

County of Rockland, NY

Recovery Plan

State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds

2021 Report

Note: The Recovery Plan Performance Report will provide the public and Treasury information on the projects that recipients are undertaking with program funding and how they are planning to ensure program outcomes are achieved in an effective, efficient, and equitable manner. While this template includes the minimum requirements for the Recovery Plan, each recipient is encouraged to add information to the plan that they feel is appropriate to provide information to their constituents on efforts they are taking to respond to the pandemic and promote an equitable economic recovery.

Each jurisdiction may determine the general form and content of the Recovery Plan, as long as it meets the reporting requirements, and recipients are encouraged to tailor this template to best meet their needs. Use of infographics, tables, charts, pictures, case studies, and other explanatory elements are encouraged.

Notes on using this template

All States and territories, and metropolitan cities and counties with a population that exceeds 250,000 residents that are recipients of State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (SLFRF) awards are required to produce a Recovery Plan Performance Report (the "Recovery Plan"). The Recovery Plan provides information on the recipient's projects and how they plan to ensure program outcomes are achieved in an effective and equitable manner. It will include key performance indicators identified by the recipient and some mandatory indicators identified by Treasury. Each annual Recovery Plan must be posted on the public-facing website of the recipient by or on the same date that the recipient submits the report to Treasury.

The initial Recovery Plan will cover the period from the date of award to July 31, 2021 and must be submitted to Treasury by August 31, 2021. Thereafter, the Recovery Plan will cover a 12-month period and recipients will be required to submit the report to Treasury within 30 days after the end of the 12-month period (by July 31).

Annual Report	Period Covered	Due Date
1	Award Date – July 31, 2021	August 31, 2021
2	July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022	July 31, 2022
3	July 1, 2022 – June 30, 2023	July 31, 2023
4	July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024	July 31, 2024
5	July 1, 2024 – June 30, 2025	July 31, 2025
6	July 1, 2025 – June 30, 2026	July 31, 2026
7	July 1, 2026 – December 31, 2026	March 31, 2027

Instructions:

This document is meant as a suggested template for applicable SLFRF recipients to assist them in submitting their Recovery Plan. Recipients should consult the SLFRF Guidance on Recipient Compliance and Reporting Responsibilities (Reporting Guidance) located at <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/SLFRF-Compliance-and-Reporting-Guidance.pdf> for detailed guidance on the submission of this report.

Treasury encourages Recipients to tailor this report to best meet their needs in terms of format and content. Treasury recommends the use of infographics, tables, charts, pictures, case studies, and other explanatory elements in describing their programs.

Text in italics represents the requirements from the Reporting Guidance and is meant to serve as a reference as recipients prepare their Recovery Plan. This instructions page and the *text in italics* should be removed before the final transmitted report is published and submitted to Treasury.

Additional information around Expenditure Categories is located in Appendix 1 of the Reporting Guidance.

For More Information

More information about the State and Local Fiscal Recovery Fund program and associated reporting requirements are located at www.treasury.gov/SLFRP.

Questions on reporting, eligible uses, or other general topics should be directed to SLFRP@treasury.gov.

County of Rockland, NY
2021 Recovery Plan

Table of Contents

General Overview.....	3
Executive Summary.....	3
Uses of Funds	3
Promoting Equitable Outcomes	5
Community Engagement	8
Labor Practices	8
Use of Evidence	9
Table of Expenses by Expenditure Category	9
 Project Inventory.....	 12
Example Project	12
Additional Projects.....	13
 Ineligible Activities: Tax Offset Provision	 14

GENERAL OVERVIEW

Executive Summary

In this section, provide a high-level overview of the jurisdiction's intended and actual uses of funding including, but not limited to the jurisdiction's plan for use of funds to promote a response to the pandemic and economic recovery, key outcome goals, progress to date on those outcomes, and any noteworthy challenges or opportunities identified during the reporting period. See Section C (1) on page 24 of the Reporting Guidance for additional information.

In response to the higher rates of co-morbidities and mortalities in Rockland County due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the county's plan for use of funds includes a participatory effort between all branches of government and community engagement to create more effective, resilient, and sustainable outcomes. Through this process, when determined to be necessary, public health initiatives, aid to small business', economic development, and infrastructure projects, we will directly target negative economically and disproportionately impacted communities.

