

11.18 (a) In the rest frame of the particle, the electric and magnetic fields are given by

$$\vec{E}' = \frac{q \vec{r}'}{r'^3} = \frac{q(\vec{r}_1 + z'\hat{z})}{r'^3}, \quad \vec{B}' = 0$$

In the laboratory frame, $\vec{r}_1 = \vec{r}_1$, $z' = \gamma(z - vt)$, we can write the fields as

$$\vec{E}' = \frac{q(\vec{r}_1 + \gamma(z - vt)\hat{z})}{[r_1^2 + \gamma^2(z - vt)^2]^{3/2}}, \quad \vec{B}' = 0$$

Applying the Lorentz transform, we know that, in the laboratory frame,

$$\vec{E} = \frac{\gamma q(\vec{r}_1 + \gamma(z - vt)\hat{z})}{[r_1^2 + \gamma^2(z - vt)^2]^{3/2}}, \quad \vec{B} = \gamma \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}' = \gamma \vec{\beta} \times \frac{q \vec{r}_1}{[r_1^2 + \gamma^2(z - vt)^2]^{3/2}} = \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E}$$

The electric field can be written as

$$\vec{E} = \frac{q}{r_1^2} (\vec{r}_1 + (z - vt)\hat{z}) \frac{\gamma/r_1}{[1 + (\frac{\gamma}{r_1})^2(z - vt)^2]^{3/2}}$$

In limit of $\beta \rightarrow 1$, $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$. In the following, we will show that \vec{E} is singular with a Dirac delta function.

Consider the function $\phi(z) = \frac{\alpha}{2[1 + \alpha^2 z^2]^{3/2}}$, whose indefinite integral is

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\alpha dz}{[1 + \alpha^2 z^2]^{3/2}} = \frac{\alpha z}{2\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 z^2}} + C. \text{ Then}$$

$$(i) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(z) dz = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\alpha z}{2\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 z^2}} - \frac{-\alpha z}{2\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 z^2}} \right) = 1$$

$$\text{and (ii)} \int_{\epsilon < |z| < +\infty} g(z) dz = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\alpha z}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 z^2}} - \frac{\alpha \epsilon}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 \epsilon^2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \epsilon}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 \epsilon^2}} \right)$$

$$\text{As } \alpha \rightarrow \infty, \frac{\alpha \epsilon}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 \epsilon^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \epsilon^2}}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha^2 \epsilon^2}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\epsilon < |z| < +\infty} g(z) dz = \frac{1}{4\alpha^2 \epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0, \text{ for any } \epsilon > 0.$$

Comparing to Theorem 2.4.1 of Fourier Analysis by Stein and Shakarchi, we know that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha z}{2[1 + \alpha^2 z^2]^{3/2}} = \delta(z)$$

Thus, the electric field, in the limit $\beta \rightarrow 1$, $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, is

$$\vec{E} = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma q}{r_1^2} (\vec{r}_1 + (z - vt)\hat{z}) \frac{\gamma/r_1}{2[1 + (\frac{\gamma}{r_1})^2(z - vt)^2]^{3/2}} = \frac{\gamma q}{r_1^2} (\vec{r}_1 + (z - vt)\hat{z}) \delta(z - vt) = \frac{\gamma q}{r_1^2} \vec{r}_1 \delta(ct - z)$$

For the magnetic field, as $\beta \gg 1$, $\vec{\beta} \rightarrow \hat{v}$, where \hat{v} is in the z -direction, parallel to the velocity of the particle. Then $\vec{B} = \hat{v} \times \vec{E} = 2q \frac{\hat{v} \times \vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \delta(ct-z)$.

(b) Using the Maxwell equation, we can show that

$$\rho = \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \frac{q}{2\pi} \nabla_\perp \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \right) \delta(ct-z).$$

It is straightforward to verify that, for $r_\perp \neq 0$, $\nabla_\perp \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \right) = 0$. On the other hand, for any circle enclosing $\vec{r}_\perp = 0$, we have

$$\oint \nabla_\perp \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \right) dS = \oint \frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \cdot \vec{n} dl = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \cdot \vec{r}_\perp d\theta = 2\pi.$$

Therefore, symbolically, $\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \right) = 2\pi \delta^{(2)}(\vec{r}_\perp)$, and $\rho = q \delta^{(2)}(\vec{r}_\perp) \delta(ct-z)$.

