg 1, litname(l), mems);
  depth\_per\_decision += -(depth\_per\_decision \gg 7) + ((ullng) llevel \ll 24);
  trail\_per\_decision += -(trail\_per\_decision \gg 7) + ((ullng) \ eptr \ll 25);
  o, lmem[l].reason = 0;
  history[eptr] = 0;
launch: nodes ++:
  o, leveldat[llevel] = eptr;
  o, trail[eptr++] = l;
  o, vmem[thevar(l)].tloc = lptr; /* lptr = eptr - 1 */
  vmem[thevar(l)].value = llevel + (l \& 1);
                                /* use the damping factor 1-2^{-13} */
  agility -= agility \gg 13;
  goto proceed;
  ⟨ Resolve the current conflict 125⟩;
This code is used in section 2.
```

This code is used in section 125.

125. (I should mention somewhere that the updating of agility here, and elsewhere, has a known bug: Overflow from $2^{32} - 1$ to 2^{32} is theoretically possible! However, this will certainly never occur in practice; and even if it does, it will cause no great harm.)

```
\langle Resolve the current conflict 125\rangle \equiv
confl: if (llevel) {
  prep\_clause: \langle Deal with the conflict clause c 86 \rangle;
                                               /* Note: lll is the false literal that will become true */
     \langle \text{ Simplify the learned clause } 102 \rangle;
     if (full_run) goto store_clause;
     decisionvar = (lmem[bar(lll)].reason?0:1); /* was it first in its level? */
     \langle Backtrack to jumplev 128 \rangle;
     if (learned\_size > 1) {
       \langle Learn the simplified clause 103\rangle
       if (verbose & (show_details + show_choices)) {
          if ((verbose \& show\_details) \lor llevel \le show\_choices\_max)
             fprintf(stderr, "level_{\sqcup}"O"d,_{\sqcup}"O"s"O".8s_{\sqcup}from_{\sqcup}"O"d, "level \gg 1, litname(lll), c);
       o, lmem[lll].reason = c;
     } else \( \text{Learn a clause of size 1 126} \);
     o, vmem[thevar(lll)].value = llevel + (lll \& 1), vmem[thevar(lll)].tloc = eptr;
     history[eptr] = (decisionvar?2:6);
     o, trail[eptr++] = lll;
     agility -= agility \gg 13;
                                    /* use the damping factor 1 - 2^{-13} */
                             /* "bug" */
     agility += 1 \ll 19;
     \langle \text{Bump the bumps } 82 \rangle;
     if (sanity_checking) sanity(eptr);
     goto proceed;
unsat: if (1)  {
                        /* the formula was unsatisfiable */
     printf("^{n});
     if (verbose & show_basics) fprintf(stderr, "UNSAT\n");
  satisfied: if (verbose & show_basics) fprintf(stderr, "!SAT!\n");
     ⟨ Print the solution found 129⟩;
This code is used in section 124.
126. \langle \text{Learn a clause of size 1 126} \rangle \equiv
  {
     if (verbose & (show\_details + show\_choices))
       fprintf(stderr, "level_{\sqcup}0,_{\sqcup}learned_{\sqcup}"O"s"O".8s\n", litname(lll));
     if (learned_file) {
       fprintf(learned\_file, " \sqcup "O"s"O".8s \ n", litname(lll));
       fflush(learned_file);
       learned\_out ++;
     }
  }
```

```
\langle Complete the current level, or goto confl 127\rangle \equiv
                      /* binary implications needn't be checked after this point */
  ebptr = eptr;
  while (lptr < eptr) {
     o, lt = trail[lptr++];
     if (lptr \leq ebptr) {
       o, lat = lmem[lt].bimp\_end;
       if (lat) {
          l = lt;
          \langle Propagate binary implications of l; goto confl if a conflict arises 58\rangle;
     \langle \text{Propagate nonbinary implications of } lt; \mathbf{goto} \ confl \ \text{if there's a conflict } 60 \rangle;
This code is used in section 124.
128. \langle \text{Backtrack to } jumplev | 128 \rangle \equiv
     o, k = level dat[jumplev + 2];
     while (eptr > k) {
       o, l = trail[--eptr], v = thevar(l);
       oo, vmem[v].oldval = vmem[v].value;
       o, vmem[v].value = unset;
       o, lmem[l].reason = 0;
       if (eptr < lptr \land (o, vmem[v].hloc < 0)) \land Put v into the heap 74);
     lptr = eptr;
     if (sanity_checking) {
       while (llevel > jumplev) leveldat[llevel] = -1, llevel -= 2;
     } else llevel = jumplev;
  }
This code is used in sections 125, 133, 134, and 137.
129. \langle Print the solution found 129\rangle \equiv
  for (k = 0; k < vars; k++) {
     o, printf(" \cup "O"s"O".8s", litname(trail[k]));
  printf("\n");
  if (out_file) {
     for (k = 0; k < vars; k++) {
       o, fprintf(out\_file, "u"O"s"O".8s", litname(bar(trail[k])));
     fprintf(out_file, "\n");
     fprintf(stderr, "Solution-avoiding \ clause \ written \ to \ file \ "O"s'.\ n", out\_name);
This code is used in section 125.
