| 1  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |
|----|---|
| 2  | DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS   |
| 3  | <del></del>   |
| 4  | STUDENTS FOR FAIR ADMISSIONS, INC.,                                       |
| 5  | Plaintiff, Civil Action<br>No. 14-14176-ADB                               |
| 6  | v.<br>October 23, 2018  |
| 7  | PRESIDENT AND FELLOWS OF HARVARD COLLEGE, et al., Pages 1 to 228          |
| 8  | Defendants.   |
| 9  | <del></del>   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 | TRANSCRIPT OF BENCH TRIAL - DAY 7   |
| 12 | BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALLISON D. BURROUGHS<br>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 13 | JOHN J. MOAKLEY U.S. COURTHOUSE<br>ONE COURTHOUSE WAY                     |
| 14 | BOSTON, MA 02210  |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 | JOAN M. DALY, RMR, CRR  |
| 23 | Official Court Reporter<br>John J. Moakley U.S. Courthouse                |
| 24 | One Courthouse Way, Room 5507<br>Boston, MA 02210                         |
| 25 | joanmdaly620gmail.com   |
|    |   |

| 1        | APPEARANCES:   |
|----------|--|
| 2        |  |
| 3        | COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF:   |
| 4        | ADAM K. MORTARA, ESQUIRE   |
| 5        | J. SCOTT McBRIDE, ESQUIRE<br>KRISTA J. PERRY, ESQUIRE<br>Bartlit Beck Herman Palenchar & Scott |
| 6        | 54 West Hubbard Street Suite 300   |
| 7        | Chicago, Illinois 60654<br>312.494.4400  |
| 8        | adam.mortara@bartlit-beck.com<br>scott.mcbride@bartlit-beck.com                                |
| 9        | krista.perry@bartlit-beck.com  |
| 10       | JOHN M. HUGHES, ESQUIRE<br>KATHERINE L.I. HACKER, ESQUIRE                                      |
| 11       | MEG E. FASULO, ESQUIRE<br>Bartlit Beck Herman Palenchar & Scott                                |
| 12       | 1801 Wewatta Street<br>Suite 1200  |
| 13       | Denver, Colorado 80202<br>303.592.3100   |
| 14       | john.hughes@bartlit-beck.com  meg.fasulo@bartlit-beck.com                                      |
| 15       | kat.hacker@bartlit-beck.com  |
| 16<br>17 | JOHN MICHAEL CONNOLLY, ESQUIRE<br>THOMAS R. McCARTHY, ESQUIRE<br>WILLIAM S. CONSOVOY, ESQUIRE  |
| 18       | Consovoy McCarthy Park PLLC 3033 Wilson Boulevard  |
| 19       | Suite 700 Arlington, Virginia 22201  |
| 20       | 703.243.9423<br>mike@consovoymccarthy.com  |
| 21       | tom@consovoymccarthy.com<br>will@consovoymccarthy.com  |
| 22       |  |
| 23       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       |  |
|          |  |

| 1  | APPEARANCES (cont.):   |
|----|--|
| 2  | PATRICK STRAWBRIDGE, ESQUIRE   |
| 3  | Consovoy McCarthy Park PLLC Ten Post Office Square                               |
| 4  | 8th Floor, South, PMB #706 Boston, Massachusetts 02109                           |
| 5  | 617.227.0548 patrick@consovoymccarthy.com  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | MICHAEL H. PARK, ESQUIRE<br>Consovoy McCarthy Park PLLC<br>3 Columbus Circle     |
| 8  | 15th Floor   |
| 9  | New York, New York 10024<br>646.456.4432   |
| 10 | park@consovoymccarthy.com  |
| 11 | PAUL M. SANFORD ESQUIRE<br>BENJAMIN C. CALDWELL, ESQUIRE<br>Burns & Levinson LLP |
| 12 | One Citizens Plaza Suite 110   |
| 13 | Providence, Rhode Island 02903<br>401.831.8330                                   |
| 14 | psanford@burnslev.com<br>bcaldwell@burnslev.com                                  |
| 15 | DCalawelleDallistev.Com  |
| 16 | COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT:   |
| 17 | WILLIAM F. LEE, ESQUIRE<br>FELICIA H. ELLSWORTH, ESQUIRE                         |
| 18 | ANDREW S. DULBERG, ESQUIRE<br>ELIZABETH C. MOONEY, ESQUIRE                       |
| 19 | SARAH R. FRAZIER, ESQUIRE Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP              |
| 20 | 60 State Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109                                      |
| 21 | 617.526.6556<br>william.lee@wilmerhale.com                                       |
| 22 | felicia.ellsworth@wilmerhale.com andrew.dulberg@wilmerhale.com                   |
| 23 | elizabeth.mooney@wilmerhale.com<br>sarah.frazier@wilmerhale.com                  |
| 24 | Saran. Trazter GMTTHETHATE. COIN   |
| 25 |  |
|    |  |

| 1  | APPEARANCES (cont.):  |
|----|---|
| 2  | CEMIL D. MANAMANI ECOLITDE  |
| 3  | SETH P. WAXMAN, ESQUIRE  DANIELLE CONLEY, ESQUIRE   |
| 4  | DANIEL WINIK, ESQUIRE<br>BRITTANY AMADI, ESQUIRE  |
| 5  | PAUL R.Q. WOLFSON, ESQUIRE Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP                        |
| 6  | 1875 Pennsylvania Ave, NW<br>Washington, DC 20006<br>202.663.6006                           |
| 7  | seth.waxman@wilmerhale.com<br>danielle.conley@wilmerhale.com                                |
| 8  | daniel.comieyewimernale.com<br>daniel.winik@wilmerhale.com<br>brittany.amadi@wilmerhale.com |
| 9  | paul.wolfson@wilmerhale.com   |
| 10 | DEBO P. ADEGBILE, ESQUIRE<br>Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP                      |
| 11 | 7 World Trade Center<br>250 Greenwich Street  |
| 12 | New York, New York 10007<br>212.295.6717  |
| 13 | debo.adegbile@wilmerhale.com  |
| 14 | ARA B. GERSHENGORN, ESQUIRE<br>Harvard Office of the General Counsel                        |
| 15 | Smith Campus Center<br>Suite 980  |
| 16 | 1350 Massachusetts Avenue<br>Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138                                 |
| 17 | 617.495.8210<br>ara gershengorn@harvard.edu   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 | COUNSEL FOR AMICI STUDENTS:   |
| 20 | JON M. GREENBAUM, ESQUIRE<br>BRENDA L. SHUM, ESQUIRE  |
| 21 | GENEVIEVE BONADIES TORRES, ESQUIRE<br>KRISTEN CLARKE, ESQUIRE                               |
| 22 | 1500 K Street NW, Suite 900<br>Washington, DC 20005   |
| 23 | 202.662.8315<br>jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org   |
| 24 | bshum@lawyerscommittee.org<br>gtorres@lawyerscommittee.org                                  |
| 25 | kclarke@lawyerscommittee.org  |
|    |   |

| 1  | APPEARANCES (cont.):  |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  | LAWRENCE CULLEEN, ESQUIRE<br>EMMA DINAN, ESQUIRE  |
| 4  | Arnold & Porter LLP<br>555 Twelfth Street, NW   |
| 5  | Washington, DC 20004<br>202.942.5477  |
| 6  | gina.dean@aporter.com<br>emma.dinan@aporter.com   |
| 7  | COUNTRY TOD AMICT ODCANIEDAMIONS.   |
| 8  | COUNSEL FOR AMICI ORGANIZATIONS:  |
| 9  | JENNIFER A. HOLMES, ESQUIRE<br>CARA McCLELLAN, ESQUIRE  |
| 10 | JIN HEE LEE, ESQUIRE<br>MICHAELE M. TURNAGE YOUNG, ESQUIRE  |
| 11 | RACHEL N. KLEINMAN, ESQUIRE<br>NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc.<br>700 14th Street NW |
| 12 | Suite 600<br>Washington, DC 20005   |
| 13 | jholmes@naacpldf.org<br>cmcclellan@naacpldf.org   |
| 14 | jlee@naacpldf.org<br>myoung@naacpldf.org  |
| 15 | rkleinman@naacpldf.org  |
| 16 | KENNETH N. THAYER, ESQUIRE<br>KATE R. COOK, ESQUIRE   |
| 17 | Sugarman Rogers<br>101 Merrimac Street  |
| 18 | Suite 900<br>Boston, Massachusetts 02114  |
| 19 | 617.227.3030<br>thayer@sugarmanrogers.com   |
| 20 | cook@sugarmanrogers.com   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
|    |   |

PROCEEDINGS 1 (The following proceedings were held in open 2 3 court before the Honorable Allison D. Burroughs, United States District Judge, United States District Court, District 4 of Massachusetts, at the John J. Moakley United States 5 Courthouse, One Courthouse Way, Boston, Massachusetts, on 7 October 23, 2018.) THE COURT: Do you guys want a sidebar? 8 MR. MORTARA: Yes, Your Honor. 9 [Sidebar sealed and redacted.] 10 11 THE COURT: Dean Khurana, I remind you you're under oath. 12 13 (RAKESH KHURANA previously sworn by the Deputy 14 Clerk.) 15 EXAMINATION (resumed) BY MR. MORTARA: 16 Good morning, Dean Khurana, how are you? 17 Q. Α. Good morning. 18 19 Q. We left off yesterday talking about socioeconomic --Α. 20 Yes. -- diversity at Harvard. Do you remember that? 21 Q. Α. 22 Yes. 23 And we talked about the fact about the top 4 to 5 percent of incomes in the United States, people who make over 150 24

grand a year, they're 30 percent of the students at Harvard

- 1 College. Do you remember that?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And I asked you about your work, your research about inequality, and structures of privilege. Do you remember
- 5 that?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Yes.
- Q. And so we left off yesterday with me asking you based on your research do you think the overrepresentation of wealthy people at Harvard is responsible for perpetuating structures of inequality and privilege?
- A. I think higher education is part of the solution to
  addressing issues of inequality and privilege. I wish we had
  a more equal society, though.
- Q. And you also wish that we had a more equal Harvard, don't you? I mean, you actually wish Harvard was more socioeconomically diverse, in your heart. I'm asking you as your own self, not as the dean of Harvard College.
  - A. Yes. I'd like us to continue to do better in that area.
    - Q. Okay. Great. Now, I want to talk about you mentioned yesterday that you're not trying at Harvard College to mirror the socioeconomic diversity of America. I was making this point that 5 percent, top 5 percent or 4 percent of incomes, 30 percent at Harvard. And you said we're not trying to mimic the socioeconomic diversity of America.

Do you remember that?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. Now, Harvard College's student body does pretty closely
- 3 approximate the United States population with respect to the
- 4 proportion of African-Americans, Hispanics, and Native
- 5 Americans on campus, doesn't it?
- 6 A. I can't say for certain on that.
- 7 Q. You did the race-neutral alternatives report, right, sir?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You talked about percentages of African-Americans on
- campus. It's around 14 percent in the 2019 class, right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. You talked about the percentage of Hispanics on campus.
- I can't remember. I can put it up. Is it 12 percent or
- 14 something?
- 15 A. I can't recall the exact number.
- Q. Let's put it up so we're all clear on what we're talking
- about. Excuse me. It's 14 percent for both, right,
- 18 African-Americans and Hispanics. Do you see that?
- 19 THE COURT: What tab are we at?
- MR. MORTARA: This is P316, Your Honor. While I'm
- at it, I'll move P301 and 302 from yesterday.
- THE WITNESS: Could you tell me what page you're
- 23 on?
- THE COURT: Hold on. Any objection to P301 and
- 25 302?

MS. CONLEY: Thanks very much. 1 BY MR. MORTARA: 2 3 Q. Do you see the numbers 14 percent for African-Americans 4 and Hispanics? THE COURT: Any objection to 301 and 302? 5 MS. CONLEY: No. 6 THE COURT: 301 and 302 are admitted. 7 (Plaintiff Exhibit Nos. 301 and 302 admitted.) 8 9 BY MR. MORTARA: Do you see the numbers for African-Americans and 10 Hispanics? 11 I'm sorry. Could you tell me what page you're on? 12 Α. 13 I'm on page 8. It's on the screen as well. Q. 14 Α. Yes. You know that's approximately reflective of the United 15 States population, right? 16 I can't say that for certain. 17 Α. 18 Q. What's your best quess? 19 A. I'd rather not guess. Q. You don't know the proportion of African-Americans and 20 Hispanics in the United States population? 21 Not exactly. 22 Α. 23 Well, through your work on inequality and structures of privilege, do you know whether or not the minority 24 populations at Harvard reflect the socioeconomic diversity of 25

those minority populations?

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

Let me explain. I mean do the African-American students at Harvard reflect the socioeconomic diversity of African-Americans in the United States?

- A. Again, diversity is one built on multiple dimensions.

  And so socioeconomic diversity is one of many dimensions that we consider when evaluating an individual.
- Q. That's not my question, and I'll try to be clearer.

African-Americans at Harvard, like Harvard students generally, are richer than African-Americans in the United States. What I mean by that is you disproportionately have wealthy African-Americans at Harvard College versus their representation in the general African-American population.

- 14 You know that, don't you?
- A. I'll assume that that's true. I don't have the exact data.
- 17 Q. You did the race-neutral alternatives report, right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. That involved increasing socioeconomic diversity, right?
- 20 A. That was one of many factors that we considered.
- Q. And one of the problems that you had with an increase of socioeconomic diversity was that it was going to increase the number of nonwhite students from disadvantaged backgrounds.
- Isn't that one of the problems you had?
- 25 **A.** One of the problems that we have is that we don't have

- any sort of single characteristic that we over-weight in that sense. What we're trying to do is look at the whole person that's made up of different characteristics, different backgrounds and experiences. And socioeconomic diversity is
- one of many characteristics that we consider in context of the individual.
  - Q. Let's look at what you said in the report. Please turn to page 14 of the report. You're on this committee, right, sir?
- 10 **A.** Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Let's look at what you said about the number of nonwhite students from modest socioeconomic circumstances. This is your report, your words, right?
- 14 A. This is our report.
- Q. "Using socioeconomic status as a proxy for race in the
  admissions process would also, by definition, yield a student
  body in which many of the nonwhite students would come from
  modest socioeconomic circumstances."
  - Would you explain to the Court what is meant by that?
  - A. So one of the goals that we have is to bring a diverse student body together from different backgrounds and experiences. And while, you know, different groups have different characteristics, we also think about each individual. We wouldn't want students to think that, for

example, students of color only come from modest socioeconomic backgrounds; that people from different backgrounds and experiences can come from families that are coming from upper backgrounds; or that we wouldn't want students to presume that just because somebody may be historically Caucasian that that means that they come from we well-off backgrounds. They could also be coming from very modest backgrounds.

So the goal there is to really overcome this notion of stereotypes in which we sort of assume if you know something about somebody's group characteristic, that tells you who they are as an individual or the complexity of their background.

- Q. Virtually nothing of what you just said is reflected in this paragraph; isn't that right?
- A. I think that's what the intent of this paragraph was meant to --
  - Q. So what you intended when you said that using socioeconomic status as a proxy for race in the admissions process would yield a student body in which many of the nonwhite students would come from modest socioeconomic circumstances is that you were worried that if relatively well-off African-Americans and Hispanics were present on Harvard College's campus in greater numbers, it would reinforce a stereotype about racial minorities.

That's your testimony?

- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 **Q.** And you remember Thang again?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you remember the slide I showed you yesterday?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Do you remember that he comes from quite a modest socioeconomic background?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And so remember I talked about how he was an academic 3?
- 11 That was in the earlier academic part of this discussion?
- 12 A. I remember you talking about that.
- Q. I'm representing to you that he's an academic 3. So fewer 1s and 2s, more 3s, fewer wealthy minorities, more
- disadvantaged minorities.
- And so what you're really saying is the problem
- that you have with the race-neutral alternative of boosting
- socioeconomic preferences is there would be more students
- who, like Thang, have academic 3s and come from very modest
- 20 backgrounds?
- 21 A. Again, all of our students are academically qualified to
- being there. What we're looking at is the backgrounds, the
- diversity of their backgrounds, things like their
- socioeconomic status but also other types of dimensions.
- There's public spiritedness, their academic

interests, their geographic background. All of this as a whole person, not reducing somebody to sort of category which I think is not the approach for our educational philosophy.

1

2

5

14

15

16

- Q. Does the paragraph I have highlighted on the screen say anything about looking at people as whole people?
- A. I think it does if you look at the second sentence. When
  we say, "It would do so at the cost of other forms of
  diversity undermining rather than advancing Harvard's
  diversity-related educational objectives," which we've sort
  of described -- you eloquently described yesterday as people
  with different backgrounds and experiences bringing them
  together to overcome the chasms of difference to find common
  ground with each other.
  - Q. One of the other forms of diversity you're talking about is the diversity granted by wealthier minority students being at Harvard combatting a racial stereotype. That's what you said, right?
- A. Again, I think, yes, to have a variety of diversity along multiple dimensions.
- Q. As the dean of Harvard College, if a student or student group raised concerns with you that Harvard College's admissions process was disadvantaging Asian-Americans, what would you do with that?
- A. If I thought there was any kind of systematic
  discrimination, any intentional discrimination, as the dean

- of the college, as a professor, as a father, I would have rung multiple alarm bells with multiple people. And anybody who knows me knows that my commitment to creating an environment where all of our students can flourish.
  - Q. I have no reason to doubt that, Dean Khurana. You'd want somebody from Harvard College to engage in a conversation with any students that brought you those concerns, right?
  - A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

- Q. And if it were particular to the question of whether

  Asian-Americans were being disadvantaged by the admissions

  process, the person that you would have in mind for that

  student to speak to would be someone from the admissions

  office, like Dean Fitzsimmons, right?
  - A. I'd want them to feel comfortable to discuss this with many of our senior leaders or any of the leaders on campus, including Dean Fitzsimmons.
  - Q. But you would refer them to speak to someone in the admissions office, correct?
- 19 A. I would suggest that that would be important to do.
- Q. And we started off our conversation with a discussion of how you did not know in 2017 whether or not Harvard College's admissions process disadvantaged Asian-Americans. Do you remember that was your sworn testimony back in 2017?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And the reason you didn't know is that you expected Dean

- Fitzsimmons in the admissions office to be responsible for figuring that out, correct?
- A. Yes.
- 4 MR. MORTARA: No more questions, Your Honor.
- 5 EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MS. CONLEY:
- 7 Q. Good morning, Dean Khurana.
- 8 A. Good morning.
- 9 Q. Just stepping back for a minute, when did you first start
- 10 working at Harvard?
- 11 **A.** In 2000.
- 12 Q. And what was your role at that time?
- 13 **A.** I was appointed assistant professor of organizational
- behavior and management at the Harvard Business School.
- Q. And did you receive tenure at some point?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. And when was that?
- 18 **A.** In or around 2008.
- 19 Q. Do you hold any other teaching positions at Harvard?
- 20 **A.** I also have a joint faculty appointment with the faculty
- of arts and sciences in the department of sociology.
- 22 **Q.** Dean Khurana, what did you do prior to joining the
- 23 faculty at Harvard?
- A. I was on the faculty of the Massachusetts Institute of
- 25 Technology, MIT.

- Q. And you testified yesterday that you went to Harvard for graduate school; is that right?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And what degrees did you receive?
- A. I received a master's degree in sociology and a Ph.D. in organizational behavior.
- Q. Focusing in as your role of the dean of the college, what are your primary responsibilities in that role?
- A. I have three primary responsibilities: the academic curriculum; this residential -- second, residential and co-curricular activities for our students; and third,
- 12 academic and social discipline.
- Q. In addition to your role as dean at the college, do you
- 14 have any other roles?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. And what are they?
- A. I'm a faculty dean of one of Harvard's 12 residential
- 18 houses, Cabot House.
- 19 Q. What is the Cabot House?
- 20 A. Cabot House is one of the Harvard's 12 residential houses
- in which students are assigned for their sophomore, junior,
- 22 and senior years.
- 23 Q. And do all of Harvard College's students live in one of
- the residential houses?
- A. 98 percent of our students live in one of our residential

- 1 houses or in the first-year residential experience.
  - Q. And Dean Khurana, why is it that the majority, the overwhelming majority of Harvard College students live on campus?
  - A. The Harvard College philosophy is that education is not only what happens in the classroom but it's also what happens in the sort of whole-student undergraduate experience. And so students by living together, participating in co-curricular activities together really learn from each other, discuss the different subjects that they're learning with each other, current events, participate in joint interests. And that's part of our educational philosophy.
- Q. What responsibilities do you have as a faculty dean at the Cabot House?
  - A. Primarily three responsibilities: Our students' academic well-being, their social well-being, their ability to connect and interconnect across the class, and their personal well-being, primarily their physical and mental health.
    - Q. Dean Khurana, since you've become the dean of the college, have you set any goals for improving the Harvard College educational experience?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. At a high level, what goals have you set for the college?
- A. First, that Harvard College should continue to strive to set the standard for a residential liberal arts and sciences

- program; second, that we should create a strong culture of inclusion and belonging so that our students can fully flourish and thrive and contribute; and third, to strengthen our commitment to educating citizens and citizen leaders for our society.
  - Q. You mentioned creating a sense of inclusion on the campus. Why is it important to achieving the educational goals at Harvard to foster that sense of inclusivity?
  - A. As an educator, you know that in order for people to really reach their full potential, they have to have a sense that they'll be heard; that their points of view are respected; that others are listening effectively to them so that they bring forth their ideas, their perspectives, debate, discuss different points of view, and learn from each other.
  - Q. And let's talk a little bit about Harvard, how Harvard College fits into the university as a whole. Did you prepare a demonstrative to illustrate the various schools at the university?
- 20 **A.** Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

- Q. If we could pull up DDX2.
- Dean Khurana, what does this show?
- A. These are the different schools that constitute the overall university.
  - Q. And looking at that demonstrative, how does Harvard

- 1 College fit into the university as a whole?
- 2 A. Well, we're made up of many schools, so professional
- 3 schools, graduate schools. And one of the schools that we're
- 4 | made up of is the faculty of arts and sciences. And Harvard
- 5 College is one of the divisions of the Harvard faculty of
- 6 arts and sciences.
- 7 Q. Who's responsible for overseeing each of the schools that
- 8 make up Harvard University?
- 9 **A.** A dean.
- 10 Q. Now, do you recall Mr. Mortara asking you questions
- 11 yesterday about the number of people on the Ryan committee?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Which of these schools was the focus of the Ryan
- 14 committee?
- 15 A. I believe that the university was the overall focus, not
- any individual school, but I don't have any details on that.
- 17 Q. And which of these schools was the focus of the RNA
- 18 committee?
- 19 A. Harvard College.
- 20 Q. Now, as the dean of the college, to whom do you report?
- 21 A. I report to the dean of the faculty of arts and sciences.
- 22 O. And who is that?
- 23 A. Dean Claudine Gay.
- 24 Q. And prior to when Dean Claudine Gay became the dean of
- 25 the faculty of arts and sciences, who did you report to?

- 1 A. Dean Michael Smith.
- Q. And as the dean of the college, are you involved in the day-to-day work of the admissions office?
- 4 **A.** No.
- Q. Are you familiar with what the admissions office does at a high level?
- 7 A. I am at a high level.
- Q. Now, Dean Khurana, yesterday in your testimony you referenced Harvard College's mission statement. Do you
- 10 recall that?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- Q. When did Harvard College adopt its currents mission
- 13 statement?
- A. The college has had a longstanding mission. But in its kind of current colloquial terms, around 2014.
- 16 Q. Can you turn to Tab 2 in your binder?
- THE COURT: For identification do you have a number on this demonstrative?
- MS. CONLEY: Oh, yes. Sorry, Your Honor. I
- 20 believe it's DD 2.3.
- 21 BY MS. CONLEY:
- Q. At Tab 2 you'll see Defendant's Exhibit 109. Do you
- recognize this document, Dean Khurana?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 **Q.** What is it?

- A. It's the mission of Harvard College.
- Q. Does the document accurately reflect the mission of Harvard College?
  - A. Yes, it does.

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CONLEY: Your Honor, I'd like to offer Defendant's Exhibit 109 into evidence.

MR. MORTARA: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's admitted.

(Defendant Exhibit No. 109 admitted.)

- 10 BY MS. CONLEY:
- 11 Q. And what is the mission of Harvard College?
- A. The mission of Harvard College has been for almost four
  centuries now to educate the citizens and citizen leaders for
  our society through the transformative power of a liberal
  arts and sciences education.
- Q. And in your own words, what does that mean?
  - A. It consists of three components, what we call the intellectual transformation, which is new ways of knowing, new ways of understanding, all toward helping our students develop an open mind and independent mind.

Second, we embed that experience in a very diverse living and learning experience where students study alongside students who are different from them, who come from different walks of life, and have different identities, which we believe not only deepens the intellectual transformation but

sets the conditions for social transformation, what their understanding of being in a community means, where they learn to see behind each other's eyes, to hear from another perspective.

And then through those experiences, we hope our students are on a journey of answering three questions for themselves: Who am I and who do I want to be? How do I relate to others? And what can I learn from others? What are my gifts and talents, and how can I best use them to serve the world? So a personal transformation.

- Q. And, Dean Khurana, how does Harvard College ultimately carry out that mission?
- A. Through exposing our students to a diversity of subjects and fields that allow them to understand how they fit into the world in the role of history, the different perspectives, through a diversity of exposure to different types of students and backgrounds where students learn from each other who are studying different things, and then basically a diversity of personal experiences.
- Q. Now, does the diversity of the student body play a role in allowing Harvard College to achieve its educational mission?
- A. Yes.

- 24 Q. And how so?
- 25 A. Well, the essence of education is an exposure to

diversity of perspectives and points of view where you decenter yourself, where you start seeing --

There's like an old parable in my family about seeing the elephant. What you do is you learn to step back, and you learn that you don't have a full picture that other people can see different parts, that the same thing can look different from somebody's background, their experience, their understanding of a text.

And that -- all of that comes together to sort of help people have perspective-taking and also that little bit of humility that they don't have kind of a monopoly on the truth.

- Q. Dean Khurana, what times of diversity are important for Harvard fulfilling its educational mission?
- A. Multiple forms of diversity. Diversity in academic interests, diversity in backgrounds, diversity in racial perspectives, diversity in belief systems, political points of view, geography, diversity of parental occupations.

All of those things come together, just really kind of the diversity of the human experience.

- Q. And I want to focus in on racial diversity for a minute. Why is it that racial diversity, in particular, is important to allowing Harvard College to achieve its educational mission?
- A. Racial diversity, like many of our other sort of

identities, can shape our experience of ourselves. They connect to our traditions, our cultures. They also shape how others experience us. And as part of a kind of, you know, complexity of human identity, these are critical aspects because they shape our understanding and perspective on the world.

- **Q.** And have your personal experiences informed your views on the benefits of diversity?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And how so?
- A. Well, as a teacher and educator, I have a diverse classroom. I can give you a concrete example.

For example, in teaching a case study on a company making a decision about whether it should outsource some of its work or factory to a lower-cost area, a student might advocate, well, we should do that because we don't have a union in that other place and the cost will be lower.

And in that context of explanation, I'll have another student saying, well, both my parents were in unions, and unions helped elevate our family's wages, they gave us access to a better life, and I wouldn't be at Harvard if it wasn't for a union.

And at that moment as an educator, you can see all sorts of perspectives being changed. The students who were listening, the student who made the original comment, the

student now who had made the second comment also appreciating the other student's perspective. And that's the kind of perspective-taking having that diversity allows.

Q. You talked a little bit about your personal experience with the benefits of diversity inside of the classroom.

During your time as dean, have you personally seen the benefits of diversity outside of the classroom at Harvard College?

