

Supporting Information for

- 3 Near-consistent robust estimations of moments for unimodal distributions
- 4 Tuban Lee.
- 5 E-mail: tl@biomathematics.org
- 6 This PDF file includes:
- Supporting text
- 8 Legend for Dataset S1
- 9 SI References
- Other supporting materials for this manuscript include the following:
- Dataset S1

Supporting Information Text

3 **Theorem 0.1.** $\psi_k(x_1 = \lambda x_1 + \mu, \dots, x_k = \lambda x_k + \mu) = \lambda^k \psi_k(x_1, \dots, x_k).$

 $(-1)^{g+1} \frac{1}{k-g+1} x_1^{k-g+1} \dots x_{i_g}. \text{ The final kth group is the term } (-1)^{k-1} (k-1) x_1 \cdots x_k. \text{ Let } x_{i_1} = x_1, k \neq g, \text{ the gth group of } \psi_k \text{ has } \binom{k-l}{g-l} \text{ terms having the form } (-1)^{g+1} \frac{1}{k-g+1} x_1^{k-g+1} x_2 \cdots x_l x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{g-l}}, \text{ where } x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_l \text{ are fixed, } x_{i_1}, \cdots, x_{i_{g-l}} \text{ are sericed such that } i_1, \cdots, i_{g-l} \neq 1, 2, \cdots, l. \text{ Let } \Psi_k \left(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_l, x_{i_1}, \cdots, x_{i_{g-l}}\right) = (\lambda x_1 + \mu)^{k-g+1} (\lambda x_2 + \mu) \cdots (\lambda x_l + \mu) (\lambda x_{i_1} + \mu)$ $\text{ if } (\lambda x_{i_2-l} + \mu), \text{ the first group of } \Psi_k \text{ is } \lambda^k x_1 \cdots x_l x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{g-l}}, \text{ the hid group of } \Psi_k, h > 1, \text{ has } \binom{k-g+1}{k-h-l+2} \text{ terms having the form } \lambda^{k-h+1} \mu^{h-1} x_1^{k-h-l+2} x_2 \cdots x_l, x_1^{k-h-l+2} \neq x_1, \text{ the summed coefficient is } S1_l = \sum_{g=l}^{h+l-1} (-1)^{g+1} \frac{1}{k-g+1} \binom{k-g+1}{k-h-l+2} \binom{k-g+1}{g-g-1} \binom{k-g-1}{g-g-1} = 0, \text{ the first term includes the factor } g-1 = 0, \text{ the terms in the middle are also zero due to the factorial property.}$ Another possible choice is letting one of $x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_g}$ equal to $x_1,$ the gth group of ψ_k has $(k-h) \binom{h-1}{g-k+h-1}$ terms having the form $(-1)^{g+1} \frac{1}{k-g+1} x_1 x_2 \dots x_j^{k-g+1} \dots x_{k-h+1} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_g-k+h-1}, \text{ provided that } k \neq g, 2 \leq j \leq k-h+1, \text{ where } x_1, \dots, x_{k-h+1} \text{ are fixed, } x_j^{k-g+1} \dots x_{k-h+1} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_g-k+h-1}, \text{ provided that } k \neq g, 2 \leq j \leq k-h+1, \text{ where } x_1, \dots, x_{k-h+1} \text{ are fixed, } x_j^{k-g+1} \dots x_{k-h+1} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_g-k+h-1}, \text{ hero} x_{i_g-k+h-1} + \mu), \text{ then, there are } k-g+1 \text{ terms having } x_j^{k-g+1} \dots x_{k-h+1} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_g-k+h-1}, \text{ then } x_{i_g-k+h-1} + x_{$

Proof. ψ_k can be divided into k groups. From 1st to k-1th group, the gth group has $\binom{k}{q}\binom{g}{1}$ terms having the form

38 **Lemma 0.2.** $\sum_{g=k-h+1}^{k-1} (-1)^{g+1} \binom{h-1}{g-k+h-1} = (-1)^k$.

Proof. Let u = k - h + 1, then the above identity becomes $\sum_{g=u}^{k-1} (-1)^{g+1} \binom{k-u}{g-u} = (-1)^k$. Then, by deducing,

$$\sum_{g=u}^{k-1} (-1)^{g+1} \binom{k-u}{g-u} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-u-1} (-1)^{i+u+1} \binom{k-u}{i}$$
 (Substitute $i = g - u$)
$$= (-1)^{k+2} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-u} (-1)^{i+u+1} \binom{k-u}{i}$$

$$= (-1)^{k+2} + (-1)^{u+1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-u} (-1)^{i} \binom{k-u}{i}$$

$$= (-1)^{k}$$
 (Apply the alternating sum identity),

39 the proof is complete.

