CLIDDEME COLIDT OF THE CTATE OF NEW YORK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	-	
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, by LETITIA JAMES, Attorney General of the State of New York,		Index No.:
Plaintiff,	:	<b>COMPLAINT</b>
-against-	:	
GEMINI TRUST COMPANY, LLC; GENESIS GLOBAL CAPITAL, LLC; GENESIS ASIA PACIFIC PTE. LTD.; GENESIS GLOBAL HOLDCO, LLC;	<b>:</b>	
DIGITAL CURRENCY GROUP, INC.; SOICHIRO	:	
MORO (a.k.a. MICHAEL MORO); and BARRY E. SILBERT.	:	
Defendants.	:	
	X	

Plaintiff, the People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York ("OAG" or "Plaintiff"), alleges the following against Gemini Trust Company, LLC ("Gemini"); Genesis Global Capital, LLC ("Genesis Capital"); Genesis Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd. ("Genesis Asia Pacific"); Genesis Global Holdco, LLC ("Genesis Holdco," collectively with Genesis Capital and Genesis Asia Pacific, the "Genesis Entities"); Digital Currency Group, Inc. ("DCG"); Soichiro "Michael" Moro ("Moro"); and Barry E. Silbert ("Silbert") (together the "Defendants"):

# NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. In February 2021, Gemini, a New York cryptocurrency <sup>1</sup> platform, and Genesis Capital, a New York cryptocurrency lender, launched an investment program called Gemini Earn (or "Earn"). Gemini and Genesis Capital promoted Earn using their social media accounts, a

<sup>1</sup> Cryptocurrency—also referred to as a digital asset—refers to an asset issued or transferred using a blockchain, or a distributed ledger that maintains a system of payments and receipts for transactions. Cryptocurrency acts as a digital representation of value that functions as a medium of exchange, a unit of account, and a store of value.

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM

NYSCEF DOC NO 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

mass email campaign, media outlets, and their own websites. Gemini and Genesis Capital marketed Earn to the public as a high-yield investment program where Gemini customers could profit by passively investing their cryptocurrencies with Genesis Capital. Within months of its launch, Genesis Capital held several billion dollars in Earn investor assets.

- 2. On November 16, 2022, Genesis Capital announced that it was suspending all withdrawals from Earn, leaving at least 232,000 Earn investors with more than \$1 billion in losses. These losses were the result of two distinct fraudulent schemes: one perpetrated by Gemini (the "Gemini Scheme"), and one perpetrated by Genesis Capital and its Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") Moro, in coordination with Genesis Capital's parent company DCG, DCG's CEO Silbert, and the other Genesis Entities (the "DCG Scheme"). Under the Gemini Scheme, Gemini solicited money from the public with false assurances that Earn was a highly liquid investment and that Genesis Capital was creditworthy based on Gemini's ongoing risk monitoring. In reality, however, Gemini's confidential risk reports found that Genesis Capital posed a high risk of default. Under the DCG Scheme, the Genesis Entities, Moro, DCG, and Silbert disguised \$1.1 billion in losses through a months-long campaign of misstatements, omissions, and concealment.
- 3. Under the Gemini Scheme, from February 2021, through November 16, 2022, Gemini advertised Earn on its website as a low-risk, highly liquid "investment" that could be "redeem[ed] at any time." Throughout this same period, Gemini promised that Gemini vetted Genesis Capital "through a risk management framework that reviews [Genesis Capital's] collateralization management process," and that "on a periodic basis [Gemini] conducts analysis of [Genesis Capital's] cash flow, balance sheet, and financial statements." Gemini further

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM INDEX NO. 452784/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

advertised that Genesis Capital was a "trusted" and "accredited" partner and that Gemini would ensure Genesis Capital had "appropriate risk ratios" and a "healthy financial condition."

- 4. During the same period, Gemini encouraged the public and Earn investors to place a heightened degree of "trust and confidence" in Gemini. On its website and social media accounts, Gemini pledged to its customers "the highest level of fiduciary obligations." In September 2022, Gemini's President Cameron Winklevoss told investors with concerns about the safety of investing in Genesis Capital and Earn that Gemini "ha[s] always and will continue to prioritize risk management and disclosure as key pillars of our business."
- 5. Gemini's internal risk analyses, however, contradicted its assurances about Genesis Capital. From the start of the program in February 2021, through November 16, 2022, Gemini's internal risk analyses showed that Genesis Capital's loan book was undercollateralized. Only a year into the program, in February 2022, Gemini revised its estimate of Genesis Capital's credit rating from BBB (*i.e.*, investment grade) to CCC (*i.e.*, non-investment, or junk grade). Indeed, from May 2022 through November 2022, Genesis Capital routinely reported to Gemini that it had failed its own internal loan book risk assessments. When advised of Genesis Capital's financial condition in July 2022, one Gemini board member compared Genesis Capital to Lehman Brothers prior to its collapse.
- 6. On September 2, 2022, Gemini decided to terminate Earn. A month later, on October 13, 2022, Gemini formally notified Genesis Capital of its decision and sent a confidential written notice to Genesis Capital terminating all Earn agreements and demanding the return of all investors' assets under Earn. Yet even after Gemini decided to terminate Earn and provided formal notification to Genesis Capital, Gemini continued to take tens of millions of

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM INDEX NO. 452784/2023

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 A

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

dollars' worth of additional cryptocurrencies from Earn investors and hand those assets over to Genesis Capital.

- 7. Gemini failed to disclose to Earn investors the risks of investing in Earn and Gemini's termination of the Earn program. Gemini did so even while certain Gemini employees, including an officer of Gemini, closed out their own personal positions in Gemini Earn.
- 8. Earn investors were not only defrauded by Gemini, but they were also victims of the DCG Scheme to conceal more than \$1 billion in losses at the Genesis Entities. On June 13, 2022, one of the Genesis Entities' largest borrowers, Three Arrows Capital, Ltd. ("Three Arrows"), defaulted on billions of dollars in loans. The resulting losses created, in Genesis Capital's words, a "structural hole" at Genesis Capital that impaired its ability to repay its openterm liabilities—including to Earn investors.
- 9. Starting in June 2022, the Genesis Entities, DCG, Moro, and Silbert conspired to and did in fact falsely represent Genesis Capital's financial condition to conceal this structural hole. As part of that scheme, the Genesis Entities, DCG, Silbert, and Moro engaged in concerted communications with the public and with counterparties to instill false confidence in Genesis Capital's financial health. For example, on June 15 and June 17, Genesis Capital, Silbert, Moro, and/or DCG published tweets claiming the Genesis Entities' balance sheet was "strong," that Genesis Capital was functioning "normally," and that it had "shed the risk and moved on." In reality, Three Arrows' default on June 13, 2022, created an equity deficiency at the Genesis Entities, and Silbert had ordered Genesis Capital to limit its extension of new loans.
- 10. On June 30, 2022—the last day of the financial reporting quarter—Moro and Silbert executed an illiquid promissory note under which DCG agreed to pay Genesis Capital \$1.1 billion *in a decade* at only a 1% per annum interest rate (the "Promissory Note") to

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

purportedly backstop losses from the Three Arrows loans. DCG never made principal or interest payments under the Promissory Note and the structural hole remained unchanged. Nevertheless, Genesis Capital reported this Promissory Note as an asset on its balance sheet without disclosing to Gemini or the Earn investors its true terms.

- 11. Instead of disclosing the terms of the Promissory Note to the Earn investors, the Genesis Entities, DCG, Moro, and Silbert falsely assured counterparties and the public that Genesis Capital was "well-capitalized" and that DCG "absorbed the losses" from the Genesis Entities. Genesis Capital's CEO, Moro, also tweeted that DCG had "assumed certain liabilities of Genesis" associated with Three Arrows, leaving Genesis Capital with "adequate capital" to continue "business as usual." Through these statements, Genesis Capital solicited additional assets through Earn under false pretenses.
- 12. These statements were misleading descriptions of the Promissory Note and omitted material information. DCG did not "absorb" the Genesis Entities' losses arising from Three Arrows' default. Rather, those losses were reflected on financial statements that the Genesis Entities concealed from counterparties. Nor did the Promissory Note address the structural hole created by those losses, which elevated the risk of Genesis Capital being unable to repay the Earn investors. Indeed, an officer at Genesis Capital objected to informing counterparties that DCG "absorbed the loss" because they would be unable to defend this statement in calls with counterparties.
- 13. Further, DCG did not simply assume liabilities of Genesis relating to Three Arrows. Instead, DCG *replaced* those short-term liabilities with an illiquid obligation that was payable in 10 years at 1% interest. On its face, the Promissory Note failed to plug the structural hole created by the Three Arrows default.

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM INDEX NO. 452784/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

14. In furtherance of the DCG Scheme, from July 2022 through November 2022, Genesis Capital sent Gemini, as the agent of Earn investors, reports falsely describing Genesis Capital's financial condition. For example, these reports falsely included the Promissory Note as an asset that could be reduced to cash within a year. To further deceive Earn investors, Genesis Capital concealed and suppressed disclosure of quarterly income and cash flow statements from Gemini from June 30, 2022, through November 16, 2022. Genesis Capital also omitted explanatory footnotes to its balance sheet because those footnotes would have revealed the Promissory Note's true nature. Genesis Capital's CFO and its finance team avoided joining phone calls with counterparties to conceal Genesis Capital's financial condition. Instead, members of Genesis Capital's sales and lending teams answered financial questions using only approved talking points that contained no explanation of the Promissory Note or its terms.

