# A unified model of demographic time

Tim Riffe[*1], Jonas Schöley[2,3], and Francisco Villavicencio[2,3]

[1]Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research
[2]University of Southern Denmark
[3]Max-Planck Odense Center on the Biodemography of Aging

August 12, 2015

### Abstract

We describe a three-dimensional model that relates six different measures of lifespans and time. The six measures of demographic time considered are chronological age, thanatological age, lifespan, year of birth, year of death, and period. Two versions of the model are described: a relatively intuitive extension of the right-angled Lexis diagram, and an isotropic extension based on the regular tetrahedron.

The ~~so-called~~ **well-known?** Lexis diagram relates the chronological age (A), period (P), and birth cohort (C) indices of demographic time, APC, but it does not account for remaining years of life (thanatological age), and other related time indices. The thanatological counterpart to APC is an identity between thanatological age (T), period (P), and death cohort (D), TPD. A third identity exists between chronological age (A), thanatological age (T), and lifespan (L), ATL, and a fourth between year of birth (C), year of death (D) and lifespan (L), CDL. Each of these four triad identities may be sufficiently described by any two of its consituent indices, making the third index redundant. Each of these four identities also lacks a major dimension of time. The ATL identity lacks calendar time, the CDL identity is ageless, APC lacks an endpoint in time, and TPD lacks a starting point in time. We refer to these four identities as triad identities.

To our knowledge, the only triad identity that has received serious treatment at the time of this writing is the APC identity. Different aspects of

---

the APC identity have been discussed since at least 1868 (**?**), and discussion remains lively today. Here it is our objective to relate the six major indices of time in a geometric identity, in much the same spirit as the work on APC relationships done between the late 1860s and mid 1880s.[1]

This paper provides a bottom-up description of the model, building from familar components to the full relationship. We begin by defining some terms used throughout the manuscript. We then explore the dyadic relationships, followed by the four triad identities, and finally the hexad identity. At the price of some redundancy, we give a systematic topological overview of the different elements of demographic time.

# Definitions

## 0.1 Technical terminology

We attempt to adhere to a rigorous terminology in this paper. The following list describes some of the more important terms we use.

**Time measures** are any of the six demographic perspectives discussed that can be used to index time.

**Dyads, triads, and hexads** are any set of two, three, or six unique time measures, respectively.

**A temporal plane** is any $(x, y)$-mapping of a dyad of time measures.

**A temporal space** is any $(x, y, z)$-mapping of a triad of time measures **PANCHO: that generates a 3-dimensional space? ATC is a triad that just creats a plane, not a 3d-space**

**Given measures** are any specified set of time measures.

**Derived measures** are any unspecified time measures that are implied by or derived from a given set.

**An informative** set is a set of given measures that entails at least one derived measure.

**An uniformative** set is a set of given measures that does not entail any derived measures.

**A triad identity** is a triad that is the union of an informative dyad and its one derived time measure.

**A hexad identity** is the union of an informative triad and its three derived time measures.

---

[1]See e.g., **?** for an overview of that literature.

Using this terminology, for example, we say that the "Lexis" measures constitute a triad identity between chronological age, period, and birth cohort. Each dyad combination of elements in this identity is informative, and can be mapped to a Lexis plane, the Lexis diagram. If we know that Mindel turned 50 on the 21st of May, 1963, then we also also can derive that she was born on the 21st of May, 1913. Any two pieces of information in this case will give the third, which means that any dyad from this set is informative. Three other such triad identities are also to found within the six measures of time we discuss.

## Time measures

This model description is conceived in absolute, linear, Newtonian time, and we do not consider situating the model in any other perspective or model of time itself. This model is scalable to any time unit, but we decribe it in terms of years, the dominant human time scale. We therefore speak of calendar time, imagining the modern Gregorian calendar, though this is not necessary. The six measures of time we consider are defined in Table 1, both in the demographic sense we describe, as well as in a more general event history interpretation.

The concepts of thanatological age and death cohorts are likely less familiar to readers than the other measures we consider. Thanatological age is sometimes referred to in the literature as remaining years of life, or time to death (TTD), but we prefer the term thanatological age, and to think of the concept of age in general as marking a position on a lifeline. Chronological age and thanatological age are in this way complementary. Thanatological age is different from the notion of prospective age, used by ?, since prospective age is a relative term that reflects a comparison of expectancies. Prospective age scales chronological age by comparing mortality schedules, but it is neither an expectancy nor a statement of remaining years of life. Thanatological age is meaningful without much justification; it is what we all want to know, the thing we approximate with remaining life expectancy.