```

```
130. \langle Finish the initialization 130\rangle \equiv
  if (rand\_prob \ge 1.0) rand\_prob\_thresh = #80000000;
  else rand\_prob\_thresh = (int)(rand\_prob * 2147483648.0);
  var\_bump\_factor = 1.0/(\mathbf{double}) \ var\_rho;
  clause\_bump\_factor = 1.0/clause\_rho;
                                   /* double the level-oriented parameters */
  show\_choices\_max \ll = 1;
  next\_recycle = recycle\_bump;
  if (next\_recycle > doomsday) next\_recycle = doomsday;
  restart\_psi = two\_to\_the\_32 * (double) restart\_psi\_fraction;
  restart_u = restart_v = next_restart = 1;
  \mathbf{if} \ (\mathit{verbose} \ \& \ \mathit{show\_details}) \ \ \{
     for (k = 0; k < eptr; k++) fprintf(stderr, ""O"s"O".8s_is_given_n", litname(trail[k]));
  for (k = 0; k < vars; k++) o, leveldat[k + k] = -1, leveldat[k + k + 1] = 0;
This code is used in section 124.
131. \langle Schedule the next restart 131\rangle \equiv
  if ((restart_u \& -restart_u) \equiv restart_v) restart_u +++, restart_v = 1, restart_t ++ restart_v = 1, restart_t ++
  else restart_v \ll 1, restart_thresh += restart_thresh \gg 4;
  next\_restart = total\_learned + restart\_v;
  if (next\_restart > doomsday) next\_restart = doomsday;
This code is used in section 136.
132. \langle Schedule the next recycling pass 132\rangle \equiv
  recycle\_bump += recycle\_inc;
  next\_recycle = total\_learned + recycle\_bump;
  if (next_recycle > doomsday) next_recycle = doomsday;
This code is used in section 133.
```

This code is used in section 124.

133. After a full cycle has assigned values to all the variables, we go back and learn clauses from each of the recorded conflicts.

If clause c_i is learned at level l_i , it tells us that some literal u_i that was set false at l_i can now be set to true at some previous level $l'_i < l_i$. We want to backtrack to the minimum of those levels l'_i , which we'll call minjumplev.

```
\langle \text{Finish a full run } 133 \rangle \equiv
  if (total\_learned \ge next\_recycle) {
     if (verbose \& (show\_details + show\_gory\_details + show\_recycling + show\_recycling\_details))
       fprintf(stderr, "Preparing_ito_recycle_i("O"llu_iconflicts,_i"O"llu_imems)\n", total_learned,
            mems);
     ⟨ Compute ranges for clause recycling 112⟩;
  } else {
     warmup\_cycles ++;
     if (verbose \& (show\_choices + show\_details + show\_gory\_details + show\_warmlearn))
       fprintf(stderr, "Finishing_warmup_round_"O"d:\n", warmup_cycles);
  o, leveldat[llevel + 2] = eptr;
                               /* an "infinite" level */
  minjumplev = max\_lit;
  for ( ; conflict_level; ) \langle Learn from the conflict at conflict_level 134\rangle;
  if (recycle\_point) jumplev = 0;
  else jumplev = minjumplev;
  \langle Backtrack to jumplev 128 \rangle;
  trail\_marker = eptr;
  if (jumplev \equiv minjumplev) \land Place the literals learned at minjumplev at the end of the trail 135\rangle;
  \langle \text{Bump the bumps } 82 \rangle;
  if (recycle_point) {
     ⟨ Recycle half of the learned clauses 113⟩;
     if (sanity_checking) sanity(eptr);
     ⟨Schedule the next recycling pass 132⟩;
```

66 PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER SAT13 $\S 134$

134. Trivial clauses that arise during a full run are ignored, unless they are on the first conflict level, because they are never applicable at higher levels.

Several different literals u_i might all turn to be learned at minjumplev. Therefore we keep track of them on a stack within the conflictdat array. The top item on this stack is accessed via $next_learned$.

```
\langle \text{ Learn from the conflict at } conflict\_level | 134 \rangle \equiv
  {
    o, jumplev = conflict\_level, conflict\_level = conflictdat[conflict\_level];
    \langle \text{ Backtrack to } jumplev | 128 \rangle;
    o, c = leveldat[llevel + 1];
    if (c < 0) o, l = -c, ll = conflictdat[llevel + 1];
    goto prep_clause;
                      /* apology: these goto's are because of goto's in simplification */
  store\_clause:
       /* now lll is a false literal that will become true at jumplev */
    if (trivial\_learning \land conflict\_level) {
       cells\_prelearned -= prelearned\_size;
       cells\_learned -= learned\_size, total\_learned --, trivials --;
    } else {
       if (jumplev \leq minjumplev) {
         if (jumplev < minjumplev) minjumplev = jumplev, next\_learned = 0;
         o, conflictdat[llevel] = next\_learned, conflictdat[llevel + 1] = lll;
          next\_learned = llevel;
       if (learned\_size \equiv 1) {
         o, leveldat[llevel + 1] = 0;
         if (learned_file) {
            fprintf(learned\_file, "$\sqcup$"O"s"O".8s\n", litname(lll));
            fflush(learned\_file);
            learned\_out ++;
         if (verbose & show_warmlearn)
            fprintf(stderr, "(learned\_unit\_clause\_"O"s"O".8s)\n", litname(lll));
       } else {
          ⟨Learn the simplified clause 103⟩;
         o, leveldat[llevel + 1] = c;
         if (verbose & show_warmlearn)
            fprintf(stderr, "(learned\_clause\_"O"d\_of\_size\_"O"d) \n", c, learned\_size);
    }
```

This code is used in section 133.

