
Introduction to Machine Learning

Entropy and Optimal Code Length




Learning goals

- Know that source coding is about encoding messages efficiently
- Know how to compute the average length of a code
- Know that the entropy of the source distribution is the lower bound for the average code length

- There is an interesting connection between entropy and a subfield of information theory known as source coding.
- Abstractly, a source is any system or process that generates messages or information.
- A code is simply a way to represent the message so that it can be stored or transmitted over a communication channel (such as radio or fiber-optic cables).
- For example, one could use binary strings (0's and 1's) to encode messages.
- Because it may be expensive to transmit or store information, an important problem addressed by source coding is efficient coding schemes of minimal average length.


- Formally, given a discrete alphabet/dictionary X of message symbols, a binary code is a mapping from symbols in X to a set of codewords of binary strings.
- For example, if our dictionary only consists of the words "dog", "cat", "fish" and "bird", each word can be encoded as a binary string of length 2 : "dog" \rightarrow **00**, "cat" \rightarrow **01**, "fish" \rightarrow **10** and "bird" \rightarrow **11**.
- For this code, a binary string can be decoded by replacing each successive pair of digits with the associated word.




Credit: Chris Olah

Chris Olah (2015): Visual Information Theory. http://colah.github.io/posts/2015-09-Visual-Information/

- Encoded messages are emitted by a source which can be modeled as a probability distribution over the message symbols in the dictionary.
- Let X be a random variable that represents a symbol from our data source and let $p(x) = \mathbb{P}(X = x)$, for symbol x in our dictionary.



• The length L(x) is simply the number of bits in the corresponding codeword. In this example, all codewords have length 2.




• For this code, the expected length of a message emitted by the source is, naturally:

$$\mathbb{E}[L(X)] = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2 + \frac{1}{8} \cdot 2 + \frac{1}{8} \cdot 2 = 2 \text{ bits.}$$


 The area of a rectangle in the image on the right reflects the size of the corresponding term in the expectation.

- Maybe we can create better average-length coding schemes with variable-length codes by assigning shorter codes to more likely messages and longer one to less likely messages.
- However, this can be problematic because we want the receiver to be able to unambiguously decode the encoded string.
- Let us say the words in our dictionary are encoded in this way: "dog" \rightarrow **0**, "cat" \rightarrow **1**, "fish" \rightarrow **01** and "bird" \rightarrow **11**.
- In this case, the string 00110101 can be decoded in multiple ways.




 One way to make variable-length messages unambiguous is by ensuring that no codeword is a prefix (initial segment) of any other codeword. Such a code is known as a prefix code.

- In general, the number of possible codewords grows exponentially in length L.
- For binary codes, there are two possible words of length one, four possible words of length two and 2^L possible words of length L.




• In total, there are $(2^{L+1}-2)$ codewords of length $\leq L$.



- Here, if the codeword 01 is assigned to a symbol, then 010 and 011 cannot be assigned to any other symbol because that would break the prefix property.
- If a codeword of length L is assigned to a symbol, then $\frac{1}{2^L}$ of the possible codewords of length > L must be discarded.
- If some symbols are assigned short codewords, due to the prefix property, many marginally longer codewords cannot be assigned to other symbols.

• An example of prefix code: "dog" \rightarrow **0**, "cat" \rightarrow **10**, "fish" \rightarrow **110** and "bird" \rightarrow **111**.



• Here, the expected code length is :

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[L(X)] &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2 + \frac{1}{8} \cdot 3 + \frac{1}{8} \cdot 3 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \log_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4} \cdot \log_2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) - \frac{1}{8} \cdot \log_2\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) - \frac{1}{8} \cdot \log_2\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) \\ &= H(X) = 1.75 \text{ bits. (< 2 bits)} \end{split}$$

 Actually, this coding scheme is the most efficient way to store and transmit these messages. It is simply not possible to do better!

- In fact, Shannon's **source coding theorem** (or **noiseless coding theorem**) tells us that the optimal trade-off is made when the code length of a symbol with probability p is log(1/p).
- In other words, the entropy of the source distribution is the theoretical lower bound on the average code length.
- If it is any lower, some information will be distorted or lost.
- In practice, algorithms such as Huffman Coding can be used to find variable-length codes that are close (in terms of expected length) to the theoretical limit.