## Maximum subsequence

## Problem

Given a sequence of integer numbers  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_{N-1}$  (not necessarily positive) find a subsequence  $x_i, ..., x_{j-1}$  such that the sum of numbers in it is maximum over all subsequences of consecutive elements.

We adopt the same notation used in *Programming in the 1990s* <sup>1</sup> and *Programming, The Derivation of Algorithms*<sup>2</sup>: The notation of function application is the "dot" notation with name of function, followed by arguments, each separated by a dot. The notation of quantified expressions has the operator followed by the bounded variables, then a colon followed by the range for the bounded variables and ended with a colon and the actual expression. So

$$(\sum k : i \le k < j : x_k)$$

corresponds to the more classical mathematical notation  $\sum_{k=i}^{j-1} x_k$ . For our derivation steps in predicate calculus we will use the following notation:

$$A$$
= {reason why A equals B}
$$B$$
 $\leq$  {reason why B is less than C}
$$C$$

If all the numbers are positive then the maximum sum is the sum of the whole initial sequence. If all the numbers are negative then the maximum sum is o (by definition o is the sum over an empty range). So the interesting case is a sequence with positive and negative numbers in it.

We hope to find an algorithm that visits every number in the sequence only once, so with runtime O(n). Let's introduce some nota-

- <sup>1</sup> Edward Cohen. *Programming in the* 1990s, An Introduction to the Calculation of *Programs*. Springer-Verlag, 1990
- <sup>2</sup> A. Kaldewaij. *Programming, The Derivation of Algorithms*. Prentice Hall, 1990

p.N

tion: Let's introduce some notation<sup>3</sup>:

$$f.n = (MAXi, j: 0 \le i \le j \le n: s.i.j)$$

with

$$s.i.j = (\sum k : i \le k < j : x_k).$$

We will use properties of quantified expressions as covered in Chapter 3 of *Programming in the* 1990s<sup>4</sup>.

$$f.N$$

$$= \langle \text{ definition of } f \rangle$$

$$(MAXi, j: 0 \leq i \leq j \leq N: s.i.j)$$

$$= \langle \text{ range nesting } \rangle$$

$$(MAXj: 0 \leq j \leq N: (MAXi: 0 \leq i \leq j: s.i.j))$$

$$= \langle \text{ defining } p.j = (MAXi: 0 \leq i \leq j: s.i.j) \rangle$$

$$(MAXj: 0 \leq j \leq N: p.j)$$

$$= \langle \text{ range split, 1-point rule } \rangle$$

$$(MAXj: 0 \leq j < N: p.j) \max p.N$$

$$= \langle \text{ definition of } f \rangle$$

$$f.(N-1) \max p.N$$

We now have a recursive expression for f, which still depends on a newly introduced function p. Let's see if we can get a recursive expression for p too:

```
 = < \text{definition of p} > \\ (MAXi: 0 \le i \le N: s.i.N) \\ = < \text{range split, 1-point rule} > \\ (MAXi: 0 \le i < N: s.i.N) \ max \ s.N.N \\ = < \text{definition of s and s.N.N} = \text{o by definition of sum over empty range} > \\ (MAXi: 0 \le i < N: (\sum k: i \le k < N: x_k)) \ max \ 0 \\ = < \text{range split in sum} > \\ (MAXi: 0 \le i < N: (\sum k: i \le k < N-1: x_k) + x_{N-1}) \ max \ 0 \\ = < + \ \text{distributes over max} > \\ (x_{N-1} + (MAXi: 0 \le i < N: (\sum k: i \le k < N-1: x_k)) \ max \ 0 \\ = < \ \text{definition of p} > \\ (x_{N-1} + p.(N-1)) \ max \ 0
```

So f.N = f.(N-1) max p.N and  $p.N = (x_{N-1} + p.(N-1))$  max 0. The base cases are f.0 = 0 and p.0 = 0.

Armed with these recursive relations we can provide a Haskell program that solves the problem:

<sup>3</sup> Our problem can be stated as finding f.N given  $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \le i < N, N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

<sup>4</sup> Edward Cohen. *Programming in the* 1990s, An Introduction to the Calculation of *Programs*. Springer-Verlag, 1990

```
maxSum :: [Int] \rightarrow (Int, Int)
\max Sum (x:xs) = let (a, b) = \max Sum xs
                      c = x + b
                  in (max c (max a o), max c o)
\max Sum [] = (o, o)
```

The maxSum function calculates the tuple (f.N, p.N).

## Bibliography

Edward Cohen. *Programming in the 1990s, An Introduction to the Calculation of Programs*. Springer-Verlag, 1990.

A. Kaldewaij. *Programming, The Derivation of Algorithms*. Prentice Hall, 1990.