A. Yes.

- Q. And how so?
  - A. One of the great aspects of my job and life is as faculty dean at Cabot House. I live with the students. So we live with about 350 students. And in that context, each of our houses have their own dining hall and own libraries.

You see students coming together of different backgrounds and experiences who have otherwise not interacted with each other. You see them talking to each other about their classroom experiences, about current events at the dining hall. When something happens in our community that's difficult, we come together and share in the grief. When something amazing happens to one of our students, we share in that joy.

And I watch our students form friendships that not only just last at Harvard but last a lifetime. I watch them learn to see from each other's perspective. I watch them

- fall in love and later on become life partners. It's really a gift.
- Q. Dean Khurana, did Harvard College's interest in assembling a diverse student body start when you became the dean?
- 6 **A.** No.
- Q. And how long did the college's interest in diversity begin?
- A. You know, it's always been something from since I joined
  Harvard that I've understood as part of its perspective.
- Again, diversity, perspectives, and points of view. And the student body has been -- at least in my recent years has
- always been of concern.
- Q. And in the time that you've been dean of the college, has
  the college ever conducted any formal assessments or studies
- about the importance of diversity to achieving its
- 17 educational mission?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And have you prepared a demonstrative to illustrate those assessments?
- 21 A. Yes, we have.
- Q. Let's turn to DD 2.8. Now, looking at DD 2.8, Dean
- 23 Khurana, when was the first formal assessment during your
- time as the dean of the college when you looked at the
- 25 importance of diversity?

- A. In the late spring of 2014.
- Q. And was that the committee that you briefly touched on with Mr. Mortara yesterday, the Walton committee?
  - A. Yes. That was done in consultation with the interim dean. But it was the Walton committee to look at college diversity inclusion.
  - Q. Sorry. I referred to it as the Walton committee. It's the college group on diversity and inclusion?
- 9 **A.** Yes.

institution.

1

7

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What was the catalyst for that particular working group?
- A. Well, there had been a lot of just general discussion
  about belonging and inclusion. But the specific catalyst was
  in a student kind of performance, a student film and writings
  on something called "I Too Am Harvard" in which students who
  have been from historical minority groups talked about how
  their presence at Harvard still often felt suspect to others,
  and they didn't feel a full sense of inclusion at the
  - Q. In addition to the "I Too Am Harvard" movement you just described, were there any other incidents on campus that sparked the formation of this particular committee?
  - A. Yes. As Harvard had made a commitment toward financial inclusion, students from different socioeconomic backgrounds, students from different, say, minority, political perspectives, students from historical sexual minorities, all

- 1 talked about ways that we could strengthen the sense of
- inclusion and belonging. We wanted to take a very
- 3 comprehensive look about how to do that in order to achieve
- 4 our educational objectives.
- 5 Q. Who led the college working group on diversity and
- 6 inclusion?
- 7 A. That would be Professor Jonathan Walton from Harvard
- 8 Divinity School and who also was the Christian Plummer
- 9 professor of Christian morals, the head of Memorial Church at
- 10 the university.
- 11 Q. And is that why it's sometimes referred to as the Walton
- 12 committee?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. Did the Walton committee issue a report?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. Stepping back, what was your personal role on the Walton
- 17 committee?
- 18 A. Working with the interim dean, wrote the charge and the
- 19 remit for the committee and then provided feedback on drafts
- 20 of the committee's report.
- 21 Q. If you could, turn to defendant's Exhibit 13, which is
- 22 Tab 3 in your binder, Dean Khurana. What is this document?
- 23 A. This document is the report of the college working group
- 24 on diversity and inclusion.
- 25 **Q.** And when was the report issued?

- A. In the late fall of 2015.
- 2 Q. And did you have a role in preparing the report?
- 3 A. Yes.

- 4 MS. CONLEY: Your Honor, I'd like to offer
- 5 Defendant's Exhibit 13 into evidence.
- 6 MR. MORTARA: No objection, Your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: It's admitted.
  - (Defendant Exhibit No. 13 admitted.)
- 9 BY MS. CONLEY:
- 10 Q. And if you could, turn to pages 13 and 14. Sorry. I
- believe it's actually pages 4 and 5 of this document, Dean
- 12 Khurana.
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. Who are the individuals who appear on those pages?
- 15 A. These are faculty, students, and staff who were on the
- 16 committee.
- 17 Q. And who are they?
- 18 A. Well, they're really a remarkable group of individuals.
- 19 These are individuals who had a strong interest in
- 20 strengthening, belonging inclusion in our classroom
- 21 experiences and our residential experiences and through our
- 22 student co-curricular activities.
- 23 Q. And if you turn to page 6 of the report, you'll see a
- 24 section entitled "The Mission of Harvard College." Do you
- 25 see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And looking at the second paragraph in the second sentence there, it states that "Harvard fosters the ability to see the world through the eyes of others."
- A. Yes.
  - Q. What did the committee mean by that?
  - A. At the core of a liberal arts and sciences education is the ability to see the world from somebody else's perspective; to develop a narrative imagination of what it's like to be someone else; to deepen the reservoirs of empathy so that one can departochialize their centeredness in the world; and realize that they exist in context of a history and context of others.
  - Q. Let's turn to page 7. Look at the next page there.

Now, yesterday Mr. Mortara asked you questions about this particular section of the report and particularly examples of periods in Harvard's history where it operated contrary to its current mission of diversity and inclusion.

Do you recall those questions?

- A. Yes.
- **Q.** Why did the Walton committee reference those historical periods in this particular report?
- A. If you don't know the mistakes you've made, if you don't contextualize it, you're likely to make those mistakes again, and so you have to constantly be aware of your own history.

- Q. Now let's turn to page 9 and look at the section entitled
  "The Charge of the Working Group on Diversity and Inclusion."

  Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. What was the Walton committee's charge?
- A. To assess Harvard College's learning environment in order to ensure that all students benefit equally from its liberal arts and sciences mission and experience.
- 9 Q. And after the Walton committee completed its work, did it provide recommendations?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Let's turn to page 30. Looking at that second full paragraph, what are the categories of recommendations that the Walton committee offered?
- 15 **A.** We focused on both the short-term and long-term recommendations.
  - Q. And with respect to the short-term recommendations proposed by the Walton committee, can you provide an example of what one or two of those short-term recommendations were?
    - A. Yes. There were several. But just to take one concrete example, as we increased the socioeconomic diversity of our student body, we became aware of certain practices that could create a sense of just alienation or otherness.
- Specifically, we provided students free tickets to student social events. But there was actually a separate

line for students who were on financial aid to get those tickets. I don't know why that ever existed, but it shouldn't have been that way, and we very quickly corrected that, that everybody could be just in one line and you just pick up your ticket.

We also became aware that, you know, again, given Harvard's commitment to socioeconomic diversity, certain practices like closing the dining halls during spring break didn't work for everyone. Some people couldn't go away for spring break, and we wanted to make sure that meals were provided and activities during that time period. So those were the kinds of things we considered.

- Q. And if we turn to page 35 of the report, looking at the recommendations for long-term interventions, did the college adopt any of the long-term recommendations that were proposed by the Walton committee?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And can you give an example of one of those?
  - A. Some of the long-term recommendations we said is that we need to consistently also be looking at our academic curriculum, making sure that continually it's updated, that it reflects the interests, but also the contemporary literature in a lot of areas. And so those were some of the
- Q. And let's turn to page 38 of the report. What was the

types of things that we began to consider.

- conclusion that was ultimately reached by the Walton committee?
- A. The conclusion that we reached was that while we had made much progress as an institution in increasing the diversity of our student body, we hadn't yet created an environment where we were able to ensure that we were able to realize its full benefits.
- Q. Now, separate and apart from the Walton committee, were you involved in any other studies or formal assessments of the importance of student body diversity to Harvard College?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And if we pull up DD 2.9. What other committee were you involved in?
- A. The committee to study the importance of student body diversity.
- 16 Q. And you were the chair of that committee?
- 17 **A.** I was.
- 18 Q. So it's referred to as the Khurana committee?
- 19 A. Okay. That's fine.
- 20 Q. When was that committee formed?
- 21 **A.** That committee was formed in the early spring semester of 2015.
- Q. And, Dean Khurana, why was the Khurana committee formed?
- A. During the work that we had been doing at the college, I stayed in constant communication with Dean Smith and

- 1 President Faust and continued to talk about the opportunities
- we had to strengthen our commitment to belonging and
- inclusion. And they asked me to chair this committee.
- 4 Q. Okay. And I believe that Mr. Mortara referred you to
- 5 this document in his examination of you, but it's at Tab 4 of
- 6 your binder, Plaintiff's Exhibit 302.
- 7  $\mathbf{A}$ . I have it.
- 8 Q. And that's the report of the Khurana committee; is that
- 9 right?
- 10 A. Yes, I believe it is.
- 11 Q. Let's take a look at the last page of that report,
- 12 page 22.
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. Who are the individuals listed at the bottom of the page?
- 15 A. These are faculty at Harvard University.
- 16 Q. And are those faculty the members of the Khurana
- 17 | committee?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Let's take a look at page 1 of the report. So looking at
- 20 the first paragraph there under the introduction, what was
- 21 the ultimate goal of this committee?
- 22 A. The goal of this committee was to underscore the
- importance that student body diversity plays in the
- 24 achievement of our educational mission.
- 25 Q. Let's turn to page 4. If you look at the first indented

paragraph there, there's a quote from former President Rudenstine regarding creating a community that includes "a collision of views."

What is that referring to?

- Again, at the heart of an educational experience is that different perspectives and points of view and ideas competing with each other, in debate with each other, arguing with each other respectfully is how we get to a closer approximation of a true understanding of the world.
- And, Dean Khurana, did your committee make any findings 10 regarding the benefits of diversity to the educational 11 curriculum at Harvard College? 12
- 13 Α. Yes.

1

2

5

7

18

21

22

- Let's take a look at page 7. Now, there's a section 14 there on the general education curriculum at the college. 15 What is the general education curriculum? 16
- The general education curriculum is a set of core courses 17 that we ask all our Harvard undergraduates to take. Its aim 19 is to prepare our students to be responsible citizens and citizen leaders in our society. 20
  - Q. And looking at the last paragraph there, what did your committee find regarding the benefits of diversity to the general education curriculum at the college?
- Well, what we found is that, you know, since we're 24 preparing our students for a diverse society and a diverse 25

and interconnected world, that our general education curriculum had to continually reflect that type of diversity and those different perspectives.

And so part of what we were suggesting is that our courses really step back and take advantage and reflect on how we're doing that in our current curriculum. And this subsequently led to revisions in the gen ed cores.

- Q. If we take a look at page 8, the next page, there's also a section on the broader curriculum. What is the broader curriculum at Harvard College?
- A. In addition to the required courses that we have, such as in the gen ed, our students also have majors or what we call concentrations and the elective courses that they take.
- Q. What were the committee's findings regarding the impact of diversity to the broader curriculum at the college?
  - A. That diversity was key to kind of renewing and ensuring that our broader curriculum reflected the best knowledge of the date.
- Q. So still on page 8, did the committee relay an example of how diversity has made an impact on the broader curriculum?
- 21 **A.** Yes.

1

2

5

7

10

16

17

18

22

25

- Q. Can you explain what that example was?
- A. So in this example, a professor made a comment in a class that upset one of the African-American students in the class.

And then that student sort of made certain

assumptions about the nature of that information, why it was presented, and was upset.

But then another student who is also

African-American actually agreed with the perspective that
the professor had put forward, then causing the first student
to kind of rethink their own point of view.

And then there's a third student who also had another point of view who also happened to have been of African-American descent.

Just something like that demonstrates that stereotypes are broken; that you can't assume that you know somebody just because of some shared group identity of how they think; and that learning is not only for the students within that, but it's the learnings for all students who are benefiting from those perspectives and realizing that our differences don't necessarily define us. And neither do our similarities tell you everything about us.

- Q. Now, Dean Khurana, let's turn to page 11 of the report.
- 19 There's a section there labeled "Residences and
- 20 Extracurricular Activities."
- **A.** Yes.

- Q. Did the committee make findings regarding the importance of diversity outside of the classroom?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. Looking at the section, if you scroll down a bit, labeled

"Freshman Rooming and the Harvard House System."

A. Yes.

- Q. How does Harvard's housing system with respect to freshman rooming and the overall housing system allow students to benefit from diversity outside of the classroom?
- A. The entire college experience is curated around creating encounters with people different from you and different backgrounds and experiences.

In the case of freshmen, we don't let our students decide their own roommate. Rather, a student sends in a description about themselves. We also have family send in family letters. They're hand-by-hand, you know, resident deans and the proctors begin to create a microcosm of the college not only in the rooming situation but also the surrounding rooms so the students can benefit from the diversity of different backgrounds and experiences that are at the college.

- Q. Let's turn to page -- the next page, page 14. There's a section that's labeled "Extracurricular Activities." Do you see that?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. Did the committee make any specific findings regarding
  the importance of diversity in extracurricular activities at
  Harvard?
- **A.** Yes.

- **Q.** And could you provide just one example of how extracurricular activities allow students to benefit from diversity outside of the classroom?
- A. So our students are very energetic. They belong to a lot of student organizations, so we have many, many student clubs across a variety of different interests.

In the case or the example here is a group called Kuumba which is a longstanding student group that celebrates the culture of black spiritual music. And it's open to every student. And when you go to a Kuumba performance — and you will see many of them at Harvard — you'll see students from all different backgrounds and experiences singing from different religious backgrounds — racial, geographic, ethnic — all working together and honoring a culture that for many people is important to them but also a culture that students may not have been familiar with and yet respecting and honoring that culture.

And I could give countless examples of this that happen at the college.

- Q. Dean Khurana, let's move one more page forward to the athletics section of the report.
- A. Yes.

- Q. Did the committee make any specific findings regarding diversity for athletics at college?
- **A.** Yes.

**Q.** How do athletics allow students to benefit from diversity outside of the classroom?

A. Being a student athlete is another form of background and diversity and interest. We saw three. One is that athletics created a strong sense of community identity for the college.

Just in a couple of weeks, for example, we're going to have the Harvard-Yale football game in which tens of thousands of alumni and students are coming together to celebrate and reacquaint themselves with the institution.

Athletes also, you have a diverse backgrounds and experiences on our athletic teams, and their students learn to work together toward a common goal.

Our athletes also benefit all of our students because they have to both balance a rigorous training schedule but also their academic work.

But many of our students have -- all of us as humans face challenges, but I would say that for our age group, many of our students haven't yet perhaps confronted all of the things that life sometimes brings you. And having athletes who have been injured, lost games, and have to be resilient to recover from that also teaches all of our students about the importance of that capacity and that capability of being resilient.

Q. Now, Dean Khurana, does Harvard have any offices that are devoted to issues of diversity?

A. Yes.

- Q. And what are those offices?
- A. This is part of the work that all of us do, but we do
  have within the college an office of equity, diversity, and
  inclusion in which we work together. We have The Harvard
  Foundation all to strengthen a common culture around equity,
  diversity, and inclusion.
  - Q. Now, let's turn to pages 21 and 22, looking at the "Conclusion" section of the report.

What conclusion did the Khurana committee ultimately reach regarding the benefits of student body diversity?

- A. That student body diversity in all of its dimensions, including racial diversity, is essential. It's the oxygen by which our institution progresses. It's how our research progresses. It's how our teaching progresses, and it's how ultimately we are able to do our part to contribute to society.
- Q. And what happened after of the committee finalized its report?
- A. This report was brought to the faculty council, which is an elected body within the faculty of arts and sciences.
- Q. Did the faculty council vote on whether to endorse the committee's report?
- **A.** Yes. The faculty council voted to endorse the

- committee's report and then it was brought to the full
  committee to vote as well. I believe that both votes were
  unanimous.
  - Q. Dean Khurana, in the two and a half years since this report was endorsed by the full faculty, has Harvard College achieved its goals for creating a diverse student body?
- 7 A. Not yet.

- Q. How so or why not?
- 9 **A.** I feel we have more work to do. Given our society, I 10 know talent is everywhere, but our opportunities are not.
- And we want that talent to feel like it has a place at Harvard.
- Q. And is Harvard continuing to work toward creating a more diverse and inclusive educational environment?
- A. Yes. We are engaged in multiple dialogues about this.
- We continue to invite students from different backgrounds and
  experiences, and we hope they'll consider Harvard as an
  option. When students are here, we're trying to create an
  environment in which they engage and encounter each other in
- a variety of different contexts. So yes.
- 21 Q. Switching topics for a minute, Dean Khurana.
- Do you interface with the Office of Institutional
  Research in your role as dean of Harvard College?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And had you ever worked with OIR before you became dean

- of the college?
- 2 A. Not in any formal way.
- Q. Now, when you first became dean, did OIR provide you with
- 4 any of their work?
- 5 A. When I first became dean, there was a lot of material
- 6 that was left in my office from a variety of different
- 7 groups, and among that I think there was material from the
- 8 Office of Institutional Research.
- 9 Q. And if you could, turn to Tab 1 in your binder, which is
- 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 41. Let me know when you're there.
- 11 | A. Yes, I'm there.
- 12 Q. What is this document?
- 13 A. It's an email.
- 14 O. And who is it from?
- 15 A. Erica Bever.
- 16 Q. And who is it to?
- 17 A. Erin Driver-Linn.
- 18 Q. And, Dean Khurana, what's the subject of the email?
- 19 A. Agenda underscore for Khurana.
- 20 Q. And what's the date?
- 21 **A.** July 10, 2014.
- 22 Q. And when did you formally become dean of the college?
- 23 **A.** July 1, 2014.
- Q. So this email is about nine days after you formally
- 25 became the dean?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And in her email, Ms. Bever states that she's attaching
- 3 "a draft agenda for tomorrow's meeting with Dean Khurana."
  - Do you see that?
- 5 A. Yes, I see that sentence.
- Q. And do you recall meeting with OIR around this time in July of 2014?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And what was the subject matter of that meeting?
- 10 A. It was a very high-level meet-and-greet type of meeting.
- 11 Q. And at that meeting, did you discuss any of OIR's work
- 12 product?
- 13 **A.** No.
- 14 Q. Let's take a look at page 47 of P41. The presentation is
- entitled "Evaluating Factors That Play a Role in Harvard
- 16 College Admission."
- Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes, I see that sentence.
- Q. Could you turn to Slide 2 in that presentation, which is
- 20 page 48 of P41.
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** And what is the stated goal there?
- A. It says, "Using various admissions ratings, how well can
- we approximate admit rates by race/ethnicity and the
- demographic composition of the admitted students pool?"

- Q. And sticking on that slide, if you'd go to the bottom there, what do the notes say?
  - A. Bullet Point 1 says, "Students with no academic index are excluded from this analysis."

Bullet 2 says, "The following analysis is preliminary," that's underscored and bolded, "and for discussion."

- Q. Dean Khurana, on the same slide, what does the first bullet under "Strategy" say?
- A. "Fit a series of basic logistic regression models using data from classes of 2007 through 2016.
- 12 Q. Now, can you please turn to page 50 of P41.
- 13 A. I'm there.

3

4

5

6

- Q. Do you recall seeing a slide like this when you became the dean of the college?
- A. I can't say I saw this exact slide, but I do remember seeing a slide that looked like this.
- Q. Other than this slide or a slide that looked like this,
  do you recall reviewing any other analysis by OIR related to
  admissions when you became the dean?
- 21 **A.** No.
- Q. And, Dean Khurana, are you familiar with how to run a regression analysis?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And what's that familiarity based on?

A. As part of my doctoral training, you learn regression analysis. And I've used that in my own research and also in reviewing articles for journals.

- Q. And, Dean Khurana, what was your reaction when you saw this particular slide?
- A. I didn't really have a reaction. I had an impression, which is I found this kind of incomplete and a little bit puzzling approach to understanding how you got admitted to Harvard.
- Q. And what, if anything, did these models suggest to you regarding Harvard's admissions process?
  - A. Well, if I put myself back in that time, even knowing what I knew then, I know that Harvard's admissions process actually looks, you know, at a role of, like, recommendation letters; it looks at whether students have competed in national science fair competitions; it looks at the personal essay; and that these are things that are very important in college admissions. And that was not reflected in these slides this slide. Sorry.
  - Q. What, if anything, did Model 1 show you?
  - A. Again just with lots of caveats of not knowing what was underneath this, the reading that you would give to this is academics, however it's defined and I don't know what goes into this other than if it's largely quantitative that Asians as a group do better under that category relative to

other groups.

- Q. And if you take a look at Model 4, does the fact that Model 4 is close to the actual composition of the class, which is reflected in the final bar there, impact your view of the models on this slide?
- A. A model fit is not always an indicator of a good model if it doesn't actually reflect the underlying processes. So you have to be very cautious when you read into that. I'd also want to know as you added this data how was it filled in.

  One way to get good model fit is if you know what the outcome --
- MR. MORTARA: Your Honor, I object. This goes to the trial motion in limine. He's not testifying as to his percipient memory of the document. He's now giving expert testimony.
- MS. CONLEY: Your Honor, he's explaining what his reaction was when he saw the slide and the basis for that particular reaction.
- MR. MORTARA: That was not the question nor the answer, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: So let's move on to your next question. He answered it and went beyond. So I'll stop him there and you can ask your next question.
- Hold on one second. Sorry. Technical difficulties up here today.

## BY MS. CONLEY:

- Q. Dean Khurana, at the time when you looked at these particular models, what was your reaction when you looked at the difference between Model 4 and the actual class that's reflected in the fifth bar?
- A. Well, because the title of this is "Projected Admitted Student Pools," one of the things I'd want to know is how the data was filled for if you already knew who got in, which is you already knew the outcome and then that became part of your input, that's actually problematic from a scientific hypothesis testing perspective.

It would be as if, for example, if I already knew what the Powerball numbers are going to be for tonight and I put that into my model before that happened, I'm going to have a pretty high similarity outcome in that way. But that violates how you do hypothesis testing. So you can't know the outcome or the result of an experiment before you actually run the analysis.

MR. MORTARA: Your Honor, I object and move to strike. I understand the question was his percipient knowledge. The answer was not restricted to that at all. I move to strike.

THE COURT: I'm not going to strike it, but I'm going to take that as his reaction to it and not impute it to the expert testimony that's going to follow.

- 1 MS. CONLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 2 MR. MORTARA: Thank you.
- 3 BY MS. CONLEY:
- Q. Now, did you ultimately discuss this OIR chart with anyone?
- A. No.

- Q. Why didn't you discuss this chart with anyone?
- 8 A. There was nothing to discuss.
- 9 **Q.** And what do you mean by that?
- 10 A. It didn't reflect how Harvard College admissions process
- works. It's not the approach that I think you look at to
- 12 understand how students are admitted. You want to have a
- model that actually reflects the actual processes. It didn't
- have -- it had a very narrow set of variables. Didn't really
- 15 say anything to me.
- Q. Dean Khurana, did anything in this chart suggest to you
- that there was bias against Asian-American applicants in the
- admissions process at Harvard College?
- 19 **A.** No.
- 20 Q. And have you ever seen any information that suggests that
- 21 the admissions office discriminates against Asian-American
- 22 applicants in the admissions process?
- 23 A. I have not.
- Q. And I believe Mr. Mortara asked you a variation of this
- question earlier, but if you had seen any evidence of

discrimination against Asian-American applicants in the admissions process, what would you have done?

- **A.** I would have raised multiple alarms with multiple people without any hesitation.
- Q. Now, let's switch gears a little bit and talk about how Harvard College goes about assembling a diverse student body.

Just stepping back, does Harvard consider race in admissions in order to assemble a diverse student body?

- A. Race is one of many characteristics that are considered in assembling our student body. But again, it has to be understood in context of other aspects of a student.
- Q. And why does Harvard consider race, or why might Harvard consider race in an applicant's application when deciding whether to admit that applicant? What's the reason?
- A. Well, it's one of many characteristics, but often it depends on how the applicant themselves thinks about their racial identity or any other identity they might have and how it reflects on the kind of person they are, what they hope to contribute to the Harvard College community, and what the Harvard College community can benefit from their presence.
- Q. And is one of the purposes to create -- well, let me back up.

What would be one of the reasons that Harvard is considering race in the admissions process?

A. Well, we live in a diverse society, diverse along a

variety of different dimensions. Race is a characteristic that for some people shapes their sense of self and how others experience them.

Our students benefit from understanding people of all types, and that ultimately, I think, prepares our students not only -- like many other colleges and universities, not only to thrive in their educational experience but also to be well-prepared for serving as lawyers, as business leaders, as community leaders and public servants because they know how to thrive in that kind of environment and use it to its full potential.

- Q. And, Dean Khurana, have you ever studied the question as to whether Harvard College could achieve a racially diverse student body through race-neutral means?
- 15 **A.** Yes.

1

2

5

10

11

12

13

- 16 Q. And in what context did you consider that question?
- A. I was asked to serve on a committee to study race-neutral alternatives.
- Q. Let's take a look at DD 2.1. When did you serve on the RNA committee?
- 21 A. That was in the late spring of 2017.
- Q. And what specifically, in your own words, did the RNA committee study?
- A. Specifically we looked at a variety of alternatives to achieve student body diversity and examine that in the

- context of its impact on student body diversity and its impact on other institutional objectives.
- Q. And how is it that you came to serve on the RNA committee?
- 5 A. I was asked to.
- 6 Q. By whom?
- 7 A. Then Dean Michael Smith.
- Q. Ask you turn to Tab 5 in your binder, which is
- 9 Defendant's Exhibit 60.
- MS. CONLEY: Your Honor, should we pause?
- 11 THE COURT: No. Go ahead. I'm just relocating.
- MS. CONLEY: Okay.
- 13 BY MS. CONLEY:
- Q. Dean Khurana, are you at Tab 5, Defendant's Exhibit 60?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. What is this document?
- 17 **A.** This document looks like the letter of invitation to serve on this committee.
- MS. CONLEY: Your Honor, we move to admit
- Defendant's Exhibit 60 into evidence.
- MR. MORTARA: No objection, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Admitted.
- (Defendant Exhibit No. 60 admitted.)
- 24 BY MS. CONLEY:
- Q. Dean Khurana, does this document accurately reflect the

- charge of the race-neutral alternatives committee?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
  - Q. And what was of the charge of that committee?
- A. To evaluate --
- 5 THE COURT: Hold on one second. Okay. Sorry.
- 6 BY MS. CONLEY:

- Q. Dean Khurana, what was the charge of the RNA committee?
- A. To evaluate whether proposed race-neutral alternatives are available and workable for achieving the benefits that flow from our student body diversity.
- Q. And how did the RNA committee ultimately carry out that charge?
- 13 A. Well, we spent a lot of time doing a literature review.
- 14 There's a lot of readings that we prepared ourselves with,
- including benefiting from some of the suggestions from the
- expert witness reports like Professor Cavanaugh's --
- 17 Kahlenberg's ideas that helped inform our discussion and
- 18 dialogue.
- 19 Q. Slip of the tongue.
- 20 **A.** Sorry.
- 21 Q. And you mentioned the expert reports. What consideration
- 22 did the RNA committee give to the expert reports that were
- 23 submitted in this litigation?
- A. We looked at them in context of the literature. It
- 25 helped inform our dialogue, but we ultimately also had to

- apply our own judgment in considering all of the different recommendations.
- Q. How many times did the RNA committee meet?
- 4 A. I believe around seven.
- Q. Outside of the times that you formally met as a committee, did you do any work on these issues on your own?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And did the committee ultimately -- we took a look at the report that the committee issued regarding its findings. And so can you turn to that, which is at Tab P316? Sorry. It's Tab 6 in your binder.
- 12 A. Sorry.
- 13 Q. Sorry about that.
- 14 A. I'm there.
- Q. Could you please provide a high-level summary of the report?
- A. Essentially we looked at a number of alternatives to look
  at different ways that student body diversity could be
  achieved and studied the impact of that diversity with
  respect to our mission as well as with respect to other
  institutional goals.
- Q. And, Dean Khurana, did the committee do a serious
  evaluation of each of the race-neutral alternatives that it
  considered?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

**Q.** And in the process of discussing and considering those alternatives, were there any disagreements among members of the committee?

