Automated Proof of Divisibility Identities Involving Sums of Exponentials

Tuomas Laakkonen, Simran Chowdhry

September 10, 2017

1 Abstract

We give a general method for proving (or determining the falsehood of) identities of the form

$$\sum_{i}^{n} a_i b_i^{c_i x + d_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for $x \ge 1$, by repeated application of mathematical induction, as well as several algorithms to perform this method automatically.

2 Exponential Normal Form

Any function of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{i}^{n} a_i b_i^{c_i x + d_i}$$

can be expressed as a function of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i' b_i'^x$$

by the usual index laws, using the transformations

$$a_i' = a_i b_i^{d_i}$$

$$b_i' = b_i^{c_i}$$

This form is known as exponential normal form.

3 Proof Method

Given a function f(x) in exponential normal form, let the P(x) be the proposition that:

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

We prove this identity by mathematical induction. First we verify that P(1) is true. If this is not so, then the identity is false. If f(x) only has one term, then this is enough to prove P(x) for all $x \ge 1$, otherwise we proceed to the induction step:

$$f(x+1) = \sum_{i}^{n} a_{i}b_{i}^{x+1}$$

$$= \sum_{i}^{n} a_{i}b_{i}b_{i}^{x}$$

$$= \sum_{i}^{n} a_{i} (b_{n} + b_{i} - b_{n}) b_{i}^{x}$$

$$= \sum_{i}^{n} a_{i}b_{n}b_{i}^{x} + \sum_{i}^{n} a_{i} (b_{i} - b_{n})b_{i}^{x}$$

$$= b_{n} \left(\sum_{i}^{n} a_{i}b_{i}^{x}\right) + \sum_{i}^{n} a_{i} (b_{i} - b_{n})b_{i}^{x}$$

$$= b_{n} \left(\sum_{i}^{n} a_{i}b_{i}^{x}\right) + \sum_{i}^{n-1} a_{i} (b_{i} - b_{n})b_{i}^{x}$$

$$= b_{n}f(x) + \sum_{i}^{n-1} a_{i} (b_{i} - b_{n})b_{i}^{x}$$

Letting $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i (b_i - b_n) b_i^x$,

$$f(x+1) = b_n f(x) + g(x)$$

Thus to prove P(x+1) we need only assume P(x) and then prove that:

$$g(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

However, g(x) is in exponential normal form, so we can recursively use this method of proof to prove this. Thus we see that P(1) and $P(x) \to P(x+1)$, giving P(x) for all $x \ge 1$ by induction.

4 Automation

A naïve translation of the above proof method yields a recursive procedure:

```
function PROVE(\boldsymbol{a},\,\boldsymbol{b},\,p)
n\leftarrow |\boldsymbol{a}|
if \sum_{i}^{n}a_{i}b_{i}\equiv 0\pmod{p} then
if n=1 then
return Proven
else
\boldsymbol{a}'\leftarrow \{a_{i}(b_{i}-b_{n})\mid 0\leq i\leq n-1\}
return PROVE(\boldsymbol{a}',\,\boldsymbol{b},\,d)
end if
else
return False
end if
```

Because in every recursive call, the size of the argument decreases, termination is ensured. Exploiting this yields an iterative procedure:

```
function \operatorname{PROVE}(\boldsymbol{a},\,\boldsymbol{b},\,p)
k\leftarrow |\boldsymbol{a}|
while k\geq 1 do
  if \sum_i^k a_i b_i \equiv 0 \pmod p then
  \boldsymbol{a}\leftarrow \{a_i(b_i-b_k)\mid 0\leq i\leq k-1\}
k\leftarrow k-1
else
  return False
end if
end while
return Proven
end function
```

This algorithm shows that to prove such an identity amounts to checking that

$$\sum_{i}^{k} a_i b_i \prod_{j}^{n-k} (b_i - b_{n-j}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

is true for $1 \le k \le n$.

5 Conclusions

While this method is valid for a large set of identities, it could be extended in the future to support identities of the form

$$\sum_{i}^{n} a_i b_i^{f_i(x)} + w \equiv q \pmod{p}$$

for forms of $f_i(x)$ other than linear functions.