Linear-Time Approximation Algorithms for Unit Disk Graphs

Guilherme D. da Fonseca

Celina M. H. de Figueiredo

Vinícius G. Pereira de Sá

WAOA 2014

Unit Disk Graphs



- *Unit disk graph*: Intersection graph of unit-disks in the plane
- Applications in wireless networks
- Neither planar nor perfect:
  K_i and C_i are UDGs for all i
- Recognition: NP-Hard
  Doubly exponential algorithm exists
- Vertex coordinates (disk centers) are real numbers

Unit Disk Graph Algorithms



- Two types of algorithms:
  - Geometric: vertex coordinates
  - Graph-based: adjacency information only
- PTASs for several problems:
  - Minimum Dominating Set
  - Maximum (Weight) Independent Set
  - Minimum (Weight) Vertex Cover
  - Minimum Connected Dominating Set
  - ...

Our assumptions

- Vertex coordinates as input (geometric algorithm)
- Floor function and $O(1)$-time hashing

PTAS vs Constant Approximations

- PTASs have high complexity:
  $O(n^{10})$ to 4-approximate the *minimum dominating set*
- Faster constant-factor approximations exist:
  - 5-approximation in $O(n)$ time
  - 4.89-approximation in $O(n \log n)$ time
  - 4.78-approximation in $O(n^4)$ time
  - 4-approximation in $O(n^6 \log n)$ time
  - 3-approximation in $O(n^{11} \log n)$ time

Our Results

New method to obtain $O(n)$-time approximations:

- Minimum Dominating Set: $(4+\varepsilon)$-approximation
- Max-Weight Independent Set: $(4+\varepsilon)$-approximation
- Min. Vertex Cover: Linear-Time Approximation Scheme

Overview of Our Method



(1) Break the original problem into subproblems of $O(1)$ diameter (shifting strategy)

(2) Build a coreset with $O(1)$ points for each subproblem, which gives an α-approximation to the subproblem

(3) Solve the coreset optimally

(4) Combine the solutions into an $(\alpha + \varepsilon)$-approximation

Maximum-Weight Independent Set



- *Independent Set*: Subset of points with minimum distance > 2
- *Maximum-Weight Independent Set*:
  - Points have real weights

Previous results:

- $(1+\varepsilon)$-approx in $O(n^{4\lceil 2/\varepsilon\sqrt{3}\rceil})$ time: 4-approximation in $O(n^4)$ time
- 5-approximation in $O(n \log n)$ time

Our result:

- $(4+\varepsilon)$-approximation in $O(n)$ time

Breaking the Problem into Subproblems



Break problem into $O(1)$-diameter subproblems (shifting strategy):

- Set k to smallest integer with $\left(\frac{k-2}{k}\right)^2 \geq \frac{4}{4+\varepsilon}$
- Use grids of size $2k$
- Create k^2 shifted grids with even origins
- Contract grid cells by 1 in all directions
- Each contracted cell is a subproblem

Analysis of Shifting Strategy

- Contracted cells are distance 2 apart: union preserves independence
- 4-approximation in yellow area
- Yellow area gets much bigger than white area as $k \to \infty$
- Expected number of OPT points in white area is small
- Maximum is larger than expectation



Constant-Diameter Coreset



- *Coreset*: Subset with $O(1)$ points that approximates the original solution
- Algorithm:
  - Create grid with cells of diameter $0.29 < (2-\sqrt{2})/2$
  - Select a point of maximum weight inside each cell (coreset)
  - Find the optimal independent set among the selected points
- We need to prove it gives a 4-approximation!

Proof of 4-Approximation



- Consider the optimal independent set
- Moving points by at most 0.29, we obtain a planar graph
- Planar graphs are 4-colorable
- The color of maximum weight is a 4-approximation

Lower Bound of 3.25



- P_1: Set of points from the figure
- P_2: Multiply coordinates from P_1 by $(1+\varepsilon)$ and weights by $(1-\varepsilon)$
- $P_1 \cup P_2$ gives a lowerbound of 3.25
  - P_2 is independent
  - MWIS: P_2, with $w(P_2) \approx 3.25$
  - Coreset: P_1
  - P_1 has MWIS with weight 1

Minimum Dominating Set

Dominating Set: Subset of points D such that all input points are within distance at most 2 from a point in D



- 5-approximation in $O(n)$ time
- 4.89-approximation in $O(n \log n)$ time
- 4.78-approximation in $O(n^4)$ time