- 15. Meanwhile, from July 2022 to November 2022, DCG further widened Genesis Capital's structural hole when it forced Genesis Capital to extend the maturity date for hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of loans to DCG. To date, DCG has failed to repay these loans.
- 16. Finally, from February 2021, through November 16, 2022, Gemini and Genesis Capital falsely claimed they had all "necessary governmental and other consents, approvals and licenses" to perform their obligations under Earn. This was false because the Earn accounts were securities and Genesis Capital failed to register in New York as a securities dealer, broker, or salesperson as defined in GBL § 359-(e).
- 17. On November 16, 2022, Genesis Capital announced that it faced a liquidity crunch and would not return cryptocurrencies invested under Earn, leaving Earn investors unable to redeem more than \$1 billion of their investments. Some of these unsuspecting investors lost their life savings. On November 29, 2022, one New Yorker pleaded with Gemini for the return

'ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

of her \$199,000 investment, writing: "Are you going to be able to give us our money any time soon? I am crying all day. I am 73 years old and without that money I am doomed." No Defendant has returned the Earn investors' assets since the close of the program on November 16, 2022. Instead, on January 19, 2023, the Genesis Entities declared bankruptcy.

- 18. Defendants' fraudulent acts violated New York General Business Law ("GBL") §§ 352 *et seq.* (the "Martin Act"), and New York Executive Law § 63(12). Additionally, the Genesis Entities, DCG, Silbert, and Moro violated state criminal laws, constituting repeated and persistent illegality in violation of Executive Law § 63(12), including: New York Penal Law § 190.65 (Scheme to Defraud); and New York Penal Law § 105.05 (Conspiracy).
- 19. This action seeks to permanently enjoin Defendants from engaging in fraudulent, deceptive, and illegal acts in violation of the Martin Act and Executive Law; to permanently enjoin Defendants from engaging in any business related to the issuance, distribution, exchange, promotion, advertisement, negotiation, purchase, investment advice, or sale of securities or commodities within or from this state; and to direct Defendants to pay damages, restitution, and disgorgement of all funds and cryptocurrencies Defendants obtained in connection with its unlawful, fraudulent, or illegal conduct, and for such other equitable relief as may be necessary.

#### **PARTIES**

- 20. Plaintiff, the Attorney General of the State of New York, is authorized to bring this action and to assert the causes of action set forth below in the name and on behalf of the People of the State of New York pursuant to the Martin Act and Executive Law § 63(12).
- 21. Defendant Gemini is a New York trust company chartered by the New York State Department of Financial Services with a principal place of business at 315 Park Avenue South, in New York County, New York. Cameron Winklevoss and his brother Tyler Winklevoss co-

"ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM" INDEX NO. 452784/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

founded Gemini. From February 2, 2021, through November 16, 2022 (the "Relevant Period"), Cameron Winklevoss served as Gemini's President and Tyler Winklevoss served as its CEO.

- 22. Defendant Genesis Capital is a Delaware limited liability company with a principal place of business at 250 Park Avenue South, in New York County, New York.
- 23. Defendant Genesis Asia Pacific is a Singapore corporation with a business address at 30 Raffles Place, #23-01 Oxley @ Raffles, Singapore 048583. During the Relevant Period, directors, officers, and employees of Genesis Asia Pacific worked from 250 Park Avenue South, in New York County, New York.
- 24. Defendant Genesis Holdco is a Delaware limited liability company with a principal place of business at 250 Park Avenue South, in New York County, New York.

  Throughout the Relevant Period, Genesis Holdco wholly owned Genesis Capital and Genesis Asia Pacific.
- 25. The Genesis Entities operated as a single entity during the Relevant Period. They shared officers, capital, offices, IT infrastructure, and back-office functions.
- 26. Genesis Holdco and Genesis Capital had no independent board of directors until after June 30, 2022. Instead, non-party Genesis Global Trading, Inc.'s ("Genesis Trading") board of directors heard matters pertaining to Genesis Holdco and Genesis Capital through at least June 30, 2022.
- 27. On January 19, 2023, the Genesis Entities filed for bankruptcy in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.
- 28. Defendant Moro served as the CEO or its functional equivalent of the Genesis Entities, as well as their non-party affiliates, from at least February 2, 2021, through August 17, 2022. For the same period, Moro also served on Genesis Trading's board of directors, which

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM

FILED: NEW TORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

served as the *de facto* board of directors for Genesis Holdco through at least June 30, 2022. Throughout the Relevant Period, Moro worked from and resided in the State of New York.

- 29. Defendant DCG is a Delaware corporation doing business in the State of New York. DCG maintains an office at 262 Harbor Drive, Stamford, CT 06902. DCG wholly owns the Genesis Entities. During the Relevant Period, DCG's officers also worked from the Genesis Entities' office at 250 Park Avenue South, in New York County, New York.
- 30. Silbert was the CEO, founder, and a beneficial owner of DCG at all times during the Relevant Period. Silbert was one of three members of DCG's board of directors during the Relevant Period. Officers at DCG reported directly to Silbert. Silbert is also the single-largest shareholder of DCG. During the Relevant Period, Silbert worked from DCG's offices in Connecticut, as well as from Genesis's offices at 250 Park Avenue South, in New York County, New York. Silbert founded the Genesis Entities and served on Genesis Trading's board of directors from its founding until June 22, 2022.

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 31. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, personal jurisdiction over the Defendants, and authority to grant the relief requested pursuant to the Martin Act and Executive Law § 63(12).
- 32. Pursuant to CPLR § 503, venue is proper in New York County because Plaintiff is located in New York County, with its address at 28 Liberty Street, in the County and State of New York, and because a substantial part of the conduct, events, or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in New York County. Additionally, Gemini, DCG, and the Genesis Entities are either domestic or foreign entities that transacted or were authorized to transact business in the

COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

state or maintained a principal office in New York County. Similarly, Defendants Moro and Silbert transacted business at 250 Park Avenue South, in New York County, New York.

### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

#### I. **Background Information**

- A. Gemini Held Itself Out as a Cryptocurrency Exchange Throughout the Relevant Period
- 33. Throughout the Relevant Period, Gemini held itself out to the public as a New York cryptocurrency exchange that facilitated the buying, selling, exchange, and storage of cryptocurrencies.
- 34. Gemini offered securities to the public, in the form of the Earn investment product.

# **B.** DCG Owns and Operates the Genesis Entities

- 35. Defendant DCG owns and operates cryptocurrency businesses.
- 36. DCG marketed the Genesis Entities and non-party Genesis Trading collectively as a premier institutional cryptocurrency and financial services company. DCG marketed Genesis Capital as its leading lending desk with billions of dollars in cryptocurrency loan originations.
- 37. During the Relevant Period, DCG controlled the Genesis Entities' key hiring decisions, business strategy, and budget. DCG and the Genesis Entities also shared IT infrastructure and DCG had direct access to the Genesis Entities' books and records. Members of DCG's management team served as directors of Genesis Trading and Genesis Holdco, and sat on the Genesis Entities' Organizational Risk Committee, which managed risks arising from the Genesis Entities' lending business.

COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

# C. The Genesis Entities Maintained a Consolidated Lending Book

- 38. Genesis Capital lent cryptocurrency and fiat currency to institutions and high-networth clients. Genesis Capital funded these loans by receiving financing from third parties, including the Earn investors.
- 39. Genesis Capital profited by making money on the difference between the interest it paid to borrow fiat currency and cryptocurrency and the interest it received from lending the same assets to institutional and high-net-worth clients.
- 40. Genesis Asia Pacific lent assets to Singapore-based clients, such as cryptocurrency hedge fund Three Arrows, on behalf of Genesis Capital. Genesis Capital provided virtually all of Genesis Asia Pacific's lending capital. Genesis Asia Pacific, in turn, repaid Genesis Capital when Genesis Asia Pacific's own borrowers repaid Genesis Asia Pacific. Genesis Capital and Genesis Asia Pacific shared a single loan book managed by the Genesis Entities' Co-Head of Trading and Lending ("Managing Director No. 1") from New York.
- 41. Genesis Capital and Genesis Asia Pacific maintained separate financial statements, including separate balance sheets.

#### II. Gemini and Genesis Capital Operated Earn as an Investment Contract

- 42. On February 2, 2021, DCG subsidiary CoinDesk published a news story announcing that Gemini and Genesis Capital had partnered to launch Earn. The news article stated: "Gemini, the crypto exchange and custodian, is allowing its customers to earn up to 7.4% annual percentage yield (APY) on their holdings through a partnership with crypto lender Genesis [Capital]."
- 43. To invest in Earn, investors first had to buy or hold cryptocurrency on Gemini's cryptocurrency platform. Gemini induced investors to purchase assets on its cryptocurrency platform to invest in Earn. For example, beginning in March 2021, Gemini emailed its

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

customers to advertise a new feature allowing users "to buy and transfer [cryptocurrency] directly into [Earn]" with a single mouse click. Gemini earned commissions on those purchases.

- 44. Gemini published a list of the cryptocurrencies eligible for Earn on its webpage. The list included bitcoin, ether, and Gemini dollar.
- Gemini selected Genesis Capital as the sole "approved borrower" to receive 45. investors' assets under Earn.
- 46. Gemini and Genesis Capital required Earn investors to enter into a "Master Digital Asset Loan Agreement" with Gemini (as "custodian" and the "agent" of the investor) and Genesis Capital (herein the "Earn Master Agreement").
- 47. The Earn Master Agreement set forth terms concerning the transfer and return of cryptocurrencies and the payment of fees. The Earn Master Agreement also contained representations and warranties, including a warranty that the parties were not insolvent.
- 48. When investors elected to invest in Earn, Gemini pooled their assets in a wallet with other investors' assets and transferred them to Genesis Capital's wallets on Gemini's platform. Genesis Capital, in turn transferred these assets from Gemini's platform into its external accounts and wallets, where the assets were pooled and commingled with Genesis Capital's other assets. Genesis Capital then used these pooled assets in its lending business. Genesis Capital accounted for these cryptocurrencies in combined categories on its balance sheets and financial statements, including in the balance sheet category "[i]nvestments in digital currencies and trusts."
- 49. When Earn investors withdrew their assets, Genesis Capital had five days from the date of the withdrawal request to return the investor's assets, along with the yield promised to

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM INDEX NO. 452784/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

the investor. For its services in Earn, Gemini received an agent fee, which was deducted from the Earn investors' assets and yield.