```
\langle Place the literals learned at minjumplev at the end of the trail 135\rangle \equiv
  while (next_learned) {
     o, lll = conflictdat[next\_learned + 1];
     o, c = leveldat[next\_learned + 1];
     next\_learned = conflictdat[next\_learned];
     if (verbose & (show_details + show_choices)) {
        if ((verbose \& show\_details) \lor llevel \le show\_choices\_max) {
          if (c) fprintf(stderr, "level_{\sqcup}"O"d,_{\sqcup}"O"s"O".8s_{\sqcup}from_{\sqcup}"O"d,_{level} \gg 1, litname(lll), c);
          else fprintf(stderr, "level_0, "O"s"O".8s\n", litname(lll));
     o, vmem[thevar(lll)].value = llevel + (lll \& 1), vmem[thevar(lll)].tloc = eptr;
     o, lmem[lll].reason = c;
     o, history[eptr] = 4, trail[eptr +\!\!+\!\!+] = lll;
This code is used in section 133.
136. Following the advice of Armin Biere [Lecture Notes in Computer Science 4996 (2008), 28–33], I
disable restarts when there's lots of agility (recent flips of variables). The threshold is higher when the time
to next restart is longer.
\langle \text{Restart unless } agility \text{ is high } 136 \rangle \equiv
     (Schedule the next restart 131):
     if (agility \leq restart\_thresh) \langle Flush literals 137 \rangle
     else if (verbose & show_restarts)
        fprintf(stderr, "No_{\square}restart_{\square}("O"llu_{\square}conflicts,_{\square}"O"llu_{\square}mems,_{\square}agility_{\square}"O".2f)\n",
             total_learned, mems, (double) agility/two_to_the_32);
  }
This code is used in section 124.
```

137. Instead of restarting completely, by backing up all the way to level 0, we follow the advice of van der Tak, Ramos, and Heule [Journal on Satisfiability, Boolean Modeling and Computation 7 (2011), 133–138]: We return to the first level for which a new variable will be injected into the trail. (That new variable will be the one with maximum activity, among all that are currently unset.) Sometimes that will not require backtracking at all.

```
(I've lately decided to call this "flushing," not "restarting," in my book.)
\langle Flush literals 137\rangle \equiv
  {
     actual\_restarts ++;
     if (verbose & (show_details + show_choices + show_restarts))
       fprintf(stderr, "Restarting_{\square}("O"llu_{\square}conflicts,_{\square}"O"llu_{\square}mems,_{\square}agility_{\square}"O".2f)\n",
             total_learned, mems, (double) agility/two_to_the_32);
     if (llevel) {
       while (1) {
          o, v = heap[0];
          if (o, vmem[v].value \equiv unset) break;
          \langle \text{ Delete } v \text{ from the heap } 77 \rangle;
       o, av = vmem[v].activity;
       for (jumplev = 0; jumplev < llevel; jumplev += 2) {
          oo, v = thevar(trail[leveldat[jumplev + 2]]);
                                                                /* a decision variable */
          if (o, vmem[v].activity < av) break;
                                                          /* new guy will replace v */
       if (jumplev < llevel) \( \text{Backtrack to jumplev 128} \);
     trail\_marker = eptr;
     warmup\_cycles = 0;
     goto startup;
This code is used in section 136.
```

```
Well, we didn't solve the problem. Too bad. At least we can report what progress was made.
\langle \text{ Call it quits } 138 \rangle \equiv
    if (verbose & show_basics)
       fprintf(stderr, "Timeout: \_Terminating\_an\_incomplete\_run\_(level\_"O"d). \n", llevel \gg 1);
    print_state(eptr);
    if (polarity_outfile) {
       for (k = 0; k < eptr; k++) {
         o, l = trail[k];
         fprintf(polarity\_outfile, "$\sqcup$"O"s"O".8s", litname(l));
         o, vmem[thevar(l)].oldval = unset;
       fprintf(polarity_outfile, "\n");
       for (v = 1; v \le vars; v ++)
         if (o, vmem[v].oldval \neq unset)
            fprintf(polarity\_outfile, ""O"s"O".8s\n", vmem[v].oldval & 1?"~":"", vmem[v].name.ch8);
       fprintf(stderr, "Polarity_data_written_to_file_'"O"s'.\n", polarity_out_name);
    if (restart_file) {
       for (o, k = 0; k < leveldat[2]; k++)
                                                /* print unit clauses learned */
         o, fprintf(restart\_file, " \sqcup "O"s"O".8s \n", litname(trail[k]));
       for (c = first\_learned; c < max\_learned; c = kk + learned\_extra) {
         for (o, k = c, kk = c + size(c); k < kk; k++)
            o, fprintf(restart\_file, "_\"O"s"O".8s", litname(mem[k].lit));
         fprintf(restart_file, "\n");
       fprintf(stderr, "Current_learned_lclauses_lwritten_lto_lfile_l'"O"s'.\n", restart_name);
    \mathbf{goto}\ \mathit{all\_done};
This code is used in section 124.
139. \langle Debugging fallbacks 139\rangle \equiv
  void confusion(char *id)
        /* an assertion has failed */
    fprintf(stderr, "This_can't_happen_("O"s)! \n", id);
    exit(-666);
  void debugstop(int foo)
        /* can be inserted as a special breakpoint */
    fprintf(stderr, "You rang("O"d)? n", foo);
This code is used in section 2.