- 4-approximation in $O(n^6 \log n)$ time
- 3-approximation in $O(n^{11} \log n)$ time

Minimum Dominating Set

Dominating Set: Subset of points D such that all input points are within distance at most 2 from a point in D



- 5-approximation in $O(n)$ time
- 4.89-approximation in $O(n \log n)$ time
- 4.78-approximation in $O(n^4)$ time

new $(4+\varepsilon)$-approximation in $O(n)$ time

- 4-approximation in $O(n^6 \log n)$ time
- 3-approximation in $O(n^{11} \log n)$ time

Minimum Dominating Set Algorithm



- Break the problem into subproblems of $O(1)$ diameter using the shifting strategy
- Cells need to be expanded rather than contracted
- We'll present only the coreset

Constant-Diameter Coreset



- Algorithm:
  - Create grid with cells of diameter $\gamma = 0.24$ (any positive γ satisfying

$$\sqrt{8 - 8\cos\left(\frac{\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\arcsin(\frac{\gamma}{2})}{2}\right)} + \gamma < 2$$

    suffices)
  - Select the points of min and max x and y coordinates
  - Find the optimal dominating set among the coreset points, but dominating all points
- We need to prove it's a 4-approximation!

Proof of 4-Approximation

- For each point p in OPT,
  - either p is in the coreset (great!)
  - or there are points q_1, q_2 near p with angle $\geq 90^\circ$
- We dominate all points dominated by p using at most 4 points q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4



Lower Bound of 4



Minimum Vertex Cover



- *Vertex Cover*: Complement of independent set
- Linear-time PTAS already known
- Minimum vertex cover corresponds to maximum independent set
- C: Vertex cover, I: Independent set, $|C| = n - |I|$
- Approximation ratio is not preserved

Minimum Vertex Cover



- *Vertex Cover*: Complement of independent set
- Linear-time PTAS already known
- Minimum vertex cover corresponds to maximum independent set
- C: Vertex cover, I: Independent set, $|C| = n - |I|$
- Approximation ratio is not preserved
  - Bad when $|C| \ll n$
  - Great when $|I| \ll n$

Linear-Time Approximation Scheme

- Break the problem into subproblems of $O(1)$ diameter using the shifting strategy
- A set of diameter d has at most $(d+2)^2/4$ independent vertices
- If n is sufficiently small (constant), solve the problem optimally $\left(n < \left(1 + \frac{3}{4\varepsilon}\right) \frac{(d+2)^2}{4}\right)$
- Otherwise, compute the 4-approximate maximum independent set and use its complement

Conclusion



- New method to obtain $O(n)$-time algorithms for problems on geometric intersection graphs, yielding:
  - A $(4+\varepsilon)$-approximation to max-weight independent set
  - A $(4+\varepsilon)$-approximation to minimum dominating set
  - A $(1+\varepsilon)$-approximation to minimum vertex cover

Open Problems

- Tight analysis for max-weight independent set?
- Improvement for the unweighted version (by considering extreme points in several directions)?
- Similar method without geometric information?
- Solve other problems:
  - Minimum-weight dominating set?
  - Minimum connected dominating set?
  - Minimum independent dominating set?
- Other geometric intersection graphs?

Bibliography

1 G. Fonseca, C. Figueiredo, V. Pereira de Sá, R. Machado. Efficient sub-5 approximations for minimum dominating sets in unit disk graphs. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 540: 70–81, 2014.

2 H. B. Hunt III, M. V. Marathe, V. Radhakrishnan, S. Ravi, D. J. Rosenkrantz, and R. E. Stearns. NC-approximation schemes for NP- and PSPACE-hard problems for geometric graphs. *Journal of Algorithms*, 26:238–274, 1998.

3 R. K. Jallu, P. R. Prasad, and G. K. Das. Minimum dominating set for a point set in R^2. *preprint*, arXiv:1111.2931, 2014.

4 M. V. Marathe, H. Breu, H. B. Hunt III, S. S. Ravi, and D. J. Rosenkrantz. Simple heuristics for unit disk graphs. *Networks*, 25(2):59–68, 1995.

5 T. Matsui. Approximation algorithms for maximum independent set problems and fractional coloring problems on unit disk graphs. In *JCDCG*, volume 1763 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 194–200, 1998.

6 T. Nieberg, J. Hurink, and W. Kern. Approximation schemes for wireless networks. *ACM Transactions on Algorithms*, 4(4):49:1–49:17, 2008.

Thank you!



Photo by Gilbert Garcin