- 50. As a large institutional lender in the cryptocurrency industry, Genesis Capital negotiated more favorable loan terms and interest rates with borrowers than Earn investors could negotiate on their own. Genesis Capital paid yield to the Earn investors from the interest it earned on its loans to third parties. Thus, the Earn investors' fortunes were tied to the effort and expertise of Genesis Capital, and the investors shared in the profits made by Genesis Capital.
- 51. During the Relevant Period, Gemini devoted a page on its website to Earn (the "Earn Page"). On the Earn Page, Gemini advised investors to "[m]ake your crypto work for you," advertised that Earn investors would "receive up to 8.05% [previously 7.4%] on the cryptocurrency you custody with Gemini," and claimed that "[u]nlike other opportunities to earn interest on your cryptocurrency, [with Earn] you can redeem your cryptocurrency at any time, with no penalties, and receive it at its current market value—plus the interest you've earned!" The Earn Page referred to Earn as a "yield-generating cryptocurrency investment."
- 52. The yield paid to Earn investors fluctuated. On a monthly basis, Genesis Capital determined the types of cryptocurrencies it was willing to borrow and the yield it was willing to pay for each type of asset. After determining its agent fees, Gemini published the yield rates for different assets on the Earn Page. On February 1, 2021, the Earn Page set forth yield rates that Earn investors would receive on their invested cryptocurrencies as follows:

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

# **Interest Rates**

Asset		APY	Ass	et	APY	Asset		APY
A AAVE		5.83%	A	Amp AMP	1.98%		<b>Balancer</b> BAL	1.54%
Basic BAT	Attention Token	3.49%	*	Bitcoin Cash BCH	4.55%	#	<b>Bitcoin</b> BTC	3.05%
COM	pound	2.47%	0	<b>Curve</b> CRV	1.98%	₽	<b>Dai</b> DAI	4.16%
Ether ETH		3.05%	<b>∮</b>	<b>Filecoin</b> FIL	7.40%	8	<b>Kyber Network</b> KNC	2.58%
Chair LINK		4.46%	L	<b>Litecoin</b> LTC	5.10%	<b>3</b>	<b>Decentraland</b> MANA	1.80%
Make MKR	r	1.98%	Ö	Orchid OXT	2.47%		PAX Gold PAXG	3.92%
Ren REN		2.71%	×	Synthetix SNX	2.69%	*	<b>Storj</b> STORJ	1.98%
Uma UMA		2.69%	ES	<b>Uniswap</b> UNI	3.59%	8	Yearn.finance YFI	3.29%
Zcasl ZEC	1	2.25%	0	0x ZRX	3.68%			

- 53. These rates frequently changed. For example, an Earn investor who invested one bitcoin at the start of Earn could have earned 3.05% APY on that investment in February 2021, 2.05% in May 2021, 1.65% APY in August 2021, 1.49% APY in September 2021, and 1.01% in February 2022. On the Earn page, Gemini claimed the rates it offered were "more than 100x the average national interest rate, among the highest rates on the market."
- 54. Gemini and Genesis Capital controlled the interest rates and cautioned their investors: "rates may increase or decrease in the future."
- 55. From February 2, 2021, through November 16, 2022, Earn investors invested billions of dollars in cryptocurrencies in Genesis Capital. By reason of these investments, Gemini earned more than \$22 million in agent fees, plus more than \$10 million in commissions when investors purchased cryptocurrency to invest in Earn.

COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 NEW YORK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

III. Gemini Scheme: Gemini Falsely Assured the Public That Earn Was a Low Risk, Highly Liquid Investment That Could Be Redeemed "At Any Time" and That Genesis Capital Was Creditworthy Based on Gemini's Ongoing Risk Monitoring

- A. Gemini Built Its Brand and That of Earn on Trust, Confidence, and Fiduciary **Obligations**
- 56. During the Relevant Period, Gemini marketed itself as a trust company "that is held to the highest level of fiduciary obligations." Gemini published this claim on its LinkedIn, Facebook, and other social media pages throughout 2021 and 2022.
- 57. Gemini has long sought to differentiate itself from other cryptocurrency companies by claiming to embrace legal regulation and encouraging its customers to place a heightened degree of trust and confidence in Gemini and its products. In January 2019, Gemini's head of marketing told the Wall Street Journal: "[w]e [at Gemini] believe that investors coming into cryptocurrency deserve the exact same protections as investors in more traditional markets, adhering to the same standards, practices, regulations and compliance protocols."
- 58. In a September 2019 statement, Gemini co-founder Tyler Winklevoss stated, "Gemini's philosophy of asking for permission, not forgiveness, is a first in the crypto industry." That year, Gemini published advertisements in the New York Times, at New York subway stops, and on New York City taxis, declaring: "The Revolution Needs Rules" and "Crypto Without Chaos."
- 59. Also in September 2019, Tyler Winklevoss informed customers in a blog post that Gemini "strive[d] to always ... put the interests of our customers ahead of our own and provide proper disclosures and transparency."
- 60. Throughout 2021 and 2022, the concepts of "trust" and adherence to its "fiduciary obligations" were central to Gemini's marketing. Gemini's website advertised that it was a "fiduciary," "[t]he most trusted crypto-native finance platform," and that it "worked hard to

'ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

provide [customers] with a high-integrity choice and ... look[ed] forward to earning and maintaining your trust."

- 61. Gemini also owed its customers fiduciary obligations under the New York Banking Law. During the Relevant Period, Gemini stated in its user agreement that it is "a fiduciary under § 100 of the New York Banking Law... and a custodian that is licensed to custody [its customers'] Digital Assets in trust on [its customers'] behalf."
- 62. Gemini deployed this trust-based branding in its promotion of Earn. In August 2021, Gemini published a blog post announcing that "more than \$3 billion in loans [have been] originated through [Earn]" and that "[i]nstilling trust in our products is central to Gemini's ethos, and crossing this milestone is indicative of the confidence our customers have in our business."
- 63. On September 22, 2022, Gemini's President Cameron Winklevoss conducted an "Ask Me Anything" (or "AMA") on Reddit, a social media platform, where he encouraged the public to ask questions and get real-time answers about Gemini's products. During that AMA, one Reddit user asked Winklevoss: "Can you please talk more about partnering with Genesis? There is still so much fear to get back to [Earn]." Winklevoss responded:

We selected Genesis as a partner due to their experience in managing a large loan portfolio and reputation for compliance. Since we launched Earn in Jan 2021, Genesis has never failed to return assets when Gemini customers called back their loans. This was the case even when the broader market was experiencing liquidity issues earlier this year. That said, loaning your assets always carries some risk, and we encourage you to review the Master Loan Agreement to understand your rights as a lender. We have always and will continue to prioritize risk management and disclosure as key pillars of our business.

(emphasis added).

64. On November 11, 2022—just five days before Genesis Capital suspended redemptions leaving Earn investors unable to withdraw their cryptocurrencies—Cameron and Tyler Winklevoss shared a blog post on Gemini's website that repeated "Gemini Is Built on

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

Trust, Safety, and Compliance: Ask For Permission, Not For Forgiveness." That blog post assured investors:

[s]ince its inception eight years ago, Gemini has worked with regulatory stakeholders and lawmakers to help shape thoughtful regulation that fosters both consumer protection and innovation. We will continue to do this work. We have spent considerable time applying for and becoming licensed and regulated in various jurisdictions across the world. This is not the easier path (it is expensive and time consuming) but we believe it is the right one.

- As a fiduciary, Gemini had an affirmative duty to disclose material information to 65. its customers concerning Earn and Genesis Capital.
- В. Gemini Falsely Assured Its Customers That Investing in Earn Was Liquid and That Genesis Capital Was a Trusted and Accredited Counterparty
- From February 9, 2021, through at least November 14, 2022, Gemini referred to 66. Genesis Capital on the Earn Page as a "trusted partner[]" and "accredited third party borrower[]" that Gemini "vetted through a risk management framework which reviews [Genesis Capital's] collateralization management process." Throughout the same period, Gemini assured investors on the Earn Page that "on a periodic basis [Gemini] will conduct analysis of [Genesis Capital's] cash flow, balance sheet, and financial statements to ensure the appropriate risk ratios and healthy financial condition of [Genesis Capital]" and that Genesis Capital was required to "return [Earn investors'] funds [to Earn investors] within five business days" of an investor's withdrawal request.
- In mass marketing emails sent to Gemini customers in and after February 2021, 67. Gemini repeated the quote set forth in the preceding paragraph.
- 68. Because Gemini promised Earn investors the ability to redeem their investments and profit "at any time," Genesis Capital needed to carefully manage its liquidity, loan book asset durations, and financial condition. Thus, Genesis Capital agreed to share quarterly its financial statements and information about its collateral management process with Gemini.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

Gemini used this information to conduct ongoing due diligence and to monitor Genesis Capital's financial condition on behalf, and as an agent, of the Earn investors.

- 69. Gemini had authority to terminate Earn or demand the withdrawal of all assets on behalf of the investors at any time.
- 70. Gemini's public assurances to Earn investors concerning Genesis Capital and Earn were contradicted by Gemini's internal risk assessments. These assurances were, therefore, false, misleading, and contained material omissions.