Uses of Funds

Describe in further detail your jurisdiction's intended and actual uses of the funds, such as how your jurisdiction's approach would help support a strong and equitable recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic and economic downturn. Describe any strategies employed to maximize programmatic impact and effective, efficient, and equitable outcomes. Given the broad eligible uses of funds and the specific needs of the jurisdiction, explain how the funds would support the communities, populations, or individuals in your jurisdiction. Address how you are promoting each of the following Expenditure Categories, to the extent they apply:

- a. Public Health (EC 1)*
- b. Negative Economic Impacts (EC 2)*
- c. Services to Disproportionately Impacted Communities (EC 3)*
- d. Premium Pay (EC 4)*
- e. Water, sewer, and broadband infrastructure (EC 5)*
- f. Revenue Replacement EC 6)*

Where appropriate, include information on your jurisdiction's use (or planned use) of other federal recovery funds including other programs under the American Rescue Plan such as Emergency Rental Assistance, Housing Assistance, and so forth, to provide broader context on the overall approach for pandemic recovery.

The table depicts the County departments that have received funding from federal recovery funds

County Department	Source of Funding	Total Amount of Funds Awarded
Office for the Aging	New York State Office for the Aging	\$ 2,008,623.00
Office of Fire & Emergency Services	NYS Department of Homeland Security & Emergency Services	\$ 68,139.00
Community Development	Housing and Urban Development	\$ 3,550,162.00
Department of Health	NYS Department of Health	\$ 1,710,791.00
Department of Social Services	Federal Government	\$ 4,666,136.13
Department of Public Transportation	CARES	\$18,479,559.00

The county's intended use of funds, through a thorough data analysis, joint collaboration, and installation of a grant management program, will be guided by the SLFRF'S expenditure categories:

- a. **Public Health (EC1)** -Continued monitoring of COVID-19 cases through the County dashboard will guide in the distribution of Personal Protective Equipment as well as evaluating any other COVID-19 mitigation efforts that may aid in the reduction of increased cases. Furthermore, by surveying the community, both in person and through a digital platform, the County will be better equipped to identify any other public health services.
- b. **Negative Economic Impacts (EC2)**- Through our surveys, in person qualitative assessments, collaboration with intergovernmental departments, not for profits, and small businesses, the County intends to incorporate a response to negative economic impacts when creating its allocation categories of funds. Through these efforts, the County will determine the need for programmatic impacts at the individual, community, and organizational level.
- c. **Services to Disproportionately Impacted Communities (EC3)**- While evaluating both the qualitative and quantitative data through data sources and collaboration with Community Based Organization's (CBO's), internal departments and various other government entities, the County will at the same time be evaluating and mapping the built environment of its communities within Rockland County, and its effects on the comorbidity and mortality rates throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. By identifying the various social determinants of health (SDOH) including but not limited to accessibility, transportation, social capital, etc., the County will pinpoint ways that it can equitably allocate funds that address all aspects of "live, work, and play"

- d. **Premium Pay (EC4)**- At this juncture of evaluation, the County does not intend to use any SLFRF funding towards premium pay
- e. **Water, sewer, broadband infrastructure (EC5)**- While evaluating the County's SDOH including education accessibility and built environment, water, sewer, and broadband are essential to environmental protection. As it evaluates data through a lens for affordability and opportunity for equitable access to healthy drinking water, sufficient broadband capabilities, and a better environmental footprint, the County intends to ensure that it promotes better health outcomes and sustainability.
- f. **Revenue Replacement (EC6)**- At this juncture of evaluation, the County does not intend on using any of the SLFRF funding towards revenue replacement

Promoting equitable outcomes

In this section, describe efforts to date and intended outcomes to promote equity. Each annual report to follow must provide an update, using qualitative and quantitative data, on how the recipients' approach achieved or promoted equitable outcomes or progressed against equity goals during the performance period.

Describe efforts to promote equitable outcomes, including how programs were designed with equity in mind. Include how your jurisdiction will consider and measure equity at the various stages of the program, including:

¹ Throughout the engagement process, the County intends to continue its efforts to conduct a fair and just process of data collection and assessment of need. The County will continue to reach out to community members through multiple avenues that include in person contact and, telecommunication, as well as other digital capabilities to ensure a larger, often underrepresented resident base has an equal opportunity to convey their concerns and ideas on the use of the SLFRF funds. With full transparency throughout the entire process, the County will approach funding its allocation categories with a logic model and Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Relevant, Time-based (SMART) goals to assess equitable outreach and services to communities and continue to be open and upfront with the public and other intergovernmental departments. The County will create a lean strategy to any evidence-based interventions using a Plan, Do, Study, Act (PDSA) framework to ensure the County is measuring outcomes and identifying possible unintended consequences throughout the process in order to avoid a loss of opportunity, inequitable practices, and allow for scalable improvements.