Lemma 0.3. $\sum_{g=k-h+1}^{k-1} (-1)^{g+1} \binom{h-1}{g-k+h-1} \left(\frac{g-k+h-1}{k-g+1} \right) = (h-2)(-1)^k$.

Proof. Let u = k - h + 1, then the above identity becomes $\sum_{g=u}^{k-1} (-1)^{g+1} \binom{k-u}{g-u-1} = (-1)^k (k-u-1)$. Then by deducing,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{g=u}^{k-1} (-1)^{g+1} \binom{k-u}{g-u-1} &= \sum_{i=-1}^{k-u-2} (-1)^{u+i+2} \binom{k-u}{i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-u} (-1)^{u+i+2} \binom{k-u}{i} - \sum_{i=k-u-1}^{k-u} (-1)^{u+i+2} \binom{k-u}{i} \\ &= -\sum_{i=k-u-1}^{k-u} (-1)^{u+i+2} \binom{k-u}{i} \\ &= (-1)^{k+2} \binom{k-u}{k-u-1} + (-1)^{k+3} \binom{k-u}{k-u} \\ &= (-1)^{k+2} (k-u) + (-1)^{k+3} \\ &= (-1)^{k+2} (k-u-1), \end{split}$$
 (Substitute $i = g - u - 1$)

43 the proof is complete.

Methods

70

71

72

73

74

75

A. d value calibration. Asymptotic d values for the invariant central moments for the exponential distribution were approximated by a quasi-Monte Carlo study (1, 2) based on generating a large quasi-random sample with sample size 1.8 million from 47 the exponential distribution and quasi-subsampling the sample 1.8k million times to approximate the distributions of the kernels of the corresponding *U*-statistics, then computing the binomial *k*th central moment (B*km*), median *k*th central moment (*mkm*), and corresponding quantiles, finally obtained by the formulae $d_{rkm} = \frac{km_{bs} - \text{B}km_{bs}}{\text{B}km_{bs} - mkm_{bs}}$ and $d_{qkm} = \frac{pkm_{bs} - p\text{B}km_{bs}}{p\text{B}km_{bs} - \frac{1}{2}}$, where $pBkm = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{X_i \leq (Bkm_{bs})}, \ pkm = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{X_i \leq (km_{bs})}, \ bs$ indicates the quasi-bootstrap moments. The accuracy of the estimates was verified by comparing the quasi-bootstrap central moments to their asymptotic values, yielding errors of ≈ 0.0003 , 51 52 ≈ 0.001 , and ≈ 0.03 for the second, third, and fourth central moments, respectively. The sample standard deviation of the kernel distributions for these moments are 2.234, 9.627, and 60.064, respectively, resulting in standardized errors for the biases that were all smaller than 0.001, thus ensuring the accuracy implied in the number of significant digits of the values in Table 55 1. The calculations of invariant moments using other symmetric weighted averages, $SQM_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $BM_{\nu=2,\epsilon=\frac{1}{2}}$, $WM_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $BWM_{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $TM_{\frac{1}{2}}$, were performed analogously by substituting of the appropriate values. 57 For finite sample, the d values were estimated using 1000 pseudorandom samples with sample size n = 5400 with a 58 quasi-bootstrap size of 18000. To estimate the errors of d value estimations under finite sample size, first consider the first order Taylor approximation of the d value function, $d = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_2 - x_3} \approx d^0 + \frac{\partial d}{\partial x_1} x_1 + \frac{\partial d}{\partial x_2} x_2 + \frac{\partial d}{\partial x_3} x_3$. Then, by applying Bienaymé's identity, the variance of d can be approximated by $\sigma_d^2 \approx \left|\frac{\partial d}{\partial x_1}\right|^2 \sigma_{x_1}^2 + \left|\frac{\partial d}{\partial x_2}\right|^2 \sigma_{x_2}^2 + \left|\frac{\partial d}{\partial x_3}\right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + 2\left|\frac{\partial d}{\partial x_1}\right| \left|\frac{\partial d}{\partial x_2}\right| Cov(X_1, X_2) + Cov(X_1, X_2)$ $2\left|\frac{\partial d}{\partial x_{1}}\right|\left|\frac{\partial d}{\partial x_{3}}\right|Cov\left(X_{1},X_{3}\right)+2\left|\frac{\partial d}{\partial x_{2}}\right|\left|\frac{\partial d}{\partial x_{3}}\right|Cov\left(X_{2},X_{3}\right)=\left|\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\sigma_{x_{1}}^{2}+\left|-\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\sigma_{x_{2}}^{2}+\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}\right|^{2}\sigma_{x_{3}}^{2}+\left|\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\sigma_{x_{2}}^{2}+\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}\right|^{2}\sigma_{x_{3}}^{2}+\left|\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|-\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-x_{3})^{2}}-\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{3}}\right|^{2}\left|\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{(x_{2}-$ Since for the recombined mean, $\sigma_{x_1}^2 = 0$, so, $\sigma_{d_{rm}}^2 \approx \left| -\frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} - \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_2}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} \right|^2 \sigma_$ median. For quantile mean, since $\sigma_{x_3}^2 = 0$, $\sigma_{d_{qm}}^2 \approx \left| \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_1}^2 + \left| -\frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2} - \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} \right|^2 \sigma_{x_2}^2 + \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} \sigma_{x_2}^2 + \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} \sigma_{x_2}^2 + \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} \sigma_{x_2}^2 + \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} \sigma_{x_2}^2 + \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \frac{1}{x_2 - x_3} \sigma_{x_2}^2 +$ $2\left(\frac{1}{x_2-x_3}\right)\left(-\frac{x_1-x_2}{(x_2-x_3)^2}-\frac{1}{x_2-x_3}\right)Cov\left(X_1,X_2\right)$, where x_1 is the percentile of the expected value, x_2 is the percentile of the weighted average used, x_3 is the percentile of median, $\frac{1}{2}$. Finally, the errors were estimated by the corresponding sample statistics.