- A. Yes. There were debates and disagreements. They were civil, but we did have different perspectives.
- **Q.** Can you provide an example of one of those different perspectives?
- A. One that stands out for me personally is that I had a strong view that -- based on the literature that early admissions actually worked against students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds. It was a kind of part of the assertion in the literature, and I thought that it was true.

I didn't know at the time -- I had heard of it, but I didn't realize how seriously actually Harvard College admissions had actually taken that and, in fact, had removed early admissions, unlike many other schools. They did it unilaterally.

And it actually had the exact opposite effect, that it actually decreased the student body diversity rather than increasing the student body diversity. And that was something that was really both interesting when you do really do true analysis, but it was also heartening to know that this was something that the institution took very seriously.

Q. If you turn to page 8 of the report, looking at the first paragraph there, what did the committee conclude would happen

if Harvard College stopped considering race altogether?

- A. That it would have a significant impact in the quality of the Harvard College experience for our students; that specifically it would be a very narrowing educational experience for our students rather than expansive.
- Q. What conclusion did the committee reach regarding whether Harvard College should simply stop considering race?
- A. Again, we thought it really would come at the expense of our student's educational purpose. If you don't have different people from different perspectives, different backgrounds studying across all of your different fields, you are less likely to encounter those different perspectives and points of view.

And so you really want to make sure that you are constantly bringing a lot of diverse perspectives of all types. And you also want to be very conscious that if -- you're not creating a kind of what I would call a token or minor experience for anyone as well.

And so you really need to again constantly be rigorous and vigilant in making sure that you're bringing as much diversity of perspectives and points of view into the classroom.

Q. Did the committee point to a particular -- to a drop-off in particular racial or ethnic minorities as one of the reasons that it couldn't stop the use of the consideration of

race all together?

- A. Yes. In our simulations, we did.
  - Q. And which racial and ethnic minorities?
- A. So for example, on the page that we have, it would be a significant drop in people who self-identified as

  African-American or Hispanic or other.
  - Q. And how would such a drop-off in the enrollment of African-American and Hispanic students impact the diverse educational environment that you alluded to earlier?
  - A. It would be very narrowing for all of our students. We live in a society that's highly stratified among multiple dimensions. Part of our goal in our educational experience in order to advance our mission is to bring students in contact and encounter with people who are different from them.

And this would be a significant decline and a very negative experience in our classrooms, in our extracurricular experience, for our residential experience, all of which we very carefully curate to really allow for that encounter with difference and that respect building as we cross chasms of difference and learn to sort of find so much in common with each other.

Q. And let's turn to page 14 of the report.

Focusing there on the second paragraph, did the committee consider race-neutral alternatives that

- 1 Mr. Kahlenberg proposed?
- 2 A. Yes, we did.

- Q. And what did the committee find regarding how the race-neutral alternatives proposed by Mr. Kahlenberg would impact the academic quality of the admitted class?
- A. That it would decrease the academic quality of our overall class.
- Q. And why would the decrease in the academic or the drop in the academic quality of the class be unacceptable to Harvard College?
- A. That's part of our identity, that academic interest, the quality of academic curiosity, and commitment to academic quality is part of the identity of Harvard College and how we advance our mission.
- Q. And did the committee find that the academic quality of the admitted class would decline for each of the race-neutral alternatives that Mr. Kahlenberg proposed?
  - A. I believe that's what we did find.
- Q. Now, looking a little further down in the same paragraph,
  what would be the impact for extracurricular, personal, and
  athletic ratings of the admitted class under Mr. Kahlenberg's
  proposals?
- A. Again, I think we would see declines in a variety of these areas. And in the sort of academic quality, the extracurricular quality, the public-spirited orientation of

- our students, all the other aspects that are really important in composing our student body.
  - Q. Let's turn to page 18 of the report to the "Conclusion" section.

Dean Khurana, what was the committee's overall conclusion regarding whether viable race-neutral alternatives were available?

- A. We concluded that at present we couldn't identify a race-neutral alternative that met our overall institutional objectives and advance the mission at present.
- Q. Now, was it the view of the committee that race-neutral alternatives would never work at Harvard College?
- 13 A. It was not.

6

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And if you look at the final paragraph on page 19, what did the committee conclude regarding the consideration of race-neutral alternatives in the future?
  - A. That this is something that, again, our admissions process and looking at different approaches, including race-neutral alternatives, is something we should continue to do. And we made an explicit recommendation that this be reevaluated in five years' time.
- Q. Now, Dean Khurana, if Harvard College had to stop considering race today, what would be the consequence to the college?
- 25 A. It would significantly set us back as an institution.

Like other higher education institutions, the diversity of our student body, the diversity of their interactions with each other, the residential experience that we have curated would result — it would just decline. It would be a very narrowing effect on students. The differences of experiences they had from home versus being at a college would decrease. And they would just basically be less well-prepared to be effective citizens and leaders in our diverse and interconnected world.

MS. CONLEY: Thank you, Dean Khurana. No further questions.

THE COURT: Can I ask you a question? You talked about you want to avoid a token experience. How do you kind of measure what's enough?

THE WITNESS: I don't think there's like a quantitative number. What it is, is that when you have a lot of people who share different backgrounds and experiences and no one is more salient than others; that is, you have just a lot of ways that you have to understand each person as an individual, that you've had enough experiences where your notions of stereotypes are broken, I think that educational experience is what gets us do that point.

THE COURT: Okav.

MS. CONLEY: Thank you.

MR. MORTARA: Your Honor, would you like to take a

\_\_\_

```
short morning break or do you want me to get set up and go?
1
               THE COURT: Do you have more for him?
 2
 3
               MR. MORTARA:
                              T do.
               THE COURT:
                          It's up to you. I'm happy to take a
 4
     break or I'm happy to forge forward, whatever you want to do.
 5
               MR. MORTARA: Let's take a five-minute break.
 6
 7
     Ms. Hacker I think wants to talk to you about depositions.
               THE COURT: Why don't we take a break until 11:00,
 8
     then. And anyone who wants to talk about depositions, they
 9
     can do it over here.
10
11
                (The following was held at sidebar.)
                            The ones that we had not done are
               THE COURT:
12
     Pedrick, Walsh, Weaver and Lopez. Do you want to do some of
13
     those now or do them all at the same time at the end of
14
15
     lunch? What do you want to do?
                            Whatever is Your Honor's preference.
               MS. HACKER:
16
     We can wait and do them all at the end of lunch if that would
17
18
     be easiest.
19
               THE COURT:
                            I'm ready to go.
               MS. HACKER: Let do it.
20
               THE COURT: Starting at page 8 on Howrigan,
21
     overruled. Page 11, all overruled. Page 12, overruled.
22
                                                                Is
     this --
23
               MS. ELLSWORTH:
                                It's fixed now.
24
25
               THE COURT: Those are all overruled. Page 15,
```

they're all overruled. 1 2 Lopez. MS. ELLSWORTH: Lopez is another objection in full. THE COURT: That's all out as far as I'm concerned. 4 I don't see what that -- I'm happy to hear you on it, but --5 MS. HACKER: As Your Honor knows, SFFA has a racial 6 7 balancing claim in this case. Ms. Lopez is the sister cochair of an organization called the Association of Black Admissions and Financial Aid Officers of the Ivy League and Sister Schools. What they do is they get together twice a 10 11 year and have something called a round robin where all of these schools sit a table and read the demographics of each 12 13 minority of racial and ethnic group in their admitted pool so 14 far, and they share that information with each other. goes to the racial balance claim that SFFA has in this case. 15 THE COURT: I just don't see it. Unless you can 16 show that it influences what Harvard does. 17 18 MS. HACKER: It is circumstantial evidence that 19 Harvard -- Harvard wouldn't want these numbers and take these numbers if it didn't have some control over how it is 20 affecting its admitted class and the percentages of these 21 minorities in the admitted class. 22 23 THE COURT: Out. We have Walsh and Weaver. Let's start with Walsh. Page 8, both sustained. 24 25 Weaver, so on page 1 --

MS. ELLSWORTH: I might have a different version 1 Is that the second Weaver? Her deposition stopped and 2 restarted. I'm there. Sorry. THE COURT: That one sort of lost me. This is supposed to be the question, and then this is supposed to be 5 the answer? 6 MS. FASULO: Yes, Your Honor. I think the question is fine which is 8 THE COURT: what you're objecting to. The answer is nonresponsive, but I 9 quess they circle back to it. 10 MS. ELLSWORTH: They get it later. 11 THE COURT: I guess that I'll overrule that one. 12 13 Page 7, overruled. Page 8, they're all overruled. That's it. 14 MS. HACKER: We'll have two additional transcripts. 15 We'll probably get them to Your Honor tomorrow after we hear 16 from Harvard if there are any objections being withdrawn. 17 18 MS. ELLSWORTH: The two transcripts are 30(b)(6) 19 transcripts testimony from Dean Fitzsimmons and Ms. Driver-Linn both of which were here as you know. 20 we're going to take a look at them. We just got them last 21 night. We may be objecting to cumulative. 22 23 THE COURT: I'm going to extend the break for five minutes to give her a break. 24 (End of sidebar.) 25

(Off the record.) 1 THE COURT: Mr. Mortara, any time you're ready. 2 MR. MORTARA: I'm ready to proceed, Your Honor. Go ahead. THE COURT: 4 FURTHER EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. MORTARA: 6 Okay, Dean Khurana, only a few more questions, I think. 7 Turning back to Plaintiff's 316, the report of the 8 committee to study race-neutral alternatives. 9 Very simple question, sir. Who wrote the first 10 11 draft of this report? Could you just tell me what --12 Q. You have two binders in front you. One is the one I gave 13 14 you. One is the one your lawyers gave you. It's got a lot more in front of it. It's got the case caption at the top. 15 It looks like this. I'll grab it. 16 Okay. Thank you. 17 Α. This is Harvard's binder, sir. 18 Ο. 19 Α. I've got it. And the other one is the binder I gave you, and mine is 20 labeled by plaintiff's exhibit number, so you can look at 21 P316 in that one. You found the document. 22 23 And the question is who drafted the first draft of this report? 24 It was drafted about our attorneys. 25

- 1 **Q.** Who?
- 2 A. I don't know who specifically drafted it.
- 3 Q. Lawyers wrote the first draft of this report?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. Now let's talk about some of the things you were
- 6 discussing with my friend on the other side regarding
- 7 Plaintiff's Exhibit 41, Ms. Conley. Remember you were
- 8 discussing Plaintiff's Exhibit 41? That's in your Harvard
- 9 binder. It's behind Tab 1. Take a look at it for a second.
- 10 THE COURT: Which tab is it?
- 11 MR. MORTARA: Tab 1 in the Harvard binder, Your
- 12 Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 14 BY MR. MORTARA:
- 15 O. You talked about this email?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. And what was attached to it?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And you reviewed Plaintiff's Exhibit 41 in preparation
- 20 for your testimony today, right?
- 21 A. Only that exhibit that was pulled up earlier.
- 22 Q. Well, let's take a look at some other parts of it.
- 23 Please turn to page 59 of 66 as you're reading pages at the
- 24 bottom.
- 25 | A. I apologize, but I don't think I'm looking at the right

- 1 thing. If somebody could help me here.
- 2 MR. MORTARA: Could I approach the witness and
- 3 assist?
- 4 THE COURT: Yes. Of course.
- 5 BY MR. MORTARA:
- 6 Q. I'll try to be loud, Joan.
- 7 Dean Khurana, I think you and I have the same
- 8 binders from Harvard. This is the Harvard binder. Do you
- 9 see it? And behind Tab 1 is Plaintiff's Exhibit 41. If you
- would now turn to page 59 of 66. Let me know when you're
- 11 there, sir.
- 12 A. I'm there.
- 13 | Q. You've never seen this memorandum to Bill Fitzsimmons
- 14 from Erica Bever, Erin Driver-Linn, and Mark Hansen, have
- 15 you?
- 16 A. I've not seen this outside of this litigation.
- 17 Q. Turn to the last page, sir.
- 18 A. I'm there.
- 19 Q. In 2013, 2014, you had never seen this analysis from OIR,
- 20 had you?
- 21 **A.** No.
- 22 Q. Down at the bottom you had never seen these statements:
- 23 While we find that low-income students clearly receive a tip
- in the admissions process, our descriptive analysis and
- 25 regression models also shows the tip for legacies and

- athletes is larger and that there are demographic groups that have negative effects."
- You never saw that, did you?
- 4 **A.** No.
- 5 Q. If you had, you would have done something, right?
- 6 **A.** No.
- Q. If you had seen this you would have done absolutely nothing?
- 9 A. I'm not sure what it's saying. I haven't studied this,
  10 but not as it currently reads.
- 11 Q. At your deposition you were shown some slides from OIR.
- 12 Do you remember that?
- 13 A. You'd have to point me to something specific, please.
- Q. Take a look at the other binder. Please turn to
- 15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 632.
- 16 A. I'm there.
- 17 Q. You were shown this document at your deposition, correct?
- 18 A. I might have seen a document that looked like this, but I
- can't tell you if it's this exact document.
- Q. Please turn to Slide 38. I've got it on the screen, sir.
- 21 I'm only going to ask you one question about it.
- 22 A. I'm there.
- 23 Q. Do you see at the top it talks about research questions
- and next steps? Number 3, it says, "Is there bias against
- 25 Asians in college admissions?"

1 Do you see that?

- A. I see at that sentence under part 1. There's a Number 3 and that's where that sentence is.
- Q. You don't remember reviewing any presentation containing tables or other similar information that was addressing whether there was bias against Asians in college admissions, do you?
- A. No.
- 9 **Q.** And you don't remember discussing such tables or that analysis with anybody, do you?
- 11 **A.** No.
- Q. And you never discussed any of your concerns that you
- expressed to Ms. Conley that you do remember with anyone at
- 14 OIR, did you?
- 15 **A.** No.
- Q. You assume that the people who do institutional research
- for the college and university have a background in
- 18 statistical modeling, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, I hope.
- Q. And your background in statistical modeling came from
- 21 when you did your doctoral work, right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. You received your Ph.D. in 1998, correct?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. Would you assume that the people doing institutional

- research at the Office of Institutional Research at Harvard
  maybe were a little bit more up to date on their knowledge of
  statistical modeling?
- A. I can't answer that question for certain.
- Q. Well, you assume they're competent in their jobs,
- 6 correct?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you personally took no steps to find out why these
- 9 OIR analyses you testified about were prepared, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And despite the criticisms that you discussed with
- 12 Ms. Conley, you did not take any steps to determine what a
- proper analysis would reveal with respect to this
- 14 information, did you?
- 15 A. T did not.
- MR. MORTARA: No more questions, Your Honor.
- 17 FURTHER EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MS. CONLEY:
- Q. Dean Khurana, just really quickly. Mr. Mortara pointed
- you to Plaintiff's Exhibit 632.
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. Other than at your deposition, do you recall ever seeing
- 23 this document?
- 24 **A.** No.
- 25 Q. And other than the slide that we talked about in P41, the

- bar graph with the Models 1 through 4 --
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. -- did you see any other presentation or slide prepared
- 4 by OIR?
- 5 A. I don't believe so.
- Q. So the slides that Mr. Mortara just directed you to in
  Plaintiff's Exhibit 632, had you ever seen those slides
  outside of the context of this particular litigation?
- 9 **A.** No.
- MS. CONLEY: No further questions.
- 11 THE COURT: You're excused, sir. Thank you.
- MR. McBRIDE: Your Honor, SFFA next calls Dean
- 13 Michael Smith.
- MR. LEE: Your Honor, Mr. Waxman is going to represent us on Dean Smith, and I'm going to walk out with Dean Khurana, if that's okay.
- THE COURT: I'm happy to wait until you get back,

  Mr. Lee, if you want.
- MR. WAXMAN: Your Honor, my optimistic prediction
  was wrong, and we have no objection to proceeding in
- 21 Mr. Lee's absence.
- THE COURT: I also don't have any objection to waiting. It looks like they're still setting up.
- MR. McBRIDE: Your Honor, if I could use this
  opportunity, I'll pass out some binders. May I approach the

```
witness?
 1
                THE COURT: Absolutely fine.
 2
 3
                MR. McBRIDE:
                              I also have copies for the Court.
                THE COURT: We'll start at 11:15.
 4
                MR. McBRIDE: I'll just cool my jets.
 5
                MR. WAXMAN: And I'll stretch my back.
 6
                THE COURT: That's exactly what I'm doing. Nobody
 7
     wanted to start without you, Mr. Lee.
 8
 9
                MR. WAXMAN: I think for the record, I did.
               MR. LEE: Don't let that happen.
10
                THE COURT: We'd given you a limit of 11:15.
11
                THE CLERK: If you could please stand and raise
12
     your right hand.
13
                (MICHAEL SMITH duly sworn by the Deputy Clerk.)
14
                THE CLERK: Could you please state your name and
15
     spell your last name for the record.
16
                THE WITNESS: Michael David Smith, S-M-I-T-H.
17
               MR. McBRIDE: May I proceed, Your Honor?
18
                THE COURT: You may.
19
                                EXAMINATION
20
     BY MR. McBRIDE:
21
          Good morning, Dean Smith.
22
     Ο.
     A. Good morning.
23
          What's your current position at Harvard?
24
     Q.
          I'm a member of the faculty at Harvard.
25
```

- Q. You're no longer the dean of the faculty of arts and sciences?
- **A.** Iam not.

- Q. For how long were you the dean of the faculty of arts and sciences?
  - A. For a little over 11 years.
  - Q. That was from when to when?

summer school in it.

- A. From July of 2007 to August of 2018.
- **Q.** And at a broad level, what were the responsibilities that you had as a dean of the faculty of arts and sciences?
  - A. So I had broad oversight of the faculty of arts and sciences, everything from its financial and organizational aspects to the educational program within the college, Harvard College, the Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, the School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, and what we call the division of -- the division of -- I forget the name

of it -- anyway. It includes the extension school and the

There's a number of libraries that report up to the dean of the faculty. There's a big portion of the museum system reports up into that position. Athletics reports up into that position. Those sorts of things.

- Q. And the admissions office also reports to you directly?
- A. The director of admissions and financial aid reports directly to the dean of faculty and of arts and sciences.

- Q. And the dean of college, Dean Khurana, he also reported directly to you when you were in that position?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. In your position as dean, you understood that the
  admissions department says that race is one factor among many
  taken into account when they're considering a particular
  student?
- B**l A.** Ido.
- 9 Q. But you can't explain how it's actually taken into
  10 account as one factor by the admissions department, can you?
- 11 A. No. I don't know the details of the admissions process.
- 12 Q. I want to talk about your work on the race-neutral
- alternatives committee, if we could. You're familiar with
- 14 that committee obviously?
- 15 **A.** Tam.
- 16 Q. And who was on that committee?
- A. I was the chair of that committee. Dean Khurana was a member, and Dean Fitzsimmons was a member.
- Q. And that committee, that came out of a conversation
- 20 between you and the president of the university and your
- 21 counsel?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 O. And that was in June of 2017?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. About how many times did you meet before you issued your

- 1 ultimate report?
- 2 A. The committee met seven times.
- Q. And the report you issued, was that in April of 2014 was
- 4 | it?
- 5 **A.** I believe it's 2017.
- Q. 2017. My apologies. I'm going to put that up on the screen. This is Plaintiff's Exhibit 316 which is already in evidence.
- Is this the final report of your committee that you issued in 2017, you said?
- 11 A. Yes. Sorry. No. The final report was two-thousand --
- 12 **Q.** 2018.
- 13 A. -- eighteen. Sorry.
- Q. We'll all get our dates straight here. April of 2018.
- 15 A. I'll remember what year we're in.
- MR. WAXMAN: Stipulated.
- 17 A. Mine is a little blurry, but it looks like --
- 18 BY MR. MORTARA:
- 19 Q. If you need to, you can turn in your binder to
- 20 Plaintiff's Exhibit 316. It will have a clear copy. I'll
- also blow up anything particularly we look at so you can read
- 22 it on the screen as well.
- I want to turn to the second page and look at the
- 24 third paragraph here. You see in your report you say, "In
- 25 | 2014, Harvard convened a universitywide committee chaired by

James Ryan, dean of the graduate school of education. That committee was charged with examining the importance of student body diversity at the university and with evaluating whether the university could achieve the educational benefits of a diverse student body without considering the race or ethnicity of its applicants."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

- Q. And so the Ryan committee, as you understood it, that was not restricted to the college but was rather a universitywide committee to look at race-neutral alternatives as possibilities?
- 13 A. That's correct.
  - Q. And it continues to state, "That committee, the Ryan committee, paused its work when Students For Fair Admission filed a lawsuit against Harvard challenging Harvard College's consideration of race in undergraduate admissions."

Do you see that?

- **A.** T do.
- Q. That's your understanding of what happened is that after SFFA filed a suit against Harvard College, the committee covering the entire university paused its work in exploring race-neutral alternatives?
- 24 A. That's my understanding.
- 25 Q. And if you read on a bit further, "Recognizing that the

litigation would include an extensive discovery process in which experts would conduct in-depth empirical analyses of the college's admissions processes and proposed changes to it, Harvard decided to evaluate whether it could achieve the educational benefits of diversity without considering race in admissions in the college in a way that would be informed by the race-neutral alternatives proposed in the SFFA complaint and the analysis of those and other alternatives anticipated to be prepared by the parties' expert witnesses."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

- Q. And so the idea was that because there had been this lawsuit filed and race-neutral alternatives was going to be a part of that lawsuit generating expert analysis, you and the committee believed, and Harvard believed, that it could leverage the benefits of that data for purposes of its own evaluation of race-neutral alternatives. Is that right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And to be clear, that's something the Ryan committee could have done, too, right?
  - A. I can't speak to what the Ryan committee thought it should or should not do.
- Q. Not what they should have done but what they could have done.
  - You would agree that there was no barrier to the

- Ryan committee already formed to consider diversity on a universitywide basis to prevent them from considering exactly the same expert analysis that you mentioned would be of significance here; isn't that right?
  - A. As a piece, as I understand your question, as a piece of what they were trying to accomplish, college being one piece of a larger university, they certainly could have used the information that we ultimately used.

THE COURT: Can you speak up? Or pull the microphone closer. I'm having trouble hearing you.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

BY MR. McBRIDE:

- Q. And the Ryan committee in doing its work could have also generated a report on race-neutral alternatives as part of its universitywide mandate that discussed the possibility for race-neutral alternatives specific to the college informed by these expert analyses. Is that right?
- A. My understanding of the original charge of the Ryan committee was that they were going to produce that kind of information for the college.
- Q. And they could have generated that kind of information for the college using exactly the same empirical analyses that you mentioned here from this litigation, right?
- A. Certainly.
- Q. And so there was no barrier to the Ryan committee

- performing an analysis of race-neutral alternatives that
  would impact the college as a result of anything happening in
  this lawsuit, was there?
  - A. I'm not an expert in all aspects of the law and the reasons why or why not a particular lawsuit against one part of the university would cause us to take a particular tack. So I don't know how to answer the question.
- Q. So you don't have any reason to believe or -- you have no understanding that the Ryan committee would in some way have been unable to perform the task of identifying whether race-neutral alternatives could be used at the college by applying this empirical analysis, are you?
- A. I don't know. I only know why -- the reason given here why it paused its work.
  - Q. So I want to turn to page 3. And if we go to the bottom of page 3, talk about the process that you followed. In the paragraph on the screen that discusses the seven meetings that you held between August of 2017 and April of 2018, do you see that?
- **A.** Yes.

Q. And I don't want to read the whole paragraph, but just focus on the highlights.

"To inform our work, the committee reviewed social science and other literature on race-neutral means of pursuing diversity and collected information from several

offices of Harvard College including the office of admissions and financial aid."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

- Q. And so one of the first things that your committee did is it reviewed some background material in the field of race-neutral alternatives as well as information that had been collected by the office of admissions and financial aid?
- A. That's correct.
  - Q. And it goes on in the remaining highlights. It talks in between about the expert reports and the materials in the ongoing litigation.

And it says, "Specifically, the committee reviewed the reports submitted by SFFA's expert, Richard Kahlenberg, which claim that Harvard could achieve its diversity-related educational objectives without considering race, and reports submitted by Harvard's expert, Professor David Card, which illuminate the trade-offs associated with eliminating the consideration of race and adopting various race-neutral alternatives."

Do you see that?

- A. I do.
- Q. So you as part of your work and your committee's work, the three of you reviewed all of expert reports from both Harvard's expert as well as SFFA's expert in doing your work

```
with respect to exploring race-neutral alternatives; is that
1
     right?
 2
          Among of the other information that we talked about, yes.
 4
     Ο.
          In fact, if you could turn to Plaintiff's 312.
                            What tab?
 5
               MR. WAXMAN:
               MR. McBRIDE: Plaintiff's 312.
 6
 7
               MR. WAXMAN: Which tab is it?
               MR. McBRIDE: I don't have your binder.
 8
               May I approach, Your Honor?
 9
               THE COURT: Of course.
10
11
               MR. McBRIDE: Did you find them?
               MR. WAXMAN: Yes.
12
13
               MR. McBRIDE: I'll put Plaintiff's Exhibit 312 up
     on the screen. I'd like to offer 312 into evidence.
14
15
               MR. WAXMAN: No objection.
               THE COURT: Admitted.
16
                (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 312 admitted.)
17
     BY MR. McBRIDE:
18
          Dean Smith, Plaintiff's Exhibit 312, does that reflect a
19
     Q.
     memo being sent with respect to a scheduled meeting of the
20
     race-neutral alternatives committee?
21
     Α.
          It does.
22
23
     Q. And that's for March 23, 2018?
     A. The meeting was scheduled for March 23, yes.
24
```

Q. And what the goal of this meeting was, was to, in the

- first instance, have a discussion of Dr. Card's rebuttal 1 report; is that right? 2
- Α. Yes.
- 0. And not just in committee, but also outside of committee, did you and the other committee members spend time analyzing 5 and reviewing materials including Dr. Card's rebuttal report?
- We certainly did. 7 Α.
- And what these experts did, Mr. Kahlenberg and Dr. Card, Q. is they did simulations of how Harvard's admitted class would look if Harvard did not consider race in admissions; is that 10 right? 11
- Α. Yes. 12
- 13 And they did that looking at the consideration of -- I'm Q. 14 sorry -- simulations of the class without race together with changes of other types, like eliminating the preference for 15 legacies? 16
- Correct. 17 Α.

- 18 And they also added to that a strong boost to lower 19 socioeconomic status applicants as part of these simulations?
- As part of some of them, yes. 20 Α.
- And at the end of the day both, Dr. Card and 21
- Mr. Kahlenberg, they generated an output of what you could 23 expect the admitted classes to look like under these changes
- of no racial preferences, no legacy preferences, and a strong 24
- boost for lower socioeconomic status applicants, right? 25

- A. Among other items that they were considering, yes.
- Q. I want to go back to Plaintiff's Exhibit 316 and look on page 13. I want to look down at the third paragraph. It's under "Increased Weight For Socioeconomic Background."

And you see at the end of that first paragraph under the section "Increased Weight For Socioeconomic Background" you wrote, "The simulations show that Harvard could not both achieve its diversity interests and achieve other equally important educational objectives such as academic excellence."

Do you see that?

12 **A.** I do.

