International Institute of Information Technology, Hyderabad. Principles of Information Security

Evaluation III

April 3, 2020

Due: April 10, 2020.

Instructions: Two Evaluation sheets will be released every week (on Tuesdays and Fridays). Each evaluation sheet consists of three categories of questions, namely: [P] stands for programming assignment, [Q] stands for question with written solution to be submitted and [R] stands for research problem. You need to submit the source-code for [P] along with a screen-recorded video that demonstrates its execution and for [Q] you may submit a pdf-file solution, all by the due-date. The research problems are optional, and anyone who solves any one of the [R] problems among all evaluation sheets will directly be awarded an A grade.

- [Q] Recall that a pointer to data X stores the address of X, denoted by &X. Similarly, let a hash-pointer to data X be the address of X along with the cryptographic hash (say, H) of X, denoted $\langle \&X, H(X) \rangle$. Further, let a hash&sign-pointer to data X be the address of X, along with the cryptographic hash (say, H) of X that is digitally signed by the owner of X, denoted $\langle \&X, H(X), \sigma \rangle$. Let $\mathbb D$ be your favourite data structure among stacks, queues, lists, trees, forests, graphs, priority-queues along with their variants (like Binomial heaps, skip-lists, red-black-tress and so on). Consider a pointer-based implementation of $\mathbb D$. It is straight-forward to visualize the corresponding hash-pointer-based implementation of $\mathbb D$, wherein every pointer &X is replaced by $\langle \&X, H(X) \rangle$. What do you think are the advantages of the hash-pointer-based implementation of $\mathbb D$ over the pointer-based implementation of $\mathbb D$? Specifically, can you think of one application/problem/setting/protocol, say $\mathcal A_{hash}$, wherein a hash-pointer-based implementation of $\mathbb D$ is more suitable? Analogously, list the advantages of the hash&sign-pointer-based implementation of $\mathbb D$ and give an application $\mathcal A_{sign}$ where it is (more) suitable. Justify your answers.
- [P] Implement (in any popular programming language of your choice) all the three versions of \mathbb{D} , namely, pointer-based, hash-pointer-based and hash&sign-pointer-based, using your own collision resistant hash function and digital signature scheme (implemented by you in *Evaluation I*).

_____ ALL THE BEST _____

[R] Computing [m,k]-(s,t)-Weak-Connectivity: A digraph G=(V,A) is said to be \mathbf{k} -(s,t)-connected if, on the deletion of any k nodes (other than s and t) from G, there still exists a path from s to t. There are several famous polynomial-time algorithms to compute the maximum \mathbf{k} such that G is \mathbf{k} -(s,t)-connected (for example, many are based on algorithms for MAX-FLOW). Let V^* denote set of vertices that have a path to t, i.e. $V^* = \{u | \exists \text{ path from } u \text{ to } t \text{ in } G\}$. The digraph G = (V,A) is said to be \mathbf{k} -(s,t)-weak-connected if, the underlying undirected graph G_u (that is, the graph obtained by replacing every arc in G by an undirected edge) when induced on V^* , denoted $G_u[V^*]$ (that is, we delete all the vertices in $V \setminus V^*$ in G_u), is \mathbf{k} -(s,t)-connected. The digraph G = (V,A) is said to be $[\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}]$ -(s,t)-weak-connected if, on the deletion of any m nodes from G, the remaining graph is \mathbf{k} -(s,t)-weak-connected. The question is: given a digraph G, and integers m and k, design an efficient algorithm to decide if G is $[\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}]$ -(s,t)-weak-connected.