# C. Gemini Falsely Assured the Public that Genesis Capital's Loan Book Was Overcollateralized

- 71. On February 2, 2021, Gemini's Head of Risk stated in a press release that, "Gemini reviewed Genesis [Capital's] financial statements and verified that the lender's loans are overcollateralized." Overcollateralization means the collateral supporting outstanding loans is worth more than 100% of those loans. This press release, and Gemini's statement, remained accessible on the internet throughout the Relevant Period.
- 72. Gemini made similar claims directly to investors. For example, on March 5, 2021, a business development employee at Gemini responded to an investor inquiry about Earn by stating: "based on our due diligence, Genesis is only lending assets deposited into [Earn] to institutional borrowers in an overcollateralized way."
- Contrary to Gemini's claim, Genesis Capital's loan book was not 73. overcollateralized, either when Gemini claimed it was or at any time thereafter. The below chart shows the approximate collateral coverage ratio for each financial quarter:

December	March	June	September	December	March	June	September
2020	2021	2021	2021	2021	2022	2022	2022
60%	87%	71%	85%	90%	61%	76%	66%

'ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

74. Gemini internally acknowledged this misrepresentation. On March 9, 2021, a risk management employee reporting directly to Gemini's Head of Risk corrected a similar misstatement by stating: "[l]ending is 'collateralized' but not necessarily 'overcollateralized'." However, the false statement was never corrected, and the February 2, 2021 article by CoinDesk, a DCG subsidiary, containing the misstatement remained accessible to Earn investors and the public throughout the Relevant Period.

- D. Gemini's Risk Analyses Revealed Genesis Capital Had Inappropriate Risk Ratios, Was a "Junk" Grade Investment, and Was Neither Trusted Nor Accredited
- 75. Contrary to Gemini's claims, Earn investors faced a high risk that Genesis Capital would default.
- 76. Shortly after the launch of Earn, Gemini's internal risk analysis of Genesis Capital revealed concerns regarding Genesis Capital's liquidity and financial ratios. In a confidential internal document dated May 14, 2021, Gemini's risk management team determined that Genesis Capital was "highly leveraged, with over 95% debt to asset ratio" and the "majority of [Genesis Capital's] assets are from debts." The risk management team also determined "Genesis [Capital]... has low liquidity. The business is just able to cover its short-term obligations." Further, Gemini's risk management team stated that while "Genesis [Capital] ha[d] cash inflows in 2020," those inflows were "mainly from financing activities [i.e., borrowing]" and its 2020 "[c]ash flow from operating activities [was] negative." Gemini further concluded that Genesis posed a higher risk than other potential partners that Gemini considered for Earn.
- 77. By May 2021, Earn investors had already placed more than \$2 billion in assets into the program. By August 2021, that number increased to \$3 billion.

COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

1. Gemini Secretly Rated Genesis Capital as "Junk"

78. Around February 2022, Gemini's risk management team analyzed Genesis Capital's financial statements for the third quarter of 2021. They stated that "[c]ompared with peers and the overall market, Genesis[] [Capital's] financials are generally weaker with a high leverage ratio and low liquidity ratio, similar to companies with CCC/C rating" (also known as a "junk" rating). Based on this credit rating, the risk management team projected that in a market downturn, "a 50-60% default rate for Genesis [Capital] [wa]s an appropriate assumption, given additional risks in Crypto industry vs traditional industry."

- 79. Gemini's risk management team repeated this same language in several documents presented to the head of Gemini's market risk team between February 2022 and July 2022.
- 80. Gemini's CCC rating estimate reflected a marked decline in Genesis Capital's financial condition; before the launch of Earn, Gemini had analyzed Genesis Capital's 2019 financial statements and estimated that Genesis Capital was a "moderate risk" and comparable to companies with a "BBB" (i.e., investment grade) credit rating.
- 81. According to Fitch Ratings, any credit rating below "BBB" is "speculative grade" and not "investment grade." A "CCC" issuer rating indicates a "[s]ubstantial credit risk" with "[v]ery low margin for safety" and for whom "[d]efault is a real possibility."
- 82. Because of the risks posed by Genesis Capital's default, beginning in May 2022, Gemini's management team, including its President, Cameron Winklevoss, began privately discussing whether to terminate Earn. In May 2022, Winklevoss requested "a 1-pager on the risk profile of [Earn] and Genesis [Capital]" to understand whether Earn "adequately compensates [Gemini] for the risk." That one-pager, dated June 6, 2022, reiterated the projected 50-60%

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

default rate for CCC companies in the event of a market downturn and predicted a potential loss in the hundreds of millions of dollars based on that default rate.

- 83. Gemini continued discussing whether to terminate Earn through the summer of 2022. On or around July 18, 2022, Gemini's risk management and product teams compiled a slide titled "Gemini Earn: Risk vs. Reward." Gemini's Associate Director of Risk and its Command Pilot (or head) of NeoBanking (the business unit at Gemini managing Earn) reviewed this document.
  - 84. In this document, Gemini acknowledged:

[the market risk team] believes Genesis [Capital] financial [sic] is similar to a CCC company, which would be required to pay a 14%+ yield in the public debt market. Therefore, lenders would require a ~14% yield to compensate for Genesis [Capital]'s default risk.

(emphasis in original).

- The same document also indicated that Genesis Capital could lose up to \$1.4 85. billion from a single borrower's default.
- 86. Rather than disclose the risk to Earn investors so that they could take steps to protect themselves, Gemini instead proposed using this information to protect itself. In the same document, Gemini's risk management team concluded Gemini was "not compensated enough" to assume Genesis Capital's risk of default, and that Gemini should accordingly "[w]eaken the connection between Earn and Gemini" by changing Earn's branding, or "[e]ducate clients on the potential losses" to "properly set clients' expectations." Gemini's risk management team proposed these solutions to "[r]educe [the] reputational risk [to Gemini] arising from a Genesis default."
- 87. On or around July 28, 2022, Gemini's Board of Managers met to discuss how to close "the disconnect between the risk profile the program has been designed for—as an agent,

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

Gemini is not liable for losses in case of Genesis default—and the expectation of having to make a hard choice in case of Genesis becoming insolvent." According to a document prepared for this meeting, "[o]ne option [to close that disconnect] [wa]s to judge the disconnect inherently unfixable and proceed with an orderly shutdown of Gemini Earn."

- 88. During this meeting, Gemini's Board of Managers, Cameron Winklevoss, and Tyler Winklevoss discussed the credit and liquidity risks associated with Genesis Capital and Earn. Gemini's Associate Director of Risk and its Command Pilot of NeoBanking presented to the Board during that meeting regarding Genesis Capital's credit and liquidity risks.
- 89. During that meeting, several board members expressed doubts about Genesis Capital's creditworthiness. Cameron Winklevoss began the meeting by noting that Genesis Capital's "[b]orrowers [were] lying about their financials" and asked, "can we trust that 'A players' are running Genesis and are going to make good decisions/avoid getting duped?" One board member compared Genesis Capital's debt-to-equity ratio to that of Lehman Brothers prior to its collapse and said, "[i]f the market sneezes, you're in the same situation again." A board member also questioned whether Genesis Capital had misrepresented information to Gemini about its largest borrower at the time, Alameda.
- 90. Immediately after this discussion, the Board of Managers and the Winklevosses discussed whether to wind down Earn to avoid reputational damage from Genesis Capital's default. Between this meeting and when the Earn program was terminated, Gemini gave Genesis Capital an additional hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of investor assets.
  - 2. Gemini Received Risk Reports From Genesis Capital That Showed Continued Deterioration
- 91. In addition to Genesis Capital's financial statements, Genesis Capital also gave Gemini risk reports indicating that Genesis Capital's credit risk grew from May 1, 2022. In May

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

2022, Genesis Capital provided Gemini with weekly Credit Portfolio Metrics reports regarding its key risk metrics, such as the percentage of Genesis Capital's loans secured by collateral.

Each metric had a threshold that indicated Genesis Capital's ordinary risk tolerance.

- 92. From May 1, 2022, through November 16, 2022, Gemini observed that Genesis Capital routinely exceeded these thresholds for several key risk metrics.
- 93. Genesis Capital's excesses of key risk metric thresholds grew more frequent and consistent as this period continued. From August 16, 2022, through November 16, 2022, Genesis Capital exceeded at least two—and as many as five—key risk metric thresholds out of nine threshold-bearing metrics in every report it provided to Gemini.
- 94. Genesis Capital's consistent failures to manage its key risk metrics demonstrated—or should have demonstrated—to Gemini that Genesis Capital's collateralization management process was failing and that Genesis Capital took inadequate steps to reduce risk.
  - 3. Gemini Failed to Disclose to Earn Investors Overconcentration in Genesis Capital's Loan Book
    - i. Overconcentration in Alameda
- 95. On July 6, 2022, Genesis Capital began to provide reports to Gemini regarding additional risk metrics. From July 6, 2022, through August 16, 2022, these reports showed that Genesis Capital's loans were heavily concentrated in a single counterparty, cryptocurrency trading firm Alameda, which was the borrower for nearly 60% of all outstanding loans from Genesis Capital to unaffiliated counterparties (*i.e.*, excluding loans to DCG and its affiliates). Further, Genesis Capital's loans to Alameda were mostly secured with FTT tokens issued by

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM INDEX NO. 452784/2023

MAGGEE DOG NO 3

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

Alameda's affiliate, cryptocurrency platform FTX Trading, Ltd. This counterparty concentration and poor-quality collateral created a risk of massive losses if Alameda defaulted.

- 96. On August 8, 2022, Cameron Winklevoss spoke to Genesis Capital's Managing Director No. 1 regarding Gemini's concerns about Genesis Capital's risk management practices. During that conversation, Winklevoss said that unless DCG itself guaranteed repayment of the Earn investments, Gemini would wind down Earn. Gemini never informed Earn investors of these actions. Nor did Gemini ever receive a guarantee from DCG.
- 97. On August 16, 2022, Genesis Capital recalled nearly \$2 billion in loans to Alameda. However, Gemini's risk assessments showed that Genesis Capital's risk ratios remained above Genesis Capital's own tolerance levels even after recalling these loans.

#### ii. Overconcentration in Related Parties

- 98. Without Alameda, Genesis Capital's loan book was overconcentrated in loans to related parties like DCG.
- 99. From August 16, 2022, through November 16, 2022, nearly 50% of Genesis Capital's outstanding loans consisted of loans to its own affiliates, including hundreds of millions of dollars in unsecured loans to its own parent company, DCG.
  - 100. Gemini failed to disclose any of these material facts to Earn investors.