```

SAT13 §140

```
140. \langle Global variables 4\rangle + \equiv
  int full_run;
                   /* are we making a pass to gather data on all variables? */
                        /* have we seen a conflict at the current level? */
  int conflict_seen;
  {\bf int} \ decision var;
                       /* does the learned clause involve the decision literal? */
  int prev_learned;
                        /* number of the clause most recently learned */
  int warmup_cycles;
                           /* this many warmups have been done since restart */
  int next_learned;
                        /* top of stack of literals learned at minjumplev */
                               /* generators for the reluctant doubling sequence */
  int restart_u, restart_v;
                            /* agility threshold for restarting */
  ullng restart_thresh;
  int trail_marker;
                        /* position of the latest restart or full run pass */
  int minjumplev;
                        /* level to which we'll return after a full run */
```

§141 SAT13 INDEX 71

141. Index.

ac: 81, 84. accum: 115, 118, 119, 120. activ: 28, 81, 84, 105, 107, 121. $activ_as_lit$: 28, 117, 120. active: 117.activity: 29, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 77, 78, 79, 83, 137. $actual_restarts: \underline{4}, 7, 137.$ agility: 39, 41, 58, 65, 124, 125, 136, 137. $all_done: 2, 124, 138.$ alpha: $3, \underline{4}, 5, 6, 110.$ $argc: \underline{2}, 3.$ argv: $\underline{2}$, 3, 5, 6. asserts: 110, 111, 112, 114. au: 2, 77.av: 2, 70, 73, 74, 77, 83, 137. $bad_cell: 11, 15, 17, 23.$ $bad_{-}tmp_{-}var$: 11, 15, 16, 24. badlevel: 109, 110.bar: 29, 37, 51, 53, 60, 62, 63, 64, 68, 86, 87, 93, 95, 98, 100, 102, 107, 125, 129. bimp_end: 30, 31, 49, 51, 53, 58, 59, 65, 127. $bimp_start\colon \ \underline{30},\ 31,\ 53,\ 58.$ binaries: 11, 14, 48, 53, 56. bmem: 27, 30, 31, 53, 56, 58, 66. buckets: 109, 110, 112.budget: 111, 112, 114, 115.buf: 11, 12, 13, 14, 19, 22, 47, 79. buf_size: 4, 5, 6, 12, 13. $bytes: \underline{4}, 7, 46, 47, 48, 56, 57, 89, 96, 104, 109, 116.$ c: 2, 32, 33, 34, 44.cc: 2, 84, 114, 121.cel: 27, <u>28</u>, 47, 104. cell: 10, 17, 23, 55. cells: 11, 13, 14, 25, 48. cells_learned: 4, 7, 102, 134. $cells_per_chunk: 10, 17, 23.$ $cells_prelearned: \underline{4}, 7, 102, 134.$ **chunk**: <u>10,</u> 11, 17, 23. chunk_struct: 10. ch8: 9, 19, 29, 38, 71, 72, 138.clause_bump: 80, 81, 82, 84. clause_bump_factor: 80, 82, 130. $clause_done: 14.$ $clause_extra\colon \ \ \underline{28},\ 33,\ 35,\ 36,\ 44,\ 48,\ 49,\ 122.$ clause_heap: <u>111</u>, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120. $clause_heap_size\colon \ \underline{111},\ 115,\ 116.$ $clause_rho\colon \ \ \, 3,\ \underline{4},\ 5,\ 6,\ 80,\ 130.$ clauses: <u>11</u>, 13, 14, 15, 19, 22, 25, <u>34</u>, 35, 36, 48, 49. $clear_stack$: 100, 101. clevels: 40, 86, 90, 95, 102. confl: 66, 67, 125.

conflict_level: 68, 69, 97, 124, 133, 134. conflict_seen: 68, 69, 124, 140. conflictdat: 68, 69, 96, 97, 134, 135. confusion: 95, 100, 107, 117, 120, <u>139</u>. cur_cell: <u>11</u>, 15, 17, 23, 50, 55. cur_chunk: 11, 17, 23, 55. cur_tmp_var: 11, 15, 16, 19, 20, 24, 54, 55, 79. cur_vchunk: 11, 16, 24, 55. curstamp: 86, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 99, 100, 101, 102, 105, 107. debugstop: 139. $decision var \colon \ 125, \ \underline{140}.$ delta: 3, 4, 5, 124. $depth_per_decision$: 39, 41, 124. discards: $\underline{4}$, 7, 105. doomsday: 3, 4, 5, 124, 130, 131, 132. ebptr: 27, 127. $empty_clause: 14, 19, 21.$ endc: 2, 34, 35, 44, 84, 112, 114, 117, 120, 122. eptr: <u>27</u>, <u>34</u>, 37, 38, 41, <u>42</u>, <u>43</u>, 49, 52, 58, 65, 121, 124, 125, 127, 128, 130, 133, 135, 137, 138. exit: 6, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 46, 47, 48, 49, 55, 56, 57, 89, 96, 104, 109, 116, 139. fclose: 8. fflush: 42, 98, 108, 126, 134. fgets: 13.filler: $\underline{29}$. first_learned: 27, 35, 48, 84, 87, 93, 112, 114, 117, 122, 138. flt: 28, 117.foo: 139. fopen: 5.fprintf: 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 22, 25, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 42, 43, 44, 46, 47, 48, 49, 55, 56, 57, 58, 62, 65, 66, 67, 71, 72, 86, 89, 93, 95, 96, 98, 102, 104, 105, 107, 108, 109, 114, 116, 121, 124, 125, 126, 129, 130, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139. free: 23, 24, 47, 55. fscanf: 79.full_run: 66, 67, 124, 125, <u>140</u>. qb_init_rand : 12. gb_next_rand: 18, 75, 76, 78, 79. gb_rand : 4. $glucose_per_confl$: 39, 40, <u>41</u>. $h: \underline{2}.$ $hack_clean: \underline{50}.$ $hack_in: \underline{15}.$ $hack_out: 50.$ hash: 11, 12, 20, 47, 79. hash_bits: 11, 18, 19.