For the z -current, $\frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j} = \nabla \times \vec{B} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \vec{B} &= \nabla \times \left(2q \frac{\hat{v} \times \vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \delta(ct-z) \right) = 2q \left(\nabla \delta(ct-z) \times \frac{\hat{v} \times \vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} + \delta(ct-z) \nabla \times \frac{\hat{v} \times \vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \right) \\ &= 2q \left(-\delta'(ct-z) \hat{v} \times \frac{\hat{v} \times \vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} + \delta(ct-z) \hat{v} \left(\nabla \cdot \frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \right) \right) \\ &= 2q \left(\frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \delta'(ct-z) + 2\pi \hat{v} \delta^{(2)}(\vec{r}_\perp) \delta(ct-z) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and $\frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = 2qc \frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \delta'(ct-z)$, then

$$\frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j} = \nabla \times \vec{B} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = 4\pi q \hat{v} \delta^{(2)}(\vec{r}_\perp) \delta(ct-z), \Rightarrow \vec{j} = qc \hat{v} \delta^{(2)}(\vec{r}_\perp) \delta(ct-z).$$

Finally, $J^\mu = (c\rho, \vec{j}) = (1, \hat{v}) qc \delta^{(2)}(\vec{r}_\perp) \delta(ct-z) = qc v^\mu \delta^{(2)}(\vec{r}_\perp) \delta(ct-z)$,

where $v^\mu = (1, \hat{v})$.

(c) From the first gauge, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{E} &= -\nabla\Phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}_\perp}{\partial t} = -\left(\hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \nabla_\perp \right) A_\perp^0 - \frac{1}{c} \hat{z} \frac{\partial A_\perp^z}{\partial t} \\ &= -2q \hat{z} \delta'(ct-z) + 2q \frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \delta(ct-z) + 2q \hat{z} \delta'(ct-z) = 2q \frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \delta(ct-z) \\ \vec{B} &= \nabla \times \vec{A}_\perp = \nabla \times (\hat{z} A_\perp^z) = \nabla A_\perp^z \times \hat{z} = \nabla_\perp A_\perp^z \times \hat{z} = -2q \frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \delta(ct-z) \times \hat{z} = 2q \frac{\hat{v} \times \vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \delta(ct-z). \end{aligned}$$

For the second gauge,

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{E} &= -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}_2}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}_{2,\perp}}{\partial t} = 2q \delta(ct-z) \nabla_\perp \log(\lambda r_\perp) = 2q \frac{\vec{r}_\perp}{r_\perp^2} \delta(ct-z) \\ \vec{B} &= \nabla \times \vec{A}_2 = \nabla \times \vec{A}_{2,\perp} = \left(\hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \nabla_\perp \right) \times \left(-2q \delta(ct-z) \nabla_\perp \log(\lambda r_\perp) \right) = -2q \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \delta(ct-z) \hat{z} \times \nabla_\perp \log(\lambda r_\perp) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2q \delta(ct-z) \hat{z} \times \vec{V}_2 \log(\lambda r_2) = 2q \frac{\hat{z} \times \vec{r}_2}{r_2^2} \delta(ct-z)$$

the difference between the two gauges is

$$\Delta A^\alpha = A_1^\alpha - A_2^\alpha = \left(-2q \delta(ct-z) \log(\lambda r_2), 2q \Theta(ct-z) \nabla_2 \log(\lambda r_2), -2q \delta(ct-z) \log(\lambda r_2) \right)$$

It can be easily verified that $\Delta A^\alpha = \partial^\mu \chi$, where

$$\chi = -2q \Theta(ct-z) \log(\lambda r_2)$$