### E. Gemini Misled Investors Who Expressed Concern About Earn

101. From February 2021 through at least November 14, 2022, Gemini never adjusted, amended, or corrected its representations about the Earn investment program, the quality of its "trusted" and "accredited" partner or the fulsomeness of its own risk management processes. In

CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

fact, Gemini repeated these misrepresentations directly to Earn investors when they were at their most vulnerable.

- June 2022 marked a period of rapid deterioration and instability in the crypto 102. markets, with the collapse of TerraUSD and Luna causing losses at Three Arrows and other cryptocurrency companies.
- 103. On June 16, 2022, one retail Earn investor asked Gemini via email whether "any of [the Earn investor's] funds have been placed with [Three Arrows]. If so, are [the investor's] funds in jeopardy?" Gemini responded:

Your funds in Earn are not insured by Gemini but are held with our trusted partners. Our partners are vetted through our risk management framework and always disclosed to you, so you know which institution has borrowed your funds. Currently, Gemini is partnering with accredited third party borrower Genesis.

- .... All loans are open-term and callable, and the customer's experience is seamless with Gemini's platform, allowing quick access to earn interest and redeem funds.
- 104. Likewise, on June 27, 2022, one retail Earn investor wrote to Gemini: "[w]ith the layoffs and what is happening with other exchanges like Celsius and Blockfi I am concerned about Gemini. Does Gemini have any similar vulnerabilities?" Gemini responded in part: "We founded Gemini with a security-first mentality and ethos of asking for permission, not forgiveness. We have worked hard to provide you with a high-integrity choice and we look forward to earning and maintaining your trust."
- 105. When this Earn investor asked about "liquidity vulnerabilities" and "risky investments/loans that would risk my assets or cause Gemini to halt withdrawals" for Earn, Gemini responded:

Gemini is partnering with accredited third party borrowers including Genesis, who are vetted through a risk management framework which reviews our partners' collateralization management process. On a periodic basis we will

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

conduct an analysis of our partners' cash flow, balance sheet, and financial statements to ensure the appropriate risk ratios and healthy financial condition of our partners. We will notify you when interest payments hit your account, and you can then check balances in real time. Gemini will continue to add highquality partners in order to ensure competitive rates and allow you to diversify your borrowers.

Two days later, this investor made an additional \$1,000 ACH transfer into his Gemini account.

106. Similarly, on July 24, 2022, another retail Earn investor asked Gemini in an email whether it was "involved with any of the drama surrounding [Three Arrows]," and more specifically, how events surrounding Three Arrows impacted Genesis Capital and Earn investors. A Gemini customer service representative responded, "we are not involved in anything regarding [Three Arrows]" and followed up with:

Genesis [is] vetted through a risk management framework which reviews our partners' collateralization management process. On a periodic basis we will conduct an analysis of our partner's cash flow, balance sheet, and financial statements to ensure the appropriate risk ratios and healthy financial condition of our partners.

- 107. After the same investor requested additional details, Gemini's customer service representative responded: "all of Gemini's business operations continue to function normally, including Earn. Unfortunately, I do not have any more information at this time."
- 108. Through these statements, Gemini assured investors that it was safe to invest their cryptocurrencies in Genesis Capital through Earn.
- During this same period, Gemini risk management personnel withdrew their own investments from Earn. A Gemini Senior Risk Associate working on Earn withdrew his entire remaining Earn investment—totaling over \$4,000—between June 26, 2022, and September 5, 2022.

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM INDEX NO. 452784/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

110. Likewise, Gemini's Chief Operations Officer, who also sat on Gemini's Enterprise Risk Management Committee, withdrew his entire remaining Earn investment—totaling more than \$100,000—on June 16 and June 17, 2022.

- F. Gemini Secretly Sought to Terminate Earn in October 2023 While Continuing to Induce Investments in Earn from the Public and Continuing to Turn Over Investor Assets to Genesis Capital
- 111. On September 2, 2022, Gemini's leadership, including Cameron and Tyler Winklevoss, decided to terminate Earn due to the reputational risk that Gemini would suffer if Genesis Capital defaulted. On October 13, 2022, Gemini sent a confidential formal written notice to Genesis Capital terminating all Earn Agreements on behalf of Earn investors and demanding the return of all Earn investors' assets. Gemini did not announce this decision to the Earn investors and instead kept soliciting investor assets.
- 112. On October 20, 2022, DCG's CEO, Silbert, met with Gemini's president Cameron Winklevoss. During that meeting, according to internal DCG documents, Silbert informed Winklevoss that Gemini was Genesis Capital's largest and most important source of capital and that Genesis Capital could not redeem Earn investors' funds without Genesis Capital declaring bankruptcy.
- 113. Gemini secretly granted Genesis Capital multiple extensions to return investor funds.
- 114. Even after Gemini privately demanded the return of investor funds on October 13, 2022, it transferred tens of millions of dollars' worth of Earn investors' assets to Genesis Capital.
- 115. Neither Gemini nor Genesis Capital disclosed to Earn investors the termination notice, the extensions of the redemption date, or the risk that Genesis Capital would go into bankruptcy. These were material facts for investors.

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

IV. DCG Scheme: The Genesis Entities, DCG, Moro and Silbert Concealed a \$1 Billion **Loss From Earn Investors** 

The Earn investors were also the victims of a separate scheme perpetrated by the 116. Genesis Entities, DCG, and their respective CEOs Moro and Silbert to conceal more than \$1 billion in losses at Genesis Capital.

A. The Genesis Entities Suffer Around \$1.1 Billion in Losses

117. In the days leading up to June 13, 2022, Three Arrows was the Genesis Entities' second-largest borrower. Although Three Arrows nominally borrowed from Genesis Asia Pacific, Genesis Capital used Genesis Asia Pacific as a pass-through entity to lend its own assets to Three Arrows. Genesis Asia Pacific's loans to Three Arrows were open-term and callable by Genesis Asia Pacific. Three Arrows paid between 8-15% interest on these loans.

- 118. When Genesis Capital funded these loans, it categorized them as "receivables from related parties" on its balance sheet, which reflected the amount that Genesis Capital gave to Genesis Asia Pacific to lend to Three Arrows. Genesis Asia Pacific repaid Genesis Capital around the same time that Three Arrows repaid its loans to Genesis Asia Pacific. For its part, Genesis Asia Pacific recorded the amounts it owed to Genesis Capital in connection with these loans as "current liabilities" on its balance sheet—i.e., liabilities payable within one year.
- Neither Gemini nor Genesis Capital informed Earn investors that their assets were 119. being passed to Genesis Asia Pacific. Indeed, Gemini did not conduct ongoing diligence into Genesis Asia Pacific, and did not receive Genesis Asia Pacific's financial statements on a quarterly basis.
- Genesis Capital and Genesis Asia Pacific failed to conduct adequate due diligence 120. on Three Arrows. Contrary to assurances to Gemini on February 18, 2022, that Genesis Capital reviewed its borrowers' "[m]ost recent financial statements with quarterly update cadence,"

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

neither Genesis Capital nor Genesis Asia Pacific had received audited financial statements from Three Arrows since July 2020. Genesis Capital and Genesis Asia Pacific also accepted from Three Arrows illiquid collateral to secure more than \$500 million in loans.

- 121. On June 13, 2022, Three Arrows defaulted on billions in loans from Genesis Asia Pacific. As a result of this default, Genesis Asia Pacific (and thus, the Genesis lending business generally) incurred a loss of approximately \$1 billion in open-term, on-demand assets. Around the same time as Three Arrows' default, Genesis Capital also incurred more than \$100 million in losses arising from the default of another borrower, Babel Finance.
- 122. The losses from Three Arrows and Babel created negative equity value at the Genesis Entities and created a deficit in the open-term assets available to repay Earn investors. Genesis Capital's internal documents stated that these losses opened a \$1.1 billion structural hole in Genesis Capital's loan book.
  - B. The Genesis Entities, DCG, Moro and Silbert Plug the Structural Hole With Deceit and Misrepresent Genesis Capitals' Financial Condition to Counterparties
- 123. DCG and Genesis Capital engaged in a communications campaign designed to conceal Genesis Capital's financial condition and mislead counterparties into believing Genesis Capital was operating "business as usual." Those counterparties included Gemini, which conducted ongoing due diligence on behalf of the Earn investors.
- 124. From June 13, 2022, through July 2022, DCG employees and executives (including Silbert and DCG's Chief Operating Officer ("COO")) met with Genesis Capital's leadership daily, often multiple times a day. During these meetings, DCG and Genesis Capital employees discussed how to communicate with counterparties about Three Arrows, and how to bolster the Genesis Entities' financial condition in the wake of these losses. During the same

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

period, DCG's COO and DCG's Head of Communications helped draft talking points documents for use by DCG and Genesis Capital personnel in conversations with counterparties.