hbits: 4, 5, 6, 12, 13, 19. heap: <u>27,</u> 46, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77, 78, 79, 112, 137. history: 27, 42, 43, 52, 56, 58, 65, 121, 124, 125, 135. hloc: 29, 38, 70, 72, 73, 74, 77, 78, 79, 128. $hn: \ \underline{27}, 71, 72, 74, 76, 77, 78, 79.$ hp: 2, 73, 77.i: $\underline{2}$. $id: \underline{139}.$ imems: $2, \underline{4}, 7.$ is_red : 100. iscontrary: 29, 44, 58, 60, 63. isknown: 29, 44, 58, 60, 63, 65. $its_true: 110.$ $j: \ \ \underline{2}, \ \underline{42}.$ jj: 2, 49, 51, 53, 95, 107, 114, 115, 117, 118, 119.jumplev: 86, 90, 95, 102, 104, 105, 107, 128, 133, 134, 137. k: 2, 33, 34, 42, 43, 44, 71. kk: 2, 102, 114, 121, 138. l: 2, 31, 32, 33, 34, 43, 44.*la*: $\underline{2}$, $\underline{31}$, 58. lat: 58, 59, 65, 127. launch: 124.lbptr: 27, 58. $learn \colon \ 89, \ \underline{90}, \ 93, \ 95, \ 102, \ 107.$ learn_save: 3, 4, 5, 98, 103. learned_extra: <u>28,</u> 35, 84, 104, 112, 114, 117, $120,\ 121,\ 122,\ 138.$ learned_file: 4, 5, 7, 8, 98, 103, 108, 126, 134. $learned_name: \underline{4}, 5, 7.$ learned_out: 4, 7, 98, 108, 126, 134. learned_size: 40, 90, 102, 103, 104, 105, 107, 108, 125, 134. $learned_supplement: 28, 48.$ leveldat: 27, 37, 39, 57, 68, 69, 107, 124, 128, 130, 133, 134, 135, 137, 138. levstamp: 91, 95, 96, 97, 99, 100, 102, 110, 112. link0: 28, 32, 35, 36, 49, 60, 98, 105, 106, 107, 123. link1: 28, 32, 35, 36, 49, 60, 62, 64, 105, 106, 107, 123. *lit*: 28, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37, 44, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 60, 61, 62, 84, 87, 93, 98, 100, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 110, 112, 114, 117, 120, 121, 122, 123, 138. literal: 27, <u>30</u>, 47. litname: 29, 31, 32, 33, 37, 43, 44, 58, 62, 65, 66, 67, 86, 93, 95, 98, 102, 108, 121, 124, 125, $126,\ 129,\ 130,\ 134,\ 135,\ 138.$ $lits_per_confl$: 39, 40, $\underline{41}$. $lits_per_nontriv: 39, 40, 41.$ *ll*: <u>2, 51, 53, 58, 60, 63, 65, 66, 67, 68, 88, 98,</u> 100, 101, 102, 134.

llevel: 27, 34, 37, 38, 41, 58, 60, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 86, 95, 98, 121, 124, 125, 128, 133, 134, 135, 137, 138. lll: 2, 86, 98, 103, 105, 107, 125, 126, 134, 135. *lmem*: 27, 30, 31, 32, 36, 37, 43, 47, 49, 51, 53, 58, 60, 62, 64, 65, 93, 98, 100, 101, 104, 106, 107, 110, 122, 123, 124, 125, 127, 128, 135. lng: 9, 19, 20, 54, 79. lptr: 27, 124, 127, 128.lt: <u>59,</u> 60, 62, 63, 64, 127. $main: \underline{2}.$ malloc: 12, 16, 17, 46, 47, 56, 57, 89, 96, 109, 116. max_cells_used : $\underline{4}$, 7, 47, 48, 49, 104. max_learned: 27, 33, 35, 36, 48, 84, 104, 105, 112, 114, 122, 138. max_lit: 27, 33, 35, 36, 37, 43, 47, 49, 53, 122, 133. maxrange: 110, 111, 112, 114. mem: 4, 27, 28, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37, 44, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 56, 60, 61, 62, 66, 84, 87, 93, 98, 100, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 112, 114, 117, 120, 121, 122, 123, 138. $memk_{-}max: \underline{4}, 5, 6, 48.$ $memk_max_default$: 4, $\underline{48}$. mems: 2, 3, 4, 7, 40, 42, 75, 76, 78, 79, 124, 133, 136, 137. $mems_at_prev_confl$: 40, 41. $mems_per_confl$: 39, 40, 41. memsize: 27, 47, 48, 104. $min_learned\colon \ \ \underline{27},\ 28,\ 35,\ 43,\ 44,\ 48,\ 49,\ 53,\ 122.$ minjumplev: 133, 134, <u>140</u>. minrange: 110, <u>111</u>, 112, 114. mod: 2, 76. $mpc: \underline{39}.$ name: 9, 19, 20, 29, 38, 54, 71, 72, 79, 138. neglit: 29. $new_chunk: 17.$ new_vchunk : 16. newlevel: 124.next: 9, 20, 79.next_learned: 134, 135, <u>140</u>. next_recycle: 4, 124, 130, 132, 133. $next_restart\colon \quad \underline{4},\ 124,\ 130,\ 131.$ $next_wa: 59, 60, 106.$ nodes: 4, 7, 124. $null clauses\colon \ \underline{11},\ 13,\ 14,\ 22.$ O: 2. $o: \underline{2}$. octa: $\underline{9}$, $\underline{9}$. $old_chunk: \underline{23}.$ $old_vchunk: \underline{24}.$ oldptr: 86, 90, 93, 95, 102. oldval: 29, 58, 65, 75, 78, 79, 128, 138.

