	0	1	2	3	4
Title	No or irrelevant/unclear title	Attempt at title, but ambiguous, irrelevant or too long.	Clear, concise and informative title.		
Keywords	No or irrelevant keywords	3-5 relevant keywords			
Abstract	Abstract missing or highly underdeveloped	Some detail on the study conducted and results obtained.	Full detail on the study conducted and results, but inadequate motivation or problem statement.	Clear motivation and problem statement, and full details on methods and results. Brief sentence on conclusions is also included, and word limit is met.	
Introduction	No or very irrelevant/limited introduction	Some detail on motivation behind study or problem statement. Significant amount of irrelevant information.	Clear motivation behind study and problem statement, with mostly relevant background information on the topic. May briefly mention previous studies.	Clear motivation and problem statement, along with detailed and relevant background information including a discussion of previous studies. Some detail on proposed approach and/or contributions.	Clear motivation and problem statement, along with detailed and relevant background information including a thorough discussion of previous studies. Detailed information on proposed approach with clear list of contributions.
Method	No methods section	Attempt at methods section but with limited or irrelevant detail.	Adequately clear methods section outlining which models are compared and how. Some details missing or insufficient models selected for comparison.	Clear methods section with full details on which models are compared and how (evaluation metrics, datasets, etc.). Some irrelevant/insufficient models compared.	Clear methods section with full details on which models are compared and how (evaluation metrics, datasets, etc.) Sufficient (5-7) and highly relevant models chosen for comparison.
Results	No results section	Results of some methods listed, but no comparison.	Results of all methods listed but with limited or illogical comparison.	All results listed and methods compared in a logical and fair way. Some detail on why certain methods are better/worse.	All results listed and methods compared in logical and fair way. Full detailed analysis on relative performance.
Discussion	No discussion section	Limited discussion of results and their relevance.	Full discussion and analysis of results and how they fit within the wider topic. No or limited mention of areas for improvement and future directions.	Full discussion and analysis of results and how they fit within the wider topic. Further discussion on areas for improvement and future directions.	
Conclusion	No or very irrelevant conclusion.	Some detail on the study conducted and results.	Full detail on the study conducted and results but lacking motivation or problem statement.	Full reiteration of motivation, problem statement, methods and results. Future directions and impact of study mentioned if relevant.	
References	No references or references improperly cited.	Some references missing or improperly cited.	References listed in full with proper CVPR/NeurIPs formatting.		
Tables and Figures	No tables and figures.	Some tables and/or figures but lacking logical order or captions missing/inadequate	Some tables and figures in logical order with adequate captions.	Adequate number of tables/figures presented in logical order with detailed and appropriate captions.	
Overall Writing	Significant spelling/grammar errors with lack of logical flow in the writing.	Adequate flow for the most part, but significant errors in spelling/grammar.	Adequately clear and logical flow throughout paper with some spelling/grammar errors.	Clear and logical flow throughout paper with minimal spelling/grammar errors.	
Overall Formatting	No care taken to presentation and guidelines not followed.	Formatting guidelines followed for the most part. No or very little care taken to presentation.	Formatting guidelines followed in full. Care taken to presentation in general but with some inconsistencies.	Formatting guidelines followed in full with excellent care taken to presentation and consistency. Page limit met.	