

Generation of Prime Numbers

AK Bhateja

Generation of large primes & primality test

- The sieve of Eratosthenes
- General method to generate a prime
 - Generate a random odd number n of appropriate size.
 - Test n for primality.
 - If n is composite, return to the first step.

Probabilistic primality tests

- Probable prime
 - believed to be prime on the basis of a probabilistic primality test
 - an integer that satisfies a specific condition that is satisfied by all prime numbers, but which is not satisfied by most composite numbers
- Witnesses to the compositeness of n
 - Let n be an odd composite integer. An integer a , $1 \leq a < n - 1$ is witness of n , if The probabilistic test outputs composite.

Algorithm: Fermat primality testing

for $i = 1$ to t

do choose a random integer a , $2 \leq a \leq n - 2$.

compute $r = a^{(n-1)} \bmod n$

if $r \neq 1$ then return (“composite”)

return (“prime”)

- If n is prime, then the Fermat primality test always outputs prime. If n is composite, then the algorithm outputs prime with probability at most 2^{-t}

Fermat's Test : When will it give error?

- If the number is prime the algorithm will always give the output as “PRIME”.
- If the input number is composite, the algorithm might claim that the number is prime. [Hence, give an error]
- Why is this error generated? Due to the presence of F-Liars
- For an odd composite number n , an element a , $1 \leq a \leq n - 1$, is F-liar if $a^{(n-1)} \bmod n \equiv 1$

Fermat's Test : Error Probability

- If $n \geq 3$ is an odd composite number such that there is at least one F-witness a in Z_n^* , then the Fermat test applied to n gives answer 1 with probability more than $1/2$.
- Carmichael number
 - a composite number which satisfies the relation $a^{(n-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all integers a satisfying $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.
 - The converse of Fermat's little theorem is not generally true, as it fails for Carmichael numbers.

Example: $n = 341 (= 11 \times 31)$ is a pseudoprime to the base 2 since $2^{340} \equiv 1 \pmod{341}$.

Legendre symbol

- **Legendre symbol:** Let p be an odd prime and a an integer. The Legendre symbol is defined to be

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \mid a \\ 1 & \text{if } a \in Q_p \\ -1 & \text{if } a \in \overline{Q}_p \end{cases}$$

i.e. $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p}$ and $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$

- **Fact:** Let p be an odd prime and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

(i) $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$ iff a is a quadratic residue modulo p

(ii) $\left(\frac{ab}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \left(\frac{b}{p}\right)$

(iii) If $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$, then $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{p}\right)$

Jacobi Symbol

- Jacobi symbol is generalization of Legendre symbol .
- Definition Let $n \geq 2$ be odd integer and $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \dots p_k^{e_k}$ then Jacobi symbol of a & b is

$$\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right)^{e_1} \left(\frac{a}{p_2}\right)^{e_2} \dots \left(\frac{a}{p_k}\right)^{e_k}$$

- If m is composite and the Jacobi symbol $(a/m) = -1$, then a is quadratic non residue modulo m .
- If a is residue modulo m then $(a/m) = 1$, but if $(a/m) = 1$ then a may be quadratic residue or non-residue modulo m .
- Example: $(2/15) = 1$ and $(4/15) = 1$, but 2 N 15 and 4 R 15.

Solovay-Strassen test

- Fact (Euler's criterion) Let n be an odd prime. Then $a^{(n-1)/2} \equiv \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \pmod{n}$ for all integers a which satisfy $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.
- If $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ and $a^{(n-1)/2} \equiv \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \pmod{n}$ then n is said to be an Euler pseudoprime to the base a .

Algorithm Solovay-Strassen probabilistic primality test

INPUT: an odd integer $n > 3$ and security parameter $t \geq 1$.

for i from 1 to t

do choose a random integer a , $2 \leq a \leq n - 2$

find $\gcd(a, n)$

if $\gcd(a, n) > 1$ then return (“composite”)

compute $r = a^{(n-1)/2} \bmod n$

if $r \neq 1$ and $r \neq n - 1$ then return (“composite”)

compute the Jacobi symbol $s = (a/n)$

if $r \neq s \pmod n$ then return (“composite”)

return (“prime”)

Solovay-Strassen error-probability bound

- Fact: Let n be an odd composite integer. The probability that Solovay-Strassen algorithm declares n to be “prime” is less than $(1/2)^t$.
- Example: (Euler pseudoprime) The composite integer 91 ($= 7 \times 13$) is an Euler pseudoprime to the base 9
since $9^{45} = 1 \pmod{91}$ and $\left(\frac{9}{91}\right) = 1$.
- Fact: Let n be an odd composite integer. Then at most $\phi(n)/2$ of all the numbers a , $1 \leq a \leq n - 1$, are Euler liars for n

Properties of Jacobi symbol

1. $(a/n) = (b/n)$ if $a = b \pmod n$.
2. $(1/n) = 1$ and $(0/n) = 0$.
3. $(2m/n) = (m/n)$ if $n = \pm 1 \pmod 8$.
 $(2m/n) = -(m/n)$ otherwise
4. (**Quadratic reciprocity**) If m and n are both odd, then
 $(m/n) = -(n/m)$ if both m and n are congruent to 3 mod 4
 $(m/n) = (n/m)$ otherwise.