Cohorts in general associate individuals that share a characteristic. In

Table 1: Definitions of the six time measures.

| Time measure | Short | Demographic def. | Event history def. |
|---|---|---|---|
| chronological age | A | Time since birth | Time since study entry |
| period | P | calendar time | calendar time |
| birth cohort | C | calendar time of birth | calendar time of study entry |
| thanatological age | T | time until death | time until event |
| death cohort | D | calendar time of death | calendar time of event |
| lifespan | L | duration of life | duration of exposure |

3

demography the grouping characteristic is often a combination of place and time, such as the cohorts of young demographers passing through a particular graduate program. In this instance already, we accomodate the notion of a cohort for both the start and endpoints of the program, but we say e.g., "the class of 2015" instead of the "graduating cohort of 2015", in contrast to "cohort 37", the 37th class of entering students since the start of the program. These concepts are analagous to the ideas of birth and death cohorts we use here, though we do not often refer to the deaths of a given year as a death cohort. In the time preceding death, the members of a given death cohort have much in common, despite heterogeneity with respect to time of birth. If the reader accepts this premise, then the abstract construct of a death cohort is also potentially meaningful in the way that the other measures are.

Much of the work of demography is directed at the study of lifespan. Lifespan is synonymous both with longevity, chronological age at death, and thanatological age at birth. One's ultimate completed lifespan is constant throughout life, though we have no knowledge of it until death: It is assigned retrospectively. Demographers have more often used lifespan or age-at-death as a measure of mortality, or similar, than as a measure on which to compare individuals.

Treating lifespan, death cohorts, and thanatological age as temporal structuring variables therefore opens the door to new classes of comparisons, models of understanding, and discovery, akin to those unlocked by breaking down demographic phenomena by chronological age, period, and birth cohort. The following sections will, in this sense, provide an exhaustive classification of the ways in which these six measures of time can be juxtaposed to such ends. We begin with the dyadic comparisons.

## Informative and uninformative dyads

> Note to Pancho and Jonas: This section will contain Jonas' table of all 15 dyadic relationships, each with a right and isotropic rendition. Describe graphing conventions, and walk through one line from the table. Another box in each row of the table could give an accessible example of deriving the third element from the dyad. Find 15 deceased demographers' names and birth and death dates and use them in the examples. We'll use the first names, birth and death dates of demographers. Some suggestions to include those in Table 2. You can get more inspiration from `http://www-personal.umich.edu/~eklanche/digdemog/index.html` Maybe an idea would be to allow for a "broken" table, with the textual passage between rows,

Table 2: Pick and choose (first) names from here for in-text references and examples of derived measures (and uninformative measures). This table won't be in the final text. These dates came from laborious web detectivry.

| Sex | First | Last | BD | BM | BY | DD | DM | DY |
|-----|-------|------|-----|------|------|-----|-------|------|
| f | Margaret | Merrell | 3 | Dec. | 1900 | 21 | Dec. | 1995 |
| f | Helen | Abbey | 1 | Sep. | 1915 | 4 | March | 2001 |
| f | Irene | Taeuber | 25 | Dec. | 1906 | 24 | Feb. | 1974 |
| f | Àngels | Torrents | _ | _ | 1940 | 24 | June | 2004 |
| m | Pascal | Whelpton | 22 | Feb. | 1893 | _ | _ | 1964 |
| f | Mindel | Sheps | 20 | May | 1913 | 15 | Jan. | 1973 |
| f | Harriet | Presser | _ | _ | 1936 | 1 | May | 2012 |

> similar to using intertext in math environment?

## The triad identities

Visualizations of data structured by any dyad of time measures mapped onto a temporal plane from one of the triad identities are inherently richer in information than juxtapositions of uninformative dyads. There is no reason not to explore all possible dyadic juxtapositions, but the triad identities have more apparent meaning, even in the absence of data, due to the underlying relationship between measures. In the present section, we therefore lay out the four primary diagrams that belong to the triad identities. This paper contains no such data visualizations, but it serves as a basis for designing some. Here we describe the underlying temporal planes of the triad identities.

