

# Sequences and Series

SELECT EXERCISES ON SEQUENCES AND SERIES from Chapter 3 of the *Lectures on Real Analysis* textbook<sup>1</sup>.

## Exercise 3.17, page 35

(a) Let  $a \geq 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Show that

$$(1+a)^n \geq \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)a^2$$

(b) Show that  $n^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Solution.* (a) Using the binomial expansion, we get

$$(1+a)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k = 1 + na + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)a^2 + \dots \geq \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)a^2$$

(b) Using the inequality from (a) with  $a = n^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1$  we get

$$n = (n^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1 + 1)^n \geq \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)(n^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1)$$

So  $\frac{2}{n-1} \geq (n^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1)$  and  $n^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow 1$ . □

## Exercise 3.18, page 35

Consider the recursively defined sequence  $(a_n)$  with  $a_1 = 3$  and  $a_{n+1} = \frac{a_n}{2} + \frac{3}{a_n}$ . Show that  $(a_n)$  converges and find its limit.

*Solution.* Let's first prove by induction that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : 2 < a_n \leq 3$ :

It's true for  $a_1 = 3$ . Assume it is true for a given  $n$  and let's do the induction step.

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{a_n}{2} + \frac{3}{a_n} > \frac{2}{2} + \frac{3}{3} = 2$$

Also

<sup>1</sup> F. Lárusson. *Lectures on Real Analysis*. Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series. Cambridge University Press, 2012. ISBN 9781107026780. URL <https://books.google.com/books?id=koj-IrXXwocC>

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{a_n}{2} + \frac{3}{a_n} \leq \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2} = 3$$

At least we know  $(a_n)$  is bounded. Let us spy a little and assume  $(a_n)$  does converge, say to limit  $L$ . Then  $L$  must satisfy:

$$L = \frac{L}{2} + \frac{3}{L}$$

which works out to  $L = \sqrt{6}$ .

Let's try with a simpler sequence  $(b_n)$  such that  $a_n = b_n\sqrt{6}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1} &= b_{n+1}\sqrt{6} = \frac{a_n}{2} + \frac{3}{a_n} \\ &= \frac{b_n\sqrt{6}}{2} + \frac{3}{b_n\sqrt{6}} \\ &= \frac{b_n\sqrt{6}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2b_n} \end{aligned}$$

So  $(b_n)$  satisfies  $b_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(b_n + \frac{1}{b_n})$ . We prove that  $(b_n)$  is monoton decreasing:

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n+1} &\leq b_n \Leftrightarrow \\ \frac{1}{2}(b_n + \frac{1}{b_n}) &\leq b_n \Leftrightarrow \\ b_n^2 + 1 &\leq 2b_n^2 \Leftrightarrow \\ b_n^2 &\geq 1 \Leftrightarrow \\ b_n &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

We use the AGM inequality<sup>2</sup> and show:

$$b_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(b_n + \frac{1}{b_n}) \geq \sqrt{b_n \frac{1}{b_n}} = 1$$

So  $(b_n)$  is monoton decreasing and bounded below by 1, so  $(b_n)$  converges, and so does  $(a_n)$ :  $b_n \rightarrow 1$  and  $a_n \rightarrow \sqrt{6}$ .

□

<sup>2</sup> For positive  $x$  and  $y$  we have  $(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y})^2 \geq 0$  which when expanded ends up at  $\frac{x+y}{2} \geq \sqrt{xy}$ .

### Exercise 3.23, page 36

Let  $\sum a_n$  be a series. Set  $a_n^+ = \max\{0, a_n\}$  and  $a_n^- = \min\{0, a_n\}$ . Consider the series  $\sum a_n^+$  and  $\sum a_n^-$ .

(a) Prove that  $\sum a_n$  is absolutely convergent if and only if  $\sum a_n^+$  and  $\sum a_n^-$  both converge. Then  $\sum a_n = \sum a_n^+ + \sum a_n^-$ .

(b) Prove that if  $\sum a_n$  is conditionally convergent, then  $\sum a_n^+$  and  $\sum a_n^-$  both diverge.

*Solution.* We will use the partial sums:

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k, & s_n^a &= \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k| \\ s_n^+ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^+, & s_n^- &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^- \end{aligned}$$

(a) ( $\Rightarrow$ )

We have  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : |a_n| \geq a_n^+$  and  $|a_n| \geq (-1)a_n^-$ . Using the comparison test we find  $\sum a_n^+$  and  $\sum a_n^-$  converge.

( $\Leftarrow$ )  $\sum a_n^+$  and  $\sum a_n^-$  converge, so then also  $\sum a_n^+ + (-1)\sum a_n^-$  converges. But  $s_n^a = s_n^+ + (-1)s_n^-$ , so  $\sum |a_n|$  converges too.