- a. **Goals:** Are there particular historically underserved, marginalized, or adversely affected groups that you intend to serve?

According to the 2020 Census data, 12.5% of Rockland County residents fall below the federal poverty level (FPL). ¹ The distribution of these residents falls within various villages and towns within Rockland. Analyzing this data in conjunction with the data available through the County COVID-19 dashboard, it presents that those populations that fell below the FPL (Federal Poverty Level) were more adversely affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. Through identification

¹ United States Census Bureau: Quick Facts Rockland County
[https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/rocklandcountynynewyork/PST045219?](https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/rocklandcountynynewyork/PST045219?_lang=en)

of the County's underserved and marginalized populations, as well as other often hard to reach communities, by evaluating the socioecological framework, it will enable the County to see the disparities through various lenses, i.e., personal, interpersonal. Quantitatively, the County has also identified those geographic areas that do not fall within the definition of the Qualified Census Tracts (QCT) or are not typically affected by comorbidities, SDOH, and high mortality rates, which were in fact negatively impacted by the pandemic.

- b. Awareness: How equal and practical is the ability for residents or businesses to become aware of the services funded by the SLFRF?*******

As an initial step and remaining mindful to the community's emerging needs while also fostering the County's collaborative efforts with CBO's and faith-based organizations, all fliers, Public Service Announcements (PSA's), surveys, and outreach efforts have been translated to the multiple languages that reflect the diversity within the County. Recognizing the geographic, cultural, structural, and language limitations of its various community members, the County intends to continue direct outreach specifically related to the SLFRF to communities that have been historically underserved. While mobile surveys have been used to reach a large proportion of the residents, the County is committed to providing additional outreach initiatives that include, but are not limited to, partnering with CBO's, collaborating with other municipalities, and strengthening the network of our faith based and other community-based organizations to reach a higher number of community members.

- c. Access and Distribution: Are there differences in levels of access to benefits and services across groups? Are there administrative requirements that result in disparities in ability to complete applications or meet eligibility criteria?***

The County is still in the process of evaluating the necessary allocation categories to best serve the community. At this time, the County has not developed any programming, but will remain sensitive to these concerns as it moves forward with its programming and initiatives by providing technical support, creating training programs to guide applicants through the application process, and evaluating existing stakeholder's capacity for collaboration.

- d. Outcomes: Are intended outcomes focused on closing gaps, reaching universal levels of service, or disaggregating progress by race, ethnicity, and other equity dimensions where relevant for the policy objective?***

As the County continues to work on the development process of new programs and initiatives, joint efforts based on interdepartmental collaboration and buy-in at the community level will be created to facilitate in better policies moving forward. This process intends to encourage a renewed focus on human rights, equal opportunity, and cultural competence and humility moving forward.

Describe how your jurisdiction's planned or current use of funds prioritizes economic and racial equity as a goal, names specific targets intended to produce meaningful equity results at scale and articulates the strategies to achieve those targets. Explain how your

jurisdiction's overall equity strategy translates into the specific services or programs offered by your jurisdiction in the following Expenditure Categories:

- a. Negative Economic Impacts (EC 2): assistance to households, small businesses, and non-profits to address impacts of the pandemic, which have been most severe among low-income populations. This includes assistance with food, housing, and other needs; employment programs for people with barriers to employment who faced negative economic impacts from the pandemic (such as residents of low-income neighborhoods, minorities, disconnected youth, the unemployed, formerly incarcerated people, veterans, and people with disabilities); and other strategies that provide disadvantaged groups with access to education, jobs, and opportunity.*
- b. Services to Disproportionately Impacted Communities (EC 3): services to address health disparities and the social determinants of health, build stronger neighborhoods and communities (e.g., affordable housing), address educational disparities (e.g., evidence-based tutoring, community schools, and academic, social-emotional, and mental health supports for high poverty schools), and promote healthy childhood environments (e.g., home visiting, childcare).*

According to the Community Health Assessment 2018, 27% of Rockland adults and 18.6% of students in elementary, middle, and high school are obese.² Co-morbidities such as Hypertension and Diabetes in adults were at 28.1% and 7.9% respectively.² Furthermore, environmental factors, such as the built environment and air/water quality impacted Rockland at 65.6%.² With 29% of Rockland residents qualifying as ALICE (Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed) families and 13.9% of children living in poverty,³ the County will continue to evaluate all available data and areas within the County when planning any use of SLFRF funds.