- On June 13, 2022, Silbert directed Moro and Genesis Capital's COO to lead the 125. company's response to the Three Arrows' situation "with support and guidance from DCG."
- 126. On June 13, 2022, Silbert reported to DCG's board that Three Arrows defaulted and that Genesis Capital's "unsecured exposure," or expected loss at the time, was "uncomfortably big (well over \$500 mm now)." Silbert went on to explain that some of the collateral provided by Three Arrows was illiquid as it consisted of shares of the Grayscale Bitcoin Trust ("GBTC") issued by DCG-subsidiary Grayscale Investments, LLC, which Genesis Capital could not liquidate due to restrictions on sales of stock by the issuing company's affiliates. Accordingly, Silbert reported to DCG's board that Genesis Capital was preparing for a bank run, and that DCG would seek additional financing both for itself and Genesis. In Silbert's words: "Everything needs to be on the table" regarding financing.
- Then, on June 14, 2022, Silbert, on behalf of DCG's board, instructed Moro and 127. Genesis "to continue aggressively shrinking the loan book and, until such time as we have the right controls, risk monitoring, etc. in place—and we're through the winter—... to limit the extension of any new loans to counterparties."
- 128. Also on June 14, 2022, Silbert reported to DCG's board of directors regarding Genesis Capital's strategy after Three Arrows' default. In doing so, Silbert presented the option to "[j]ettison[] the Genesis Capital business" by not supplying Genesis Capital with additional capital to strengthen its balance sheet.
- Nevertheless, on June 15, 2022, two days after Three Arrows' default, the Genesis 129. Entities tweeted via their shared Twitter account:

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023



- 130. Silbert and DCG both re-tweeted this statement on June 15, 2022.
- 131. Indeed, that same day, Silbert wrote to Moro and other Genesis Capital personnel in a Microsoft Teams chat that "the word on the street is that genesis is the 'blue chip' in this mess.... we need to continue to perpetuate that of course." In other words, Silbert directed Genesis Capital personnel to perpetuate the idea that, within the cryptocurrency industry, Genesis Capital was akin to highly stable "blue chip" companies.
  - 132. Then, on June 17, 2022, the Genesis Entities' CEO Moro tweeted the following:



133. DCG's COO reviewed and edited these tweets before Moro posted them. In strategizing the release of the tweets, DCG's COO directed Moro to send these tweets "from Moro['s] [personal Twitter account]" despite directions from Genesis Capital's compliance department that these tweets should come from Genesis Capital's corporate account. The Genesis Entities reposted Moro's tweets that same day.

'ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM INDEX NO. 452784/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

134. Similarly, in a June 17, 2022 phone call with Gemini's risk management personnel, Managing Director No. 1 stated, "Genesis remains solvent and operates [business as usual] at the moment. With the strong [loan book] and capital support from DCG, Genesis has no concerns on business operations." In the same call, Managing Director No. 1 further stated, "Genesis experienced a certain amount of losses from the liquidation, but will absorb the losses using its own balance sheet."

- 135. After the call with Gemini, Silbert and DCG's COO were updated that same day that Gemini "asked hard [questions] about [Three Arrows]," but that Managing Director No. 1 "fended it off."
- 136. Gemini continued to send Genesis Capital additional investor funds after June 17,2022.
- 137. The tweets and statements to Gemini set forth above were false and misleading in at least five ways.
- 138. First, client funds had been impacted—the Three Arrows losses severely impaired Genesis Capital's ability to repay its counterparties, including Earn investors.
- 139. Second, due to Three Arrows' default on June 13, 2022, the Genesis Entities' balance sheets were not strong, solvent, or capable of absorbing the losses; the Genesis Entities suffered a loss that exceeded their equity. Indeed, in a June 21, 2022 email, Silbert informed colleagues at DCG that "the hole in Genesis equity due to the Three Arrows exposure is something they we [sic] will need to fill by 6/30," and asked his colleagues to "keep [that] between us." Three days later, Silbert further explained to DCG personnel "[w]e just can't allow people inside or outside [to] question Genesis' solvency" due to Silbert's concern that this could spark a bank run.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

140. Third, the tweets discussed the sale or hedging of all "liquid" collateral while concealing that hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of the loans were secured by illiquid collateral that could not be sold and was not hedged.

- 141. Fourth, Genesis Capital had not "shed the risk and moved on"—as of June 17, 2022, it still held a more than \$1 billion receivable relating to Three Arrows as an uncollectible asset on its balance sheet.
- 142. Fifth, Genesis Capital was not operating "business as usual"; the business was seeking to fill an equity deficiency and at Silbert's direction on June 14, 2022, limited the origination of new loans and started shrinking its loan book.
- 143. On June 27, 2022, Genesis Capital's then CEO, Moro, emailed DCG and Genesis Capital executives, explaining the need to show a "well-capitalized" balance sheet to counterparties like Gemini on June 30, 2022:

Once the equity problem is solved, the liquidity problem is much easier to solve. I think we'll find people to lend us additional [cryptocurrency] with a well-capitalized 6/30 balance sheet.

And yes, at some point, our losses in [Three Arrows] and potentially Babel will become public. But if we're able to show our balance sheet after all of that happened and it still looks strong, I think that 1) people will care less about the losses and 2) we'll be better able to operate from a place of strength going forward.

But as I told Barry this evening, we have a lot of work to do before we can get back to full-steam-ahead on lending. Better to think of it in wind-down mode for the time being, and just manage liquidity as loans roll off. Then we can look to rebuild.

144. The next day, in a June 28, 2022 email, Moro wrote to Silbert that he had discussed with other Genesis Capital representatives how to "best fill the equity hole", euphemistically referring to the Genesis Entities' negative equity value caused by the more than \$1 billion in losses. Moro wrote that "[w]hile liquidity [was] still [Genesis Capital's] number

COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

one focus, [they] only ha[d] a couple of days until quarter-end." Thus, he proposed an "overall plan" of injecting certain assets to "plug the equity hole" and then "work on consistent messaging to speak to the loss to counterparties when we put out [a] new balance sheet" in an effort to "[r]estore confidence in the market and keep looking to borrow with term." Moro continued:

We wouldn't necessarily need to touch the [proposed] assets [that DCG would inject] ... for liquidity purposes, it could just be for balance sheet support. And then with a strengthened balance sheet, we would be able to source additional unsecured funding to be able to continue to manage our liquidity and withdrawal obligations.

- At this time, the Earn investors were one of Genesis Capital's largest sources of unsecured funding.
- 146. Silbert responded: "It is certainly our hope and intention to help Genesis address the equity-hole—hopefully by 6/30. To that end, the Genesis team should be working 24/7 with DCG and [DCG's subsidiary, DCG International Investments, Ltd.] teams to figure out all possible ways to do so along the lines" outlined in Moro's email.
- 147. On June 30, 2022, Moro and Silbert entered into the \$1.1 billion Promissory Note. Under the Promissory Note, DCG agreed to pay Genesis Capital a decade later at only 1% interest per annum to "replace" the receivables Genesis Capital would have otherwise received from Genesis Asia Pacific for the Three Arrows loans. Genesis Capital categorized this \$1.1 billion as an asset on its balance sheet.
  - 148. Silbert signed the Promissory Note as CEO of DCG.
- Moro signed the Promissory Note as the CEO of Genesis Capital and Genesis 149. Holdco, and as director of Genesis Asia Pacific.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

and 1% interest rate. DCG provided no collateral to secure its obligations under the Promissory Note. To the contrary, DCG's repayment of the Promissory Note was subordinate to DCG's repayment of an over \$350 million credit facility to unrelated third parties. DCG's pre-existing \$350 million obligation reduced the likelihood that DCG could repay the Promissory Note.

151. On July 6, 2022, in reference to Three Arrows, Moro tweeted:

	Michael Moro @michaelmoro · Jul 6, 2022  3/ The loans to this counterparty had a weighted average margin requirement of over 80%. Once they were unable to meet the margin ca requirements, we immediately sold collateral and hedged our downside.						
	Q 5	<b>t</b> ↓ 12	<b>♡</b> 79	ılıt	<b>^</b>		
Michael Moro @michaelmoro · Jul 6, 2022  4/ Since then, we worked with @DCGco to find the optimal strategy to further isolate the risk. DCG has assumed certain liabilities of Genesis related to this counterparty to ensure we have the capital to operate and scale our business for the long-term.  ○ 36							

- 152. DCG's COO and Head of Communications edited and helped draft these tweets. Silbert reviewed these tweets before Moro posted them.
- 153. The tweets were false, misleading, and omitted material facts. DCG did not simply "assume" the \$1.1 billion, open-term liability related to Three Arrows, which could be called at any time; it *replaced* that liability with an illiquid ten-year Promissory Note.
- 154. The Promissory Note failed to ensure that Genesis Capital had sufficient capital to operate its business. The Promissory Note required DCG to provide cash payments in no sooner than 10 years, whereas the Three Arrows-related liabilities DCG purportedly "assumed" were callable on demand; this created a mismatch between the Promissory Note and Genesis Capital's billions of dollars' worth of on-demand obligations to Earn users. Genesis Capital's and DCG's

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:54 AM

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 12:3

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

internal documents reveal that the Promissory Note's ten-year duration and 1% interest rate failed to address the "structural hole" caused by the Three Arrows losses. In an internal document, Genesis Capital's Chief Risk Officer acknowledged that the Promissory Note "wreaks havoc on our balance sheet impacting everything we do."

- 155. Earn investors reviewed Moro's July 6, 2022 tweets and determined them to be material. Indeed, some Earn investors emailed Gemini customer service representatives asking about Genesis Capital's financial condition in the wake of Three Arrows; in response, Gemini "encourage[d] [these investors] to take a look at Genesis's public statements [from July 2022] for more detailed information on its exposure to" Three Arrows—*i.e.*, Moro's July 6, 2022 tweets. Thereafter, these retail investors provided additional assets to Genesis Capital. For example, one of these retail investors invested more than \$1,000 in Gemini Earn on July 20, 2022, shortly after Gemini directed this investor to Moro's tweets. This investor lost more than \$10,000 in Gemini Earn when Genesis Capital suspended withdrawals on November 16, 2022.
- 156. Likewise, on July 13, 2022, Gemini observed in internal documents that "several customers [using the social media website Reddit] were reassured by statements from Genesis re [Three Arrows]."
- 157. On July 6, 2022, Genesis Capital's Head of Communications and Public Relations sent a document titled "Talking Points to [Three Arrows] Questions" to Moro, as well as DCG's COO, DCG's Head of Communications, and various other senior employees at Genesis Capital and DCG with instructions to "review and approve." DCG's Head of Communications helped draft these talking points. DCG's COO also reviewed these talking points on July 6, 2022. These talking points were to be used by Genesis Capital personnel in conversations with counterparties, including Gemini.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

Note, its ten-year duration or 1% interest rate, or the \$1.1 billion value of Genesis Capital's losses. Instead, the talking points included the misrepresentations that DCG "absorbed" the losses, that Genesis Capital was "well capitalized," and that "DCG has assumed certain liabilities of Genesis related to [Three Arrows] to ensure [Genesis Capital] ha[d] more than adequate capital to operate and scale our business for the long-term."