oo: 2, 49, 51, 60, 61, 62, 74, 77, 91, 98, 100, 102, 105, 107, 110, 119, 128, 137. ooo: 2, 49, 53, 78, 98, 107, 121, 123. out_file: $\underline{4}$, 5, 8, 129. out_name : 4, 5, 129. p: 2, 15, 79. $polarity_in_name: 4, 5.$ polarity_infile: $\underline{4}$, 5, 8, 45, 79. $polarity_out_name$: $\underline{4}$, 5, 138. polarity_outfile: 4, 5, 8, 138. poslit: 29, 75.ppc: 39.prelearned_size: 90, 102, 134. $prep_clause: 125, 134.$ prev: 9, 10, 16, 17, 23, 24, 55. prev_learned: 98, 103, 104, 105, 112, 114, 140. $print_bimp: \underline{31}.$ $print_clause:$ 33. $print_heap: 71.$ print_state: 3, <u>42</u>, 124, 138. $print_state_cutoff: \underline{4}, 5, 42.$ $print_stats: \underline{39}, 42.$ $print_trail: \underline{43}.$ print_unsat: 44, 124. $print_watches_for: 32.$ printf: 31, 32, 33, 125, 129. proceed: 124, 125. $props: 40, \underline{41}, 58, 65.$ $props_per_confl$: 39, 40, <u>41</u>. $proto_memsize: \underline{48}.$ q: $\underline{2}$. r: $\underline{2}$, $\underline{76}$. rand_prob: 3, 4, 5, 6, 75, 130. $rand_prob_thresh$: 4, 75, 130. $random_seed: \underline{4}, 5, 12.$ range: 28, 110, 114, 117, 120. $range_set$: 110. rangedist: 109, 110, 111, 114, 115. reason: 30, 37, 43, 49, 58, 65, 93, 100, 101, 104, 110, 124, 125, 128, 135. recycle_bump: 3, 4, 5, 116, 130, 132. $recycle_inc:$ 3, $\underline{4}$, 5, 6, 132. recycle_point: 111, 112, 113, 114, 117, 120, 133. redundant: 100, 102. res_per_confl : 39, 40, 41. resols: 40, 86, 90, 93. restart_file: 4, 5, 8, 138. $restart_name$: $\underline{4}$, 5, 138. $restart_psi$: $\underline{4}$, 130, 131. $restart_psi_fraction: 3, \underline{4}, 5, 130.$ restart_thresh: 131, 136, 140. restart_u: 130, 131, 140.

restart_v: 130, 131, <u>140</u>. sanity: 34, 124, 125, 133. sanity_checking: <u>34</u>, 124, 125, 128, 133. satisfied: 124, 125. serial: 9, 20, 50, 79. $short_per_confl$: 39, 40, 41. $show_basics: \underline{2}, 4, 7, 125, 138.$ show_choices: 2, 3, 4, 121, 124, 125, 126, 133, 135, 137. show_choices_max: 4, 5, 124, 125, 130, 135. show_details: 2, 48, 58, 65, 66, 67, 121, 125, 126, 130, 133, 135, 137. $show_experiments: 2.$ $show_gory_details$: 2, 86, 93, 95, 102, 105, 107, 133. $show_initial_clauses$: 2, 124. $show_recycling$: 2, 114, 133. show_recycling_details: 2, 114, 121, 133. $show_restarts: 2, 136, 137.$ $show_warmlearn: 2, 133, 134.$ $show_watches: \underline{2}, 62, 98.$ sign_bit: 28, 33, 35, 44, 61, 84, 98, 112, 114, 117, 120, 122. $single_tiny$: 84. size: 28, 33, 35, 44, 49, 60, 61, 84, 87, 93, 98, 100, 105, 107, 110, 112, 114, 117, 120, 121, 122, 138. $square_one$: 124. sscanf: 5. stack: 96, 97, 100, 101. stackptr: 97, 100, 101. stamp: 9, 15, 20, 21, 29, 54, 86, 87, 88, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 100, 101, 105, 107. startup: 124, 137. stderr: 3, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 22, 25, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 42, 43, 44, 46, 47, 48, 49, 55, 56, 57, 58, 62, 65, 66, 67, 71, 72, 86, 89, 93, 95, 96, 98, 102, 104, 105, 107, 109, 114, 116, 121, 124, 125, 126, 129, 130, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139. stdin: 1, 11, 13. store_clause: 125, 134. strlen: 13. $subsumptions: \underline{4}, 7, 98.$ t: 2, 76. test: 100.test1: 100.thevar: 29, 37, 43, 52, 58, 60, 65, 70, 86, 87, 88, 92, 93, 94, 95, 98, 100, 101, 102, 104, 105, 107, 110, 114, 121, 124, 125, 128, 135, 137, 138. thresh: $\underline{4}$, 5, 124. timeout: 3, 4, 5, 124. tiny: 83.

tl: 86, 87, 88, 90, 92, 93.

 $tloc: \ \underline{29},\ 37,\ 46,\ 52,\ 58,\ 65,\ 79,\ 87,\ 88,\ 121,\\ 124,\ 125,\ 135.$

tmp_var: 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 50, 79.

 $tmp_var_struct: 9.$

total_learned: <u>4</u>, 7, 102, 124, 131, 132, 133, 134, 136, 137.

trail: <u>27</u>, 37, 42, 43, 47, 52, 58, 65, 86, 92, 93, 107, 121, 124, 125, 127, 128, 129, 130, 135, 137, 138.

trail_marker: 42, 133, 137, <u>140</u>.

 $trail_per_decision$: 39, <u>41</u>, 124.

trivial_learning: 40, 90, 102, 104, 107, 134.

