

## MSRI Soergel bimodule workshop

June/July 2017

### Week 1 Day 4 Morning: Supplementary/Advanced Exercises

Note: the day 3 afternoon (supplementary) exercises had more exercises about light leaves.  
*Elements of Bott–Samelson bimodules and global intersection form*

1. In lectures we saw that for any expression  $\underline{w}$ ,  $BS(\underline{w})$  has a basis as a right  $R$ -module given by 01-sequences. It contains two canonical elements  $c_{\text{bot}}$  and  $c_{\text{top}}$  which project to elements of minimal and maximal degree in  $\overline{BS(\underline{w})}$ . In this exercise we find a recursive formula for

$$N_{\underline{w}}(f) := \langle f^{\ell(\underline{w})} c_{\text{bot}}, c_{\text{bot}} \rangle.$$

for any degree two element  $f \in R$ , acting by left multiplication on  $\overline{BS(\underline{w})}$ .

- a) Find a formula for  $N_{\underline{w}}(f)$  in terms of  $N_{\underline{w}'}(f)$ , over all subexpressions  $\underline{w}'$  obtained by omitting a simple reflection from  $\underline{w}$ .
- b) Show that  $N_{\underline{w}}(f) = 0$  unless  $\underline{w}$  is reduced. (*Hint:* It might help to use the light leaves description of  $BS(\underline{w})$  or the decomposition of  $BS(\underline{w})$  into indecomposable Soergel bimodules.) Use this to simplify your formula in part (a).
- c) Suppose that  $\partial_s(f) > 0$  for all  $s \in S$ . Show that  $N_{\underline{w}}(f) > 0$  for  $\underline{w}$  reduced. (First prove that  $sw > w$  if and only if  $\partial_s(wf) > 0$ .)
- d) (Only if the question makes sense to you!) What is  $N_{\underline{w}}(f)$  in terms of Schubert calculus?