1

7

10

- Q. And when you talk about the simulations, you're talking about the simulations that were done by Dr. Card and
- 15 Mr. Kahlenberg, right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And if you turn to the next page, in the middle of the page there's a paragraph that talks about some of the results of these simulations and your opinions on it; is that correct?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And what you write here is, "For example, if Harvard afforded weight sufficient to produce a combined proportion of African-American, Hispanic, and other students comparable to that of current classes, the proportion of admitted

students with the highest academic ratings as assigned by admissions officers would be expected to drop from 76 percent to 66 percent."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

Q. And you go on to talk about other elements that would happen under these simulations. And then at the very end what you state is, "Although some of the proposed race-neutral practices reflected in those simulations could therefore achieve a significant degree of racial diversity, Harvard does not seek diversity to the exclusion of all its other objectives, nor does the committee understand that Harvard is required to do so. Academic excellence across the student body remains an institutional imperative."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
  - Q. And that was the conclusion of your committee about whether or not these simulations from Dr. Card and Mr. Kahlenberg represented a class that Harvard would consider acceptable going forward under a race-neutral regime; is that right?
    - A. It is correct that we -- the judgment of the committee after looking at all the simulations from both of the expert witnesses and discussions of the different race-neutral alternatives there, we felt that no race-neutral alternative

could substitute for our consideration of race as one consideration among many in our admissions process by looking at what happened to both the diversity in many different — not just racial diversity, but all the kinds of diversity that Harvard values and what we were trying to from an intellectual point of view and an intellectual excellence and broader excellence in many different categories that we were trying to achieve.

- Q. What you concluded was is that under the scenarios imagined by the simulations, you could achieve comparable diversity to current classes, which you characterized as a significant degree of racial diversity. Isn't that right?
- A. There were certainly simulations that produced a racial diversity.

We were also very concerned with what was happening in each one of those. It wasn't just total racial diversity, but we were also looking at what happened to specific racial groups throughout this. And then once we understood with some of these simulations if you, in this case, turn up the -- I believe we're in socioeconomic diversity -- you can get a significant amount of racial diversity, but it does affect other characteristics of the class, and there's a trade-off there.

Q. We'll talk about the specific diversity within each racial group here in a moment, but I want to make clear that

under the simulations that both your expert and SFFA's expert ran, your committee found those simulations generated potential admitted classes with diversity comparable to that of the current classes, which you characterized as a significant degree of racial diversity; is that right?

- A. I'm not certain exactly which simulation you're talking about. Certainly if you did some changes to the simulations, you could produce quite a bit of racial diversity in the simulated class.
- **Q.** And the cost of those was a cost you found unacceptable to implement the policies reflected in those simulations; is that right?
- A. There were aspects of those simulations that produced either characteristics in the class, sometimes in the racial characteristics, other times in other characteristics that we value at Harvard, and we thought it was not going to work for what we were trying to accomplish.
- Q. Well, the specific cost that you found unacceptable that you describe in that first sentence is that the proportion of admitted students with the highest academic ratings would be expected to drop from 76 to 66 percent. And this is true under each of the simulations that SFFA's expert in the litigation, Mr. Kahlenberg, embraces. Isn't that right?
- A. One of the things that the committee and certainly I was concerned about was a significant drop -- I consider this a

- significant drop -- in the highest academic ratings when we were looking at these classes.
  - Q. So one of the costs that you found unacceptable in the simulations that achieved comparable diversity was a decline in the highest academic ratings that were assigned by admissions officers from 76 percent to 66 percent?
  - A. I'd like to be a little careful. We're grouping a lot of simulations together here. The simulations had a number of different effects to you were focused on racial composition there for a moment. Sometimes the overall racial composition number was large. Sometimes it was only large but a particular racial category was excluded from that.

So I have a little hesitation in answering directly your question because we're talking about a lot of different simulations here.

- Q. Well, what you say in this report -- and we don't even have to tie it to the specific numbers --
- A. Okay.

- Q. in this paragraph is that the cost that your committee found unacceptable for purposes of looking at the outcome of these simulations, that cost was the drop in the highest ratings that were given to incoming applicants by the admissions office; isn't that right?
- A. Certainly what's it says here in this sentence.

The committee also discussed other effects that

came out of these simulations. I, for example, was interested in what happened to all of the ratings because we're looking for excellence across all of the different rating categories that we evaluate as part of our admissions process.

I was also interested in the other things that were reported in these simulations: What happened to the geographic diversity; what happened to the different interests of our incoming students and how they would choose among the different humanities, social sciences, sciences, engineering, taking place on our campus. So I was looking at all those different values.

- Q. To be clear, in this paragraph you don't talk about that element of diversity. You have talk about your specific concern with the drop in the ratings, both academic, extracurricular, personal, and athletic.
- A. That's certainly something that the committee -- yes, the committee certainly all felt that this was a significant drop, and that's why it's here in this report.
- Q. And I just want to be clear, that cost that you felt was unacceptable in terms of the decline in the dimension of excellence that Harvard considers essential, that was a decline in the ratings, top ratings assigned to applicants by the admissions office?
- A. Yes. This is the academic 1s and 2s rating that we're

- 1 talking about, if I understood your question.
- Q. Looking on the screen, some demonstratives that we got
  for you from Harvard that I anticipate Mr. Waxman will be
  using with you. I just want to go to the seventh slide here.

This demonstrative that your lawyers have prepared for you, this reflects the cost that you felt was unacceptable coming out of your expert's analysis of Mr. Kahlenberg's Simulation Number 6?

- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And this comes from the work of Dr. Card, as reflected in the rebuttal reports that you studied both in the committee as well as outside of the committee before writing your report?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So I want to go to Dr. Card's rebuttal report. And this is also in your binder as well. It should be. Do you see this is Dr. Card's rebuttal report from March 15, which is about eight days, I believe, before the committee meeting where you were going to study it?
- 20 A. I do see it, yes.
- Q. And if you go to page 96, do you see that there's an Exhibit 26 there?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And this was one of the charts that you studied as part of your committee's work where it reflects Dr. Card's

analysis of the outcomes from the different simulations?

A. Yes.

2

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

Q. I'll just highlight. I'm pulling out here at the top for you. So this is Dr. Card's exhibit on "predicted class without consideration of race and factors that allegedly advantage white applicants."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And when it says, "without consideration of race and factors that allegedly advantage white applicants", the "allegedly advantage white applicants" factors, that's primarily things like the legacy preference?
- A. It includes the legacy preference. I'm not sure I would say primarily. It takes out athletes, legacies, dean's and director's list, and children of faculty and staff.
  - Q. So this has all of those preferences associated with what we've called the ALDCs, but specifically the legacies, dean's and director's list, and the preferences to children of faculty and staff. That's what this includes?
- 20 **A.** By "includes" you mean the -- with ties to be factors.

  21 So they're taken out.
- Q. I apologize. We have a directionality problem. It includes the fact that they are taken out of the simulations?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. This now has the information in it specifically

referenced in that paragraph we're looking at, doesn't it, when it talks about the drop in the academic ratings?

I'll just point you down here. I did not create this red box. That was in the original report. But I'll highlight there. Do you see that, the 76 and 66 percent?

A. I do.

4

5

6

17

18

19

20

- Q. So this reflects here what you were referencing in your report is the unacceptable cost to academic excellence. That was Dr. Card's simulation showing the supposed drop in a 76 percent admitted class with an academic rating of 1 or 2 to 66 percent. Is that right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. I want to first look now at what the output of the simulations that your expert produced, what that output looks like in terms of class composition, okay?
- 16 A. Okay. Sorry.
  - Q. That's what you referenced in your report when you talked about these unacceptable costs going from 76 to 66. You were talking about what your own expert had identified as being the drop in the expected academic ratings, right?
  - A. For -- yes.
- Q. If we look at the top for Dr. Card's simulation, which is labeled four times SES boost, at the top you have what Dr. Card predicted for you would be the outcome under this race-neutral simulation of the racial diversity of the class.

Do you see that?

**A.** I do.

- Q. And this is the comparable diversity that your report was talking about, right?
  - A. By "comparable diversity" there, we were talking about the fact that the number of nonwhite students is roughly unchanged from the original class.
    - Q. And if we look specifically at what Dr. Card had determined, he determined that the white students under his simulation would drop by about 13 percent. Do you see that?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. And that's probably attributable to taking away the
  variety of legacy preferences that were associated and
  children of staff and also the dean's and director's list?
- A. I couldn't say for certain without looking in more detail at the simulation. But --
  - Q. And if we also look, we notice that the Asian-American population in your class would be expected under these race-neutral simulations that you were citing in the race-neutral alternatives report would be expected to go up by 26 percent; is that right?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. And in fact, if you look below that, the Hispanic applicant population would also be expected to go up by around 26 percent?

A. Yes.

- Q. And the one underrepresented minority group which I
  believe you had mentioned before that you understood was
  going to decline under these race-neutral policies was that
  the African-American population in your applicant pool would
  drop by around 30 percent, right?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. Now, if we do the math in terms of the composition of the student body, that would represent a drop from around

  10 | 14 percent of the class to around 10 percent of the class?
- 11 A. As I recall the numbers, yes.
  - Q. And so the comparable diversity that was achievable under your expert's race-neutral alternatives included an increase in Hispanic and Asian admitted populations by 26 percent each, a 13 percent decrease in the white admit population, and a reduction in the African-American admitted population from 14 percent to 10 percent; is that right?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. So moving down, I wanted to look at the objective
  academic qualifications of this simulated incoming class that
  your committee found unacceptable. You see here under
  academics where we have a variety of average objective test
  scores?
- **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And we don't have to go through them all. But is it

- 1 generally true that between SAT, ACT, GPA, and the average
- 2 academic index, this incoming simulated class would have a
- 3 reduction in those objective qualifications by 1 to
- 4 | 2 percent?
- 5 A. That's correct. We have a very strong applicant pool.
- 6 Many more perfect SAT scores, ACT scores, and GPAs than we
- 7 could possibly admit.
- 8 Q. Then if we go down to the very bottom here, we have a
- 9 fraction with profile rating of 1 or 2. Do you see that?
- 10 **A.** I do.
- 11 Q. And this is what you meant in your race-neutral
- alternatives report about the expected drop in those ratings?
- 13 A. Yes. That's what I was referring to. We're losing
- students here that we have identified as truly exceptional
- that we would love to have come to Harvard across these
- different profiles.
- 17 Q. And ignoring the athletic for the moment, but between the
- academic, extracurricular, and personal, that drop would be
- 19 roughly 9 to 13 percent?
- A. Academic, extracurricular, and personal, 9 to 11 you
- 21 mean?
- 22 Q. I'm looking at both academic, extracurricular, and
- 23 personal. And they range from a drop of 9 percent to a drop
- of 13 percent?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And that right there is the cost you cited specifically in your race-neutral alternatives report as being the unacceptable cost to bear, even for the comparable diversity seen here; is that right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. To be clear, these ratings, these are ratings that you get from the admissions office, right?
- A. Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And these are not grades associated with how they actually perform at Harvard, not from the registrar, right?
- 11 **A.** No. These are students that have not yet been admitted to Harvard.
- Q. And you did no analysis to actually look at the

  composition of grades of any incoming class under any kind of

  simulation to predict what their grades might or might not

  have been. You focused just on the academic ratings?
- 17 A. I'm not sure I'm following your question.
  - O. I'll withdraw that one.

To be clear, these are just the ratings from the admissions office, not actual data of any performance that took place at Harvard, right?

A. That's right. These are just ratings that are from the materials that the applicants have sent to us or we have gotten on their behalf from other parties, their high schools, their guidance counselors, and their grade reports

1 from SATs and so forth.

2

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. The person on your committee who was directly responsible for admissions, that was Dean Fitzsimmons; is that right?
- A. I'm not sure why -- I'm not sure the reference to on our committee. Dean Fitzsimmons was the head of admissions and financial aid.
- Q. Your race-neutral alternatives committee.
- B A. Yes. What about it?
- Q. He was the only person on your committee who had any responsibility directly for the admissions department that generated these ratings?
- 12 A. Sorry. Yes, that is true.
- Q. And was it Fitzsimmons, Dean Fitzsimmons, who told the
  committee that ratings of 1 and 2 from the admissions office
  reflected the dimensions of excellence that you needed to
  preserve that we saw earlier?
  - A. He was certainly one of the individuals that spoke to that.

I had my own small experience from visiting the admissions area early in my deanship, and I certainly have talked to faculty over time that have participated in the admissions committee. And I understand what we're trying to accomplish with those academic 1s and 2s and what the characteristics are of those individuals what when they get rated with an academic 1 or 2.

Q. So between Dean Fitzsimmons, the people you had talked to on the admissions committee, and your own work or visiting to the admissions office early in your tenure as dean, you had an understanding that academic ratings of 1 and 2 were a central element of the dimensions of excellence that you needed to preserve going forward at Harvard College?

- A. That we would be looking carefully at any changes in any of these top 1 and 2 profile ratings. Those are individual applicants that we would love to have at Harvard. They add a tremendous amount to the academic program as well as the program that's taking place outside of our classroom, and they're helping all of our students in terms of learning, and they also interact terrifically with our faculty in terms of the scholarship that is produced at Harvard.
- Q. Was it Dean Fitzsimmons from the admissions office who told you that the decline in ratings of either 1 or 2 that you saw in these simulations was simply too high of a cost for these dimensions of excellence that you cared so much about?
- A. Dean Fitzsimmons -- no. Dean Fitzsimmons did not just tell the committee that this was too high a drop. I came to it on my own judgment, based on the kinds of inputs that we were just talking about and the discussions that took place in the committee.
- Q. Another feature of the race-neutral alternatives report

- 1 that you got from your expert in terms of these simulations
- 2 had to do with, as we discussed, the elimination of the
- 3 legacy preferences in admissions?
  - A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And you would agree that legacy admissions preferences disproportionately favor white applicants?
- A. I don't know all of the details in it, but that is certainly changing over time.
- 9 **Q.** That's why you included in what we discussed before as
  10 the so-called factors that allegedly advantage white
  11 applicants, right?
  - A. It is included in there because it was one of the things that is brought up in the literature, and it's also brought up in the complaint in this case. And so it was one of the things that we looked at to see how much of a change would occur if we eliminated that, among the other ALDC preferences that are involved here.
    - Q. Dean Smith, whether or not it's changing over time, you would agree that at least as it stands today and going back in time that the legacy preference at Harvard disproportionately favors white applicants. Isn't that true?
  - A. For the data that was looked at in the classes here, certainly that is true. I don't know the data for today's classes that are coming in.
- Q. Now, you discussed in your committee's report on

race-neutral alternatives your concerns with the possibility of eliminating the legacy preference, right?

A. Yes.

Q. Let's go to page 16 back in your report, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 316. If we can go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 316 and turn to page 16. Look down at the bottom, there's the very last bullet point. It carries over to the next page.

And in this bullet point, you are discussing that "The practice of considering, among many other factors, whether an applicant's parents attended Harvard College or Radcliffe College as an undergraduate also helps to cement strong bonds between the university and its alumni. Harvard hopes that its alumni will remain engaged with the college for the rest of their lives, and this consideration is one way that it encourages them to do so.

"Harvard also relies to an unusual degree on the participation of its alumni in the admissions process. In every state and almost every country around the world, Harvard graduates volunteer their time to serve as alumni interviewers.

"Harvard alumni also offer generous financial support to their alma mater. That financial support is essential to Harvard's position as a leading institution of higher learning. Indeed, it helps make the financial aid

policies possible that help the diversity and excellence of the college's student body."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

- Q. And you would agree that Harvard graduates provide a significant amount of support to the college; is that right?
  - A. Through their time, expertise, and resources.
  - Q. And it's not just their time and expertise but also their resources, meaning their financial contributions to Harvard, right?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. And Harvard believes that eliminating the legacy
  preference in admission would put that financial support at
  risk, doesn't it?
  - A. I'm not sure who you mean by "Harvard" there. That is not the reason why we keep in our legacy preference. It is for the broader aspects of what we were just talking about.

I've seen a tremendous benefit to the university, especially to the students and what we're trying to accomplish in the college, by the participation of our alumni in new initiatives that we're tying to launch and bringing expertise to help us think through how we might launch them.

As this mentions here, time that our alumni spend in evaluating candidates and applicants for our pool. All of these things matter. It's not just financial donations.

Q. What you write here — you write, "The committee is concerned that eliminating any consideration of whether an applicant's parent attended Harvard or Radcliffe would diminish this vital sense of engagement and support."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

- Q. And the support that you are referring to includes financial support, right?
- A. It includes financial, their time, their expertise. All of those things are what I meant by "support."
  - Q. And the race-neutral alternatives committee in its report is expressing its concern that eliminating the legacy preference in admissions would put the financial support of its alumni at risk. Isn't that correct?
  - A. No. This paragraph is in here talking about even though all of the considerations in ALDC, including the legacy, if they're simulated as being eliminated, it doesn't do enough to get to a point where we're getting back to the diversity-related educational benefits and objectives we want.

And then we took a further step here and say, while we're talking about this, let's talk about even if it doesn't make any difference what we're trying to do here, can we still come up with good reasons why we should continue to do this.

And hence this is what we were trying to do in this part here is talk about — after discussion within the committee about legacies and what legacies bring to our academic program, to the support of the university, broadly construed, it's important for us to continue to talk to our alumni base and say we understand. If you put time and effort into what we're trying to do here, we will recognize that as one piece of the larger review of if you happen to have a child in the pool.

- Q. The flip is also true, which is you were concerned that if you didn't give consideration to the child of a Harvard alum in the applicant pool, that that would diminish that vital sense of financial support that you get from them; isn't that right?
- A. Again, no, because you're focused solely on the financial support. And I'm thinking much more broadly about all the things that I do. And my experience has been that alumni are getting indications of we value what you're doing for other universities, and we are stating that we value what they do for us, too.
- Q. Isn't it true, Dean Smith, that one of the important reasons why Harvard rejected the possibility of a race-neutral admissions process is because such a process would necessarily require eliminating the legacy preference in order to achieve the so-called comparable diversity to

that of the current classes? Isn't that right?

A. So I can envision a simulation where you eliminate the

consideration of race as one factor among many, but you still have a consideration and you let your alumni know that what you do for us matters. If your child happens to apply, we will recognize that fact. It's not something that will get your child in. It never is. I'm not sure -- you're saying if you have to eliminate one, you must eliminate the other?

Q. My question was that one of the important reasons why your committee rejected the possibility of a race-neutral admissions process is because, through your work and through your analysis, you realized that such a process would necessarily require eliminating the legacy preference in order for you to achieve sufficient diversity in an incoming class. Isn't that right?

A. No.

MR. McBRIDE: No further questions.

THE COURT: Do you want to get started, Mr. Waxman, or do you want to take the lunch break?

MR. WAXMAN: It's really completely up to Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm planning on breaking in like five minutes.

MR. WAXMAN: To my mind, you've answered your own question, but I'm happy to work for another five minutes if

```
that would please the Court.
1
                THE COURT: Either way. Why don't we take the
 2
     lunch break. So we'll come back at like around 12:40 --
 3
     12:45. Let's make it even. Okay?
                (Court recessed at 11:56 a.m.)
 5
                        *** AFTERNOON SESSION ***
 6
 7
                MR. WAXMAN: May I proceed, Your Honor?
                THE COURT:
 8
                            You may.
 9
                                EXAMINATION
     BY MR. WAXMAN:
10
11
     0.
          Good afternoon, Dean Smith.
          Good afternoon.
     Α.
12
13
          When did you begin working at Harvard?
     Q.
14
     Α.
          I began working at Harvard in 1992.
          Was that also the year that you completed your doctoral
15
     Q.
     degree at Stanford?
16
          I completed most of my work. I was actually given my
17
     degree in January of 1993.
18
19
     Q.
          Were you hired by Harvard as an assistant professor?
          I actually officially started as an instructor.
20
     Α.
          Is that because you had not yet gotten your degree?
21
     Q.
          That's correct.
     Α.
22
23
          Did you become an assistant professor on the tenured
     Ο.
     track when you did finally receive your degree?
24
          I did.
25
     Α.
```

- Q. When did you begin serving as the dean of the faculty of arts and sciences?
- 3 **A.** July of 2007.
- 4 Q. What is your current position?
- 5 A. I am the John H. Finley Jr. Professor of Engineering and
- 6 Applied Sciences within the School of Engineering and Applied
- 7 Sciences.
- Q. Are you also the Harvard University distinguished service professor?
- 10 **A.** I am.
- 11 Q. What are you doing this year?
- 12 A. I am on sabbatical right now pursuing my own interests.
- 13 Q. You're on sabbatical preparing for and testifying at a
- 14 trial, correct?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. Unusual sabbatical experience, I imagine.
- 17 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 18 Q. Let me ask you some questions about the reporting
- 19 structure here. You have testified about what the faculty of
- arts and sciences is and what your job is. But is it fair to
- say that both the dean of the college and the dean of the
- 22 admissions and financial aid office report to you, among many
- 23 other deans?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 **Q.** Reported to you?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you some questions about the office of admissions and financial aid.

Did you oversee Dean Fitzsimmons?

A. I did.

- Q. And how did you do that?
- A. So we had regular meetings as I do with all of my direct reports. The frequency of those regular meetings depends upon the unit, what we're trying to accomplish in that unit, how long the person has been as the head of that unit.

In terms of Dean Fitzsimmons, he had been long-serving in the office of the admissions and financial aid. I met mostly with him once a semester during the academic year, and then I also met with him, as I did with all of my direct reports, at the end of the academic year to do a review of what took place in the office, how we accomplished things, and what we would think about doing next for the upcoming year.

- Q. And in the course of that particular meeting with Dean Fitzsimmons, would you evaluate his performance and the performance of the office that he was responsible for?
- A. I did.
- Q. Did you in any way evaluate him based on the racial composition of the admitted classes?
- **A.** No.

Q. Did you ever give Dean Fitzsimmons feedback on his performance, either constructive or critical?

A. Certainly. Yes, I did. As part of the performance review I was mentioning a moment ago, I would have each of my direct reports produce a report of what they accomplished in the past year, what they had started out to try and accomplish, how things had changed during the year. We would go through that, talk about the success or whatever happened in those different aspects.

And then we would look to the future. We would talk about what was going to happen next. We would often talk -- for example, I'm sure we'll do in a moment -- what else can we do to explain what we're trying to accomplish with our financial aid program, how can we get the word out to all the students who should know about it so that they can realize that they can be part of our pool, and they can come to Harvard.

- Q. Did you ever discuss, during the course of your duties, the Harvard admissions office recruitment efforts and what could be done to improve it?
- A. Certainly. We talked about a number of different things. In particular, for example, recently we had huge updates to our website and thinking about other kinds of social media outreach to applicants or prospective applicants and their families.

We also talked about organization of the office and how it might function better given the changing environments that we all find ourselves into. How can we get more information to the admissions officers, not just through paper means but through electronic means.

- Q. I think you testified you have a general understanding of how Harvard College selects applicants for admission?
- B**a.** Ido.

- Q. What is that understanding?
  - A. So we are looking at an individualized whole student evaluation taking into account as much as we can about their academic interests, their lived experiences. All of that is evaluated against what it is that they want to try and accomplish.

And in particular we're looking for students who not only have a broad range of interests that will take advantage of the opportunities that we have on our campus but will also add to the community that we're building on our campus. So those are very important aspects of what we look for in the applicant's record.

- Q. In the course of familiarizing yourself with the operation of the office and the admissions process, did you make a point to sit through an admissions committee meeting?
- A. I did.
  - Q. And do you have an understanding of how the office

- 1 considers race?
- $\mathbf{A}$ . It's one factor among many.
- Q. Do you think it's appropriate for the admissions officers to consider an applicant's race as one factor?
- A. I do.

- Q. And why is that?
- A. I think it is part of the applicant's lived experiences in many cases. It's not necessarily an impact on every applicant's record, but in many cases the experience that the person has had through their cultural or racial background has infected how they've gone through their schooling, how their life has gone. That kind of information is important for us to have as context as we're looking at the rest of the record.
  - We're also looking for how that can add to our community, improving what's taking place on our campuses. Much of what we're trying to do is break down stereotypes. And having people with many different kinds of lived experiences on our campus is an important way for that to happen.
- Q. And are you aware that in some instances race can be a "tip" in the holistic consideration of a particular applicant's file?
- **A.** I am.
- 25 Q. Do you have an understanding of whether applicants are

ever rejected from Harvard because of their race?

- A. My understanding is they're never rejected because of their race.
- Q. How does diversity relate to Harvard's educational mission?
- A. Certainly. We are looking for, as I was mentioning before, all kinds of different kinds of diversity on our campus. It is clear from my experiences in the classroom that when students bring their own perspectives on a topic we may be discussing that we get a much richer interaction among our students.

It's one thing to read about something in a book.

It's much more impactful and a better learning environment if the students can debate it from their own perspectives, realize that there are multiple different perspectives and come out of that class much stronger than they would have been had we just discussed something in the abstract.

It also helps tremendously with the scholarship that our faculty and our students are trying to undertake. Many different perspectives with respect to how we might approach a problem. I'm an engineer by training. So I naturally gravitate to those sorts of societal issues. Having a better understanding of how different people in our society view issues that are confronting the United States, help us to think through what possibly we might do, where we

- can take our research, where we might publish some new scholarship. Those are just two examples.
  - Q. During the course of your 26 years --
  - A. Yes.
- Q. -- at Harvard in the faculty of arts and sciences, have
  you had occasion to talk with alumni about their
  recollections of what the most impactful learning experiences
  were?
  - **A.** Absolutely.

- **Q.** What do you hear?
  - A. I hear a number of different things. One of the first things I often hear is that many of our alums when they first came to campus, that was the first time they had ever been in that diverse environment, and diverse in all perspectives.

    That had a huge impact n many of our students who later became alumni.

Then they talk often not just about what happened in the classroom, but what happened in our houses, our residential places where our students reside when they're not in class. The conversations that took place around the classrooms; the conversations that took place in their extracurricular events. Events that they ended up going to that they had no realization that those kinds of perspectives, those kinds of ideas existed.

Those are all things that come up when I talk to

- our alumni, and that they have good feelings about why
- 2 Harvard was so impactful for their life, and it had a huge
- difference on what they learned and what they were able to do
- 4 later in life.
- 5 Q. Were you the dean of the faculty of arts and sciences
- 6 when the Harvard College Working Group on Diversity and
- 7 Inclusion, which has been referred to here as the Walton
- 8 committee, was convened and prepared its report?
- 9 **A.** I was.
- 10 Q. And did you review that report?
- 11 A. I reviewed it mostly as a faculty member. It was not a
- 12 report that came to my office.
- 13 Q. And were you also the dean of the faculty of arts and
- sciences when President Faust convened the university-wide --
- I don't know if it was a committee or a project -- the
- university-wide committee to study racial diversity and
- 17 inclusion?
- 18 | **A.** I was.
- 19 Q. And did you review that?
- 20 A. I have seen it, too. Again it was not a report that came
- 21 directly to my office.
- 22 Q. Mr. McBride asked you several questions about the
- committee chaired by Dean Ryan. Do you recall that?
- 24 **A.** I do.
- MR. WAXMAN: Mr. Lee, can we put up

1 Demonstrative 3.2.

BY MR. WAXMAN:

- Your Honor, I believe these are in the back of the notebook that we provided you.
- \_\_\_\_\_\_
- Q. So this is largely, I think, familiar to the Court, but what does this show?
- A. This is a timeline that includes a number of committees
  and important dates with respect to the study of the
  importance of diversity to our educational process, but also
  the evaluation of race-neutral alternatives and how they may
  or may not work for what Harvard is trying to accomplish.
- Q. Okay. Now, do you know what Dean Ryan's committee was charged with?
- 14 A. In general only.
- Q. You recall that they were -- that it was a university-wide committee?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And do you recall that the committee was charged with,
  number one, evaluating for all faculties and components of
  the unit the extent to which diversity was important to the
  mission of that particular component?
  - A. Yes.

