Points on circle

Problem

N distinct points, numbered from 0 onwards, are located on a circle (in the rest of this problem all point numbers are taken mod N). Point i + 1 is the clockwise neighbor of point i. An integer array, dist[0...N), is given such that dist.i is the distance (along the circle) between points i and i + 1. Derive a program to determine whether four of these points form a rectangle.

We adopt the same notation used in *Programming in the* 1990s ¹ and Programming, The Derivation of Algorithms2: The notation of function application is the "dot" notation with name of function, followed by arguments, each separated by a dot. The notation of quantified expressions has the operator followed by the bounded variables, then a colon followed by the range for the bounded variables and ended with a colon and the actual expression. So

$$(\sum k : i \le k < j : x_k)$$

corresponds to the more classical mathematical notation $\sum_{k=1}^{J-1} x_k$. For our derivation steps in predicate calculus we will use the following notation:

```
{reason why A equals B}
\leq {reason why B is less than C}
```

We are asked to solve *S* in

```
con N : int; \{N \ge 4\}
             dist(i: 0 \le i < N): int; \{ \forall i: 0 \le i < N: dist. i > 0 \}
       var r : bool;
             S
       \{r: r \equiv (\exists \text{ 4 points that form a rectangle})\}
111
```

Let's first develop a more manageable postcondition. Evidently four points that form a rectangle is equivalent to two pairs of diametral opposing points. We introduce a function for the set of all indices from point *x* to point *y* in clockwise direction along the circle:

- ¹ Edward Cohen. Programming in the 1990s, An Introduction to the Calculation of Programs. Springer-Verlag, 1990
- ² A. Kaldewaij. Programming, The Derivation of Algorithms. Prentice Hall, 1990

$$I: [0,...,N) \to [0,...,N) \to 2^{[0,...,N)}$$

$$I.x.y := \begin{cases} [x,...,y) & , x \le y \\ [x,...,N) \cup [0,...,y) & , x > y \end{cases}$$

Let *C* be the circumference of the circle. We define function

$$f: [0,...,N) \rightarrow [0,...,N) \rightarrow int$$

 $f.x.y := C - 2(\sum i : i \in I.x.y : dist.i)$

We want to find the number of diametral opposing pairs of points:

Lemma 1.1. The function f is increasing in its first argument and decreasing in its second argument.

Proof. f is increasing in its first argument:

$$f.(x+1).y$$
= {definition of f}
$$C - 2(\sum i : i \in I.(x+1).y : dist.i)$$
= { $I.(x+1).y = I.x.y \setminus \{x\}$ }
$$C - 2((\sum i : i \in I.x.y : dist.i) - dist.x)$$
= {definition of f}
$$f.x.y + 2dist.x$$
> { $dist.x > 0$ }
$$f.x.y$$

f is decreasing in its second argument:

$$f.x.(y+1) = \{definition of f\} \\ C - 2(\sum i : i \in I.x.(y+1) : dist.i) = \{I.x.(y+1) = I.x.y \cup \{y\}\} \\ C - 2((\sum i : i \in I.x.y : dist.i) + dist.y) = \{definition of f\} \\ f.x.y - 2dist.y < \{dist.y > 0\} \\ f.x.y$$

Looking at the postcondition

$${r : r = (\# x, y : 0 \le x < N, \ 0 \le y < N : f.x.y = 0)}$$

we define the function

$$G.a.b = (\# x, y : a \le x < N, b \le y < N : f.x.y = 0)$$

and we will maintain the invariants:

 P_0 : G.0.0 = r + G.a.b P_1 : $0 \le a \le N$ P_2 : $0 \le b \le N$

The initial values r, a, b := 0, 0, 0 satisfy the invariants and

$$a = N \lor b = N \Rightarrow G.a.b = 0 \Rightarrow r = G.0.0$$

establishes the postcondition, so we can stop when $a = N \lor b = N$. So far we have

```
con N : int; \{N \ge 4\}
            dist(i: 0 \le i < N): int; \{ \forall i: 0 \le i < N: dist.i > 0 \}
      var a, b, r : int;
      a, b, r := 0, 0, 0;
      do a \neq N \land b \neq N
          S
      od
      {r: r = G.0.0}
111
```

We need to increment *a*, *b* and maintain the invariants:

```
G.a.b
   = {definition of G}
        (\# x, y : a \le x < N, b \le y < N : f.x.y = 0)
   = \{\text{range split } x = a\}
        G.(a+1).b + (\#y : b \le y < N : f.a.y = 0)
   = \{f \text{ is decreasing in second argument (1.1), and assume } f.a.b < 0\}
        G.(a + 1).b
so f.a.b < 0 \Rightarrow G.a.b = G.(a+1).b. Similarly
        G.a.b
   = {definition of G}
        (\# x, y : a \le x < N, b \le y < N : f.x.y = 0)
   = \{\text{range split } y = b\}
        G.a.(b+1) + (\#x : a \le y < N : f.x.b = 0)
   = \{f \text{ is increasing in second argument (1.1), and assume } f.a.b > 0\}
        G.a.(b+1)
```

```
so f.a.b > 0 \Rightarrow G.a.b = G.a.(b+1). Also for the case f.a.b = 0 we have
        r + G.a.b
   = {definition of G}
        r + (\# x, y : a \le x < N, \ b \le y < N : f.x.y = 0)
   = {range split x = a}
        r + G.(a + 1).b + (\#y : b \le y < N : f.a.y = 0)
   = { f is decreasing in second argument (1.1), and assume f.a.b = 0}
        (r+1) + G.(a+1).b
   Our program becomes
   \|[
         con N : int; \{N \ge 4\}
              dist(i: 0 \le i < N): int; \{ \forall i: 0 \le i < N: dist. i > 0 \}
         var a, b, r : int;
         a, b, r := 0, 0, 0;
         do a \neq N \land b \neq N
            if
            \Box f.a.b > 0 \rightarrow b := b + 1
            \Box f.a.b < 0 \rightarrow a := a + 1
            \Box f.a.b = 0 \rightarrow a,r := a + 1, r + 1
             fi
         od
         {r: r = G.0.0}
  ]||
```

We cannot have f in the program text so the last thing we have to do is eliminate f. We do this by introducing a new variable c: int and maintaining the additional invariant P_3 : c = f.a.b. Lemma 1.1 already showed us the expressions for f when the first or the second argument increase, so our final program looks like this³

³ The program is bound by the function 2N - a - b so it is O(N). The solution is an example of the slope search technique.

Bibliography

Edward Cohen. *Programming in the 1990s, An Introduction to the Calculation of Programs*. Springer-Verlag, 1990.

A. Kaldewaij. *Programming, The Derivation of Algorithms*. Prentice Hall, 1990.