



**PES University, Bangalore**

(Established under Karnataka Act No. 16 of 2013)

**UE19CS203 – STATISTICS FOR DATA SCIENCE**

**Unit-4 - Hypothesis and Inference**

**QUESTION BANK**

**Drawing conclusions from the results of Hypothesis test:**

**Exercises for section 6.2: [Text Book Exercise 6.2– Pg. No. [411 – 413]]**

1. For which  $P$ -value is the null hypothesis more plausible:  
 $P = 0.5$  or  $P = 0.05$ ?
2. True or false:
  - i. If we reject  $H_0$ , then we conclude that  $H_0$  is false.
  - ii. If we do not reject  $H_0$ , then we conclude that  $H_0$  is true.
  - iii. If we reject  $H_0$ , then we conclude that  $H_1$  is true.
  - iv. If we do not reject  $H_0$ , then we conclude that  $H_1$  is false.
3. If  $P = 0.01$ , which is the best conclusion?
  - i.  $H_0$  is definitely false.
  - ii.  $H_0$  is definitely true.
  - iii. There is a 1% probability that  $H_0$  is true.
  - iv.  $H_0$  might be true, but it's unlikely.
  - v.  $H_0$  might be false, but it's unlikely.
  - vi.  $H_0$  is plausible.
4. If  $P = 0.50$ , which is the best conclusion?
  - i.  $H_0$  is definitely false.
  - ii.  $H_0$  is definitely true.
  - iii. There is a 50% probability that  $H_0$  is true.
  - iv.  $H_0$  is plausible, and  $H_1$  is false.
  - v. Both  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  are plausible.

5. True or false: If  $P = 0.02$ , then
- The result is statistically significant at the 5% level.
  - The result is statistically significant at the 1% level.
  - The null hypothesis is rejected at the 5% level.
  - The null hypothesis is rejected at the 1% level.
6. George performed a hypothesis test. Luis checked George's work by redoing the calculations. Both George and Luis agree that the result was statistically significant at the 5% level, but they got different  $P$ -values. George got a  $P$ -value of 0.20 and Luis got a  $P$ -value of 0.02.
- Is it possible that George's work is correct? Explain.
  - Is it possible that Luis's work is correct? Explain.
7. The article "The Effect of Restricting Opening Hours on Alcohol-Related Violence" (S. Duailibi, W. Ponicki, et al., *American Journal of Public Health*, 2007:2276–2280) presented homicide rates for the years 1995–2005 for the town of Diadema, Brazil. In 2002, a law was passed requiring bars to close at 11 pm each night. After the law's passage, the homicide rate dropped by an average of 9 homicides per month, a statistically significant decrease. Which of the following is the best conclusion?
- It is reasonable to conclude that the law is responsible for a reduction of 9 homicides per month.
  - It is reasonable to conclude that the law is responsible for a reduction in homicides, but the actual amount might be somewhat more or less than 9 per month.
  - It is reasonable to conclude that the homicide rate decreased, but the law may not have anything to do with the decrease.
  - It is plausible that the homicide rate may not have decreased at all after the passage of the law.
8. Let  $\mu$  be the radiation level to which a radiation worker is exposed during the course of a year. The Environmental Protection Agency has set the maximum safe level of exposure at 5 rem per year. If a hypothesis test is to be performed to determine whether a workplace is safe, which is the most appropriate null hypothesis:

$H_0 : \mu \leq 5, H_0 : \mu \geq 5, \text{ or } H_0 : \mu = 5$ ? Explain.

9. In each of the following situations, state the most appropriate null hypothesis regarding the population mean  $\mu$ .

- a. A new type of battery will be installed in heart pacemakers if it can be shown to have a mean lifetime greater than eight years.
- b. A new material for manufacturing tires will be used if it can be shown that the mean lifetime of tires will be more than 60,000 miles.
- c. A quality control inspector will recalibrate a flowmeter if the mean flow rate differs from 10 mL/s.

10. The installation of a radon abatement device is recommended in any home where the mean radon concentration is 4.0 picocuries per liter (pCi/L) or more, because it is thought that long-term exposure to sufficiently high doses of radon can increase the risk of cancer. Seventy-five measurements are made in a particular home. The mean concentration was 3.72 pCi/L and the standard deviation was 1.93 pCi/L.

- i. The home inspector who performed the test says that since the mean measurement is less than 4.0, radon abatement is not necessary. Explain why this reasoning is incorrect.
- ii. Because of health concerns, radon abatement is recommended whenever it is plausible that the mean radon concentration may be 4.0 pCi/L or more. State the appropriate null and alternate hypotheses for determining whether radon abatement is appropriate.
- iii. Compute the  $P$ -value. Would you recommend radon abatement? Explain.

11. It is desired to check the calibration of a scale by weighing a standard 10 g weight 100 times. Let  $\mu$  be the population mean reading on the scale, so that the scale is in calibration if  $\mu = 10$ . A test is made of the hypotheses  $H_0 : \mu = 10$  versus  $H_1 : \mu \neq 10$ . Consider three possible conclusions: (i) The scale is in calibration. (ii) The scale is out of calibration.