B. AAB, AB, and SSE. The computations of ABs and AABs for invariant central moments were described in the main text. The SSE was computed by approximating the sampling distribution with 1000 pseudorandom samples for n=5400 and 30 pseudorandom samples for $n=1.8\times 10^6$. Common random numbers were used for better comparison. Analogous to the asymptotic bias, the scaled standard error can be standardized, averaged, and weighted. It should be noted that, in Table 1, for symmetric distributions, the generalized Gaussian, the standard errors were used for location and asymmetry estimators, since when the mean value is close to zero, the scaled standard error will approach infinity and therefore be too sensitive to small changes. The errors of AB and SSE were estimated by $se\left(\bar{x}\right) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \approx \frac{usb}{\sqrt{n}}$, $se\left(sd\right) \approx \frac{1}{2\sigma} se\left(var\right) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_4}{4n\sigma^2} - \frac{n-3}{4n(n-1)}\sigma^2} \approx \sqrt{\frac{fm}{4nvar} - \frac{n-3}{4n(n-1)}var}$, where usb is unbiased standard deviation of the sampling distribution with normality assumption (3).

The computational methods used for two-parameter distributions were identical. The computations of invariant moments were 78 described in the main text. 79

- C. The impact of bootstrap size on variance. The study of the impact of the bootstrap size, from $n = 1.8 \times 10^2$ to $n = 1.8 \times 10^4$. 80 on the variance for the exponential distribution was done the same as above. 81
 - D. Comparisons to M-estimator and percentile estimator. Within the same kurtosis range and four two-parametric distributions (except the generalized Gaussian distribution, since the logarithmic function does not produce results for negative values) as the above, the percentile estimator for the Weibull distribution were computed using the method proposed by Marks (2005) (4) and the parameter setting proposed by Boudt, Caliskan, and Croux (2011) (5). The results were then transformed to the first four moments to compute AABs. The robust M-estimator for the Weibull distribution were also computed in the same way using the method proposed by He and Fung (1999) (6). Bisection is used to find the solution of the key equation in (6), while the results from the percentile estimator were used as initial values (-0.3 and +0.3).
 - E. Maximum asymptotic biases. For simplicity, a brute force approach was used to estimate the maximum biases of SWAs and SWkms for five unimodal distributions. A wide range was set to roughly estimate the parameter ranges in which the maximum bias might occur (the corresponding maximum kurtoses are all larger than 500). Then, the parameter range was broken to 100 parts, combining with the above results for AAB estimations, the maximum of both was determined to be very close to the true maximum. Pseudo-maximum bias was described in the main text.

The brute force approach is generally valid, i.e., the maximum is the global maximum, not local maximum, even when the the corresponding maximum kurtosis is finite. Because all five distributions here have the property that, as the kurtosis of the distribution increases to infinity, the standardized biases of SWAs approach zero.