Jacobi symbol computation

Example: Compute Jacobi symbol $(158/235)$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{158}{235}\right) &= -\left(\frac{79}{235}\right) && \because n \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \\ &= \left(\frac{235}{79}\right) && \because \text{both } m \text{ \& } n \text{ are congruent to } 3 \pmod{4} \\ &= \left(\frac{10}{79}\right) && \because 235 \equiv 10 \pmod{79} \\ &= -\left(\frac{5}{79}\right) = -\left(\frac{79}{5}\right) \\ &= -\left(\frac{4}{5}\right) = -\left(\frac{1}{5}\right) = -1\end{aligned}$$

Complexity of the Solovay-Strassen test

- GCD of two numbers can be calculated using the Euclidean algorithm having a complexity of $O(\log^2 n)$.
- Computing Jacobi symbol has the same complexity as the Euclidean algorithm.
- Multiplication of two numbers is always done modulo n and it takes $O(\log^2 n)$ time.
- For any a , we can compute $a^n \bmod n$ in $O(\log n)$ multiplications, by repeated squaring.
- Thus this method of modular exponentiation can be done in $O(\log n \times \log^2 n) = \log^3 n$ for each value of a .
- The overall time-complexity of the Miller-Rabin algorithm is $O(t \cdot \log^3 n)$, t being the number of bases.

Miller-Rabin test

- It is a strong pseudoprime probabilistic test
- Fact: Let n be an odd prime, and let $n - 1 = 2^s r$ where r is odd. Let a be any integer s.t. $\gcd(a, n) = 1$. Then either $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ or $a^{2^j r} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ for some j , $0 \leq j \leq s - 1$.

Def: Let n be an odd composite integer, and let $n - 1 = 2^s r$ where r is odd. Let a be any integer in $[1, n - 1]$

(i) If $a^r \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ & $a^{2^j r} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{n} \forall j$, $0 \leq j \leq s - 1$. then a is said a strong witness (to compositeness) for n .

(ii) If $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ & $a^{2^j r} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ for some j , $0 \leq j \leq s - 1$. then n is said to be a strong pseudoprime to the base a (i.e. n acts like a prime). The integer a is called a strong liar for n .

Number of Strong liars

- Fact: If n is an odd composite integer, then at most $1/4$ of all the numbers a , $1 \leq a \leq n - 1$, are strong liars for n . In fact, the number of strong liars for n is at most $\phi(n)/4$.
- Example: Consider the composite integer $n = 91 (= 7 \times 13)$.
 $91 - 1 = 90 = 2 \times 45$, $s = 1$ and $r = 45$.
Let $a = 9$, $9^r = 9^{45} \equiv 1 \pmod{91}$
Implies 91 is a strong pseudoprime to the base 9.
The set of all strong liars for 91 is:
 $\{1, 9, 10, 12, 16, 17, 22, 29, 38, 53, 62, 69, 74, 75, 79, 81, 82, 90\}$.
- The number of strong liars for 91 is $18 = \phi(91)/4$.

Algorithm: Miller-Rabin probabilistic primality test

INPUT: An odd integer $n > 2$ and security parameter $t \geq 1$

write $n - 1 = 2^s r$ such that r is odd.

for i from 1 to t

 choose a random integer a , $2 \leq a \leq n - 2$

 compute $y = a^r \bmod n$

 if $y \neq 1$ and $y \neq n - 1$

 then $j = 1$.

 while $j < s - 1$ and $y \neq n - 1$

 compute $y = y^2 \bmod n$

 if $y = 1$ then return(“composite”)

$j = j + 1$

 if $y \neq n - 1$ then return (“composite”)

return(“prime”)

Miller-Rabin error-probability bound

- For any odd composite integer n , the probability that Miller Rabin primality test algorithm declares n to be “prime” is less than $(1/4)^t$
- For most composite integers n , the number of strong liars for n is actually much smaller than the upper bound of $\phi(n)/4$.

Consequently, the Miller-Rabin error-probability bound is much smaller than $(1/4)^t$ for most positive integers n .

- Example: (some composite integers have very few strong liars)
The only strong liars for the composite integer $n = 105$ ($= 3 \times 5 \times 7$) are 1 and 104. More generally, if $k \geq 2$ and n is the product of the first k odd primes, there are only 2 strong liars for n , namely 1 and $n - 1$.

Time complexity

- Multiplication of two numbers is always done modulo n and it takes $O(\log^2 n)$ time.
- For any a , we can compute $a^n \bmod n$ in $O(\log n)$ multiplications (modular exponentiation).
- Thus this method of modular exponentiation can be done in $O(\log n \times \log^2 n) = \log^3 n$ for each value of a .
- The overall time-complexity of the Miller-Rabin algorithm is $O(t \cdot \log^3 n)$, t being the number of bases.

Comparison: Fermat, Solovay-Strassen and Miller-Rabin

- Fact: Let n be an odd composite integer.
 - (i) If a is an Euler liar for n , then it is also a Fermat liar for n .
 - (ii) If a is a strong liar for n , then it is also an Euler liar for n .
- Example: For composite integer $n = 65 (= 5 \times 13)$
The Fermat liars for 65 are $\{1, 8, 12, 14, 18, 21, 27, 31, 34, 38, 44, 47, 51, 53, 57, 64\}$.
The Euler liars for 65 are $\{1, 8, 14, 18, 47, 51, 57, 64\}$,
while the strong liars for 65 are $\{1, 8, 18, 47, 57, 64\}$

Generation of Strong primes

Gordon's algorithm for generating a strong prime p

1. Generate two large random primes s and t of roughly equal bitlength

2. Select an integer i_0 .

Find the first prime in the sequence $2it + 1$, for $i = i_0, i_0 + 1, i_0 + 2, \dots$. Denote this prime by $r = 2it + 1$.

3. Compute $p_0 = (2s^{r-2} \bmod r)s - 1$.

4. Select an integer j_0

Find the first prime in the sequence $p_0 + 2jrs$, for $j = j_0, j_0 + 1, j_0 + 2, \dots$. Denote this prime by $p = p_0 + 2jrs$.

5. Return(p).