22

Q. And do you also understand that for those components of the university that took race into account in selecting applicants for admission, that the committee was charged on a

university-wide basis to evaluate for each individual faculty 1 or school in the university whether the diversity-related objectives could be accomplished through race-neutral beings?

- Α. That was I understanding.
- And is it also your understanding -- I think you testified in response to Mr. McBride's questions -- that the 7 committee paused its work at the time that this lawsuit was filed?
- Α. Yes.

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Now, subsequent to the filing of this lawsuit, did Harvard College undertake to answer for itself the two questions that the Ryan committee was charged with exploring for the entire university?
  - Yes. As it shows on this chart here, we had two committees, as you're pointing out. The first one separated out the question of our diversity-related educational objectives and goals and how that was impacting our educational program and the other things we were trying to do with our mission.

The Dean Khurana committee or committee here called "Committee to Study Importance of student body Diversity." And later the committee to study race-neutral alternatives, which took advantage, as we talked a bit earlier, of materials that were produced in this lawsuit.

Q. So do you recall Mr. McBride asking you some questions

- about whether the Ryan committee, if it had not been -- if
- 2 its work had not been paused, whether the Ryan committee
- 3 could answer the two questions, the question that the Khurana
- 4 committee was charged with answering, and the question that
- the committee that you chaired was charged with answering,
- 6 those things could have been explored by the full Ryan
- 7 committee. Do you recall that line of questioning?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Were you on Dean Ryan's committee?
- 10 A. I was not.
- 11 Q. Was the dean of Harvard College on Dean Ryan's committee?
- 12 A. Not to the best of my knowledge.
- 13 Q. How many members of Dean Ryan's university-wide committee
- represented the leadership of Harvard College?
- 15 A. I can't recall anyone except Dean Fitzsimmons on the Ryan
- 16 committee.
- 17 Q. Now, the Khurana committee, the committee to study the
- importance of student body diversity, who convened that?
- 19 A. President Faust.
- 20 \ Q. Were you also involved in that?
- 21 **A.** I was.
- 22 **Q.** Are you familiar with the committee's report?
- 23 | **A.** I am.
- 24 Q. What role or roles did you personally play with respect
- 25 to that report?

2 t

the committee to make sure that whatever it is that they need in order to have the conversations take place can take place. And then I have a very formal role toward the end of such a

report.

And in many cases what needs to occur then is it needs to come eventually to the full faculty for a discussion at one of our faculty meetings in order to get on the agenda of one of our faculty meetings. It needs to go through a body called "faculty council."

process where the committee is preparing to issue its final

Certainly. In cases like this I'm there to help support

Faculty council is a council that I chair as dean of the faculty. It's 18 elected members of the faculty, faculty of arts and sciences. It's got a number of different things that it does, not only gives advice to the dean, but it also helps to make sure that materials are prepared and ready to be presented to the full faculty.

So I help shepherd the report through the faculty council for a number of discussions, and then eventually moved onto the faculty meeting where we had discussions in the faculty meeting about that report, too.

- Q. Okay. And was the Khurana report discussed by the full faculty of arts and sciences on more than one occasion?
- A. It was.
- Q. Did various members of the faculty offer comments about

it?

1

2

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

A. They did. And the way we typically approach matters like this, which we consider substantial matters is -- again, with everything that I just explained, when it eventually comes to the full faculty meeting, we'll have an initial meeting to present the material to the faculty at the faculty of arts and sciences.

Unfortunately not every faculty member can make every one of our faculty meetings. So an issue of substance like this is first presented, and then there are opportunities outside of the faculty meeting to continue to get input from the community and the faculty.

And then eventually when the time is appropriate and we are prepared, it will make it back to a faculty meeting for further discussion and then hopefully a vote as was taken in this case.

- Q. Okay. And what was the vote in this case?
- A. It was unanimously in support of the committee's findings.
- Q. Now, let's turn to the committee to study race-neutral alternatives. You chaired that committee, correct?
- 22 **A.** I did.
- 23 Q. And how did you come to chair it?
- A. President Faust asked me to chair it.
- 25 Q. And who selected the other members of the committee?

A. As I typically have done in cases like this when

President Faust asks me to lead a committee, I'll have some

discussion with individuals about who might be good members

of this committee, but I ask to go off and spend more time

thinking about who we need, what we need on those committees.

And then I'll bring a recommendation back, as I did in this case, a recommendation back to President Faust saying I've thought about this. Here's the reasons why it is that I think we should have these individuals. Here's who I would like to ask first. Do you have any more input. Can I go ahead and invite these individuals.

- Q. And were the two individuals that you presented as your choices, in fact, Dean Khurana and Dean Fitzsimmons?
- A. They were.

- Q. And did President Faust indicate any ask any questions about that or indicate any concerns about having these two deans serve on the committee with you?
  - A. She had no concerns.
- Q. And what were your reasons for asking Dean Khurana and
  Dean Fitzsimmons to join the committee?
  - A. Sure. So I spent some time thinking about what we needed to accomplish. As the Court knows well, what we're trying to do is understand whether or not these race-neutral alternatives would work for Harvard College and do so in place of the consideration of race as one factor among many.

S

Ü

,

So I was looking for initially certainly two kinds of skills. A skill that said I'm very well familiar with what's going on in race-neutral alternative world. I understand the admissions process. We can bring that perspective to the committee. So I was thinking about someone from our office of financial aid and admissions.

Given Dean Fitzsimmons's long history, 30-plus, almost 40 years or so of experience in admissions and dealing with the issues in race-neutral alternatives, he was my first choice. If he had not agreed to serve on this committee, I would have looked elsewhere in the office for someone with that kind of sets of skills.

Second of all, this impacts greatly the educational experience that's taking place in the college, and I needed someone who was well aware of what it is we're trying to accomplish in the college, what goes on inside of our classroom, what goes on outside of our classrooms.

As you heard me talk about a moment ago, a lot of the learning that comes out of our diversity-related educational goals comes out of discussions and interactions that take place outside the classroom.

Dean Khurana is not only dean of Harvard College but he's also what we call faculty dean of one of our houses, one of our residences. He eats, lives with our students, and sees things that are important to have brought forth to this

committee.

1

2

3

5

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, again, he was an ideal candidate. I needed somebody like that from the college.

Then what I was also looking for individuals who could bring a background in social science research. As we were talking about earlier, we would be reading a lot of literature, looking at reports that are specific to social science kinds of questions. And Dean Khurana has that background, too.

- Q. At the time you were thinking about who would serve on 10 this committee, did you consider inviting individuals who had published research on race-neutral alternatives?
- 13 Α. T did.
- 14 And why did you decided -- I gather you decided not to conclude them. 15
- That's correct. 16 Α.
- Why is that? 17 Q.

literature.

- Α. In the end I decided that we wouldn't do it for a number of different reasons. First of all, we were getting a lot of support, as we were talking about earlier, in the court, social science research experts that were working. We had our own knowledge of a lot of the race-neutral alternatives that were being considered through this complaint in the
- And also the timing was just difficult. 25

- just about to be summer. Many of my faculty had already left for the summer, already had commitments to go off. And at that time my understanding was we had to do the work fairly quickly to get to a report. That was my understanding of when the expert witness reports would come in and so forth.
- Q. Let me ask you about that. Did you at any time in the process of conducting the work of the committee feel pressured to issue your report at any point before you were ready to issue your report?
- A. No. I was very clear with people that were interested in having the report done that the report would be done when we had it done. It's when the committee was satisfied that it had looked at everything that it needed to look it, it had evaluated it.

Certainly even toward the end, I can tell you when I was being scheduled for my deposition with respect to the race-neutral alternative committee, I said at that time I hope this is done because it's going to be done when it's done, and we may have to move the scheduling of that deposition.

- Q. During your time as dean of faculty of arts and sciences, did you have occasions to chair other committees?
- **A.** I did.

- Q. And did you also convene other committees?
- **A.** I have.

- Q. And during your 26-year tenure on the Harvard faculty, have you served on other committees?
- A. I've served on plenty.
- Q. Is it unusual to have a committee with only three members?
- A. It is not.
- Q. Now, were there lawyers involved with the committee's work?
- 9 A. There were.
- 10 **Q.** And why?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. For a number of reasons. Again, first and foremost, because we were dealing with a topic that had a number of opinions coming out of the Supreme Court, and I needed to have individuals who would understand those opinions and what both we were supposed to be doing and what the framework within which we should be doing that.
  - And then this lawsuit itself was something that we had to keep in mind as we went through our work. And so having access to someone who could help me understand the issues and implications of the lawsuit with respect to what the committee needed to accomplish was important.
  - Q. And what role did the lawyers play in the committee?
- A. So I certainly -- anyone who attends one of my meetings,
  I'm expecting them to participate in the discussion and
  whatever they think they can bring to the discussion. We

hold very open meetings that way.

But I also asked -- in particular I've always looked for committees like this to someone staff the committee. That individual responsible for taking notes during the committee meetings, preparing the materials so that everyone has the materials in advance of the next meeting and we're prepared to actually have a successful next meeting. And I ended up asking our general counsel's office if someone could staff it.

- Q. And is it unusual in your experience for lawyers to participate in the work of an FAS committee?
- A. It is not. I look across our entire community when I'm thinking about who could best staff a particular committee. And our office of general counsel is just as good as somebody from the staff of the college or elsewhere. It all depends on what we're trying to accomplish and how we can be how much benefit we can get from a person with that kind of a background being the staff member for us.
- Q. Dean Khurana was here earlier today, and he testified that the first draft of the committee's report was actually prepared by an attorney. Is that your recollection?
- A. Yes. I would have put it, it was prepared by the staff, person staffing the committee.
- Q. And is it unusual for FAS committees that are staffed by attorneys to ask that staff person to prepare -- after a

- discussion of all the relevant issues, prepare a first preliminary draft of the report?
  - A. No, it is not unusual. The way I run my committees is a person who is staffing the committee, who in this case happened to be a lawyer, has participated in all the conversations, understands the surround, understands the conversations that took place.

It's very natural for us to ask that person to pull all the notes together, make a first draft. It is just a first draft. It is fully expected that the committee could reject it. It could make major changes to it. It could make small changes to it. I've just found it's much more productive if we have something physical in front of us as we start our discussions about what the final report, if the committee calls for a final report, should look like.

- Q. Did the race-neutral alternatives committee have a charge?
- A. It did.

- 19 Q. And what was that charge?
  - A. The charge was to, within the context of the diversity-related educational objectives and goals that the Khurana committee found, evaluate the race-neutral alternatives, either singularly or in combination, and decide whether or not they were going to work for us as a replacement for race as one consideration among many in our

- 1 admissions process.
- Q. Please turn to Tab 2 of your book. And do you find there
  a document that's been marked as Defense Exhibit 60 now in
  evidence?
- 5**| A.** Ido.

mind.

- 6 **O.** What is it?
- A. So this is the memo that I sent to Dean Khurana and

  Dean Fitzsimmons asking them to be members of the

  race-neutral alternatives committee and presenting them with

  the charge, and I think a little bit of the timeline.
- Q. When the committee commenced its work, did you have a view about whether Harvard could achieve the educational benefits of diversity through race-neutral means?
- A. I did not have a definitive view on how that should be.

  I approached it with an extremely open mind.
- Q. And do you know whether the other members of the committee had preexisting opinions?
- A. Not that they expressed to me. And I had expressed to
  the members of the committee, Dean Khurana and
  Dean Fitzsimmons, that they should come to this with an open
- 22 **Q.** How did the committee begin its work?
- A. So we began our work before the official first meeting.
- We spent time both getting ourselves a collection of
- 25 literature that the committee was going to review before our

first meeting, and we had an opportunity to sit down with the office of general counsel and get a better understanding of the surround, the lawsuit, how it might impact this and more background on the opinions from the Supreme Court in this space.

- Q. And once the committee had gotten the legal surround and had collected and reviewed the -- is it fair to say a groaning table of literature?
- A. It was a lot of literature that I didn't really think about before that point having to read at the beginning of my summer, yes.
- Q. Then having read the literature, what did the committee do first?
  - A. So one of the first things that we did was to have an open meeting just trying to collect all the different race-neutral alternatives that we would want to consider throughout this process.

That was not the last time. I tried to make it certainly clear to the committee that, as we went through our discussion of any of the race-neutral alternatives, if they thought there was other combinations of them or other race-neutral alternatives came up, I wanted to hear about them as soon as possible so that we could get the support we needed to evaluate them appropriately.

Q. Did the committee evaluate all of the race-neutral

- alternatives that were identified either in the complaint in this case or in Mr. Kahlenberg's reports?
  - A. We did.
- Q. And did it limit itself to those race-neutral
- 5 alternatives?

- A. We did not.
  - Q. Did the committee carefully review the expert reports of Mr. Kahlenberg and Dr. Card?
  - A. We did. One of the things that we did when we scheduled the committee meetings is we tried to make sure we scheduled the committee meetings soon after the reports themselves as they came in during the year so that we had an opportunity to review the reports, discuss them, think about what else we might need in addition to the information that was coming out of those reports.
  - Q. And what role did the expert reports play in the committee's evaluation of race-neutral alternatives?
    - A. Certainly it was an important part of what we were looking at. I think it was very beneficial to the committee to have both a set of an expert witness that was strongly a proponent for a race-neutral alternative as well as Dr. Card's broad-based look at all the implications that come out of these.
    - So we benefited tremendously from them, but that was not the end of our work. We all knew that in addition to

understanding the effects on a simulated class, we then had to think through does that accomplish our diversity-related educational goals, what other impacts might that have on our mission, both with Harvard College and the broader university, and that was left up to the committee to discuss.

- Q. And did the committee ultimately issue a report?
- A. We did.

- Q. And does that report memorialize the committee's institutional judgments?
- 10 A. It does.
- **Q.** How would you compare the process that this committee 12 undertook with respect to its charge with the process 13 followed by other committees that you've chaired or 14 participated in?
  - A. Yes. As much as possible I tried to follow our normal process. Obviously it was tilted a little bit with the lawsuit surround in it. But as I was trying to mention earlier, I followed the same kind of process that I would follow if we did any kind of report like this for the faculty, and that's the way I look at it.

The report that we came out at the end of this was both the report, obviously, to be used as part of this lawsuit, but importantly from the perspective of the members of the committee, it's a report for the university and for Harvard College, that it think through the implications of

- race-neutral alternatives, what we found at this time, and 1 what our recommendation is at this time.
  - And I think you testified that the committee had seven formal meetings. Am I recalling that?
  - Α. Yes.

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- When did the committee first formally meet? Ο.
  - The first formal meeting was in August of 2017, if I can Α. keep my years straight.
- Now, did the committee do the work -- did the committee Ο. members do the work of the committee -- that is, the process, 10 the work that led up to the finalization and issuance of the report -- outside of the seven meetings that it held 12 13 formally?
  - In addition to the seven meetings, we all realized that we would have to put in quite a bit of work between those meetings. And that's, again, one of the reasons why it was important to carefully think through the members of this committee.

So we spent a lot of time in the beginning with the literature. And then as each one of the expert witness reports came out, it was my expectation for each of the committee members to spend quite a bit of time with that report, think through the implications of the simulations that were in that report so that -- in case -- in this case all hes -- he could come to the meeting prepared to discuss

- with his colleagues what their interpretations of what this 1 meant and how it would affect our diversity-related 2 educational objectives. 4 Did you -- prior to each meeting, did you send out a formal agenda to the other committee members? 5 I did. Α. 6 7 Let me ask you to turn to Tabs 3 through 9 of your binder, which contains Defense Exhibits 79, 80, 81, 82, 86, 8 and 84. Tab through those. I'm going to ask you whether you 9 recognize those documents. 10 11 Α. I do. What are they? 12 Q. 13 They are the agendas that I sent out from my office to the members of this committee before each of our committee 14 15 meetings. MR. WAXMAN: Your Honor, we move to admit Defense 16 Exhibits 79, 80, 81, and 84. 17 18 I just note that 86, which is the agenda for the 19 March 23 meeting has already been introduced as Plaintiff's Exhibit 312. 20
- MR. McBRIDE: No objection, Your Honor.

  THE COURT: They're all admitted.
- (Defendant Exhibits Nos. 79, 80, 81, and 84 admitted.)

BY MR. WAXMAN:

1

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Generally speaking, did the committee discuss the items on the agenda?
  - A. Generally speaking, yes.
- Q. Did you always complete the agenda?
- A. Unfortunately not.
- **Q.** Why not?
- A. First of all, there's a lot to be discussed through many of these different race-neutral alternatives. And in some cases the conversation, which was a productive, good conversation, generative conversation, I didn't want to cut off just to move to another agenda item. We would simply move that agenda item to the next meeting.
  - Q. Let's now talk about the committee's report itself. And before we explore its content, I just want to ask you a few more questions about the process that the committee went through in preparing that report.

You told us that you spent time in between the meetings reviewing the literature and reviewing the reports, the expert reports, and you met seven times.

How did the process of getting from reviewing the literature and the reports to the issuance of the final report proceed?

A. Sure. I'll do it at a high level, and please ask whatever questions you like.

Q. Yeah.

A. So in the beginning we were honestly bouncing from one race-neutral alternative to another, lots of comments were being made. As we made it farther through and we were starting to see actual simulations, it helped us to start thinking through, well, here's some that are interesting. They might have some impact for us. Let's focus some more on those.

Other ones, as we got information from other offices or other members of the committee understood what we have done, for example, with early admissions in the past, we had to more focus.

Toward later in the process, if that made sense -I'm happy to talk about if it didn't.

Toward later in the process, then I started mentioning how we think about pulling this together as a report. What's important for us to articulate based on the conversations that we've had during this process.

So we started framing the basic outline of what a report would look like. Had some ideas about what we would say in each of the areas, race-neutral alternatives. But then it was to a point where, as we were talking about a little bit earlier, I felt we needed an actual document, a draft in front of us so we could react to it and see is this actually expressing what it is that we're thinking about

expressing here.

It's oftentimes true that one person will have one idea in their mind and a different one will have a different idea. And having something concrete helps work out those issues between individuals.

And then we got a first draft. And then we worked through that first draft all the way to the end of going through it line by line, paragraph by paragraph to make sure that every committee member was supportive of what the report was saying, nothing had been left out, and we were confident in our recommendations.

- Q. Did the committee meet outside the presence of the lawyers that were staffing of the committee?
- A. We did.
  - Q. And was that a session -- what happened in that session?
  - A. So it was a session later in the process, one of the last of our committee meetings where we had a report. It was mostly now time where it was the opinion of the judgment of the committee that I was looking for. I didn't have other guests in the room, and the committee itself spent time I think it was one of the agendas we talked about before both looking at one of the last expert witness reports, Dr. Card's rebuttal report coming in, and then also going through and specifically talking about each part of the draft of the final report at that point to make sure that we were getting

- in everyone's thoughts before we turned to something we would hope would be close to a final version.
- Q. Whose views are reflected in the committee's final report?
  - A. It is the views of each member of the committee.
- Q. Now, were there some overarching conclusions that are reflected in the committee report?
  - A. Yeah. There are two main conclusions in our report. One of which is, as I was discussing a little bit earlier, that we did not find any race-neutral alternative or a combination of race-neutral alternatives that provides the same educational diversity-related educational benefits and goals that we have today through our use of race as one factor among many in the admissions process.

Second of all, that the landscape was changing.

Other ideas may come up in the future, and this is something that we should look it at. And the committee made a recommendation that we should look at this within the next five years.

- Q. And are you aware that after the committee end its report, Mr. Kahlenberg wrote a response?
- **A.** I am.

- **Q.** Did you review his response?
- **A.** I did.
- Q. Do you know if the other members of the committee

reviewed it?

1

2

10

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I sent it off to the other members asking them to take a look at it and contact me if they had anything that they had a question about or that they wanted to speak further about it.
- Q. Did Mr. Kahlenberg's response cause you or the other members of the committee to change any of your conclusions?
  - A. It did not. I did not see anything new that we hadn't already discussed in the committee meeting or had read in one of the expert witness reports.
- Q. Let's talk about the substance of the report. And please turn to page tab in the -- page tab.

Please turn to Tab 10 in the notebook which contains Plaintiff's Exhibit 316 in evidence.

Do you have it?

- 16 **A.** I do.
- 17 **Q.** Is that the committee's final report?
- 18 A. Yes, it is.
- 19 Q. Was there an intended audience for this report?
  - A. It is. We obviously knew that this was going to be used in this lawsuit, too, but as we tried to do with reports like this, we are thinking about this is important for our faculty in general, leadership of the university, and hopefully as we recommended in this case a future committee that will look at these questions.

MR. WAXMAN: Let's put up Slide 3.3, Mr. Lee, please.

BY MR. WAXMAN:

- Q. What does this show?
- **A.** This is a listing of the race-neutral alternatives that were considered as part of this process.
  - Q. And I see you have a listing of ten things, but then you've got some unnumbered bolded text. Can you just explain what that signifies?
  - A. Sure. So this is also a structure of the back part, if you will, the latter half of our report looking at how we actually went through and discussed and came to conclusions about each of the race-neutral alternatives.

The first thing that the committee looked at was just what would happen to the different characteristics of our class if you could no longer consider race as one factor among many. Make no other changes, just remove race as one factor among many. That came out of Dr. Card's report.

- Q. What's the second category?
- A. And then the other two categories are different race-neutral alternative. We broke it into, just for ease of exposition, two kinds of things.

First, if you will, Numbers 2 through 7 are policies that may promote diversity by doing more. So there's things here that we could, for example, increase

recruiting efforts. If we did more there, we possibly could improve our diversity in our admitted class if we did that.

And then the latter, 8, 9, and 10, are kind of the opposite. What could we do less of and possibly improve the diversity and the diversity-related educational benefits that we're choosing to pursue.

Q. Dean Smith, this report is in evidence, and I'm not going to try the Court's patience or the patience of anybody in this room by going through the report in enormous detail.

Let me just ask you whether in your view this report describes the committee's high level conclusions about each of these alternatives?

13 A. It does.

- Q. And in considering these alternatives, I guess 2 through 10, did the committee consider them individually or in combination?
  - A. We looked at both. We looked at things individually, but a lot of the simulations, as we were discussing earlier, pulled multiple things together. But we definitely did both individually and in combination.
  - Q. Let me direct your attention to the third page of the report, Plaintiff's Exhibit 316.

MR. WAXMAN: Mr. Lee, would you mind highlighting the -- yes, the paragraph beginning "this committee held."

Yes, exactly. There you are.

- 1 BY MR. WAXMAN:
- Q. Do you see the sentence that begins "Three
- 3 considerations"?
- 4 **A.** Ido.
- Q. You have the report in front of you?
- 6 A. I have the report in front of me, yes.
- 7 Q. We're not exactly getting the -- there we go. All right.
- 8 Do you see the sentence -- the second sentence of the
- 9 paragraph that says "Three considerations"?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Would you mind reading into the record that entire
- 12 sentence?
- 13 A. "Three considerations guided our discussions when
- evaluating alternative admissions practices.
- 15 "1. The impact of alternatives would have on the
- overall diversity of backgrounds, experiences, and interests
- of the entire group of students who share a common
- 18 educational experience;
- 19 "2. Whether alternatives would be consistent with
- other institutional commitments and goals; and
- 21 "3. Whether alternatives could reasonably be
- 22 implemented given their resource and administrative
- 23 requirements."
- Q. Does that sentence accurately describe how the committee
- organized the analysis of the race-neutral alternatives it

considered?

- A. It does. We were looking at what impact it would have on the overall class characteristics. We were interested to see if that alternative would be consistent with our institutional goals and the goals of Harvard College. And then whether or not we could implement that alternative.
- Q. Let's turn to the committee's analysis and look at Number 1 on the list that you've prepared in the previous demonstrative.

How did the committee analyze whether Harvard should eliminate the consideration of race while leaving unchanged its other policies?

A. So we relied in this case on Dr. Card's analysis of that — he has a simulation where he just simply keeps everything else about our admissions process, as he understood it and could model, alone and then simply removed race as one consideration among many.

The committee looked at the resulting demographics of the class and were dismayed to see a large reduction in the number of African-Americans that were admitted and the number of Hispanic and other category that were actually admitted.

Q. Now, do you recall an exchange that you had with Mr. McBride about -- and I think we will turn to this in a little bit later -- the passage in the report in which the

- committee expresses the view that some of Mr. Kahlenberg's 1 simulations approximated the total aggregate diversity of the existing class of 2019?
  - Α. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Was the committee focused on whether these simulations approximated the overall share of the class that was 7 African-American, Hispanic, Pacific Islander, Native American, or other; or with respect to the particular ethnic and racial identities that are represented in the class? 10
  - We looked at both. The overall ethnic portion, and we also looked at the individual ones because we've learned through some of the committees that you mentioned earlier that there are different experiences across different racial groups.
    - Is there a specific -- when you're thinking about the overall share of African-Americans, Hispanics, Pacific Islander, Native Americans, and otherwise, or the share in the Harvard admitted class of any one of those groups, is there a specific level of diversity that is required to achieve the educational benefits of diversity?
    - The committee had no specific number in mind.
- So how do you, one, think about -- in light of Harvard's Ο. educational mission, how does one -- how did the committee think about what an appropriate or acceptable level of racial 25

and ethnic diversity would be?

A. Right. We had a number of different conversations along this. I can try to simplify it into a statement of we were certainly not looking to move backwards. We've made progress in some areas in terms of improving the racial diversity on our campus. We've seen what a positive impact that had. But through the kinds of conversations that are ongoing right now on campus, we know we still have more to do in that space.

So there was just a, first of all, look at are we moving backwards from where we are today knowing that this is also already an issue for us to be dealing with.

How do we get to the kinds of numbers that we have today, and what does that mean in terms of resources required or other trade-offs with respect to the aspects of the mission.

And then what benefits could we get if the diversity was actually increased in a particular category.

- Q. Let's turn to Demonstrative 3.5. And now look at the second category of race-neutral alternatives. How did the committee assess Alternatives 2 through 7?
- A. So we first familiarized ourselves with the ongoing work that's already going on within Harvard in each of these areas. Many of these areas are things that we have invested heavily in, have experimented with, have some real experience with. And not all members of the committee had all that

background. So we spent some time understanding exactly where we were and what's working well and what hasn't worked in the past.

And then whenever possible we were -- we took advantage of the expert reports and looked at the kinds of simulations that they did. There was times when we had the question before the committee is there any simulations that the experts are producing that aren't answering the questions that we want, are we going to ask them is there another simulation that we want to have them do.

Actually the experts did a fantastic job of producing the kinds of things that the committee was interested in.

And then we had the discussion that you and I had a little bit earlier. All right. What does that all mean given the demographic change, the change in the characteristics of the class, under the framework that we talked about a little bit earlier. If it has an actual impact on the demographics, is that a positive impact, can we afford to do it, can we make — is it practical for us to do this, and how does that interact with the other institutional objectives and goals that we have.

Q. I'm not going to take you through each one of these orally both because it's in the report and because

Mr. Kahlenberg has not provided testimony about some of them.

-

But let's look at the first one, "Increasing Recruiting Efforts." How did the committee analyze the proposal that Harvard increase its recruitment efforts?

A. So, again, as I was mentioning a moment ago, we first learned the extensive efforts that we already make in this space, both before we even get to the admissions process, what do we do for outreach. We have groups and programs on campus like the undergraduate minority recruitment program that has been running for a long time, made sure that everybody was aware of that. The literatures that we send out today, all the ways that we try to make outreach to perspective students that we would like to have in our applicant pool.