For example, for the Perato distribution,

$$B_{\text{SQA}}(\epsilon, \alpha) = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(x_m \left(1 - \epsilon \right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} + x_m \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right) - \frac{\alpha x_m}{\alpha - 1}}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha x_m^2}{(1 - \alpha)^2 (\alpha - 2)}}}.$$

$$B_{\mathrm{SQA}}(\epsilon,\alpha) = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(x_m \left(1 - \epsilon \right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} + x_m \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right) - \frac{\alpha x_m}{\alpha - 1}}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha x_m^2}{(1 - \alpha)^2 (\alpha - 2)}}}.$$
97
$$\lim_{\alpha \to 2} B_{\mathrm{SQA}}(\epsilon,\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha \to 2} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(x_m (1 - \epsilon)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} + x_m \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha x_m^2}{(1 - \alpha)^2 (\alpha - 2)}}} - \frac{\frac{\alpha x_m}{\alpha - 1}}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha x_m^2}{(1 - \alpha)^2 (\alpha - 2)}}} = \lim_{\alpha \to 2} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \epsilon}} \right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{(1 - \alpha)^2 (\alpha - 2)}}} + \lim_{\alpha \to 2} \frac{-\alpha}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha - 2)}}} = 0.$$
98 In the previous article, it is proven that when the kurtoses of the distributions approach infinity, all the five para

In the previous article, it is proven that when the kurtoses of the distributions approach infinity, all the five parametric 98 distributions will be ordered, that means the SWAs based on the orderliness will follow the mean-SWA-median inequality, thus, proving the limits of the ratios between μ and σ , as well as m and σ is enough. 100

For example, for the Weibull distribution, the ratio of μ and σ is $\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\sqrt{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha}\right)}} = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)!}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha} - 1\right)!}} = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)!}{\sqrt{\left(2 \times \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)!}} = \lim$

 $0\text{, the ratio of }m\text{ and }\sigma\text{ is }\lim_{\alpha\to0}\frac{\sqrt[\alpha]{\ln(2)}}{\sqrt{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha}\right)}}=\lim_{\alpha\to0}\frac{0}{\sqrt{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha}\right)}}=0.$ 102

Similarly, for the gamma distribution, the ratio of μ and σ is $\lim_{\alpha\to 0} \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha}} = \lim_{\alpha\to 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} = 0$, the ratio of m and σ is 103 $\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{P^{-1}(\alpha, \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\alpha}} = 0$ (7). 104

The lognormal distribution is the same, the ratio of μ and σ is $\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{(e^{\sigma^2} - 1)e^{2\mu + \sigma^2}}} = \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{e^{2\mu + 2\sigma^2}}} = \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}{e^{\sigma^2}} = \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}{e^{\sigma^2}} = \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}} = \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}} = \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}} = \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}} = \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}} = \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}} = \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}} = \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}{e^{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}}$

0, the ratio of m and σ is $\lim_{\sigma\to\infty} \frac{e^{\mu}}{\sqrt{(e^{\sigma^2}-1)e^{2\mu+\sigma^2}}}=0$. 106

As demonstrated, the growth rate of the standard deviation greatly exceeds that of the mean and that of the median. This phenomenon is closely tied to the Taylor's law and is more widespread than these examples suggest.

SI Dataset S1 (dataset_one.xlsx)

Raw data of Table 1 in the main text.

References

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

93

94

95

101

107

108

109

110

111

117

- 1. RD Richtmyer, A non-random sampling method, based on congruences, for monte carlo problems, (New York Univ., New 112 York. Atomic Energy Commission Computing and Applied ...), Technical report (1958). 113
- 2. IM Sobol', On the distribution of points in a cube and the approximate evaluation of integrals. Zhurnal Vychislitel'noi 114 Matematiki i Matematicheskoi Fiziki 7, 784–802 (1967). 115
- 3. CR Rao, Linear statistical inference and its applications. (John Wiley & Sons Inc), (1965). 116
 - 4. NB Marks, Estimation of weibull parameters from common percentiles. J. applied Stat. 32, 17–24 (2005).
- 5. K Boudt, D Caliskan, C Croux, Robust explicit estimators of weibull parameters. Metrika 73, 187–209 (2011). 118
- X He, WK Fung, Method of medians for lifetime data with weibull models. Stat. medicine 18, 1993–2009 (1999).
- 7. MA Chaudhry, SM Zubair, On a class of incomplete gamma functions with applications. (Chapman and Hall/CRC), (2001). 120