²As the County plans any use of funds, through utilization of other departments resources i.e., Department of Social Services, Community Development, Department of Health, Department of Mental, the County will be looking at a broader picture of where people live, work, play, and age to ensure that it is articulating meaningful and equitable strategies within its jurisdiction. The County's intention with the SLFRF allocation categories is to empower its communities, create buy-in to its public health initiatives, and build capacity for its organizations that partner with County residents to create a more sustainable future for all Rockland County residents. Using a new grant management program, and through the creation of a new task force, the County will endeavor to monitor and evaluate the equity impact and performance of any allocation of SLFRF funding.

² Rockland County Community Health Assessment 2019-2021. Page 117. Retrieved from http://rocklandgov.com/files/7615/7962/0914/Community_Health_Assessment_2019-2021.pdf

³ United for ALICE 2020 <https://www.unitedforalice.org/new-york>

Describe your jurisdiction's efforts to date and intended outcomes to promote equity using qualitative and quantitative data on how the jurisdiction's approach achieved or promoted equitable outcomes or progressed against equity goals. Describe any constraints or challenges that impacted project success in terms of increasing equity.

The County's evaluation and assessment process is using all available data streams from County departments, New York State resources, community engagement, and additional community-based surveys. Together with the County's GIS mapping, to provide a visual assessment of County wide needs, these steps will help the County to further understand where more assessment is needed to ensure successful outcome with the SLFRF.

Describe the geographic and demographic distribution of funding, including whether it is targeted toward traditionally marginalized communities.

At this juncture of evaluation, no SLFRF allocation categories have been created or has there been any distribution of funding. Geographic and demographic data will be aggregated and evaluated at every step of the allocation and evaluation process to determine whether public health initiatives have been equitably targeted toward traditionally marginalized communities.

Community Engagement

Describe how your jurisdiction's planned or current use of funds incorporates written, oral, and other forms of input that capture diverse feedback from constituents, community-based organizations, and the communities themselves. Where relevant, this description must include how funds will build the capacity of community organizations to serve people with significant barriers to services, including people of color, people with low incomes, limited English proficiency populations, and other traditionally underserved groups.

Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, the County had been very active in gathering and aggregating data from sources such as the Community Health Assessment, the Community Health Implementation Plan, United Way's ALICE Report, and multiple community collaborative qualitative findings, to create more sustainable public health opportunities through our departments and community efforts. Since December 2020, community wide surveys with CBOs from various parts of the County, the HUD Citizen Participation survey, local townships and school districts comprehensive plans, and small focus groups including residents, local elected officials, are being aggregated to continue to expand our scope of understanding of the County need. The County intends to conduct a continuous feedback process related to the SLFR, including community surveys, increased presence at community events to speak with residents, and on the ground presence in hard-to-reach areas to ensure more and diverse voices are heard.

Labor Practices

Describe workforce practices on any infrastructure projects being pursued (EC 5). How are projects using strong labor standards to promote effective and efficient delivery of high-quality infrastructure projects while also supporting the economic recovery through strong employment opportunities for workers? For example, report whether any of the following practices are being utilized: project labor agreements, community benefits agreements, prevailing wage requirements, and local hiring.

At this point in time, this is not applicable as the County has not decided if it will pursue any infrastructure projects. The County fully intends to comply with all labor practices should it choose to move forward with any infrastructure projects

Use of Evidence

Identify whether SLFRF funds are being used for evidence-based interventions and/or if projects are being evaluated through rigorous program evaluations that are designed to build evidence. Specifically, in this section, recipients should describe their overall approach for using evidence and evaluation, including how a Learning Agenda (either narrowly focused on SLFRF or broadly focused on the recipient's broader policy agenda) could support their overarching evaluation efforts in order to create an evidence-building strategy for their jurisdiction. However, detailed evidence information for each project should be included in the Project Inventory (see details in the Project Inventory section below).