- 159. Relying on these talking points, on July 6, 2022, Genesis Entities' Co-Head of Trading and Lending, Managing Director No.1, informed Gemini, both over the phone and in writing, that the Three Arrows "[1]osses [were] predominantly absorbed by and netted against DCG balance sheet" and that Genesis Capital remained "well-capitalized." Genesis Capital misled investors and omitted material facts regarding its financial condition during these communications, including that Genesis Capital's balance sheet contained an illiquid \$1.1 billion Promissory Note.
- 160. On July 18, 2022, DCG filed a bankruptcy claim against Three Arrows for more than \$1 billion. The same day, DCG's COO instructed Managing Director No. 1 in writing to "manage [G]emini and the other largest counterparties" because DCG was concerned that Earn investors would withdraw their assets. Thus, on July 18, 2022, Managing Director No.1 told Gemini's risk personnel conducting due diligence for Earn investors: "There might be some information relating to DCG's claim against [Three Arrows] that gets published today or tomorrow. None of this is new information and all of our loses [sic] have already been absorbed by DCG/realized on our balance sheet.... All of the losses have already been reflected and are with DCG."

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

161. Even after July 18, 2022, Gemini transferred hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of investor assets to Genesis Capital under Earn.

162. These statements on July 6 and 18, 2022, were false, misleading, and omitted material information. DCG did not "absorb" the Genesis Entities' losses. The Promissory Note concealed those losses on Genesis Capital's balance sheet but did not replace the lost open-term assets. On July 7, 2022, Managing Director No. 1 described the issue to DCG's COO as follows:

the issue is more structural ... even though DCG took on liability from [Genesis Asia Pacific], it still leaves a liquidity hole if we were to conceptually wind the book down to nothing, the liquidity hole long-term = the \$900 m2m loss on [Three Arrows] + the lack of liquidity on the GBTC collateral which is another \$450mm, so structurally, we have about 1.345B we'd need to get in the form of long-term debt or equity to ultimately have enough liquid capital to wind everything down.

- 163. In another communication dated July 22, 2022, Managing Director No. 1 explained to a high-level DCG employee that the Three Arrows losses created a "[d]uration mismatch [at Genesis Capital] because we had [one billion] of open term assets with [Three Arrows] which no longer exist and thus cannot be used to offset all of our open term liabilities" including liabilities to the Earn investors. Managing Director No. 1 continued to explain that there was an additional "asset quality mismatch because \$500 [million] of the collateral we absorbed to offset the [Three Arrows] losses was GBTC which isn't liquid. So both of these things on net contribute to the overall net liquidity gap [Genesis Capital] [has] as an organization."
- 164. Further, the losses were not reflected on Genesis Capital's balance sheet—they were reflected on Genesis Asia Pacific's Q2 2022 income statement, which Genesis Capital never provided to Gemini.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

165. On July 21, 2022, Genesis Capital's CFO corrected some of these misstatements, writing in an email to a member of Genesis Capital's sales team: "[w]e should avoid using the word 'well-capitalized'" and "DCG didn't absorb the loss." The same day, Genesis Capital's CFO also messaged Managing Director No. 1 and several members of the lending team: "[w]e have to stop making reference that we are well capitalized."

- 166. On July 21, 2022, Genesis Capital's CFO informed DCG and the Genesis Entities' Heads of Communications of similar false statements contained in Genesis Capital's and DCG's talking points. Neither DCG nor Genesis Capital corrected any prior misstatements made to Gemini or the Earn investors.
- 167. Genesis Capital's CFO objected to these false statements to further conceal Genesis Capital's financial condition. As Genesis Capital's CFO explained to Genesis Capital's Chief Marketing Officer on July 21, 2022: "I don't want sales to tell people we have no losses and then ask me to be on a call to justify that."
- 168. Genesis Capital's CFO and its finance team avoided joining phone calls with counterparties to conceal Genesis Capital's financial condition. Instead, members of Genesis Capital's sales and lending teams answered financial questions using only approved talking points that contained no explanation of the Promissory Note or its terms. In a private message dated August 30, 2022, Genesis Capital's CFO confided to a colleague:

We are only comfortable to share what will yield the best outcome for the firm. [Having the finance team] on the call without anticipated questions is not putting finance on the spot. It's putting the firm on the spot. That's what we have to convey [to Genesis Capital personnel] [and] why [having the finance team join counterparty calls] will be putting c suites and [the] firm "at risk."

169. Starting in July 2022, and continuing multiple times per week until November 16, 2022, Genesis Capital provided reports to Gemini that listed the value of Genesis Capital's

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

"current assets." A "current asset" is one that can be reduced to cash or cash equivalents within the course of one year. These reports fraudulently categorized the Promissory Note as a current asset, even though it was payable ten years later. In response to inquiries from Gemini, on July 28, 2022, Genesis Capital's CFO helped Genesis Capital's lending team draft an email to Gemini which explained that the "current assets" column in this report contained "\$1.1bn in receivables from related parties"—*i.e.*, the Promissory Note. This email to Gemini omitted any reference to the Promissory Note's duration or other terms.

# C. DCG and Genesis Capital Concealed Information That Would Have Revealed Their Deceit

- 170. Genesis Capital's CFO and other personnel directed employees not to disclose the Promissory Note to counterparties such as Gemini. Indeed, many Genesis Capital employees were not informed of the Promissory Note until months after its signature.
- 171. When counterparties requested additional information concerning Genesis
  Capital's financial statements, Genesis Capital continued to conceal and suppress information
  that would have revealed the Promissory Note or losses on counterparty defaults. In July 2022,
  Genesis Capital's CFO directed other personnel to tell counterparties that the notes to Genesis
  Capital's balance sheet—which would have explained the Promissory Note and its impact on
  Genesis Capital's balance sheet—were not prepared more frequently than the end of the year.
  This was false. Genesis Capital prepared notes for its quarterly balance sheets in prior quarters,
  including its unaudited balance sheets for the second and third quarters of 2021 and the first
  quarter of 2022.
- 172. In a July 2022 Microsoft Teams chat, Genesis Capital's CFO confessed to her coworkers that the "real reason" why Genesis Capital would not provide these footnotes to counterparties was because "[i]n the notes, we are required to disclose a lot of things [w]hich

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

will highlight what happened" including the "assignment of liab[ilities]"—*i.e.*, the Promissory Note.

- 173. In another Microsoft Teams chat, in September 2022, Genesis Capital's CFO explained to coworkers that without the footnotes, counterparties would not know about the Promissory Note from the balance sheet alone.
- 174. After June 30, 2022, Genesis Capital's CFO, in consultation with DCG, directed Genesis Capital personnel not to share cash flow and income statements and to withhold Genesis Asia Pacific's financial statements from counterparties. These financial statements would have revealed hundreds of millions of dollars in losses during the second quarter of 2022 and would have revealed that DCG did not "absorb the loss."
- 175. Cash flow and income statements were important to Gemini's ability to assess Genesis Capital's ability to pay back Earn investors. Thus, Gemini requested Genesis Capital's cash flow and income statements for the second quarter of 2022 on multiple occasions after June 30, 2022.
  - 176. Genesis Capital ignored these requests and Gemini allowed them to do so.
- 177. Before executing the Promissory Note, Genesis Capital executives, including Moro, Genesis Capital's CFO, and Managing Director No 1, informed DCG officers and employees what financial statements had previously been shared with counterparties, including Gemini. Thus, both DCG and Genesis Capital knew that they were deviating from past practices in providing only a balance sheet to Gemini.
- 178. Genesis Capital personnel soon grew concerned that Genesis Capital had provided false information to counterparties. On September 1, 2022, Genesis Capital's Director of Lending reported to its interim CEO: "I'm hearing concerns from front office folks.... They're

41

COUNTY CLERK 10/19/2023 NEW YORK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

concerned about the accuracy of information we have shared with clients re liquidity and variability in our equity.... There still is no liquidity infusion from DCG to fill the gap and instead we have a 'note'." Nevertheless, neither DCG nor Genesis Capital corrected the misstatements that Genesis Capital employees made to counterparties, including the Earn investors.

179. On October 28, 2022, Silbert authorized Genesis Capital's Director of Lending to disclose the Promissory Note to Gemini—a mere two weeks before Genesis Capital suspended withdrawals and weeks after Gemini notified Genesis Capital that it was terminating Earn.

#### D. DCG Created a Liquidity Crunch at Genesis Capital While Misleading Investors into Believing the Opposite

- 180. From January 2022 through July 2022, DCG and its subsidiary, DCG International Investments, Ltd. ("DCGI"), collectively borrowed more than \$800 million from Genesis Capital.
- 181. On January 24, 2022, Genesis Capital lent DCG \$100 million in cash on an unsecured basis, with a stated maturity date of July 24, 2022. DCG failed to repay that loan on or before its maturity date. Instead, on July 25, 2022, a DCG executive informed Managing Director No. 1 that DCG "literally [did not] have the money right now" to repay the loan.
- 182. Also on July 25, 2022, the same day, DCG's treasurer emailed Genesis Capital's COO and Managing Director No. 1:

We received guidance from [Silbert] to re-paper the \$100mm loan (with July 24th maturity) from Genesis to DCG by 10 months (until May 2023). Please let us know what documentation is needed to execute on the new loan. Also, we need to include language that the loan could be repaid early without any penalty.

183. Managing Director No. 1 objected, stating: "given the continued pressure from major lenders such as ... Gemini ... this duration mismatch will certainly put Genesis in a much worse spot." The same day, on July 25, 2022, DCG's Treasurer responded that DCG "need[ed]

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

to preserve liquidity to meet our operating cash needs over the next few months." After additional objections, the next day, Managing Director No.1 relented stating: "it sounds like we don't have much room to push back, so we will do what DCG needs us to do." DCG also dictated the interest rate for this loan.

