## Lecture 8 – Break-out session: Assess a review article

In this session,

- You will be split to a group of small number of members (maximum 4 students)
- Individually, you will need to scan (don't read in detail) a review article, spend 10 minutes
- In the group, members need to judge the review paper against a list of points listed below, spend 10 minutes
- After the break-out session, we will spend 10 minutes to discuss collectively.

## The paper is

Li Liu, et. al., Deep Learning for Generic Object Detection: A Survey, IJCV 2020, https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11263-019-01247-4

You can download the paper from Moodle (filename is Lect8-Break-out-session-paper.pdf).
Odd number break-out groups should discuss and answer points ${f 1}$ – ${f 6}$ and ${f 8}$ in the below list
<u>Overall</u>
☐ 1. Does the topic present something of interest to the field?
Individual sections
Title:
2. Is the title short (ideally, 30–50 characters)? Can you suggest a better title
☐ 3. Does the title contain the main three or four key terms? <i>Abstract</i> :
☐ 4. Is the topic stated precisely?
5. Is an overview of the article provided?
Introduction:
☐ 6. Does the Introduction have the following components?
□ a. Background
□ b. Problem or unknown
<ul><li>□ c. Topic or review</li><li>□ d. Overview of content</li></ul>
Even number break-out groups should discuss and answer points 7, 9 – 14 in the below list
Main Analysis Section:
☐ 7. Is the section logically organized?
□ 8. Did you analyze and interpret all information (rather than simply
list facts and dates)?
☐ 9. Does your paper present information objectively, including contra-
dictory data and ambiguities?
☐ 10. Are all figures and tables explained and labeled sufficiently?
☐ 11. Do all the components logically follow each other?
☐ 12. Are the transitions between sections and paragraphs logical?
Conclusion and/or Recommendations:
☐ 13. Is the topic summarized and interpreted in the Conclusion section?
☐ 14. Is the result of your analysis clear?