As the County continues to gather data from community engagement and multi departmental collaboration, it will ensure that all allocation categories and use of funds will be based on both qualitative and quantitative data analysis and be developed and assessed via a Learning Agenda when applicable. Through a Learning Agenda, logic model, and the use of SMART goals, the County will identify gaps, organize proper methods of evidence and evaluation, build capacity and develop trainings, foster collaboration and evidence-based sharing, and reinforce organizational change. The County will be reviewing both internal and possible external sources in our approach for using evidence and evaluation that will support the overarching efforts to create an evidence-based strategy for more sustainable interventions.

Table of Expenses by Expenditure Category

In this section, list the amount of funds used in each Expenditure Category. The table should include cumulative expenses to date within each category, and the additional amount spent within each category since the last annual Recovery Plan.

Jurisdictions may modify the table as needed by deleting unused rows where they have not expended any funds or by adding columns to more clearly characterize their program expenditures over time.

For the initial Recovery Plan, the amounts listed for "Cumulative expenditures to date" and "Amount spent since last Recovery Plan" will be equal.

Category		Cumulative expenditures to date (\$)	Amount spent since last Recovery Plan
1	Expenditure Category: Public Health		
1.1	COVID-19 Vaccination		

Category		Cumulative expenditures to date (\$)	Amount spent since last Recovery Plan
1.2	COVID-19 Testing		
1.3	COVID-19 Contact Tracing		
1.4	Prevention in Congregate Settings (Nursing Homes, Prisons/Jails, Dense Work Sites, Schools, etc.)		
1.5	Personal Protective Equipment		
1.6	Medical Expenses (including Alternative Care Facilities)		
1.7	Capital Investments or Physical Plant Changes to Public Facilities that respond to the COVID-19 public health emergency		
1.8	Other COVID-19 Public Health Expenses (including Communications, Enforcement, Isolation/Quarantine)		
1.9	Payroll Costs for Public Health, Safety, and Other Public Sector Staff Responding to COVID-19		
1.10	Mental Health Services		
1.11	Substance Use Services		
1.12	Other Public Health Services		
2	Expenditure Category: Negative Economic Impacts		
2.1	Household Assistance: Food Programs		
2.2	Household Assistance: Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Aid		
2.3	Household Assistance: Cash Transfers		
2.4	Household Assistance: Internet Access Programs		
2.5	Household Assistance: Eviction Prevention		
2.6	Unemployment Benefits or Cash Assistance to Unemployed Workers		
2.7	Job Training Assistance (e.g., Sectoral job-training, Subsidized Employment, Employment Supports or Incentives)		
2.8	Contributions to UI Trust Funds*		
2.9	Small Business Economic Assistance (General)		
2.10	Aid to nonprofit organizations		
2.11	Aid to Tourism, Travel, or Hospitality		
2.12	Aid to Other Impacted Industries		
2.13	Other Economic Support		
2.14	Rehiring Public Sector Staff		
3	Expenditure Category: Services to Disproportionately Impacted Communities		

Category		Cumulative expenditures to date (\$)	Amount spent since last Recovery Plan
3.1	Education Assistance: Early Learning		
3.2	Education Assistance: Aid to High-Poverty Districts		
3.3	Education Assistance: Academic Services		
3.4	Education Assistance: Social, Emotional, and Mental Health Services		
3.5	Education Assistance: Other		
3.6	Healthy Childhood Environments: Child Care		
3.7	Healthy Childhood Environments: Home Visiting		
3.8	Healthy Childhood Environments: Services to Foster Youth or Families Involved in Child Welfare System		
3.9.	Healthy Childhood Environments: Other		
3.10	Housing Support: Affordable Housing		
3.11	Housing Support: Services for Unhoused persons		
3.12	Housing Support: Other Housing Assistance		
3.13	Social Determinants of Health: Other		
3.14	Social Determinants of Health: Community Health Workers or Benefits Navigators		
3.15	Social Determinants of Health: Lead Remediation		
3.16	Social Determinants of Health: Community Violence Interventions		
4	Expenditure Category: Premium Pay		
4.1	Public Sector Employees		
4.2	Private Sector: Grants to other employers		
5	Expenditure Category: Infrastructure		
5.1	Clean Water: Centralized wastewater treatment		
5.2	Clean Water: Centralized wastewater collection and conveyance		
5.3	Clean Water: Decentralized wastewater		
5.4	Clean Water: Combined sewer overflows		
5.5	Clean Water: Other sewer infrastructure		
5.6	Clean Water: Stormwater		
5.7	Clean Water: Energy conservation		
5.8	Clean Water: Water conservation		
5.9	Clean Water: Nonpoint source		
5.10	Drinking water: Treatment		
5.11	Drinking water: Transmission & distribution		
5.12	Drinking water: Transmission & distribution: lead remediation		

