

MSRI Soergel bimodule workshop

June/July 2017

Week 1 Day 4 Afternoon: Basic Exercises

1. In the Temperley–Lieb category with $q = 1$, compute the local intersection form on $\text{Hom}(3, 5)$ and on $\text{Hom}(1, 5)$. What are the signatures of these forms?
2. In type B_2 , find a decomposition of the identity of $BS(stst)$ into primitive orthogonal idempotents. (You have computed the intersection form at st in a previous exercise.)
3. Fix w . Prove that the span of the light leaves factoring through elements $x < w$ is the same as the span of all morphisms factoring through Bott–Samelsons for rexes \underline{x} , with $x < w$. Hence this span is an ideal.
4. Prove that any semisimple abelian category can be given the structure of an object adapted cellular category. What is the poset Λ ?

Recall that a *Krull–Schmidt category* is an additive category in which every object is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of indecomposable objects, and an object is indecomposable if and only if its endomorphism ring is local.

5. Let \mathcal{C} be a Krull–Schmidt category over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} . Show that the multiplicity of B as summand of X is given by the rank of the pairing

$$\text{Hom}(B, X) \times \text{Hom}(X, B) \rightarrow \text{End}(B)/\mathfrak{m}_B.$$

where \mathfrak{m}_B denotes the maximal ideal of $\text{End}(B)$. What is the correct statement for general fields or local rings \mathbb{k} ?

6. Some exercises to get used to Krull–Schmidt categories:

- a) Show that the Krull–Schmidt theorem holds in Krull–Schmidt categories: any object can be written as a direct sum of indecomposable objects, and this decomposition is unique up to permutation of the factors.
- b) (*Idempotent lifting*) Let A be an algebra and $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ an ideal such that $\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$. Show that given an idempotent $e \in A/\mathfrak{m}$ there exists an idempotent $\tilde{e} \in A$ such that $e = \tilde{e}$ in A/\mathfrak{m} . Now prove the same statement assuming only that A is complete with respect to the topology defined by \mathfrak{m} .
- c) Let $(\mathbb{O}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete local ring. Let \mathcal{C} be a Karoubian \mathbb{O} -linear additive category such that all hom spaces are finitely generated. Show that \mathcal{C} is Krull–Schmidt. (*Hint*: It might help to first consider the case when \mathbb{O} is a field.)
- d) Show that the category of graded modules over a polynomial ring is a Krull–Schmidt category. Conclude that the category of Soergel bimodules is Krull–Schmidt.
- e) (*) Let X be an affine variety. When does the Krull–Schmidt theorem hold for vector bundles on X ? (Answer: almost never.) Conclude that the Krull–Schmidt theorem fails for ungraded modules over a polynomial ring. (Optional: show that the Krull–Schmidt theorem holds for vector bundles on a projective algebraic variety.)