And then we got to the point, well, if we did more in this space, what would happen to the composition of our class. We took advantage of the simulations that looked at saying, here's our low socioeconomic applicants today. What happens if we just double them, assuming that changes in our recruitment efforts could actually produce that same set of students again.

Q. I'm going to ask you separately about Number 7 which is "Increasing the Weight For Socioeconomic Background"?

THE COURT: Can I stop you for a second? What is Number 3?

THE WITNESS: 3 is "Partnerships."

It's the one we haven't discussed, THE COURT: 1 No one's discussed it? 2 riaht? 3 MR. WAXMAN: No one's discussed it yet. It's in 4 the report. But I was going to ask Dean Smith what it is. 5 THE COURT: Are you asking me if I read the report yet? 6 7 MR. WAXMAN: Is this the part where we give you a quiz? 8 9 THE COURT: I just don't know what Number 3 is. BY MR. WAXMAN: 10 11 Why don't we take Number 3, and then we'll go back to Number 2. 12 13 Number 3 is another way of doing, if you will, recruitment. Partnerships. And I won't be able to tell you 14 all the names of the different partnerships that exist, but 15 there are organizations in the world whose mission is to try 16 and take individuals who are having a hard time understanding 17 18 where they should go to college and giving them the 19 background that they need, and then they become on these individuals' organization's list, which then they give to 20 institutions like us that say, we have this individual, who 21 is an outstanding individual. Doesn't really understand the 22 23 application process. You might want to consider him or her moving forward. 24

And there's a lot of these partnerships in the

world. I am not the expert on all these partnerships. The last thing I'll say on this is Harvard has a particular way that we like to work with these partnerships. We're not looking to work with just one partner or two partner.

We're trying to partner with as many people as possible because the individual students themselves, at least one of the things I understand -- Dean Fitzsimmons would be able to answer better than me -- you're not guaranteed to have an applicant work with a particular organization. So we want to get as many outstanding applicants as we can. So we try to work with as many organizations as we possibly can.

- Q. Again, I'm not going to ask you for a compendium of all the partnerships, but is it your understanding that many or most of the community partnerships with which the Harvard admissions office many or most of the community organizations that the Harvard admissions office partners with are organizations designed to serve either low income or underrepresented minority student populations?
- A. That is my understanding.

Q. Okay. Now that we've checked off Number 3, let me just ask you a few more questions about Number 2.

Do you recall the assertion in Mr. Kahlenberg's reports that Harvard could double the number of equally qualified low-income applicants if it simply increased its recruitment efforts?

**A.** I do.

- Q. And what was the committee's reaction to that assertion?
- A. Our reaction was that we're willing to look at the results of that, but to actually have that happen in our applicant pool we thought was very difficult. My own personal experience in that, as I may have mentioned earlier, Dean Fitzsimmons and I had regular conversations, especially around things like financial aid, where I wasn't certain the message was getting out well enough, what else could we do to improve.

And we're not looking to just increase the size of our applicant pool. It's very easy to increase the size of your applicant pool by having people apply that have no chance of getting in. What we're really looking for is the students that we duplicated there, the outstanding, low socioeconomic, minority students that we want more of in the pool.

Dean Fitzsimmons and I have been working on that for a decade, and I did not find that to be easy. We found nothing that would easily improve that number tremendously.

- Q. Let's turn to Number 4, which is the proposal that Harvard increase its financial aid. How did the committee address that contention?
- A. So, again, we reviewed the history of changes to our financial aid policy, which has been a huge investment both

at the college as well as at the university. We have made a number of different changes from 2004 through 2007, '8, to the more recent one. And I forget the exact date, 2011, something like that.

Initially the changes in the increased aid that we provided to our students made not only a big difference to the student and their ability to undertake their educational goals on our campus, but it improved the characteristics of our pool.

But in these later rounds, that has not been the problem. Putting more money into our financial aid program alone is not making noticeable changes to the number of low socioeconomic students that are applying or to them being admitted and enrolling.

- Q. Did you review Dr. Card's analysis on the effects of increasing financial aid?
- A. We did.

- Q. And what did it tell you about -- maybe you've covered this already, but what did it tell you about the proposal that by increasing financial aid Harvard could substantially increase the number of qualified low-income applicants?
- A. Right. Yes. So just to go a little bit deeper. In the beginning we were seeing noticeable -- the beginning 2004 timeframe. In the last change we're basically not seeing any, and that's what Dr. Card said, any changes.

So we're already at a level -- we moved in this 1 2 last change to the financial aid system from any family under \$60,000 not requiring to provide any support to their student, either in tuition or room and board, to come to Harvard. We moved that to 65,000. That's already covering a 5 majority of our low socioeconomic students in the United 7 States. Moving that number up farther and investing more 9 there, we're not seeing any changes, and that's what Dr. Card was telling us, too. 10 Q. Well, I was going to turn to place-based preferences, but 11 I don't want to slight the Court's -- any interest the Court 12 13 has in Number 5, which also has not yet been discussed in 14 this trial, which is the proposal that Harvard could achieve a more ethically and racially diverse class consistent with 15 its other goals by increasing transfer admissions. 16 Is that proposal discussed in your report? 17 18 Α. It is. 19 MR. WAXMAN: Your Honor, would you like me to question him on this, or would you prefer to? 20 THE COURT: No. That's fine. I'll read the 21 report. I knew what that one was. 22 23 MR. WAXMAN: Let's look at place --THE COURT: You're supposed to remind me that 24 there's no such thing as a dumb question, right? 25

MR. WAXMAN: I have asked not only in my life but 1 in the course of preparing witnesses to testify in this case 2 so many dumb questions --THE COURT: There's no such thing as a dumb question, Mr. Waxman. 5 MR. WAXMAN: There is no such thing as a dumb 6 7 question unless perhaps, as has happened with me, you have asked that same question of the same person a dozen times. 9 THE COURT: I'm sure Mr. McBride will let you know if that happens today. 10 MR. WAXMAN: He's got a little poker right here. 11 THE COURT: I just didn't know what Number 3 was. 12 13 I understand what Number 5 is, and I will read the report. BY MR. WAXMAN: 14 All right. Well, let's turn to Number 6, which is 15 "Place-Based Preferences." 16 And what did you understand Mr. Kahlenberg to be 17 proposing about place-based preferences? 18 19 Α. So the committee understood two general schemes for place-based preferences. The first being either admitting 20 the top student from every ZIP Code in the United States or 21 every high school in the United States, which has been a 22 race-neutral alternative that has been discussed in the 23 literature. 24

And then the second basic category is moving away

from admitting just a single student in each one of those, I suppose I could generalize, and admit more than one student from each.

But then looking at the College Board neighborhood clusters, there's 33 of those as opposed to the many thousands of ZIP Codes and high schools in the United States. And then admitting a fixed number of individuals from each of the College Board neighborhood clusters.

The first that I mentioned there, admitting the top student from any ZIP Code, from ZIP Codes or from high schools, honestly the committee didn't spend a lot of time talking about because there are so many more ZIP Codes or high schools than there are slots in our admitted class, that it's just not a workable alternative for us.

Not to mention the problem of trying to understand the single best student given that we're looking for excellence across many different dimensions and in particular looking for students who exhibit excellences in multiple dimensions. It's very hard for us to come up with that.

So it's more likely that we could do something in the second place-based preference world where we could admit at least a reasonable number. I would say the committee is still uncomfortable with that. We have never looked for excellence and talent in one place. Say we've admitted five students from that place. There can't be any more good

talent from there, let's move to a different place.

That's why we're looking for talent wherever we can find it. We believe it exists everywhere, but we don't believe necessarily it exists uniformly.

- Q. In the context of thinking about the proposal to admit roughly -- I think it's 52 applicants from each of the 33 neighborhood clusters, did you evaluate any analyses that Professor Card provided as to the predicted racial and ethnic breakdown of the class and other characteristics of the class such as 1s or 2s on the four profile ratings that admissions officers assign?
- A. We did. We did everything that you just mentioned with respect to the simulations that were provided to us.
  - **Q.** And what was the committee's conclusion with respect to adopting a place-based preference that would admit an equal number of students from each of the 33 clusters?
  - A. All right. Again, as I was discussing earlier, we looked at, for example, the racial composition of the class. And though the overall nonwhite percentage of the class came back to similar to what we have in our current class today, we were worried about the fact that the African-American representation in that simulated class was significantly lower than what we have today.

And given the discussion I had a little bit earlier, with respect to the things that we're learning

Ū

through our Inclusion and Belonging committees and task forces, we weren't looking to go backwards for any particular racial group.

And then we also took time to look carefully at the other characteristics. And in particular the discussion we had earlier was the particular ratings, and the fall in the ratings were something that worried the committee greatly.

Q. Let's turn now to the proposal Number 7, which is that Harvard increase the weight for socioeconomic background.

How did the committee analyze the suggestion to place increased weight on socioeconomic status in the admissions process?

A. So we already are looking to tip low socioeconomic students. They're bringing an important perspective to have on campus. These simulations, as I understand them from reading the expert witness reports, were taking and slowly cranking up, if you will, the weight given to someone who is disadvantaged, low socioeconomic in terms of the overall evaluation of the characteristics of that individual.

So we were particularly looking at what weights are required in these simulations in order to make significant changes to the racial composition, to the kinds of other -- the profile ratings that we were talking about earlier.

And one of the things that came out is that there's a significant weighting given to low socioeconomic background

- to get back to the kinds of distributions that the committee was looking for that Harvard values in its classes to the point where it becomes the defining characteristic that in our opinion was causing that student to be admitted.
- Q. Do you recall Mr. McBride asking you questions -MR. WAXMAN: And Mr. Lee, perhaps we could pull up
  Exhibit 26 of Professor Card's rebuttal report, which was
  used by Mr. McBride. And in Exhibit 26 is that table -- yes,
- So Mr. McBride -- let's look down at the red box that's identified there L.
- 12 BY MR. WAXMAN:

exactly.

1

2

5

7

- Q. Do you recall -- do you see at the top that there are three different simulations, "Card's Simulation 4X SES
- Boost, " "Kahlenberg's Simulation 6," and "Kahlenberg's
- 16 Simulation 7"?
- 17 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 18 Q. And Mr. McBride was asking you questions about what would
- 19 happen under Card's simulation of a 4X SES boost. And the
- 20 Court will have the opportunity to hear from Professor Card
- about what that model actually shows.
- But do you have an understanding from reading
- Dr. Card's report of how much of a boost a 4X boost in
- 24 Mr. Card's model would actually have?
- 25 **A.** I do. As I understand the simulation and the write-up in

- Dr. Card's report, a 4X low socioeconomic boost provides you with the same kind of record that one of our most talented applicants would have that was getting a 1 and 2 rating in multiple of our profile ratings.
  - So basically if I remember the number correctly, it's someone who's going to be admitted with an 80 to 95 percent probability.
- Q. So, in other words, a candidate who has a 7 percent probability of being admitted, which is roughly the probability of being admitted to the class of 2019, given a 4X boost, am I correct in doing the math that a 4X boost essentially would admit every student who qualified for that boost?
- 14 A. As I understood it.
- Q. Look to the next column. Do you see where it says
  "Kahlenberg's Simulation Number 6"?
- 17 **A.** Yes.

- 18 Q. Do you recognize this as the simulation that
- Dr. Kahlenberg in his rebuttal report said was his preferred simulation?
- 21 **A.** I do.
- Q. Look at -- again, let's look at the -- first, let's look under "Race."
- What happens to the African-American share of the class under Mr. Kahlenberg's preferred simulation?

- A. So it drops by 30 percent from the actual admitted class.
- Q. And that is the same as it would under Dr. Card's 4X simulation, correct?
  - A. The same percentage, one more person actually, but yes.
  - Q. And what about Mr. Kahlenberg's Simulation Number 7?
  - A. It drops even further. It drops this time by 32 percent.
  - Q. Okay. And I won't ask you to recite all the other numbers that are in here, but I want to ask you again about this issue of whether the committee and Harvard College in thinking about a diverse learning environment is concerned with the aggregate share of the class of African-Americans, Hispanics, or others or the individual components? I think you said it was both.
  - A. It's definitely both.

- Q. What was the committee's concern about these kinds of drops in the share of the admitted class of African-American students at the present time given what's happening in the college and the world?
- A. So this felt like, if you will, a bridge too far. We're going backwards from where we are today. We've learned a tremendous amount over the last couple of years as we've dug farther into issues of diversity, inclusion, belonging. There's already a sense as these committees have heard of alienation and isolation that's interfering with an individual's ability to pursue their academic studies on our

campus. Those impacts are tremendously felt by individuals here.

We're not looking to make that worse. Certainly the African-Americans in this category that are interacting with other students are bringing important perspectives to our educational process, but we're also very worried about their own educational experience.

Q. Thank you.

MR. WAXMAN: Mr. Lee, can you put up

10 Demonstrative 3.6.

11 BY MR. WAXMAN:

1

2

4

5

7

- 12 Q. And let's now talk about the third category, "Eliminating
- 13 Certain Other Policies." And let me direct your attention to
- what is listed as Number 8, which is "Eliminating Early"
- 15 Action." Do you see that?
- 16 **A.** I do.
- Q. How did the committee assess Mr. Kahlenberg's proposal that Harvard eliminate early action?
- 19 A. We have a natural experiment that occurred in this space.
- 20 So we were bringing a lot of that learning, that experience
- 21 to our discussions. So our experience there has been,
- 22 though, the elimination of early-action programs, in
- particular early decision programs, has been said to allow
- you to bring more diversity to your applicant pool and then
- eventually into your admitted class, our experience in that

case when we eliminated at Harvard early action -- now I'm forgetting off the top of my head what the date of that was, but it was during my deanship.

We ran that experiment for four years and looked at the results of what happened in that case. And what we saw was no change effectively in the number of -- in the percentage of African-American, Hispanic, and other students who were applying to our classes, but a drop in the actual yield rate.

So fewer of the students that we were admitting from those racial categories were actually choosing to come from Harvard. And we also looked — we've talked a number of times in this courtroom today about other things that we care about. In particular the profile ratings, academic 1s and 2s, and we were seeing that those students we would really love to have on our campus, the academic 1s and 2s from those racial minorities, were choosing to go to other institutions that had an early an early-action program, an early decision program.

And when we looked at the actual statistics, it was not benefiting us to eliminate this. In fact, it sometimes was working against us when we eliminated it.

- **Q.** Were you involved in the decision to reinstate early action?
- A. I was.

- Q. Please turn to Tab 11 of your binder, which is Defense Exhibit 39. Do you have that?
- 3 **A.** I do.
- $4 \mid \mathbf{Q}$ . What is it?
- A. It is the memorandum that President Faust and I prepared for the Harvard Corporation discussing the reinstatement of early action.
- MR. WAXMAN: Your Honor, we'd move defense
  Exhibit 39 into evidence.
- MR. McBRIDE: No objection, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: It's admitted.
- 12 (Defendant Exhibit No. 39 admitted.)
- 13 BY MR. WAXMAN:
- Q. Please turn to the page marked DX039.0004. And look at the first full sentence of the paragraph.
- Do you see the sentence that says "Of particular interest"?
- 18 **A.** I do.
- 19 Q. Please read that.
- A. "Of particular interest given the rationale for
  eliminating early action, our admissions office is becoming
  increasingly concerned that not having an early admission
  option is causing us to lose some of the most academically
  talented and prepared low income and underrepresented
  minority students."

- Q. Please turn to page DX 039.0025. A page from an exhibit that you and President Simmons presented to the Harvard Corporation with a recommendation to eliminate early action?
  - A. President Faust and I presented.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . What did I say?
- 6 A. Simmons.
- Q. Oh. That's the witness next week.

  President Faust.
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- Q. The Court has heard something about this, but what is shown in the box on the left on the page titled, "Change in yield rates by academic and extracurricular rating and ethnicity"?
- A. So the left graph here is the one you're asking about, right?
- 16 **Q.** Yes.

23

24

25

A. The left graph shows for the period of time between 2003 and 2007, what the yield rates -- I'm assuming it's an average; I don't actually remember off the top of my head -- for the different ethnic minorities or ethnicities, I should say, here.

And then the gray portion from 2008 to 2010 is the yield rates for those students, particularly -- sorry -- for each of those racial categories. Those students with high academics and high extracurricular ratings, what the yield

- 1 rate was during the time that we did not have early action.
  - Q. And again what does this show?
- A. It shows the decrease that I was talking about earlier in Hispanic and black.
  - Q. So we've heard in this case about yield rates, and we have heard also about matriculants.

Does this decline in -- I think I'm asking you something close to a tautology. But just so we're clear on the vocabulary.

Does the decline in the yield rate of these groups translate into a decline in the share of each of these groups in the admitted class -- in the matriculating class?

13 A. Typically, yes.

2

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Did the Harvard Corporation vote to end early action as you and President Faust recommended?
- A. So it voted to end early action in 2007, and then voted,

  I guess, in -- whatever the actual years are, and I think you

  meant to ask me to did it vote to bring it back, and it did

  bring it back.
  - Q. Thank you for answering the question that I thought I was asking.

And so how did the committee in light of this experience evaluate Mr. Kahlenberg's proposal that Harvard end early action in order to increase the underrepresented minority share, or shall I say, the African-American,

Hispanic, Pacific Islander, Native American, and other share 1 of the matriculating class?

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- While this race-neutral alternative may work for other institutions, it's our experience, and our recent experience that it did not work for us, and we did not think we should be bringing it, redoing that experiment again at this point.
- Let's turn again to Demonstrative 3.6 and ask you to -we're going to address Number 9, "eliminating other practices that allegedly benefit white applicants."

What other practices allege to benefit white applicants did you consider?

- So this category includes the practices that we referred to before as ALDC, athletes, giving them a tip; legacies, giving them a tip; individuals listed on the dean's and director's list, and then children of faculty and staff at Harvard. Plus it also included the consideration of individuals for deferred admission.
- Okay. That is eliminating the so-called "Z list"? Q.
- Yes. As I understand the Z list. Α.
  - Okay. And with respect to the proposal that Harvard Q. eliminate tips that are given to the so-called ALDC applicants, and I guess I'll just ask about deferred admission as well, what did the committee conclude about the likely effects of eliminating those tips on the ethnic and racial makeup of the matriculating class?

A. So we looked carefully at Dr. Card's simulations in that space, who -- he eliminated all the ALDC including deferred admissions. Every simulated student was given an opportunity to be in the current class. So there was no deferred admissions.

And it did not change the racial composition from the -- noticeably from the earlier experiment where we talked about simply removing race as one consideration among many when we had a 14 to 6 percent drop in the percentage of African-Americans in our admitted class and a 14 to 9 percent drop in the number of Hispanics and other that were in the admitted class.

MR. WAXMAN: Mr. Lee, would you please put up the report, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 316 and page 16. And let me ask you to highlight the second full paragraph on the page. That doesn't seem to be it. The paragraph that starts, "Thus, to the extent." That entire paragraph.

BY MR. WAXMAN:

- Q. I want to focus you on the sentence that's just a little more than halfway down the paragraph starting "Elimination."
- 21 Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.

- 23 Q. "Eliminating."
- **A.** Yes, I do.
- 25 Q. By the way, do you understand this discussion to be in

the context of the proposal to eliminate tips for ALDCs and 1 eliminate the Z list? 2 Α. Yes. 0. What does that sentence beginning with the word "eliminating" say? 5 Would you like me to read it? 6 Α. 7 0. Yes. "Eliminating the consideration of race and eliminating Α. 9 these processes would have a negligible effect and not always positive on diversity resulting in an admitted class that is 10 11 5.3 percent African-American down from an earlier 5.6 and 9.3 percent Hispanic or other from an 8.9 percent earlier. 12 13 Q. And what is the next sentence? 14 "That is reason enough for the committee to conclude that these practices would not prevent Harvard from needing to 15 consider race in the admissions process to achieve its 16 racially -- its diversity-related educational objectives." 17 18 THE COURT: Could you break out which of the ALDC factors had that effect? 19 THE WITNESS: The simulation that was done for the 20 committee through Dr. Card's work put them all in. 21 THE COURT: Did you ever try and separate it out? 22 THE WITNESS: You mean from the further reduction 23

in the African-Americans? Is that what you're asking about?

THE COURT: Yes.

24

- THE WITNESS: No. I don't know. Dr. Card might,
- 2 but I don't.
- 3 | BY MR. WAXMAN:
- Q. You were asked a number of questions by Mr. McBride about your perception of the impact of alumni giving or alumni contributions if a tip for lineage or legacy applicants was omitted, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- 9 **Q.** Was that the reason that you concluded that the proposal to eliminate the tips for ALDC applicants and the Z list was unworkable for Harvard?
- 12 A. Absolutely not.

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What about the effect of eliminating the tip for
  athletic -- for recruited athletes? Was that the reason that
  the committee concluded that this proposal was unworkable for
  Harvard?
- A. No. We looked at what would happen if we eliminated recruited athletes, the tip for recruited athletes.

eliminate the tips for ALDCs and the Z list?

Q. And did you -- was the fact that the elimination -- that eliminating ALDC preferences would eliminate special consideration or a tip for the children and staff of Harvard -- children of Harvard faculty and staff or applicants who are listed on the dean's and director's list the reason that you rejected Mr. Kahlenberg's proposal to

Α. No.

1

2

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

- Did the committee nonetheless consider criticisms of 0. those practices?
  - We did. Α.
  - Okay. And is the committee's analysis of the criticisms of the practices -- that is, why should you give an athletic tip; why should you give a tip to children of Harvard College alumni or alumni; why should you give it a tip in considering an application from a child of a Harvard staff or faculty member; or why should you give an academic tip, if one is given, to individuals that are listed in the dean or director's list -- did your report -- did the committee evaluate the criticisms of each of those practices?
  - We did. And we went further to discuss whether or not it Α. was important for us at Harvard as the community that we are to continue to do or not continue to do those actions.
  - And I'm not going to ask you to explicate what's in the report with respect to most of them, but Mr. McBride spent quite a bit of time asking you about the connection between legacy admissions and alumni giving.

Do you recall that?

- Α. I do.
- 23 And the committee discussed the relationship between Ο. legacy preferences and alumni giving?
  - We definitely discussed that as part of our broader Α.

- discussion, too, but it wasn't the sole point of our discussion.
  - Q. And did you understand the discussion to concern alumni financial donations or more broadly?
  - A. I'm not sure I follow the question.

- Q. Okay. When you're thinking about the relationship between special consideration to the children of Harvard College alumni or alumnae, and what's referred to broadly as alumni giving or alumni contributions, were you focused purely on financial contributions or did you look at other contributions that alumni make?
- A. We were very concerned with the broader thought of all kinds of contributions from our alumni. As I mentioned earlier, certainly the donating of their time to our admissions process, we could not do our admissions process without the generous donation of the time by so many individuals in our alumni to give us detailed, thoughtful reports back on the applicants to the times that I have experienced real, useful, active advice that I could use as we were thinking through, for example, the new generation of the theatre dance and media concentration on our campus.

I benefited tremendously from talking to some of our alumni in that space about how we should think about doing this, where is the field going, what is the field going to be doing next, how should we be best preparing our

- 1 students for someone who wants to concentrate in that area.
- 2 It wasn't just about financial donations.
- 3 Q. So I just want to ask you, with respect to the donations
- 4 that are prospective or past donations, that are monetary
- 5 contributions to Harvard College or the faculties of arts and
- 6 sciences, does Harvard have a policy with respect to
- 7 soliciting contributions from a family member -- from a
- 8 family when a family member is applying for admission?
- 9 A. We absolutely do.
- 10 Q. And what is the policy?
- 11 A. The policy is not to solicit any donations from a member
- of our alumni base or anyone who has a child that's about to
- enter into our admissions pool or is actually in our
- 14 admissions pool.
- Q. Let's finally turn to consideration of Mr. Kahlenberg's
- simulations. Do you recall that Mr. Kahlenberg's report
- included many simulations?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Did you consider all of the simulations that
- 20 Mr. Kahlenberg proposed?
- 21 **A.** We did.
- 22 Q. And particularly did you consider -- did you consider
- 23 particularly Mr. Kahlenberg's proposal of his preferred
- 24 simulation, Simulation Number 6?
- 25 **A.** We did.

- What was the committee's assessment of the demographic 1 results of this submission? 2
- As we were discussing a little bit earlier, we remained 4 concerned even though the overall percentage of minority students resembles the current class, the distributions were different and particularly different in ways that worried us with respect to the African-American students. 7
- And did you have in mind that a simulation --0. 9 Mr. Kahlenberg's simulation, we saw it earlier, would result in a 30 percent drop in the African-American share of the 10 matriculating class? 11
- Α. Yes. 12
- 13 And did Mr. Kahlenberg offer any simulations under which Q. the African-American share of the class was comparable to 14 current levels? 15
- Only under very high socioeconomic boosts. 16
- And did Dr. Card's reports provide additional information 17 about the simulations that the committee considered? 18
- 19 Α. Yes.

- Simulation of the preferred model? 20
- Yes. We benefited tremendously from Dr. Card's full 21 description of how the different characteristics were 22 affected both under the simulations that he looked at and he 23 thought were interesting, plus the ones that he did for 24 Dr. Kahlenberg -- I'm sorry, Mr. Kahlenberg.

1 MR. WAXMAN: Mr. Lee, would you put up

- 2 Demonstrative 3.7.
- BY MR. WAXMAN:

- Q. What does this show?
  - A. This is the comparison between the actual admitted class for -- I believe it's class of 2019 and the percentage of the simulated class under Mr. Kahlenberg's Simulation Number 6 as reported in Dr. Card's, I believe, rebuttal report.
    - Q. And what does it show with respect to the predicted change in the number of academic 1s in the share of the class that is academic 1s or 2s?
    - A. So as this shows here, the predicted share of the class of academic 1s and 2s has decreased from 76 percent of the actual class of 2019 to a simulated class that would have 61 percent of its student coming from the academic 1s and 2s.

And this is the difference that, as I was discussing earlier, made a huge impact on the committee and what we're trying to accomplish at Harvard. These are students that add so much not only to the things that take place in our classroom, the kinds of work that our faculty do, to how they interact with the other students on campus. This would be a noticeable drop in what's happening on our campus and what Harvard strives to have these academic 1s and 2s on our campus. We benefit greatly from their participation.

Q. Does the presence on Harvard's campus of 76 percent of the admitted class having academic 1s and 2s have an effect on Harvard's ability to recruit and retain faculty?

A. I believe it does. In my experience in 11 years in the dean's office, much of that, one of my major responsibilities is the upkeep and hiring of new faculty. And I would probably on a weekly basis have a faculty member come into my office and tell me about another outstanding student that he or she interacted with on our campus and how wonderful it is to be at Harvard.

And this is what makes it exciting, not only the interactions that he or she might have with their colleagues, but especially with our Harvard College students.

- Q. So is Harvard College interested and interested in enrolling 1s and 2s only on the academic rating to the exclusion of other ratings?
- A. No. We are looking for multitalented individuals. Many of our students are not just outstanding in their academic work, but they're outstanding in some of these other profile ratings, too. And that's what we're really looking for to having on our campus.
- Q. And what does Mr. Kahlenberg's simulation tell you of what would happen to the share of extracurricular, personal, and athletic 1s and 2s if his preferred simulation were adopted by the Harvard admissions committee?