For the case of each identity, there is a fundamental question of how to map the constituent coordinates to a cartesian temporal plane. Typically one forces parity between time units within a specified dyad, and maps one element directly to $x$ and the second element directly to $y$, resulting in a $90°$ angle between the $x$ axis and $y$ axis. In this case the convention is to force a unity aspect ratio between the $x$ and $y$ axes, such that the derived measure is then *accidentally* present in a $45°$ ascending or descending angle, depending on the dyad and axis orientation. Another possible mapping is to take the complete triad and translate to an $(x, y)$ coordinate so as to create $60°$ angles between the three measures. This second mapping is primarily done in order to ensure that the spatial mapping is in equal units for the three measures, and we therefore refer to it as the isotropic mapping. The isotropic mapping is comparable to using a ternary coordinate system, which we do not discuss.

Figure 1: An APC diagram in two projections.

(a) Right angles



(b) All 60° angles



## APC

The so-called Lexis diagram has long been used in demography as a conceptual tool for structuring data, observations, and rate estimation, as inspiration for work on statistical identification, and as the coordinate basis of contemporary Lexis-surfaces. Since the Lexis diagram could have been named for others (**??**), and since we compare with other temporal configurations, let us refer to it as the APC diagram, as seen in Figures 1a and 1b.

The APC diagram in Figure 1a represents years lived on the y axis, calendar years on the x axis, and birth cohorts as the right-ascending diagonals. This is the most common of several possible configurations of the APC dimensions. Individual lifelines are aligned in the birth cohort direction, starting with birth (filled circle) at chronological age zero, and death (circled x). Any APC surface can be interpreted along each of these three dimensions of temporal structure.

It has long been noted (**?**Perozzo 1880) that the birth cohort dimension, as represented in Figure 1a, is relatively longer than either the age or years

axes. If a right angle and unity aspect ratio is forced between any two of the APC dimensions, the third dimension is always be stretched by $\sqrt{2}$, or 41% longer. Another long-standing, but less common variant, is to treat the derived direction ( in this case birth cohorts) as a redundant third axis, and forcing parity between the units of A, P, and C, as in Figure 1b. We refer to the second variety as the isotropic APC diagram, or an equilateral APC diagram. The primary justification for isotropic temporal planes comes from a data visualization perspective, where it is supposed that the viewer's ability to compare slopes is hindered if the axes are not on the same scale. This property will be explored more in a future paper.

## TPD

(section in progress, will contain 2 figures, like APC) *add TPD reference to Villavicencio/Riffe paper under review Thanatological age (T), period (P) and death cohort (D) form a coordinate system best imagined as the inverse of APC. One may take the same lifelines from Figure 1 and realign them in descending fashion to create the diagram in Figure 2.

Figure 2: A TPD diagram in two projections.

(a) Right angles



(b) All 60° angles

**ATL**

(section in progress, will contain 2 figures, like APC) *add reference to Riffe, Chung, Spijker, MacInnes (although that ATL was a cross-section and not a compression) The second plane is ATL, a valid coordinate system for processes that vary over the lifecourse, but not over time (P). Since the lifecourse belongs to the cohort perspective, it is best to think of the ATL plane as belonging to some particular birth cohort. Alternatively, an ATL triangle may be taken as a cross-section along through the period dimension, a sort of synthetic ATL plane.

**CDL**

(section in progress, will contain 2 figures, like APC) * I've not thought much about this one, but it completes the tetrahedron :-P

## A tetrahedron relates the six time indices.

Each of the four above-mentioned triad identities may be thought of as a two-dimensional plane fully defined by any two of its three constituent time indices. In this case, we may imagine the third "lacking" dimension as providing depth, for the sake of a mental image. Having a non-redundant third dimension implies a multitude of parallel planes for the given identity, each plane belonging to a unique value of the third time dimension. Any of the identities can be extended in this way to fill a space. A space derived by extending any of the triad identies into its lacking dimension implies each of the other triad identities, making a total of six time indices. In essence, the four triad identities may be thought of as parallel to the four faces of a tetrahedron. In this case, the four "lacking" dimensions may be assigned to the four vertices of the tetrahedron, and the six demographic time indices match to the six edges of the tetrahedron. This three-dimensional construct unifies the six indices of demographic time, and is the subject of this paper.

Let us first more rigorously define the previously-mentioned tetrahedron. Luckily, the edges and vertices of a tetrahedron are easily rendered in a two-dimensional graph, as seen in Figure 3, with vertices labeled in red and the six time indices labeled as blue edges.[2] The reader may also imagine this graph as a transparent 3d object, in which case the four faces become aparent. There are two intuitive ways to imagine the graph as 3d, either the vertex 4 is on top, and we gaze from a bird's-eye-view, or the vertex 4 is in the back, behind the other three vertices. Assume we gaze from the top, for the sake of description.