(iii) The scale might be in calibration.

- i. Which of the three conclusions is best if  $H_0$  is rejected?
- ii. Which of the three conclusions is best if  $H_0$  is not rejected?

- iii. Is it possible to perform a hypothesis test in a way that makes it possible to demonstrate conclusively that the scale is in calibration? Explain.
- 12.** A machine that fills cereal boxes is supposed to be calibrated so that the mean fill weight is 12 oz. Let  $\mu$  denote the true mean fill weight. Assume that in a test of the hypotheses  $H_0 : \mu = 12$  versus  $H_1 : \mu \neq 12$ , the  $P$ -value is 0.30.
- Should  $H_0$  be rejected on the basis of this test? Explain.
  - Can you conclude that the machine is calibrated to provide a mean fill weight of 12 oz? Explain.
- 13.** A method of applying zinc plating to steel is supposed to produce a coating whose mean thickness is no greater than 7 microns. A quality inspector measures the thickness of 36 coated specimens and tests  $H_0 : \mu \leq 7$  versus  $H_1 : \mu > 7$ . She obtains a  $P$ -value of 0.40. Since  $P > 0.05$ , she concludes that the mean thickness is within the specification. Is this conclusion correct? Explain.
- 14.** Fill in the blank: A 95% confidence interval for  $\mu$  is (1.2, 2.0). Based on the data from which the confidence interval was constructed, someone wants to test  $H_0 : \mu = 1.4$  versus  $H_1 : \mu \neq 1.4$ . The  $P$ -value will be
- Greater than 0.05
  - Less than 0.05
  - Equal to 0.05
- 15.** Refer to Problem 8. For which null hypothesis will  $P = 0.05$ ?
- $H_0 : \mu = 1.2$
  - $H_0 : \mu \leq 1.2$
  - $H_0 : \mu \geq 1.2$
- 16.** A scientist computes a 90% confidence interval to be (4.38, 6.02). Using the same data, she also computes a 95% confidence interval to be (4.22, 6.18), and a 99% confidence interval to be (3.91, 6.49). Now she wants to test  $H_0 : \mu = 4$  versus  $H_1 : \mu \neq 4$ . Regarding the  $P$ -value, which one of the following statements is true?

- i.  $P > 0.10$ .
- ii.  $0.05 < P < 0.10$ .
- iii.  $0.01 < P < 0.05$ .
- iv.  $P < 0.01$ .

**17.** The strength of a certain type of rubber is tested by subjecting pieces of the rubber to an abrasion test. For the rubber to be acceptable, the mean weight loss  $\mu$  must be less than 3.5 mg. A large number of pieces of rubber that were cured in a certain way were subject to the abrasion test. A 95% upper confidence bound for the mean weight loss was computed from these data to be 3.45 mg. Someone suggests using these data to test  $H_0 : \mu \geq 3.5$  versus  $H_1 : \mu < 3.5$ .

- i. Is it possible to determine from the confidence bound whether  $P < 0.05$ ? Explain.
- ii. Is it possible to determine from the confidence bound whether  $P < 0.01$ ? Explain.

**18.** A shipment of fibers is not acceptable if the mean breaking strength of the fibers is less than 50 N. A large sample of fibers from this shipment was tested, and a 98% lower confidence bound for the mean breaking strength was computed to be 50.1 N. Someone suggests using these data to test the hypotheses

$$H_0 : \mu \leq 50 \text{ versus } H_1 : \mu > 50.$$

- i. Is it possible to determine from the confidence bound whether  $P < 0.01$ ? Explain.
- ii. Is it possible to determine from the confidence bound whether  $P < 0.05$ ? Explain.

**19.** Refer to Problem 17. It is discovered that the mean of the sample used to compute the confidence bound is  $\bar{X} = 3.40$ . Is it possible to determine whether  $P < 0.01$ ? Explain.

**20.** Refer to Problem 18. It is discovered that the standard deviation of the sample used to compute the confidence interval is 5 N. Is it possible to determine whether  $P < 0.01$ ? Explain.

21. The following MINITAB output (first shown in Exercise 14 in Section 6.1) presents the results of a hypothesis test for a population mean  $\mu$ .

---

One-Sample Z: X

Test of  $\mu = 73.5$  vs not  $= 73.5$

The assumed standard deviation = 2.3634

Variable	N	Mean	StDev	SE Mean	95% CI	Z	P
X	145	73.2461	2.3634	0.1963	(72.8614, 73.6308)	-1.29	0.196

---

- Can  $H_0$  be rejected at the 5% level? How can you tell?
  - Someone asks you whether the null hypothesis  $H_0: \mu = 73$  versus  $H_1: \mu \neq 73$  can be rejected at the 5% level. Can you answer without doing any calculations? How?
-