- 1 A. They all drop. Not as big as the academic 1s and 2s drops, but there are drops in each one of them.
  - Q. I have two final questions for you.
- 4 MR. WAXMAN: Well, actually, Your Honor, I believe
- 5 I neglected to have the witness identify and admit Defense
- 6 Exhibit 76.
- 7 BY MR. WAXMAN:
- Q. Could you just turn to Tab 3 in your exhibit book. Do
- 9 you recognize that?
- 10 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 11 **Q.** What is it?
- 12 A. It's the agenda for our first official meeting of the RNA
- 13 committee.
- MR. WAXMAN: Your Honor, we'd move Defense
- 15 Exhibit 76.
- MR. McBRIDE: No objection.
- THE COURT: I think it's already in, but...
- 18 THE CLERK: No, it's not.
- 19 THE COURT: Karen says it's not. I had it marked
- 20 as in. It's admitted.
- 21 (Defendant Exhibit No. 76 admitted.)
- 22 BY MR. WAXMAN:
- 23 Q. I have two final questions. Was it the view of your
- committee that race-neutrality alternatives would never work
- 25 at Harvard?

**A.** No.

- Q. What in your view would the consequences be if Harvard had to stop considering race in admissions at this time?
- A. After careful consideration of all the simulations and the reports that we reviewed, it was the judgment of the committee, as I mentioned a little bit earlier, that no existing race-neutral alternative today or combination of them could substitute for the consideration of race as one factor among many in our admissions process.

And the reason we say that, as I mentioned earlier, is because the effects that it has on all the characteristics of our class. The reduction in some of our minority student percentages, the impact on the profile ratings, those are all things that worry us greatly.

It showed us that through the use of race-neutral alternatives today we could not admit a class that is both excellent in the characteristics that we value on our campus and broadly diverse that we get benefits from the diversity-related educational goals that we have.

So this reduction in broad-based diversity is something that the committee felt directly impacts the educational process, and it would directly diminish the education that's taking place, the learning that's taking place on our campus.

And I can't overestimate the harm that this kind of

```
a change would have to Harvard College's educational program,
1
     to the student experience on our campus, and to the
 2
     institution's mission and goals.
     Q.
          Thank you.
               MR. WAXMAN: No further questions.
 5
               MR. McBRIDE: No additional questions, Your Honor.
 6
                THE COURT: You're excused.
 7
               MR. WAXMAN: Go back to your sabbatical.
 8
                (Off the record.)
 9
                (Court recessed at 3:27 p.m.)
10
                THE COURT: When you're ready, Ms. Hacker.
11
               MS. HACKER: Your Honor, at this time SFFA calls
12
13
     Elizabeth Yong.
                (ELIZABETH YONG duly sworn by the Deputy Clerk.)
14
                THE CLERK: Can you, please state your name and
15
     spell your last name for the record.
16
                THE WITNESS: It's Elizabeth Yong, Y-O-N-G.
17
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
18
     BY MS. HACKER:
19
20
          Good afternoon, Ms. Yong.
        Good afternoon.
21
        My name is Kat Hacker. We haven't had a chance to meet
22
     yet. So I wanted to introduce myself.
23
               Let's start today by talking about how you fit into
24
     the picture here from your time at Harvard. Your time at
25
```

- 1 Harvard dates back to your own college years, right?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. You graduated with a degree from Harvard in applied math
- 4 in 1982?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. Then right after you graduated you took a full-time position at Harvard?
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 Q. You started as an administrative intern in the admissions
- 10 office?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. A year later you got promoted up the ranks within the
- admissions office, right?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- Q. You had a series of promotions, but eventually you became
- the special projects administrator for policy operations and
- 17 research.
- Did I get that right?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- Q. And that's the position you held until you left Harvard
- 21 in 2015?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. So you worked in the Harvard admissions office for
- 24 | 33 years?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. In all the roles you held during your time at Harvard,
  you would generate reports based on the electronic data that
  the admissions office kept, right?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Those reports included statistics about the applicant pool?
- 7 A. Yes.

23

24

25

- Q. Specifically the reports listed the ethnicities of the applicant pool?
- 10 **A.** They were sort of dashboards that gave a picture of what
  11 the entire applicant pool was like. In addition to that
  12 there was gender and geography as well.
- Q. So that dashboard did include the ethnicities of the applicant pool?
- 15 A. In addition to other info, yes.
- Q. And it also included the ethnicities of the admitted students, correct?
- 18 A. In addition to the other info, yes.
- Q. So that we all know what we're talking about here, I'd
  like to take a look at one of these reports together to start
  out, and this is P163 that I'm putting up on the screen.

You also have a binder in front of you if you'd like to look at it in hard copy. I'll blow things up that we're talking about to try to make it easier to see everything.

So you see P163 in front of you. This is already in evidence.

And down here at the bottom we see an email from you, right?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. And you say, "Attached are stats for tomorrow's meetings."

Right?

- 9 A. That's what it says.
- Q. So let's turn to page 2 together. And page 2 here includes these reports I was referring to. I've heard people refer to these as "one-pagers."
- Is that what you would call this?
- 14 **A.** Yes.

4

- Q. And just so there's no confusion, we'll see some
  documents today that include multiple pages, but when we hear
  the term "one-pager," it's this piece of paper that's being
  referred to; is that right?
- 19 **A.** It is.
- Q. So let's start here on the top, and I'll zoom in a little bit more so we can get a good view of this. The one-pager here lists the total number of applicants, right? That's the
- 23 first column?
- 24 **A.** It is.
- 25 Q. And then we see the percentage of applicants that

- 1 accounts for?
- 2 **A.** That's right.
- 3 Q. And then we see the number of admits?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 0. Next to that we have the admit rate?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- Q. And then we have the percentage that that accounts for in admits; is that right?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- Q. We see a comparison of the prior year's class to the
- 11 admissions cycle. Is that right?
- 12 **A.** It is.
- 13 Q. Then if we scroll down to the bottom of this one-pager,
- we have some different rows for races; is that right?
- 15 **A.** We do.
- Q. But this only includes minorities, correct?
- 17 A. That's what it does, yes.
- 18 Q. It doesn't list white applicants or white admits?
- 19 A. It does not.
- 20 Q. So looking just at the statistics about minorities on the
- 21 bottom of the one-pager doesn't give you information on how
- 22 the full class is shaping up because the full class, of
- course, includes white applicants and admits, right?
- 24 A. The number is included in total, and the gender numbers
- and the geography numbers include all groups.

- Q. So focusing us just on the statistics on the bottom about races and ethnicities, do you see I've put a square around
- 3 that on your screen?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And just focusing on those statistics about races and
- ethnicities, we can't see how the full class is shaping up,
- 7 right, because this, of course, doesn't include the full
- 8 class?
- 9 A. Other numbers do, yes.
- 10 **Q.** I'm sorry?
- 11 A. But the other numbers do include the full class.
- 12 Q. I understand you're talking about the other numbers.
- What I'd like to focus on is just the numbers of these races
- and ethnicities at the bottom.
- Do you see that?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So focusing just on those numbers, those don't give us a
- full indication of how the class is shaping up because, of
- 19 course, it doesn't include the full class?
- 20 A. Not for those numbers.
- 21 Q. And then if we look down here at the bottom, all of the
- one-pagers are dated, correct?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- Q. Like the example we're looking at, the one-pagers would
- always compare the current admissions cycle to the previous

- 1 year?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- 3 Q. They would always include this breakdown of minorities
- 4 that we're looking at?
- 5 A. It included all of those. It's a template that has all
- 6 those fields, and this is generated every time one was
- 7 requested.
- Q. It was Dean Fitzsimmons himself who requested that you
- generate these reports, right?
- 10 A. I believe, yes.
- 11 Q. In fact, you never prepared these reports for
- Dean Fitzsimmons's predecessor Fred Jewett?
- 13 A. There wasn't the technology to do that for Dean Jewett
- because we had an old key punch system. And so it was harder
- to create these reports.
- 16 Q. But Dean Jewett never requested that you prepare any type
- of report like this, right?
- 18 A. Dean Jewett didn't really trust the database. He did his
- 19 counting by hand.
- 20 Q. But Dean Fitzsimmons did trust the database?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. Let's turn now to P147. Do you recognize this as an
- email that Ms. Howrigan sent to you here at the bottom on
- 24 | March 19, 2013?
- 25 **A.** I do.

MS. HACKER: SFFA offers P149, Your Honor.

2 MS. CONLEY: No objection.

THE COURT: Admitted.

(Plaintiff Exhibit No. P149 admitted.)

5 BY MS. HACKER:

- Q. Just so we know who Ms. Howrigan is, she was the database administrator at this time, right?
- 8 A. No. Actually I was. She had stepped down from that position and was a full-time admissions officer.
- 10 Q. So Ms. Howrigan worked in the admissions office?
- 11 A. Yes, she did.
- 12 Q. This email was sent on March 19. So this was around the
- time of the full committee meetings, right?
- A. I'd have to see a calendar to fully confirm that. But
- it's March; so it could have been.
- Q. March is around the time when the full committee meets?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And the full committee meeting is where the entire
- admissions committee comes together to discuss and review
- 20 applicants?
- 21 **A.** It is.
- 22 Q. Now, Ms. Howrigan in this email says to you, "We just
- finished up our first pass, and WRF was hoping he could get a
- one-pager and his ethnic stats."
- Do you see that?

- 1 **A.** I do.
- 2 Q. "WRF" is Dean Fitzsimmons, right?
- 3 A. Heis.

- Q. And at the end of this email Ms. Howrigan says, "It looks like we need to take 28 more right now from the lop mes."
  - The reference to the lop mes is a reference to the group of provisionally admitted students that get suggested, some get removed or lopped, right?
- 9 **A.** They are the group of admits that are the most vulnerable, yes.
- Q. So from this email it looks like the full committee has gone through the applicants once and has a provisional group of students, right?
- 14 A. It does.
- Q. But before adjusting that group, Dean Fitzsimmons wants
- to see his ethnic stats?
- 17 A. He wants to see the one-pager, yes.
- Q. You would run these one-pagers throughout the admissions cycle to provide to Dean Fitzsimmons, right?
- 20 **A.** I would run them whenever it was requested.
- 21 Q. And it was requested throughout the admissions cycle?
- 22 A. It was requested whenever Dean Fitzsimmons needed them.
- I can't tell you when.
- Q. It was your responsibility to prepare those one-pagers, right?

- Α. 1 It was. Let's see how often Dean Fitzsimmons needed those 2 one-pagers. I'd like to start by taking a look at P68. And this is an admissions calendar you referenced just a minute 5 ago. Do you recognize this as the admissions calendar from 2013 to 2014? 7 Α. It is. 9 MS. HACKER: Your Honor, I offer P68. MS. CONLEY: No objection, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Admitted. 11 (Plaintiff Exhibit No. P68 admitted.) 12 13 BY MS. HACKER; 14 Q. So, Ms. Yong, what I'm going to do is put up what I've marked as PD20. And what I've done here is taken that 15 admissions calendar that we looked at and put it onto a 16 timeline so that we can build out together exactly how many 17 18 one-pagers we see in an admissions cycle. 19 To do that, in your binder in front of it you, you have the hard copies of all of the exhibits. I'd like you to 20 start by turning to P148. 21 You see that P148 is an email that you sent to 22
- 24 **A.** It is.

25

Q. It's dated November 5, 2013?

Dean Fitzsimmons; is that right?

- 1 **A.** It is.
- Q. And in that email you say, "The first one-pager of the season is attached," right?
- 4 **A.** I do.
- Q. So let's put that on our calendar as the first one-pager of the season. I've put a little P148 icon so that we can see that.
  - And if you turn to the second page of P148, we see the one-pager, right?
- 10 **A.** We do.
- Q. Like the one-pager we looked at on the screen together, we see a comparison of the class of 2017 to the class of
- 13 2018?

- 14 A. For early-action applicants, yes.
- Q. And then down at the bottom we see a comparison of the
- racial or ethnic breakdown of the applicant pool for the
- class of 2017 versus the class of 2018?
- 18 A. In addition to the other elements of the template, yes.
- 19 Q. And like the example we looked at, the ethnic or racial
- breakdown at the bottom of the one-pager only shows the
- 21 percentage of each minority, right?
- 22 **A.** It does.
- Q. It doesn't list the percentage of white students for
- either the class of 2017 or the class of 2018?
- 25 A. It does not.

- Q. And that last column shows us the change between the racial makeup of those minorities in the applicant pool for the class of 2017 to the class of 2018?
- 4 | A. It does.
- 5 Q. Next I'd like you to turn to P150 in your binder.
- There's a few sheets of statistics in this one. What I want to turn your attention to is the fourth page in. If you see the small number in the bottom right-hand corner, it says,

  "HARV4232."
- Do you see that, Ms. Yong?
- 11 **A.** I do.
- 12 Q. That one-pager was created on November 24, 2013?
- 13 A. I did create this, yes.
- 14 Q. And you created it on November 24, 2013?
- 15 A. I did. But I did not put that check mark on the page.
- So this is not my report, but I did create the template.
- Q. Great. So we'll add that to our calendar on November 24, 2013.
- And if we look at the calendar, taking a step back,
  this is the day before the beginning of the early-action full
  committee meetings, right?
- 22 A. I need to go back and check.
- Q. The timeline we have on the screen in front of you if that helps.
- 25 **A.** It is.

- Q. So at this point the subcommittees have chosen the people to admit in the early-action cycle, right?
- 3 **A.** They have.
- Q. In your binder if you'll turn back to P149, and I promise that's the last one we'll go out of order, P149 you see is a one-pager that was prepared a few days later?
- 7 **A.** It is.
- $\mathbf{Q}$ . And that one is dated 11/26/2013?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So we'll add that to our timeline. That was the second day of the early-action full committee meetings, right?
- 12 A. Again, yes.
- Q. So these statistics would show how things changed based on the first day of the early-action full committee meeting?
- 15 A. That's what they reflect, yes.
- Q. Now, let's flip to P152. This is a one-pager you actually emailed to Dean Fitzsimmons instead of printing out, right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. You emailed this on December 2, 2013?
- 21 **A.** I did.
- Q. So we'll add that to our timeline as well. That was also during the early-action full committee meetings?
- A. Let me just go back. December 2, yes.
- Q. So, again, we're seeing the changes of the percentage of

- minorities in the class day to day based on the committee's discussions?
- A. In addition to the breakdowns by gender and geographic region and concentration, yes.
- Q. Flip with me to P153. That's our next one-pager. This one is dated a few days later, December 5, 2013, right?
- 7 **A.** It is.
- Q. We'll put that in our timeline. And we see that was the day before the end of the early-action full committee
- 10 meetings?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- Q. P154 is next. That is a one-pager that was created the
- very next day, December 6, 2013, right?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So we'll add that to our timeline, and we see that that
- was the day of the -- that was the last day of the
- early-action full committee meeting?
- 18 A. According to the calendar, yes.
- 19 Q. P155 is our next one-pager. That one was created on
- 20 December 10, 2013?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So we'll add that. This is now a few days after the full committee meetings were complete, right?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. P156 is next. That was a one-pager again created just a

- 1 few days later on December 13, 2013, right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. We'll add that one as well. And that takes us through the end of 2013.
- So flip to P157 next. Can you see that as a one-pager that was prepared on January 2, 2014, right?
- 7 A. Yes. But I did not put the slash marks on that. So I don't know whose this is.
- Q. But you prepared the one-pager itself. You just didn't write the "X" mark on it?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And the one-pager itself was created on January 2, 2014?
- 13 **A.** It was.
- Q. Let's add that one to our timeline. And we can see that now we finished up early action, right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. This is moving on to regular decision applicants?
- 18 **A.** It is.
- Q. This one-pager was created the day after the regular application deadline; is that right?
- 21 **A.** It was.
- Q. Similar to the one-pager we saw after the early-action deadline, this one, again, shows us the breakdown of the minorities in the applicant pool between the classes of 2017
- 25 versus 2018?

- A. In addition to the information about gender, geography, area of study, financial aid status, yes.
- Q. But nothing yet about the breakdown of admits because, of course, we're just at the beginning of the regular action cycle?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- Q. Flip to P158. That one-pager comes a few days later on January 5, 2014, right?
- 9 A. It does.
- Q. So we'll add that one. And then P159 is next. This is an email that you sent on January 7, 2014, right?
- 12 A. That's what it says.
- Q. We'll add that to our timeline as well. But this one's a
- 14 little bit different. This is a one-pager you sent to Roger
- 15 Banks, correct?
- 16 **A.** It is.
- 17 **Q.** This was in preparation for an ABAFAOILSS meeting?
- 18 | **A.** It was.
- 19 Q. Just so we all understand, ABAFAOILSS is an organization,
- 20 the letters stand for the Association of Black Admissions
- 21 Financial Aid Officers of the Ivy League and Sister Schools,
- 22 right?
- 23 A. Actually "seven sisters."
- 24 Q. Seven sisters?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. It's a group that a number of colleges participate in
- that they get together to try to help historically
- 3 under-represented minorities, right?
- A. I've never been to an ABAFAOILSS meeting; so I can't
- 5 comment.
- 6 Q. Is that your understanding of what ABAFAOILSS is?
- 7 A. I know it's a group of people who meet. I don't know why
- 8 they meet or what they discuss. I've never been to a
- 9 meeting.
- 10 Q. You just know that Mr. Banks requests these one-pagers
- with all of the statistics on Harvard's applicants and admits
- before he goes to ABAFAOILSS meetings?
- 13 A. The admits are of the class that's already set and
- 14 finished, yes.
- Q. And on page 2 you see the attachment to your email to
- Mr. Banks includes the one-pager, right?
- 17 A. It does.
- 18 Q. It's just like all the other one-pagers we've seen?
- 19 **A.** It is.
- 20 Q. So flip with me to P161. That's our next one-pager.
- 21 That one was created on January 13, 2014, right?
- 22 **A.** It was.
- 23 Q. So if we add that to the timeline, we see it's just about
- a week before the subcommittees are going to start meeting,
- 25 right?

- 1 A. Thereabouts, yes.
- Q. And then P163 is our next one-pager. Again, this one is
- 3 attached to an email, right?
- 4 | **A.** It is.
- 5 Q. And it's dated March 2, 2014?
- 6 **A.** It is.
- 7 | Q. So if we add that to the timeline, we see that this is
- 8 the full committee -- this is when the full committee for
- 9 regular action was just about to start to meet, right?
- 10 **A.** It is.
- 11 Q. P164 is our next one-pager, and this one was created
- during the full committee meetings, right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 **Q.** That one is dated March 14, 2014?
- 15 **A.** It is.
- 16 Q. So we'll add that one as well.
- 17 P165 is our next one-pager. This is an email that
- 18 you sent to Dean Fitzsimmons, Director McGrath, and Sally
- 19 Donahue, right?
- 20 **A.** It is.
- 21 Q. This is dated March 17, 2014?
- 22 **A.** It was.
- 23 Q. If we put that on our timeline, we see that that's the
- 24 day that the final review process began, right?
- 25 A. It's the start of final review, yes.

- 1 Q. And final review is when the committees might have to lop
- 2 students to make sure Harvard doesn't admit too many people,
- 3 right?
- $4 \mid \mathbf{A}$ . To make sure that we come in on the target, yes.
- 5 Q. P 167 is our next one-pager. And it's dated the very
- 6 next day, March 18, 2014, right?
- 7 **A.** It is.
- 8 Q. When we add that to our timeline, we see that's the
- 9 second day of the final review or lopping process, right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. So now we're getting towards the very end of the regular
- decision part of the admissions cycle, right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. After this decision letters are sent to applicants?
- 15 A. A week or so later, yes.
- Q. But that's not the end of the full admissions cycle,
- 17 right?
- 18 A. It is not.
- 19 Q. The admissions office still has to deal with the
- 20 wait-list process at some point?
- 21 **A.** It does.
- 22 Q. And you continued to prepare one-pagers throughout that
- 23 process, too?
- 24 **A.** I did.
- 25 Q. So turn with me to P168. This is another email that you

- 1 sent to Roger Banks.
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 **A.** I do.
- 4  $\mathbf{Q}$ . That you sent on May 5, 2014?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And again it attaches a one-pager?
- 7 A. That reflects the number of matriculants, yes.
- 8 Q. So we'll add that to our timeline as well.
- Would this have been around the time of year of the second ABAFAOILSS meeting, or do you not know?
- 11 A. I think it might have been, yes.
- 12 Q. P169 is our next one-pager. That one was prepared just a
- few days later on May 7, 2014, right?
- 14 **A.** It is.
- Q. When we add that to our calendar, we see this is leading
- up to the wait-list meetings, correct?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. P170 is our next one-pager. That one was prepared on
- 19 May 21, 2014, right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. So now we're in the middle of the wait-list review
- 22 process?
- 23 A. Middle or start. I would have to see a calendar for that
- 24 to be exactly sure.
- 25 Q. This one-pager was created during the wait-list process,

- 1 right?
- A. Possibly or it could have been the start. I don't know if it was middle or start.
- Q. So P171 is our next one-pager. And that one appears on the second page of the document.
- Do you see that?
- 7 **A.** I do.
- 8 Q. That was created on June 27, 2014?
- 9 **A.** It was.
- 10 Q. We'll add that to our timeline as well. Now we're still
- in the wait-list process, right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. But this is towards the end of the wait-list process?
- 14 A. According to the calendar, yes.
- 15 Q. The part of the process where the last few spots may be
- being filled with people from the wait-list?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. P172, I believe, takes us to our last one-pager of the
- 19 year. Do you see that one?
- 20 **A.** I do.
- Q. And that one is dated August 27, 2014, right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. We'll add that final one to our calendar. That is right
- before the start of the fall semester, isn't it?
- 25 **A.** It is.

- Q. So this one-pager would be pretty close to what the actual admitted class looks like, right?
- 3 **A.** It is.

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And it shows us the percentage of each minority group in the class of 2018 compared to the class of 2017?
- 6 A. In addition to all the other fields, yes.
  - Q. Looking back to the timeline now that we've filled it out, by my count during the admissions cycle we found 21 different one-pagers that you prepared; is that right?
- 10 A. Looks like it, yes.
- Q. And you recognize all the documents we just flipped through together as the one-pagers you were responsible for while you worked at Harvard?
  - A. I did.
    - MS. HACKER: Your Honor, SFFA offers P148 through P150, P152 through P159, P161, P164 through P165 and P167 through P172.
    - MS. CONLEY: Your Honor, we'd object to several of these because Ms. Yong testified that she didn't recognize the handwriting on 150, 156, 157, and I believe 167. We don't have an issue with the data, but with respect to the handwriting that was on the document, she said it wasn't hers.
- THE COURT: What to you want to do about it? Do
  you want to substitute it? Or do you want to --

MS. HACKER: Your Honor, my understanding is these 1 one-pagers were kept in hard copy in Harvard's files. So 2 3 these were produced in discovery. These were the only ones we have. To the extent there's handwriting on them, we're 5 not offering the handwriting for the truth of the matter of 6 7 what they assert, I quess, but it doesn't change the fact that there's foundation that the documents are authentic and 9 can be admitted. MS. CONLEY: Your Honor, several of these documents 10 11 came from Dean Fitzsimmons files, and they could have offered these exhibits with him when he was here, and he could have 12 13 authenticated here. 14 THE COURT: All right. You can bring Dean Fitzsimmons back at your convenience and he can admit 15 those documents. 16 MR. LEE: She's offering them without the 17 18 handwriting. 19 MS. CONLEY: If you're offering just for the data and not for the handwriting, that would be fine. 20 MS. HACKER: We're offering this just for the data, 21 Your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: That's fine then. They're admitted with that understanding. 24 (Plaintiff Exhibits Nos. P148-P150, P152-P159, 25

```
P161, P164, P165, P167-P172 admitted.)
1
     BY MS. HACKER:
 2
 3
     Q. All right. Ms. Yong, now that we made it through all the
 4
     one-pagers from an admissions cycle, let's talk about some of
     the other reports that you put together to help with the
 5
     admissions process.
 6
 7
               We're going to look at P326 together. And I've
     blown it up on your screen.
8
 9
               Do you see that document, Ms. Yong?
     Α.
          I do.
10
11
     Q.
          This is a report that you prepared, right?
     Α.
          It is.
12
13
               MS. HACKER: SFFA offers P326.
14
               MS. CONLEY: No objection, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Admitted.
15
                (Plaintiff Exhibit No. P326 admitted.)
16
     BY MS. HACKER:
17
18
     Q. Ms. Yong, you prepared this at the request of
19
     Dean Fitzsimmons, right?
               I prepared this -- I don't know why I prepared it,
20
     Α.
     for whom I prepared it. There's no other -- there's no
21
     context here.
22
23
          Would this be something that Dean Fitzsimmons requested
     from you?
24
     A. As I said, I don't remember why I prepared it and for
25
```

- whom I prepared it because there's nothing around it that explains why I did it.
- Q. Let me see if I can help remind you of why you prepared it.
- 5 MS. HACKER: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 6 THE COURT: Sure.
- 7 BY MS. HACKER:
- Q. Ms. Yong, you remember giving testimony at a deposition in this case, right?
- 10 **A.** I do.
- 11 Q. You were under oath to tell the truth during that
- 13 **A.** I was.

deposition?

- Q. Turn with me to page 293 of your deposition and read to
- yourself lines 14 through 16 there. If it helps, on the
- previous page you see the exhibit that's being referred to,
- and you see the sticker on the exhibit on your screen that
- says "Yong Exhibit 29."
- 19 Have you had a chance to read that, Ms. Yong?
- 20 A. I'm almost done. Okay.
- 21 Q. Does that refresh your recollection that you prepared
- 22 this at the request of Dean Fitzsimmons?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you did, indeed, prepare this at the request of
- 25 Dean Fitzsimmons?

- 1 A. I guess I did.
- Q. Now, you see on the first two pages there's some information about the demographic breakdown of applicants and matriculants at Harvard, right?
- 5 **A.** There is.
- Q. If we turn to the third page in this document, again we have information on the demographic breakdown of applicants and matriculants, but here the focus is solely on the percentages of Asian-Americans in Harvard's classes, right?
- 10 A. That's what it says.
- Q. The last class year that is included on this report is the class of 2016, correct?
- 13 A. That's what it says.
- 14 Q. And it includes matriculant data for the class of 2016?
- 15 A. It does.
- Q. So does that tell us that this would have been made sometime late in 2012 or perhaps early in 2013?
- A. Not necessarily. Any time after 2012, yes, but I don't know exactly when I create -- I can't remember when I created this.
- Q. It would have had to have been created after fall of 2012 to have matriculant data for the class of 2016, right?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- Q. So let's focus together, I'm going to zoom in a little bit here so we can see it. Starting on the classes of 2010,

- and I'd like to look at the percentage of Asian-American
- 2 students in those classes. So the first one we see is about
- 3 | 19 percent Asian-Americans in the class of 2010; is that
- 4 right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then we see about 20 percent Asian-Americans in the
- 7 class of 2011?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Then we see 21 percent in the class of 2012?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. 19 percent in the class of 2013?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. About 22 percent in the class of 2014?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. About 21 percent in the class of 2015?
- 16 A. That's what it says.
- Q. And finally about 22 percent in the class of 2016, right?
- 18 A. That's what it says, yes.
- 19 Q. Let's turn now to Exhibit P182. Do you recognize this as
- 20 the document that shows the targets for subcommittee
- 21 meetings, right?
- 22 **A.** I do.
- MS. HACKER: SFFA offers P182.
- MS. CONLEY: No objection, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: It's admitted.

(Plaintiff Exhibit No. P182 admitted.)

2 BY MS. HACKER:

1

- Q. And targets -- when we have here at the top, "Targets for Subcommittee," what that means is how many admits each subcommittee is supposed to try to come in at, right?
- A. That's the number they should try and come in at, at the end of subcommittee, yes.
- Q. And the target is just based on what was done the year before?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. So the goal is to try to stay consistent year to year?
- 12 **A.** The target is a recommendation for subcommittee. It may or may not change by the end of the process, but it's a goal
- for the chairperson to work towards in subcommittee.
- numbers from documents like this each year and hand them to

Well, let's talk about that goal. You take the target

- the chairs of each subcommittee before the meeting started,
- 18 right?