---

[2]The same graph could be composed in four basic ways, depending on which vertex is in the middle. These are given in an appendix.

Figure 3: Graph of tetrahedron, with edges labeled by the six demographic time indices.



**Information criteria to derive the tetrahedron.**

The edges APC at the base define the much-studied APC plane. If the only information we have is chronological age, period, and birth cohort (or just two of these), then we have no access to the vertex 4. Each of the faces of the tetrahedron has this quality. The South face TDP has no access to 1. The Northeast face, ATL has no connection to 3, and the Northwest face CDL lacks a connection to 2. The triads that make up the faces of the tetrahedron are stuck in "flatland". However, there are ~~twenty~~ $\binom{6}{3} = 20$ ways ~~in total~~ to choose three time indices ~~from our total~~out of six, and the four above-named triads are the only ~~four of these~~ones that will not yield the full 3d space and imply the other three. The sixteen other combinations of three indices will recreate the full tetradhedron (hexad identity).

BEGIN NEW PANCHO (I suggest to replace the following paragraph, or at least to introduce this geometrical perspective somewhere in the text)

~~For example, say we are at vertex 1, and we therefore have information on year of birth C, completed lifespan L, and chronological age A (see Figure 4).~~

10

Clearly, A and C imply P (C + A = P). A and L imply T (L − A = T). Finally, C and L imply D (C + L = D), and we have the full hexad identity. In the tetrahedron graph, we have three edges that connect to the four vertices. This is the essential property of a fully informed triad. It is easily verified that each vertex has this property. However, there are twelve other sets of three that also have this property. To locate these "hidden" triads, first note that each index has an opposite index, with which it shares no information. These pairs are A-D, L-P, and C-T, and can be found in Figure 3 as the three sets of perpendicular edges. Each of these pairs can be completed into a 'fully-informed' triad by the addition of any of the other four indices (thereby connecting the edges). Doing so for each of the opposite pairs will yield the remaining twelve triads.

A geometrical analogy seems pertinent at this point. Any pair of intersecting edges of the tetrahedron may be interpreted as two vectors $\vec{u}$ and $\vec{v}$ that determine a 2-dimensional plane in a 3-dimensional space.[3] Therefore, any third vector $\vec{w}$ of that plane could be expressed as a linear combination of $\vec{u}$ and $\vec{v}$ ($\vec{w} = \alpha\vec{u} + \beta\vec{v}$), which is usually referred as saying that $\vec{w}$ would be linearly dependent on $\vec{u}$ and $\vec{v}$. A similar property can be derived from the information contained in the tetrahedron: say we have information on year of birth $C$ and period $P$. Clearly, $C$ and $P$ are sufficient to determine the chronological age $A$, given that $A = P - C$. That is, $A$ is a linear combination of $C$ and $P$ and it "depends" on them because they all belong to the same $APC$ plane (see Figure 4 !!THE FIGURE SHOULD BE MODIFIED). Analogously, $P = C + A$ "depends" on $C$ and $A$, and $C = P - A$ "depends" on $P$ and $A$. It can be easily verified that any pair of intersecting edges have the same propriety: the third edge located in the same face of the tetrahedron can be determined by the first two by a simple linear relationship.

From a geometrical perspective, once a 2d-plane is defined, an additional vector may be sufficient to cover the whole 3d-space. Nonetheless, this third vector needs to be linearly independent of any pair of vectors of that 2d plane—that is, it cannot be expressed as a linear combination of any two vectors on that plane. Again, an analogous propriety can be observed in the tetrahedron: say we only have information about the indices of the $APC$ plain. $A$, $C$ and $P$ are not sufficient to determine neither the thanatological age $T$, nor the death cohort $D$, nor the lifespan $L$ (the three indices that do not belong to the $APC$ plane). So, $T$, $D$ and $L$ are "independent" of the overall information that can be extracted from the $APC$ plane.