 $trivial_limit: 3, \underline{4}, 5, 6, 102.$

trivials: 4, 7, 102, 134.

 $true_prob$: 3, $\underline{4}$, 5, 6, 78, 79.

 $true_prob_thresh$: $\underline{4}$, 78, 79.

 $two_-to_-the_-31: 75, 76.$

two_to_the_32: 39, 41, 130, 136, 137.

 $u: \ \underline{2}, \ \underline{34}.$

uint: 2, 4, 9, 11, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 41, 42, 46, 47, 56, 59, 89, 90, 96, 97, 105, 114.

ullng: <u>2</u>, 4, 9, 11, 15, 28, 40, 41, 48, 50, 111, 115, 116, 124, 140.

unaries: 11, 14, 48.

unsat: 52, 121, 125.

 $unset: \ \ \underline{29},\ 38,\ 46,\ 52,\ 75,\ 104,\ 114,\ 128,\ 137,\ 138.$

u2: 9.

 $v: \ \underline{2}, \ \underline{34}, \ \underline{83}.$

 $vals: \underline{34}, 38.$

value: <u>29</u>, 37, 38, 43, 46, 52, 58, 60, 65, 75, 87, 95, 98, 100, 102, 104, 105, 107, 110, 114, 121, 124, 125, 128, 135, 137.

var: 9, 16, 24, 55.

var_bump: 70, 80, 82, 83.

 var_bump_factor : 80, 82, 130.

var_rho: 3, 4, 5, 6, 80, 130.

variable: 27, <u>29</u>, 46.

 $\begin{array}{c} \textit{vars}\colon \ \underline{11},\ 13,\ 20,\ 25,\ 38,\ 43,\ 46,\ 47,\ 54,\ 56,\ 57,\ 72,\\ 78,\ 79,\ 83,\ 89,\ 91,\ 96,\ 112,\ 124,\ 129,\ 130,\ 138. \end{array}$

 $vars_per_vchunk$: 9, 16, 24.

vchunk: 9, 11, 16, 24.

 $vchunk_struct: \underline{9}.$

 $\begin{array}{c} \textit{vmem}\colon \ \underline{27},\ 29,\ 37,\ 38,\ 43,\ 46,\ 52,\ 54,\ 58,\ 60,\ 65,\\ 70,\ 71,\ 72,\ 73,\ 74,\ 75,\ 77,\ 78,\ 79,\ 83,\ 86,\ 87,\ 88,\\ 91,\ 92,\ 93,\ 94,\ 95,\ 98,\ 100,\ 101,\ 102,\ 104,\ 105,\\ 107,\ 110,\ 114,\ 121,\ 124,\ 125,\ 128,\ 135,\ 137,\ 138. \end{array}$

wa: 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 69, 106.

warmup_cycles: 124, 133, 137, 140.

warmups: 3, 4, 5, 124.

 $watch\colon \ \ \underline{30},\ 32,\ 36,\ 49,\ 60,\ 62,\ 64,\ 98,\ 106,$

107, 122, 123.

watches: 34, 36.

 $x: \underline{2}$.

 $xnew \colon \ 86, \ 88, \ \underline{90}, \ 92, \ 93, \ 95.$

y: $\underline{2}$.

```
Allocate the auxiliary arrays 57, 89, 96, 109, 116 Used in section 45.
(Allocate the other main arrays 47, 56) Used in section 45.
\langle Allocate vmem and heap 46\rangle Used in section 45.
 Backtrack to jumplev 128 \rangle Used in sections 125, 133, 134, and 137.
 Bump the bumps 82 \ Used in sections 125 and 133.
 Bump curstamp to a new value 91 \ Used in section 86.
 Bump c's activity 81 \ Used in sections 87 and 93.
 Bump l's activity 70 \rangle Used in sections 87, 88, and 95.
 Call it quits 138 \rangle Used in section 124.
 Check all clauses for spurious data 35 \ Used in section 34.
 Check consistency 55 \ Used in section 45.
 Check the sanity of the heap 72 \ Used in section 34.
 Check the trail 37 \rangle Used in section 34.
 Check the variables 38 \ Used in section 34.
 Check the watch lists 36 \ Used in section 34.
 Choose the next decision literal, l 75 \ Used in section 124.
 Clear the stack 101 \rangle Used in section 100.
 Close the files 8 \ Used in section 2.
 Complete the current level, or goto confl 127 \rangle Used in section 124.
 Compress the database 114 \rangle Used in section 113.
 Compute ranges for clause recycling 112 \ Used in section 133.
 Compute the scaled range of c 110 \range Used in section 112.
(Copy all the temporary cells to the mem and bmem and trail arrays in proper format 49) Used in
(Copy all the temporary variable nodes to the vmem array in proper format 54) Used in section 45.
 Deal with a binary conflict 66 \ Used in section 58.
 Deal with a nonbinary conflict 67 \ Used in section 65.
 Deal with the conflict clause c 86 \ Used in section 125.
 Debugging fallbacks 139 \rangle Used in section 2.
 Define mem[c].lit at level 0 52 \rangle Used in section 51.
 Delete l from clause wa 61 \rightarrow Used in section 60.
 Delete v from the heap 77 \rangle Used in sections 75 and 137.
 Determine the address, c, for the learned clause 104 Used in section 103.
 Discard clause prev_learned if it is subsumed by the current learned clause 105 \ Used in section 104.
 Do special things for unary and binary clauses 51 \ Used in section 49.
 Establish heap order in the clause heap 118 \rangle Used in section 115.
 Figure out how big mem ought to be 48 \rangle Used in section 47.