(b)  $\sum a_n$  converges conditionally. If both  $\sum a_n^+$  and  $\sum a_n^-$  converge, then from (a) we would have  $\sum a_n$  converges absolutely, contradicting the premise. So at least one of  $\sum a_n^+$  or  $\sum a_n^-$  must diverge.

Assume  $\sum a_n^+$  diverges (the other case is similar).  $s_n^+$  is monotonically increasing and divergent, so it is unbounded. We have  $s_n^+ = s_n - s_n^-$  and  $s_n$  is bounded. It follows that  $s_n^-$  has to be unbounded, so  $\sum a_n^-$  diverges also.

□

#### Exercise 3.24, page 36

Let  $\sum a_n$  be a conditionally convergent series. Prove that for every  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  there is a rearrangement of  $\sum a_n$  that converges to  $\sigma$ .

*Solution.* We will construct this rearrangement.

We know from the previous exercise that both  $\sum a_n^+$  and  $\sum a_n^-$  diverge and both  $s_n^+$  and  $s_n^-$  are unbounded.

Assume first that  $\sigma > 0$  (the other case is similar). Since  $s_n^+$  is unbounded, there exists<sup>3</sup> a  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{N_1-1} a_k^+ &\leq \sigma \\ \sum_{k=1}^{N_1} a_k^+ &> \sigma \end{aligned}$$

Let  $d_1 = |\sum_{k=1}^{N_1} a_k^+ - \sigma|$ . We see that  $0 < d_1 \leq |a_{N_1}^+|$ . Our rearrangement will start with the first  $N_1$  terms from  $\sum a_n^+$ . For the next terms we turn to  $\sum a_n^-$ .  $s_n^-$  is also unbounded, so there exists a  $M_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

<sup>3</sup> This  $N_1$  has to exist because  $s_n^+$  is unbounded. If it was only zeros it would converge and be bounded.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M_1-1} a_k^- \geq d_1$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M_1} a_k^- < d_1$$

We add the first  $M_1$  terms from  $\sum a_n^-$  to the rearrangement. Let  $d_2 = |\sum_{k=1}^{N_1} a_k^+ + \sum_{k=1}^{M_1} a_k^- - \sigma|$ . We see that  $0 < d_2 \leq |a_{M_1}^-|$ .

Next we go back to  $\sum a_n^+$  for more terms. The tail of  $\sum a_n^+$  starting at  $N_1 + 1$  is also unbounded, so there must exist a  $N_2$  such that

$$\sum_{k=N_1+1}^{N_2-1} a_k^+ \leq d_2$$

$$\sum_{k=N_1+1}^{N_2} a_k^+ > d_2$$

We add the terms  $\sum_{k=N_1+1}^{N_2} a_k^+$  to the rearrangement and define

$$d_3 = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N_1} a_k^+ + \sum_{k=1}^{M_1} a_k^- + \sum_{k=N_1+1}^{N_2} a_k^+ - \sigma \right|$$

We see that  $0 < d_3 \leq |a_{N_2}^+|$ .

We go back down with the help of terms from the tail of  $\sum a_n^-$  starting at  $M_1$ , a tail that is also unbounded. There must exist a  $M_2$  such that

$$\sum_{k=M_1+1}^{M_2-1} a_k^+ \geq d_3$$

$$\sum_{k=M_1+1}^{M_2} a_k^+ < d_3$$

We add the terms  $\sum_{k=M_1+1}^{M_2} a_k^-$  to the rearrangement and define

$$d_4 = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N_1} a_k^+ + \sum_{k=1}^{M_1} a_k^- + \sum_{k=N_1+1}^{N_2} a_k^+ + \sum_{k=M_1+1}^{M_2} a_k^- - \sigma \right|$$

We see that  $0 < d_4 \leq |a_{M_2}^-|$ .

We continue in this way, switching between terms in  $\sum a_n^+$  and  $\sum a_n^-$ , constructing a rearrangement of  $\sum a_n$  that has partial sums that have distance  $d_n$  from  $\sigma$ .

The sequence  $(d_n)$  of distances is bounded by  $(|a_n|)$  and  $\sum a_n$  is a conditionally convergent series, so  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ . That means that  $d_n \rightarrow 0$  and the rearrangement converges to  $\sigma$ .

□

## *Bibliography*

F. Lárusson. *Lectures on Real Analysis*. Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series. Cambridge University Press, 2012. ISBN 9781107026780. URL <https://books.google.com/books?id=koj-IrXXwocC>.