



1

## 2 **Supporting Information for**

### 3 **Near-consistent robust estimations of moments for unimodal distributions**

4 **Tuban Lee.**

5 **E-mail: [tl@biomathematics.org](mailto:tl@biomathematics.org)**

#### 6 **This PDF file includes:**

7     Supporting text

8     Legend for Dataset S1

#### 9 **Other supporting materials for this manuscript include the following:**

10     Dataset S1

## Supporting Information Text

**Theorem.**  $\psi_k(x_1 = \lambda x_1 + \mu, \dots, x_k = \lambda x_k + \mu) = \lambda^k \psi_k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ .

*Proof.*  $\psi_k$  can be divided into  $k$  groups. From 1st to  $k-1$ th group, the  $g$ th group has  $\binom{k}{g}\binom{g}{1}$  terms having the form  $(-1)^{g+1} \frac{1}{k-g+1} x_{i_1}^{k-g+1} \dots x_{i_g}$ . The final  $k$ th group is the term  $(-1)^{k-1} (k-1) x_1 \dots x_k$ . Let  $x_{i_1} = x_1$ ,  $k \neq g$ , the  $g$ th group of  $\psi_k$  has  $\binom{k-l}{g-l}$  terms having the form  $(-1)^{g+1} \frac{1}{k-g+1} x_1^{k-g+1} x_2 \dots x_l x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{g-l}}$ , where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l$  are fixed,  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{g-l}}$  are selected such that  $i_1, \dots, i_{g-l} \neq 1, 2, \dots, l$ . Let  $\Psi_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{g-l}}) = (\lambda x_1 + \mu)^{k-g+1} (\lambda x_2 + \mu) \dots (\lambda x_l + \mu) (\lambda x_{i_1} + \mu) \dots (\lambda x_{i_{g-l}} + \mu)$ , the first group of  $\Psi_k$  is  $\lambda^k x_1 \dots x_l x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{g-l}}$ , the  $h$ th group of  $\Psi_k$ ,  $h > 1$ , has  $\binom{k-g+1}{k-h-l+2}$  terms having the form  $\lambda^{k-h+1} \mu^{h-1} x_1^{k-h-l+2} x_2 \dots x_l, x_1^{k-h-l+2} \neq x_1$ , the summed coefficient is  $S1_l = \sum_{g=l}^{h+l-1} (-1)^{g+1} \frac{1}{k-g+1} \binom{k-g+1}{k-h-l+2} \binom{k-l}{g-l} = \sum_{g=l}^{h+l-1} (-1)^{g+1} \frac{(k-l)!}{(h+l-g-1)!(k-h-l+2)!(g-l)!} = 0$ , since the summation is starting from  $l$ , ending at  $h+l-1$ , the first term includes the factor  $g-l=0$ , the final term includes the factor  $h+l-g-1=0$ , the terms in the middle are also zero due to the factorial property. Another possible choice is letting one of  $x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_g}$  equal to  $x_1$ , the  $g$ th group of  $\psi_k$  has  $(k-h) \binom{h-1}{g-k+h-1}$  terms having the form  $(-1)^{g+1} \frac{1}{k-g+1} x_1 x_2 \dots x_j^{k-g+1} \dots x_{k-h+1} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{g-k+h-1}}$ , provided that  $k \neq g$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq k-h+1$ , where  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-h+1}$  are fixed,  $x_j^{k-g+1}$  and  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{g-k+h-1}}$  are selected. Transforming these terms by  $\Psi_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_{k-h+1}, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{g-k+h-1}}) = (\lambda x_1 + \mu) (\lambda x_2 + \mu) \dots (\lambda x_j + \mu)^{k-g+1} \dots (\lambda x_{k-h+1} + \mu) (\lambda x_{i_1} + \mu) \dots (\lambda x_{i_{g-k+h-1}} + \mu)$ , then, there are  $k-g+1$  terms having the form  $\lambda^{k-h+1} \mu^{h-1} x_1 x_2 \dots x_{k-h+1}$ . So, the combined result is  $(-1)^{g+1} (k-h) \binom{h-1}{g-k+h-1} \lambda^{k-h+1} \mu^{h-1} x_1 x_2 \dots x_{k-h+1}$ . Transforming the final  $k$ th group of  $\psi_k$  by  $\Psi_k$ , then, there is one term having the form  $(-1)^{k-1} (k-1) \lambda^{k-h+1} \mu^{h-1} x_1 x_2 \dots x_{k-h+1}$ . Another possible combination is that the  $g$ th group of  $\psi_k$  contains  $(g-k+h-1) \binom{h-1}{g-k+h-1}$  terms having the form  $(-1)^{g+1} \frac{1}{k-g+1} x_1 x_2 \dots x_{k-h+1} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_j}^{k-g+1} \dots x_{i_{g-k+h-1}}$ , there is only one term having the form  $\lambda^{k-h+1} \mu^{h-1} x_1 x_2 \dots x_{k-h+1}$ . The above summation  $S1_l$  should also be included, i.e.,  $x_1^{k-h-l+2} = x_1$ ,  $k = h+l-1$ , so, combining all terms with  $\lambda^{k-h+1} \mu^{h-1} x_1 x_2 \dots x_{k-h+1}$ , according to the binomial theorem, the summed coefficient is  $S2_l = \sum_{g=k-h+1}^{k-1} (-1)^{g+1} \binom{h-1}{g-k+h-1} (k-h+1 + \frac{g-k+h-1}{k-g+1}) + (-1)^{k-1} (k-1) = (-1)^k + (-1)^k (k-h) + (h-2)(-1)^k + (-1)^{k-1} (k-1) = 0$ . The result is the same if replacing  $x_1$  with  $x_i$ , where  $i$  is from 2 to  $k$ , and replacing  $x_l$  with other  $x_i$ . Thus, all terms including  $\mu$  can be canceled out. The proof is complete by noticing that the remaining part is  $\lambda^k \psi_k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ .  $\square$

## SI Dataset S1 (dataset\_one.xlsx)

Raw data of Table 1 in the main text.

## References