- A. I would give them that number that's underneath the column that says "Targets For Subcommittee."
- Q. These numbers that I've highlighted on the screen?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you did that at Dean Fitzsimmons's direction, right?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- 25 Q. Then the subcommittees would observe those targets pretty

- 1 closely?
- 2 A. They would try, yes.
- Q. They would try to observe them pretty closely, right?
- 4 A. That is correct.
- 5 Q. Now, if we flip to page 2 of this document, we have a
- 6 column here labeled "Asian-American" and "Asian-American As
- 7 Percentage of Admits," right?
- B A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Let's zoom in a little bit so we can see down here at the
- 10 bottom. We see in the total column for the Asian-American as
- percentage of admits is 19.8 percent, right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. That's right in line with the numbers we just walked
- through on the last document, P326?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. Let's look now at P319. This is an email that you sent
- to Dean Fitzsimmons; is that right? And also
- 18 Director McGrath?
- 19 **A.** It is.
- MS. HACKER: SFFA offers P319.
- MS. CONLEY: No objection, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: It's admitted.
- (Plaintiff Exhibit No. P319 admitted.)
- 24 BY MS. HACKER:
- 25 Q. Ms. Yong, I don't want to spend too much time on this

- one, but I just want to confirm, like all the other reports
  we've looked at, you pulled the reports in this document at
- 3 the request of Dean Fitzsimmons?
- 4 A. I believe I did.
- Q. So now that we've talked a lot through a lot about the data and statistics that you pulled together when you worked at Harvard, I'd like to move to talking about the time you spent reading application files. Okay?
- 9 So you read applications from 1982 to 1992, right?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. You spent a decade reading and scoring applications?
- 12 **A.** I did.
- Q. In that time you read and scored hundreds of
- 14 applications?
- 15 A. I don't know the exact number.
- 16 Q. Would it be in the hundreds at least?
- 17 A. Possibly, yes.
- 18 Q. While you were working at Harvard, you saw figures
- suggesting that Asian-American applicants have on average
- 20 higher standardized test scores than other ethnic groups,
- 21 right?
- 22 A. Possibly, yes.
- 23 Q. You do remember seeing those statistics?
- 24 A. I may have.
- 25 Q. In the hundreds of applications that you read, did you

- find that Asian-American applicants were any worse at extracurricular activities than other races?
- A. When I was evaluating applicants, I concentrated on each applicant. I didn't group them together in any way, shape, or form. So I would look at my applicants individually. I never had the time to go back and see what my Asian applicants were like versus my white applicants were like.
- 8 So as a reader I concentrated on the individual applicant.
- 9 Q. But you didn't think that Asian-American applicants are not as good at extracurricular activities, right?
- A. As I said, I looked at each applicant as an individual, not as a group.
  - Q. Ms. Yong, if you'll turn with me to your deposition to page 233. And I'll put it up on the screen. In your deposition you were asked: "Do you think that they are not as good at extracurricular activities?"

And you answered: "No."

- Were you asked that question and did you give that answer?
- 20 A. Could you point me to this again? I'm sorry.
  - Q. Sure. It's page 233, lines 2 through 4.
- 22 A. Right.

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Were you asked that question, and did you give that answer?
- 25 **A.** I did.

- Q. In the applications that you read at Harvard, did you find that Asian-American applicants had worse personal qualities than other races or ethnicities?
  - A. I did not.
- Q. You don't remember specifically what you were told about how to use race in scoring an applicant's file other than it was just one of the factors you should look at among others, right?
- A. That is correct.

18

19

20

- Q. In fact, you don't know why Harvard uses race in the admissions process at all?
- A. It's used as a tip just the way we would use a tip for
  concentration or area of the country they come from or
  lineage or athletic ability. It is a tip that you use after
  the entire applicant is reviewed. It's one of the many
  factors that go into it.
  - Q. I understand you to be saying that it is a tip that can be used in the admissions process, but my question is different.
  - You don't know why Harvard uses race as a tip in the admissions process at all?
- A. It's to -- I do. It is to provide -- it's to provide a
  tip to students who have been disadvantaged by their
  background who come from areas that may not be as
  sophisticated as many other applicants, and they've had to

- overcome more issues. So it's one of the many things we look at.
- Q. Let's take a look again at your deposition, Ms. Yong.

  Flip with me to page 285. And I'm going to direct your

  attention to lines 20 to 23. I've got them up on the screen

  if that's easier to get to.
  - "QUESTION: Do you know why Harvard uses race in the admissions process?
- 9 "ANSWER: I do not."
- Were you asked that question, and did you give that answer?
- A. I did at the time, but I've been prepping, doing a lot of recalling of my past life in preparing for this trial. So

  I've been thinking more about my training as an admissions

  officer.
- Q. And when you say you've been thinking more about your training, I'm assuming that was in preparing for your testimony today with some of Harvard's lawyers?
- 19 **A.** It was.

- Q. How much time did you spend preparing for today's testimony with Harvard's lawyers?
- 22 A. A few weeks.
- Q. You spent a few weeks in full with Harvard's lawyers preparing for today?
- 25 **A.** Yeah. A couple of hours every few days for the last

- 1 couple of weeks.
- Q. So you spent a couple of hours every few days over a couple of weeks. What would you say you spent 20 hours total preparing with Harvard's attorneys?
- A. I'd have to sit down and think about it. But possibly, yes.
- Q. The deposition you gave in this case was about a year and a half ago in March of 2017, right?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- Q. That was certainly closer in time to when you read applications at Harvard, though it's still been quite a while ago, right?
- 13 A. It's been over 26 years.
- Q. And then after your deposition in March of 2017, you filled out something called an errata, right? You made corrections to your deposition. That was about a month after the deposition?
- 18 A. I guess, yes.
- Q. And at that time you didn't tell us that your testimony about why Harvard uses race and admissions had changed?
- 21 A. I don't recall.
- Q. But it's changed since you spent time preparing with Harvard's lawyers to testify today here in this case?
- A. It has changed mainly because I've been thinking about it more carefully, recalling my training. Things are coming

- back to me from the start of my career that I hadn't thought
- about in 26 years.
- 3 Q. Ms. Yong, you're aware that a gentleman named Ron Unz
- 4 wrote an article claiming that Harvard discriminates against
- 5 Asian-Americans in its admissions process, right?
- 6 **A.** Iam.
- 7 Q. And then The New York Times issued a piece discussing
- 8 that article around Christmas of 2012?
- 9 A. I don't know about The New York Times article.
- 10 Q. You know about the Unz article being publicized around
- 11 the holidays in 2012, right?
- 12 A. I believe so, yes.
- 13 Q. I'm sure that led to some discussion internally at the
- 14 admissions office?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- Q. I'd like to turn to P238. I put that on the screen for
- 17 you.
- Do you recognize this as a series of emails that
- you and Dan Zupan, is that how you pronounce his name?
- 20 **A.** It is.
- 21 Q. So this is a series of emails that you and Dan Zupan
- exchanged in January of 2013, right?
- 23 **A.** It is.
- MS. HACKER: SFFA offers P238.
- MS. CONLEY: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's admitted. 1 (Plaintiff Exhibit No. P238 admitted.) 2 3 BY MS. HACKER: 4 Q. Mr. Zupan was the director of information services who took over after you were promoted, right? 5 He took over after I left the office for a while, yes. 7 What I'd like to focus on is the third paragraph here, and it starts with you saying: "Fitz is on a tear about this 8 9 Asian-American thing." "Fitz" refers, of course, to Dean Fitzsimmons, 10 right? 11 It does. Α. 12 13 So apparently Dean Fitzsimmons was on a tear in January Q. 2013 about this Asian-American thing? 14 That's what it says. 15 Α. Q. Then you continue: "He's in Florida on a development 16 trip. So he's micromanaging everything. And yesterday had 17 18 to sit in a meeting with MEM and RMW about four articles he's written." 19 Do you see that? 20 I do. 21 Α. "MEM" is a reference to Director McGrath, right? 22 0. 23 Α. It is.

And "RMW" refers to Robin Worth?

Q.

Α.

It does.

24

She was the director of international admissions, right? 1 Q. That's correct. 2 You referred in the sentence to four articles that Ο. Dean Fitzsimmons wrote. So let's take a look at P234. 4 Do you recognize this as an email that 5 Dean Fitzsimmons sent to you and a number of others on 7 January 19, 2013? Α. I do. MS. HACKER: SFFA offers Exhibit P234. MS. CONLEY: No objection. 10 THE COURT: Admitted. 11 (Plaintiff Exhibit No. P234 admitted.) 12 13 BY MS. HACKER: O. We also see in the To line Director McGrath and Robin 14 Worth who were both mentioned in the last email we looked at, 15 right? 16 Yes. 17 Α. 18 We also see Sally Donahue, right? Q. 19 A. Yes. Q. And Dean Fitzsimmons writes to you and these others, 20 subject: "Enjoy the following four drafts." 21 Do you see that? 22 23 Α. I do. If we flip to the fifth page of this document, what we 24 Q.

see is a draft of something called "Facts About

- 1 Asian-Americans At Harvard."
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 **A.** I do.
- Q. But you don't remember Dean Fitzsimmons writing the four articles he sent you in this email?
- 6 A. Could you --
- Q. You don't remember these articles that Dean Fitzsimmons sent you, right?
- 9 A. Not specifically, no.
- Q. So you don't remember whether or not this was published
- or publicized in any way?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- 13 Q. At some point after Mr. Unz's article came out you became
- aware of a website called "Harvard Not Fair," right?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And that was website related to this case that was
- eventually filed, right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Does it sound right that that happened in about April of
- 20 2014?
- 21 A. I don't recall exactly when.
- 22 Q. Let's take a look at P283 together. This is an email
- between you and Grace Cheng on April 23, 2014, right?
- 24 **A.** It is.
- MS. HACKER: SFFA offers P283.

```
MS. CONLEY: No objection.
1
               THE COURT: It's admitted.
 2
                (Plaintiff Exhibit No. P283 admitted.)
 3
     BY MS. HACKER:
 4
     Q.
          Ms. Cheng was an admissions officer; is that right?
 5
     Α.
          She was.
 6
 7
          These emails -- again, we're seeing in April of 2014.
     And what I want to start with is the second email where you
     say: "The guy who financed the Fisher case is looking for
     Asian-American kids who were rejected from Harvard."
10
11
               Do you see that?
     Α.
          T do.
12
          So by this point in time you were aware of the Harvard
13
     Q.
14
     Not Fair website, right?
15
     Α.
          Yes.
        And then Ms. Cheng responds to you: "P.S., I chair Z
16
     docket, which is all of California except for LA and
17
18
     San Fran. Land of Asians-Americans. You can single-handedly
19
     blame me if you need to. I've got a great response. I can
     tell you Friday. Easily defensible. My hobby is quickly
20
     becoming telling people they are ridiculous."
21
               You don't recall Ms. Cheng's great response, do
22
     you?
23
     Α.
          I do not.
24
     Q. And surely you don't think claims of potential
```

- discrimination should be treated as ridiculous. They should be treated with respect and investigated, right?
- 3 A. I take all claims of discrimination very seriously. And
- 4 I know the Harvard admissions process. And Grace and I
- 5 know -- well, I assume she knows -- it's a very careful
- 6 process, and nobody discriminates in that office.
- Q. So you'd agree that claims of potential discrimination should be treated with respect and investigated?
- 9 A. They should be taken seriously.
- MS. HACKER: Pass the witness.
- 11 EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MS. CONLEY:
- 13 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Yong.
- 14 A. Good afternoon.
- 15 Q. How are you?
- 16 A. I've been better.
- 17 Q. Now, you retired from Harvard in 2015?
- 18 **A.** I did.
- 19 Q. And what was your position at the time you retired?
- 20 A. Special projects administrator.
- 21 Q. And before holding your position as a special projects
- 22 administrator, there was a point in time when you read
- 23 application files?
- 24 A. There was.
- Q. And when was the last time you read application files in

- 1 the Harvard admissions office?
- 2 **A.** 1992.
- Q. And was 1992 the last time you participated in
- 4 subcommittee meetings?
- 5 **A.** It was.
- Q. And was 1992 the last time you participated in full committee meetings?
- 8 A. It was.
- 9 **Q.** And after 1992 did you have any responsibilities relating to admissions policies or practices?
- 11 A. Only as how they would affect the database.
- Q. And since 1992 did you ever provide any substantive input
- as to any admission policies or practices?
- **A.** Only as to how they would affect the database.
- 15 **Q.** Since 1992, from 1992 to 2015, was your role in the
- 16 Harvard admissions office limited to maintaining the database
- and generating data reports?
- 18 A. It was. In addition to maintaining our relationship with
- third-party vendors like the College Board and the ACT and
- 20 the Common App.
- 21 Q. With respect to your relationships with those vendors,
- was that primarily a data-related function?
- 23 **A.** It was.
- Q. Ms. Hacker asked you a series of questions about the
- one-pagers that you prepared in your time in the admissions

1 office.

2

5

Do you recall those questions?

- 3 **A.** I do.
  - Q. Let's take a look at Tab 7 in your binder, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 154.

Are you there?

- 7 | **A.** I am.
- Q. And is that an example of a one-pager that you discussed with Ms. Hacker?
- 10 **A.** It is.
- Q. Okay. And can you just walk Your Honor through the categories that are included on the one-pager?
- A. It goes through the total number of applicants. There's
  a breakdown by gender, by geographic region, by area of
  study, by lineage status, financial aid status, disadvantage
  and fee waiver, citizenship, and ethnicity.
- Q. And do all one-pagers contain this information?
- 18 **A.** The one-pager -- this is a template of the information 19 that I would give. And they all have that information on
- 20 there.
- Q. If you looked at the total number at the top, if you wanted to know how many white applicants were reflected in the one-pager, could you figure that out?
- A. By adding together the number of students and, I guess, subtracting -- I mean, the number of minority students and

- 1 subtracting them from the total.
- Q. I'm sorry. Does the total number represent the total number of admitted students in the pool?
- A. It represented every student who has applied or been admitted, yes.
- Q. And can you take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 149.

  Are you there?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. Is that a one-pager?
- 10 A. That is not a one-pager. That is a -- I don't know what
- to call it, but it's a set of statistics. It has nowhere
- near the amount of information that a one-pager generally
- 13 has.
- Q. And can you turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 153. Is that a one-pager?
- 16 A. That is not a one-pager. Like the other one, it's got
- nowhere near the information that a one-pager would reflect.
- 18 Q. Now, earlier you mentioned that you haven't attended
- 19 subcommittee or full committee meetings since 1992. That's
- 20 right?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- Q. Do you know how, if at all, the one-pagers you generated are used during the admissions process?
- 24 **A.** I do not.
- Q. And during Ms. Hacker's examination, you stated that you

- would provide one-pagers to Dean Fitzsimmons?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- 3 Q. And you would provide them to Director McGrath?
- A. Yes.
- 5 **Q.** Is there anyone else you would provide one-pagers to?
- A. Director Donahue and as we saw to Roger Banks for ABAFAOILSS.
- 8 Q. And when were the ABAFAOILSS meetings?
- 9 **A.** In January after the early-action letters had gone out and in May after the students had replied.
- Q. And so what kind of one-pagers -- what information did the one-pagers that you provided to Roger Banks have on them, the ones that you would provide for the ABAFAOILSS meetings?
- A. They had the same information that we went over, but they would be for the set class. So is the EA numbers were set.
- They were -- the one-pagers were meant to be a dashboard and
- to provide information, a descriptor of the applicants and admits.
- Q. But the one-pagers that you provided in connection with
- 20 ABAFAOILSS were provided once admissions decisions were
- 21 final?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- 23 Q. Can you turn to Tab 6, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 182.
- 24 That's the subcommittee docket target sheet that you were
- 25 taking a look at with Ms. Hacker.

Do you recall that? 1

I do. 2 Α.

12

- When you were working in Harvard's admissions office, who Q. did you provide docket targets sheets like this to?
- This specific information only went to the dean and the 5 Α. two directors.
- 7 And did you ever provide docket target sheets like Plaintiff's Exhibit 182 to the subcommittee chairs?
- 9 Α. The only information I would give the subcommittee chairs is the information on the second column. So if you were the 10 11 chair of a docket, you would get a piece of paper that said that your target would be 93. And I would also include the
- 13 EA admits and previous admits for that class so you would 14 know what your wiggle room was.
- And would the subcommittee chairs or the docket chairs 15 receive any of the other information on that particular 16 exhibit? 17
- 18 Α. No. Just the numbers for their docket.
- 19 Q. And would any admissions officers receive any of that other information on that Plaintiff's Exhibit 182? 20
- No, they did not. 21 Α.
- Now, Ms. Yong, can you take a look at Tabs 1, 2, and 3 in 22 Ο. 23 your binder. They're Defendants' Exhibits 30, 31, and 33.
- We'll look quickly one by one. 24
- What's at Tab 1, which is Defendants' Exhibit 30? 25

- 1 A. It is the breakdown of numbers with the overall class for
- apps, admits, and matrics for the classes of 1980 to 2018.
- 3 And then a breakdown for African-American app, admits and
- 4 matrics for those classes.
- 5 Q. Take a look at Tab 2, which is Defendants' Exhibit 31.
- 6 What is that?
- 7 A. That's the same format only for Hispanic-American
- 8 applicants.
- 9 Q. And let's take a look at Tab 3, which is Defendants'
- 10 | Exhibit 33.
- 11 A. And that's the same thing only for Asian-American
- 12 applicants.
- 13 Q. And who created those three documents?
- 14 A. I believe I did.
- 15 Q. And where do the data from the documents come from?
- 16 A. They came from our database.
- MS. CONLEY: Your Honor, we offer Defendants'
- 18 Exhibit 30, 31, and 33 into evidence.
- MS. HACKER: No objection, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: They're admitted.
- (Defendant Exhibit No. 30, 31, 33 admitted.)
- 22 BY MS. CONLEY:
- 23 Q. Ms. Yong, you said you worked in the Harvard admissions
- 24 office for 33 years?
- 25 **A.** I did.

- Q. During those 33 years, how many times have you seen an admissions officer or anyone in the admissions office demonstrate a bias against an applicant because of his or her race?
- A. I have never seen that.
- Q. And during those 33 years, how many times have you heard an applicant's race described as a negative factor?
- A. I have never heard that.
- Q. And during those 33 years, were you ever aware of any effort to reach a target number of admitted students from a particular racial or ethnic background?
- 12 | **A.** I -- never.
- Q. And during that timeframe, were you ever aware of any effort to limit the number of admitted students from a particular racial or ethnic background?
- 16 **A.** Never.
- Q. Ms. Yong, in your 33 years in the admissions office, have you ever seen anything to substantiate accusations of bias against Asian-Americans in the admissions process?
- 20 A. I have never.
- Q. Have you ever seen anything to substantiate accusations of discrimination against Asian-Americans in the admissions process?
- 24 A. I have never.
- Q. Earlier you told Ms. Hacker that you attended Harvard

- 1 | College; is that right?
  - A. That is correct.
  - Q. From your time working in the admissions office, do you understand that the college considers a variety of different factors and aspects of an applicant's background when evaluating an applicant for admission?
  - A. Ido.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And do you believe that you benefited from Harvard's admissions policies which take these things into account?
- 10 A. Most definitely.
- Q. And why do you believe that you were benefited or you benefited from Harvard's admissions policies?
  - A. I snuck a look at my profile when I first started working, which everybody does, and I could -- I knew I was a capable student and able, but from the surface of it, you wouldn't be able to really distinguish me from any other solid applicant.

And I believe that the tip for being

Asian-American, for being from a City of Boston high school

and from a first-generation student, neither of my parents

graduated -- went beyond sixth grade. So all of those

combined, I believe, helped me get into Harvard.

- Q. Ms. Yong, did you benefit from Harvard's diverse student body while you were a student there?
- A. I most definitely did. As I said, my parents didn't go

beyond sixth grade. And so I did not come from a very
sophisticated background, and I was not exposed to many
things.

And when I came to Harvard, I met people from all
over the world. I met people from outside of Boston. I had

never even met anybody from, like, Newton. That's how

insular my upbringing was.

And the discussions we had about their interests, their discussions that we had about what it was like to grow up in different areas was just eye-opening and incredible.

MS. CONLEY: Thank you, Ms. Yong. No further questions.

MS. HACKER: We have no more questions for Ms. Yong.

THE COURT: You're excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, we've got 15 minutes left. Our next live witness is Roger Banks. I don't think we can get him done in 15. We can start him or we can start reading some of the depositions. I don't think we can get through an entire one, I'm informed, in 15 minutes, but we're happy to utilize the time, or we're happy to start again tomorrow.

THE COURT: I'm happy to defer to you all on it. We've been sitting long days. If we don't fully utilize the last 12 minutes of our day, that's fine with me.

MR. HUGHES: Let us start tomorrow with Mr. Banks. 1 THE COURT: Yeah. That's fine. 2 MR. HUGHES: I'll defer --3 THE COURT: That's fine with me. 4 MS. ELLSWORTH: Mr. Banks is here, but we're fine 5 to start tomorrow as well. 6 7 THE COURT: What do you want to do for tomorrow, 9:30 or 10:00? Does anybody care? 9:30? 8 9 MR. HUGHES: 9:30 is great. THE COURT: Where do you guys think we are in terms 10 of time, are we ahead, on or behind schedule? 11 MR. HUGHES: I think with any luck, it will -- it's 12 13 hard for us to know because the remaining significant witness 14 in our case from our perspective is Dr. Arcidiacono. will be a pretty robust direct, as you can imagine, several 15 I'm not sure how long Mr. Lee plans on 16 cross-examining him. 17 18 If we could start him first thing Thursday and hope 19 to finish Thursday or early Friday with him, then I think we could be done with everything, including the depositions 20 hopefully by the end of Friday, assuming we have full days 21 between now and then. 22 23 And that would let the Amici, who are participating, do their thing on Monday, which they've all 24 indicated is the most convenient for them. And then I think 25

Harvard only has two witnesses in their case, but they can 1 obviously correct me if I'm wrong. 2 MR. LEE: Your Honor, without trying to disagree on 4 the granular portions of what Mr. Hughes says, I think we'll be done by next Friday, but I think it's going to take us to 5 the end of the third week. THE COURT: That's fine. Assuming we have time, I would like to have closings unless anybody -- I don't want to 8 say "unless." Does anybody strenuously object to closings? We'll do them again after you submit your proposed findings 10 of fact and conclusions of law. 11 MR. HUGHES: We certainly would love to have 12 closings at the end of the evidence so we can focus on what 13 14 we've all learned in the past by that time three weeks, and I think we talked about doing the second closing at the 15 pretrial conference and that's --16 THE COURT: Yes. That would be my preference, too, 17 18 but I'm willing to entertain objections to that. 19 MR. LEE: That's good with us. And we're happy to do it next week. And I think from our perspective the most 20 important thing is to have a second bite at the apple after 21 Your Honor the proposed findings and conclusions. 22 23 THE COURT: Yes. I'm happy to give you that, too. All right. I'll see everyone at 9:30, tomorrow. 24

25

Thank you.

```
(Court recessed at 3:36 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| 1                               |   |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 2                               | CERTIFICATION   |  |  |  |
| 3                               |   |  |  |  |
| 4                               | I certify that the foregoing is a correct                     |  |  |  |
| 5                               | transcript of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled |  |  |  |
| 6                               | matter to the best of my skill and ability.                   |  |  |  |
| 7                               |   |  |  |  |
| 8                               |   |  |  |  |
| 9                               |   |  |  |  |
| 10                              | /s/ Joan M. Daly October 23, 2018                             |  |  |  |
| 11                              |   |  |  |  |
| 12                              | Joan M. Daly, RMR, CRR Date Official Court Reporter           |  |  |  |
| 13                              | <del>-</del>  |  |  |  |
| 14                              |   |  |  |  |
| 15                              |   |  |  |  |
| 16                              |   |  |  |  |
| 17                              |   |  |  |  |
| 18                              |   |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul> |   |  |  |  |
| 21                              |   |  |  |  |
| 22                              |   |  |  |  |
| 23                              |   |  |  |  |
| 24                              |   |  |  |  |
| 25                              |   |  |  |  |
|                                 |   |  |  |  |

| 1  | INDEX OF WITNESSES  |          |
|----|---|----------|
| 2  | WITNESS   | PAGE     |
| 3  | RAKESH KHURANA  |          |
| 4  |   | 6        |
| 5  | Examination (Resumed) By Mr. Mortara Examination By Ms. Conley        | 6<br>16  |
| 6  | Further Examination By Mr. Mortara  Further Examination By Ms. Conley | 65<br>70 |
| 7  | MICHAEL SMITH   |          |
| 8  |   | 72       |
| 9  | Examination By Mr. McBride<br>Examination By Mr. Waxman               | 104      |
| 10 | ELIZABETH YONG  |          |
| 11 | Direct Examination By Ms. Hacker                                      | 173      |
| 12 | Examination By Ms. Conley   | 212      |
| 13 |   |          |
| 14 |   |          |
| 15 |   |          |
| 16 |   |          |
| 17 |   |          |
| 18 |   |          |
| 19 |   |          |
| 20 |   |          |
| 21 |   |          |
| 22 |   |          |
| 23 |   |          |
| 24 |   |          |
| 25 |   |          |
|    |   |          |

| 1                               |                  | EXHIBITS                                |          |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---|----------|
| 2                               | Defendant Exl    | nihit                                   | Received |
| 3                               | 13               |   | 30       |
| 4                               | 30               | ••••••••••••                            | 218      |
| 5                               | 31               | •••••••••••••                           |          |
| 6                               |                  | ••••••••••••••••                        | 218      |
| 7                               | 33               | •••••••••••                             | 218      |
| 8                               | 39               | • | 158      |
| 9                               | 60               | •••••                                   | 53       |
| 10                              | 76               |   | 171      |
| 11                              | 79               |   | 130      |
| 12                              | 80               | •••••                                   | 130      |
| 13                              | 81               |   | 130      |
|                                 | 84               |   | 130      |
| <ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul> | 109              |   | 22       |
|                                 |                  |   |          |
| 16                              |                  |   |          |
| 17                              | D1 1 1 1 6 6 7 1 |   |          |
| 18                              | Plaintiff Ext    | <u>nibit</u>                            | Received |
| 19                              | P68              | ••••••••••••                            | 182      |
| 20                              | P148             | •••••                                   | 195      |
| 21                              | P149             | •••••                                   | 180      |
| 22                              | P149 P150        |   | 195      |
| 23                              | P152 P153        | • | 195      |
| 24                              | P154             |   | 195      |
| 25                              | P155             |   | 195      |
|                                 |                  | ••••••                                  |          |

| 1  | P156 |   |     |
|----|------|---|-----|
| 2  | 1130 |   | 195 |
| 3  | P157 |   | 195 |
| 4  | P158 |   | 195 |
| 5  | P159 |   | 195 |
| 6  | P161 |   | 195 |
| 7  | P164 |   | 195 |
| 8  | P165 |   | 195 |
| 9  | P167 |   | 195 |
| 10 | P168 | • | 195 |
| 11 | P169 | • | 195 |
| 12 | P170 | • | 195 |
| 13 | P171 | • | 195 |
| 14 | P172 | • | 195 |
| 15 | P182 | • | 200 |
| 16 | P234 |   | 209 |
| 17 | P238 |   | 208 |
| 18 | P283 |   | 211 |
| 19 | 301  |   | 9   |
| 20 | 302  |   | 9   |
| 21 | 312  |   | 81  |
| 22 | P319 |   | 201 |
| 23 | P326 |   | 196 |
| 24 |      |   |     |
| 25 |      |   |     |
|    |      |   |     |