However, if two indices of the $APC$ plane are known (the third one would be unnecessary as it could be derived from the other two), the additional

---

[3]Technically, a 2d plane in a 3d space is determined by two vectors of different direction and a point, but the inclusion of a point is not necessary for the intuitive analogy that we aim to present here.

information provided by any of these three "independent" indices $T$, $D$ or $L$ would be sufficient to cover the whole tetrahedron. For example, suppose we have information about thanatological age $T$ in addtion to $C$ and $P$, then $A = P - C$, $D = P + T$ and $L = T + A = T + P - C$. Hence, as with vectors in a 3d space, any triad of indices that are independent of each other—that is, none of them can be expressed as the sum or the subtraction of the other two—generates a full hexad identity or, using an analogous terminology, covers the whole "space" of demographic indices presented in this paper. Graphically, this is equivalent to chose any combination of three indices that do not belong to the same face of the tetrahedron in Fig. 3.

END NEW PANCHO.

Figure 4: Graph of tetrahedron, edges eminating from vertice 1 highlighted.



A like-organized table for the four triad identities simply highlights each of the four faces of the tetrahedron, as seen in Table 4. When graphed in this way, the vertex lacking a connection becomes clearer. We therefore say that each of the triad identities is incomplete.

Table 3 gives the full set of sixteen index-triads that are complete in this sense. It can be verified that each of these triads implies the full hexad identity. This property is comparable to the reducibility characteristic of

Table 3: All sets of three indices that imply the full six indices, graphed given the previous orientation of the tetrahedron.

ACD      ACL      ACT      ADL

ADP      ADT      ALP      APT

CDP      CDT      CLP      CLT

CPT      DLP      DLT      LPT

Table 4: The four triad identities on the tetrahedron (same orientation)

APC      TPD      ATL      CDL

triad identities. For example, for the APC identity, the list of dyads that give full information is shorter: AC, AP, PC. The triads in Table 3 give analogous information for the APCTDL identity. It may be further stated that no dyad of indices will give the hexad identity, and any quad (or greater) of indices will yield the hexad identity. Any index complemented by any index other than its opposite will imply one of the triad identities.

## The extension of time axes.

We have said that planes defined by the four triad identities are parallel to the faces of the the above-described tetrahedron. In imagining this three-dimensional relationship, we are no longer confined to the extent of the tetrahedron that we have used thus far for orientation. Instead each of its edges extends a certain distance in either direction. It may therefore help to first consider the extension of each axis (or index). Some indices have a lower bound of zero and an upper bound set by the maximum length of life,

$\omega$, while others are boundless. A, T, and L are clearly in the range $[0, \omega]$.[4] P, C, and D are bounded only by the inception and extinction of our species, but may be thought of as boundless for practicality, or benchmarked to our earliest and most recent observations for even more practicality.[5] As an abstraction, however, the dimension of calendar time in this model is infinite. Of the four triad identities, only one lacks an unbounded dimension, the ATL. Adding the absent dimension to ATL therefore makes its 3d extension boundless. In this way, we may imagine a prism-like construct, where A, T, and L, compose the faces of a triangular cross-section of said prism, which extends infinitely "through" the triangle. We can think of the ATL triangle passing through time, extending the population forward to infinity. In this case, the ATL triangle may take either the period or cohort perspective, and this will be explained later.

There are also numerous ways that this three dimensional construct can be proportioned, of which we present two in this paper. The first stems from the respect given to right angles in the most common representation of the Lexis diagram. For this reason, it will likely be the most intuitive rendition of the model, and it will be presented first. The second version presented is isotropic with respect to time units in each of the six temporal indices. In this case, the four tripartite identities are based on equilateral triangles between their three constituent indices, and the four planes are joined together such that each is parallel to a face from the regular tetrahedron, a construct known in geometry as an octahedral-tetrahedral honeycomb.

## Intersecting planes

The APC, TPD, ATL, and CDL planes can be conceived of as *compressions* of this 3d space, or as cross-sections of the 3d space. To compress the space in this sense is to ignore the missing dimension, whereas a cross-section sets a given triad identity against a particular position of the absent dimension. APC has thus far always been treated as a compression, and myriad such uses and examples are familiar to demographers. A compressed TPD diagram has thus far only appeared in **?** as an aid in explaining a mathematical proof, and a cross-sectional one has never appeared. Cross-sectional ATL diagram and surfaces have thus far only appeared in **?**. This

---

[4]It's best to imagine some number like 122.45 years, for $\omega$, rather than infinity. This is the longevity record at the time of this writing. Jeanne L. Calment would have had $T = 122.45$ at birth, $A = 122.45$ at death, and $L = 122.45$ for her entire life.