Category		Cumulative expenditures to date (\$)	Amount spent since last Recovery Plan
5.13	Drinking water: Source		
5.14	Drinking water: Storage		
5.15	Drinking water: Other water infrastructure		
5.16	Broadband: "Last Mile" projects		
5.17	Broadband: Other projects		
6	Expenditure Category: Revenue Replacement		
6.1	Provision of Government Services		
7	Administrative and Other		
7.1	Administrative Expenses	20,300.00	20,300.00
7.2	Evaluation and data analysis		
7.3	Transfers to Other Units of Government		
7.4	Transfers to Nonentitlement Units (States and Territories only)		

Project Inventory

In this section, jurisdictions should provide a description of each project undertaken. See Section C(8) on page 27 of the Reporting Guidance for additional information. Below is an example of how to present the information noted in the Reporting Guidance, which incorporates project details as well as evidence and performance measure information outlined in the relevant sections above. This information should be replicated for all of the jurisdiction's projects.

Example Project

Project [Identification Number]: [Project Name]

Funding amount: [Funding amount]

Project Expenditure Category: [Category number, Category Name]

Project overview

- *A description of the project that includes an overview of the main activities of the project, the approximate timeline, primary delivery mechanisms and partners, if applicable, and intended outcomes.*
- *Link to the website of the project if available*
- *How project contributes to addressing climate change (for infrastructure projects under EC 5)*

Use of Evidence

- *Briefly describe the goals of the project, and whether SLFRF funds are being used for evidence-based interventions, the evidence base for the interventions, and/or if projects*

are being evaluated through rigorous program evaluations that are designed to build evidence. If a recipient is conducting a program evaluation in lieu of reporting the amount of spending on evidence-based interventions, they must describe the evaluation design (see Reporting Guidance for additional details that should be included).

- *Identify the dollar amount of the total project spending that is allocated towards evidence-based interventions for each project in the Public Health (EC 1), Negative Economic Impacts (EC 2), and Services to Disproportionately Impacted Communities (EC 3) Expenditure Categories.*

See Section C(6) on page 26 of the Reporting Guidance for additional information.

Additional Projects

Project [Identification Number]: [Project Name]

Funding amount: [Funding amount]

Project Expenditure Category: [Category number, Category Name]

Performance Report

- *For the Project Inventories in Section 8, include key performance indicators for your jurisdiction's major SLFRF funded projects. Report key performance indicators for each project, or group projects with substantially similar goals and the same outcome measures. Jurisdictions may choose to include some indicators for each individual project as well as crosscutting indicators. Include both output and outcome measures. See Section C(9) on page 27 of the Reporting Guidance for additional information.*
- *In addition, you must include the mandatory performance indicators if your jurisdiction has projects in the relevant areas (this information may be included in each recipient's Recovery Plan as they determine most appropriate). Provide data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, income, and other relevant factors, if possible. Data should be presented in a table and each annual report should include updated data for the performance period as well as prior period data.*
 - a. *Household Assistance (EC 2.2 & 2.5) and Housing Support (EC 3.10-3.12):*
 - *Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)*
 - *Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed*
 - b. *Negative Economic Impacts (EC 2):*
 - *Number of workers enrolled in sectoral job training programs*
 - *Number of workers completing sectoral job training programs*
 - *Number of people participating in summer youth employment programs*
 - c. *Education Assistance (EC 3.1-3.5):*
 - *Number of students participating in evidence-based tutoring programs*
 - d. *Healthy Childhood Environments (EC 3.6-3.9):*
 - *Number of children served by childcare and early learning (pre-school/pre-K/ages 3- 5)*

- Number of families served by home visiting

See Section C(10) on page 27 of the Reporting Guidance for additional information.

Ineligible Activities: Tax Offset Provision (States and territories only)

For the initial reporting year, States and territories will report the following items related to the Tax Offset Provision 31 CFR 35.8. Baseline revenue or revenue-increasing covered charges are not required at this time.

Item	Amount
a. Revenue-reducing Covered Changes	\$

See Section C(11) on page 28 of the Reporting Guidance for additional information.

Additional guidance will be forthcoming for reporting requirements regarding the tax offset provision.