 Find cur\_tmp\_var \rightarrow name in the hash table at p \ge 20 Used in section 15.
 Finish a full run 133 \ Used in section 124.
 Finish the initialization 130 \rangle Used in section 124.
 Flush literals 137 \rangle Used in section 136.
 Force a new value, if appropriate, or goto confl 65 \) Used in section 60.
 Global variables 4, 11, 27, 41, 59, 80, 90, 97, 111, 140 \ Used in section 2.
 Handle a duplicate literal 21 \rangle Used in section 15.
 If \bar{l} is redundant, goto redundant 100 \rangle Used in section 102.
 If clause wa is satisfied by ll, keep wa on the watch list and continue 63 \( \) Used in section 60.
 If there's a problem, print a message about Usage: and exit 6 \ Used in section 3.
 Increase the range of t clauses from j to j + 1 120 \ Used in section 115.
 Initialize a binary conflict 88 \ Used in section 86.
 Initialize a nonbinary conflict 87 \ Used in section 86.
(Initialize everything 12, 18) Used in section 2.
(Initialize the heap from a file 79) Used in section 45.
```

```
(Initialize the heap randomly 78) Used in section 45.
 Input the clause in buf 14 \rangle Used in section 13.
\langle \text{Input the clauses } 13 \rangle Used in section 2.
 Insert the cells for the literals of clause c 50 \quad Used in section 49.
 Install a new chunk 17 \rangle Used in section 15.
 Install a new vchunk 16 \rangle Used in section 15.
 Keep wa on the watch list 64 \ Used in sections 60 and 63.
 Learn a clause of size 1 126 \ Used in section 125.
 Learn from the conflict at conflict_level 134 \rangle Used in section 133.
 Learn the simplified clause 103 \rightarrow Used in sections 125 and 134.
 Move cur_cell backward to the previous cell 23 \ Used in sections 22 and 50.
 Move cur_tmp_var backward to the previous temporary variable 24 \ Used in section 54.
 Output c to the file of learned clauses 108 \rangle Used in section 103.
 Place the literals learned at minjumplev at the end of the trail 135 \rangle Used in section 133.
 Print farewell messages 7 \ Used in section 2.
 Print the solution found 129 \rangle Used in section 125.
 Process the command line 3 \rangle Used in section 2.
 Propagate binary implications of l; goto confl if a conflict arises 58 \ Used in sections 65 and 127.
 Propagate nonbinary implications of lt; goto confl if there's a conflict 60 \ Used in section 127.
\langle \text{Put the variable name beginning at } buf[j] \text{ in } cur\_tmp\_var \neg name \text{ and compute its hash code } h 19 \rangle Used
     in sections 15 and 79.
\langle \text{Put } jj \text{ entries of range } j \text{ into the clause heap } 117 \rangle Used in section 115.
 Put v into the heap 74 \rangle Used in section 128.
 Recompute all the watch lists 122 \ Used in section 113.
 Record a binary conflict 68 \ Used in section 66.
 Record a nonbinary conflict 69 \> Used in section 67.
 Recycle half of the learned clauses 113 \ Used in section 133.
 Reduce xnew to zero 92 \ Used in section 86.
 Reformat the binary implications 53 \rangle Used in section 49.
 Remove all variables of the current clause 22 \ Used in section 14.
 Remove c from l's watch list 106 \rangle Used in sections 98 and 105.
 Remove t = s - budget clauses at the threshold 115 \) Used in section 114.
 Report the successful completion of the input phase 25 \ Used in section 2.
 Rescale all clause activities 84 \ Used in section 81.
 Rescale all variable activities 83 \ Used in section 70.
 Resolve the current conflict 125 \ Used in section 124.
 Resolve with binary reason 94 \ Used in section 93.
 Resolve with the reason of l 93 \ Used in section 92.
 Respond to a command-line option, setting k nonzero on error 5 \ Used in section 3.
 Restart unless agility is high 136 \ Used in section 124.
 Scan and record a variable; negate it if i \equiv 1 15 \ Used in section 14.
 Schedule the next recycling pass 132 Vsed in section 133.
 Schedule the next restart 131 \rangle Used in section 136.
 Set up the main data structures 45 \ Used in section 2.
 Set h to a random integer less than hn 76 \ Used in sections 75 and 78.
 Sift accum into the clause heap at p 119 \tag{Vsed in sections 118 and 120.
 Sift v up in the heap 73 \rightarrow Used in sections 70 and 74.
 Simplify the learned clause 102 \) Used in section 125.
 Solve the problem 124 \rangle Used in section 2.
 Stamp l as part of the conflict clause milieu 95 \rangle Used in sections 87, 93, and 94.
\langle Store the learned clause c 107\rangle Used in section 103.
(Subroutines 31, 32, 33, 34, 39, 42, 43, 44, 71) Used in section 2.
```

SAT13 NAMES OF THE SECTIONS 77

```
\langle Subsume c by removing its first literal 98 \rangle Used in section 93. \langle Swap wa to the watch list of l and continue 62 \rangle Used in section 60. \langle Type definitions 9, 10, 28, 29, 30 \rangle Used in section 2. \langle Update the smoothed-average stats after a clause has been learned 40 \rangle Used in section 102. \langle Watch the first two literals of c 123 \rangle Used in section 122. \langle Wrap up clause cc 121 \rangle Used in section 114.
```

	Secti	on Pag
Intro		1
The I/O wrapper		9
SAT solving, version 13		
Initializing the real data structures		45 20
Forcing		58 33
Activity scores	'	70 3
Learning from a conflict		85 43
Simplifying the learned clause		
Recycling unhelpful clauses		
Putting it all together	1	24 6
Index		