[5]We explain the choice of the word "benchmarked". Say we have a data series that runs from 1751 to 2011, and an upper age interval of 110+. Then we could say that P is in the range $[1751, 2011]$, but by another reading, P must range from at least as early as the earliest C and until at least as late as the latest D. Someone dying at 110 in 1751 had a C of 1640, and an infant born in 2011 that is destined to live to 110 will die in 2121. In this case a P that *contains* the observed population will extend well before and after the observed data series, even moreso if we take into account that $\omega > 110$.

ATL usage was selected for the 1915-1919 birth cohort, and therefore belongs to the 3d space, $\triangle$. We have been unable to located an example in the literature of a compressed ATL diagram, but it seems likely one will have arisen in the field of biology, albeit with no relation to the present discourse. We suppose that CDL diagrams of any kind are yet-unknown.

## APCT

*section ignored for the present: plan for a table with different distilled 3d views of the contruct, probably vector snapshots of an RGL model. Probably each will be centered on a single tetrahedron, with sides labeled, and one face of it (triad identity) will be highlighted and extended, and given depth). Each face will be seen from 2 angles, and also for the right and isotropic projections. use lighting, etc, to give depth. Need to work hard on this, but no rush.

*Again, the following stuff is from the original proposal and will be completely superceded, though tidbits still hold.

We propose a geometric identity that unifies all such temporal notions into a single (simple) spatial relationship that serves as an omnibus conceptual aid to demographers, much as the Lexis diagram does for APC relationships. The full result is a three dimensional space that can be disected by any of four different planes, each of which is parallel to the faces of a regular tetrahedron (see Figure 5 for a first mock-up of the model). Each dissecting plane relates three indices of demographic time in proportion to one another (1:1:1 ternary aspect ratio). The complete space can be described in geometry nomenclature as the tetrahedral-octahedral honeycomb, which is a kind of space-filling tessellation.[6] One of these planes is the familar Lexis plane (horizontal planes in Figure 5, and the other three will be new surprises for demographers. This three dimensional space is not only useful for the sake of formalizing observed temporal relationships, but also for encolosing demographic time in the past and future (e.g., before the first census and after the most recent census).

A property of the geometry that we propose is that the time units in every direction (with respect to each index) are proportional. The Lexis diagram based on right angles and 45° birth cohort lines does not have this property, whereas Lexis diagrams and surfaces based on equilateral triangles, such as some early proposals (inter alia, Lexis 1875, Lewin 1876), the masterful stereogram of Perozzo (1880), or the more recent APC diagram of Ryder (1980), do have this property. The disecting planes of the model I propose are likewise composed of equilateral triangles. In Lexis nomencla-

---

[6]Constructs following this geometry exist both in nature and in man-made structures.

[7]This and other figures to be replaced with vector graphics, although I may bring this model to the presentation, since it helps explain concepts.

Figure 5: A mock-up example of the unified model of demographic time.[7]



ture, the 3d projections of an AP square, and AC or PC parallelograms are all congruent shapes known as regular trigonal trapezohedra (RTT). The orientation of a given RTT uniquely defines the Lexis shape in question. Similar constructs exist in the other time dimensions, and these will also be described.

## A   Variants of tetrahedron graph

The graph depicted in Figure 3 could be drawn with any of the four vertices in the middle of the triangle (as well as other inversions and rotations). These would all serve equally well to present the same aspects of the model, and we have no insight as to whether one of these renditions is more or less intuitive. Figure 6 provides for perspectives on the tetrahedron, for the case that this aids in understanding. The reader may make a paper tetrahedron, with labeled edges and vertices to be convinced that these are identical graphs.

Figure 6: Some variants of the graph of the APCTDL tetrahedron.



(a) Vertex 1 in middle. APC Northwest.



(b) Vertex 2 in middle. APC Northeast.



(c) Vertex 3 in middle. APC Northwest.



(d) Vertex 4 in middle, as in Figure 3. APC base.

# References

J. Lewin. Rapport sur la détermination et le recueil des données relatives aux tables de mortalité. *Programme de la neuvième session du Congrès International de statistique à Budapest I*, pages 295–361, 1876.

W.H.R.A. Lexis. *Einleitung in die Theorie der Bevölkerungsstatistik*. KJ Trübner, 1875.

L. Perozzo. Della rappresentazione graphica di una collettivita di individui nella successione del tempo. *Annali di Statistica*, 12:1–16, 1880.

Norman B Ryder. *The cohort approach: Essays in the measurement of temporal variations in demographic behavior*. PhD thesis, Princeton University, 1980.