- 184. Similarly, on February 23, 2022, Genesis Capital lent DCG another \$100 million in U.S. dollars on an unsecured basis, with a stated maturity date of August 23, 2022. After the payment became due, at DCG's insistence, Genesis Capital extended the maturity date of this loan to May 2023.
- 185. On or about June 18, 2022, Genesis Capital lent approximately 18,697 bitcoin (valued at over \$355 million as of June 18, 2022) to affiliate, DCGI, on an open-term basis. Rather than repaying this loan in bitcoin—the denomination of the loan—DCGI partially repaid that loan on November 10, 2022, with 25,999,457 shares of GBTC which were worth approximately \$250 million as of November 10, 2022.
- 186. This repayment deprived Genesis Capital of liquidity because unlike bitcoin, Genesis Capital neither borrowed nor lent GBTC, and could not liquidate the GBTC shares due to affiliate sales restrictions as referenced in paragraph 126 above.
- 187. After this repayment, 4,550.45 bitcoin (approximately \$80 million as of November 10, 2022) remained outstanding on Genesis Capital's loan to DCGI. On November 10, 2022, DCG made Genesis Capital extend the maturity date for the remaining amount to May 11, 2023. These loans all remain unpaid.

## V. Gemini and Genesis Capital Misrepresented the Licensed Status of Genesis Capital

188. Gemini and Genesis Capital also systematically deceived Earn investors regarding the regulatory oversight of Genesis Capital. Both parties led Earn investors to believe Genesis

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

Capital had met its regulatory burdens to receive investments through Earn lawfully. However, this was not the case.

- 189. To invest in Earn, all Earn investors had to agree to the Earn Master Agreement between the Earn investor, Genesis Capital, and Gemini as "custodian" and "agent" for the Earn investors. Earn investors accessed this agreement through Gemini's platform.
- 190. The Earn Master Agreement contained several "Representations and Warranties," including that "[e]ach Party represent[ed] and warrant[ed] that to its knowledge the transaction contemplated in th[e] [Earn Master Agreement] [we]re not prohibited by law or other authority in the jurisdiction of its place of incorporation, place of principal office, or residence and that it has necessary licenses and registrations to operate in the manner contemplated in this Agreement." Further, "[e]ach Party represent[ed] and warrant[ed] that it ha[d] all necessary governmental and other consents, approvals and licenses to perform its obligations" under Earn.
- 191. Genesis Capital's Chief Legal Officer acknowledged several months before the launch of Earn that the program "may be viewed as an investment contract under the securities laws."
- 192. By promoting Earn on its website and social media accounts and entering into Earn Master Agreements with Earn customers, Genesis Capital offered for sale and sold securities without registering with the OAG as a securities dealer or a securities salesperson.
- 193. Earn is a security under the Martin Act because Earn investors provided their cryptocurrency assets to Genesis Capital with the expectation of receiving promised yields from Genesis Capital's efforts in deploying investors' pooled assets.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

194. Despite its failure to register with the OAG, Genesis Capital continued to represent that it was authorized to enter into transactions with Earn investors in New York and entered into tens of thousands of Earn Master Agreements with New Yorkers.

- 195. Months before the launch of the Gemini Earn program, Gemini knew that Genesis Capital was regulated only by FinCEN and, in fact, categorized Genesis Capital's lack of regulation as a "high" risk factor in internal documents.
- 196. Neither Genesis Capital nor Gemini corrected the misstatement made in the Earn Master Agreement.

# VI. Genesis Capital Announces It Cannot Repay More Than 232,000 Earn Investors

197. On or about November 12, 2022, Genesis Capital privately sought an emergency loan of between \$750 million and \$1 billion from a third party, and informed the proposed lender that it was facing a "liquidity crunch primar[ily] due to certain illiquid assets on its balance sheet following the events of [Three Arrows]" including (1) the Promissory Note; (2) GBTC; and (3) unsecured loans to DCG. Genesis Capital did not—or could not—obtain this emergency loan.

198. On November 16, 2022, Genesis Capital, using the Twitter handle (@GenesisTrading), announced:

G	Genesis @GenesisTrading · Nov 16  Our #1 priority is to serve our clients and preserve their assets. Therefore in consultation with our professional financial advisors and counsel, we have taken the difficult decision to temporarily suspend redemptions are new loan originations in the lending business.			
	Q 86	₹170	<b>♡</b> 240	土
	Show this thread			

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

VII. Earn Investors Lost Over One Billion Dollars Due to Defendants' Fraud

199. When Genesis Capital suspended withdrawals on November 16, 2022, it owed

more than \$1 billion to more than 232,000 Earn investors, including at least 29,000 New

Yorkers. Many of these investors unsuccessfully attempted to withdraw their investments in the

days around November 16, 2022.

200. One of the Earn investors was Complainant No. 1, a 73-year-old mother,

grandmother, and resident of the State of New York. She and her husband, who are both retired,

invested their life savings of over \$199,000 in Earn because they believed Gemini's marketing

statements that Gemini was a safe and secure choice. Complainant No. 1 had hoped to use this

money to pay for her grandchild's education. Outside of her Earn investment, she and her

husband have little savings. Defendants have failed to return any of her assets or the profits she

expected to receive.

201. Another Earn investor, Complainant No. 2, is a 56-year-old resident of the State

of New York. Complainant No. 2 invested approximately \$20,500 in Earn, virtually all his

savings. Complainant No. 2 chose Earn because he researched the product and came to believe,

based on Gemini's statements, that Earn was more secure than other interest-bearing

cryptocurrency investments.

202. These complainants are just two of the 232,000 investors who placed their trust in

Earn and are now desperate for relief. To date, Genesis Capital has not lifted this "temporar[y]

suspen[sion]" and neither Genesis Capital nor Gemini have returned the Earn investors' assets.

Instead, Genesis Capital filed for bankruptcy.

46

46 of 58

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Martin Act Securities Fraud—Article 23-A of the General Business Law (Against Defendant Gemini)

- The Attorney General repeats and re-alleges the paragraphs above as if fully 203. stated herein.
- 204. The cryptocurrencies bought, sold, and stored on Gemini's exchange and used as part of Earn, including Gemini dollar, bitcoin, and ether, among other cryptocurrencies, constituted securities or commodities under the Martin Act, and the pooled investment program that Gemini and Genesis Capital referred to as "Gemini Earn" constituted securities under the Martin Act.
- 205. The acts and practices alleged herein violated Article 23-A of the GBL in that Gemini employed, or employs, or is about to employ a device, scheme or artifice to defraud or for obtaining money or property by means of any false pretense, representation or promise in the issuance, exchange, purchase, sale, promotion, negotiation, advertisement, investment advice or distribution within or from this state of securities or commodities, and constituted fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 et seq.
- 206. The acts and practices alleged herein violated Article 23-A of the GBL in that Gemini employed, or employs, or is about to employ deception, concealment, suppression, fraud, false pretense, false promise, or materially false and misleading representations, statements, and omissions in the issuance, exchange, purchase, sale, promotion, negotiation, advertisement, investment advice or distribution within or from this state of securities or commodities, and constituted fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 et seq.
- The acts and practices alleged herein violated GBL§ 352-c(1)(a) in that Gemini 207. used or employed fraud, deception, concealment, suppression, or false pretense, where engaged

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

in to induce or promote the issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation, or purchase within or from this state of securities or commodities, as defined in GBL § 352, regardless of whether issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation or purchase resulted, and constituted fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 *et seq*.

- 208. The acts and practices alleged herein violated GBL§ 352-c(1)(b), in that Gemini made promises or representations as to the future which were beyond reasonable expectation or unwarranted by existing circumstances where engaged in to induce or promote the issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation, or purchase within or from this state of securities or commodities, as defined in GBL § 352, regardless of whether issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation or purchase resulted, and constituted fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 *et seq*.
- 209. The acts and practices alleged herein violated GBL§ 352-c(1)(c) in that Gemini made representations or statements which were false, and with regard to which Gemini (i) knew the truth; (ii) with reasonable effort could have known the truth; (iii) made no reasonable effort to ascertain the truth; or (iv) did not have knowledge concerning the representation or statement made, where engaged in to induce or promote the issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation, or purchase within or from this state of securities or commodities, as defined in GBL § 352, regardless of whether issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation or purchase resulted, and constituted fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 et seq.
- 210. The acts and practices alleged herein violated GBL§ 352-c(6) in that Gemini intentionally engaged in fraud, deception, concealment, suppression, false pretense or fictitious or pretended purchase or sale, or made a material false representation or statement with intent to deceive or defraud, while engaged in inducing or promoting the issuance, distribution, exchange,

48

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

sale, negotiation or purchase within or from this state of securities or commodities, as defined in GBL § 352, and thereby wrongfully obtained property of a value in excess of two hundred fifty dollars, and constituted fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 et seq.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Martin Act Securities Fraud—Article 23-A of the General Business Law (Against Genesis Global Holdco, LLC, Genesis Global Capital, LLC, Genesis Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd., Digital Currency Group, Inc., Barry Silbert and Soichiro Moro (a.k.a. Michael Moro) (the "Genesis/DCG Defendants"))

- The Attorney General repeats and re-alleges the paragraphs above as if fully 211. stated herein.
- 212. The acts and practices alleged herein violated Article 23-A of the GBL in that the Genesis/DCG Defendants employed, or employs, or are about to employ a device, scheme or artifice to defraud or for obtaining money or property by means of any false pretense, representation or promise in the issuance, exchange, purchase, sale, promotion, negotiation, advertisement, investment advice or distribution within or from this state of securities or commodities, and constituted fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 et seq.
- 213. The acts and practices alleged herein violated Article 23-A of the GBL in that the Genesis/DCG Defendants employed, or employs, or are about to employ deception, concealment, suppression, fraud, false pretense, false promise, or materially false and misleading representations, statements, and omissions in the issuance, exchange, purchase, sale, promotion, negotiation, advertisement, investment advice or distribution within or from this state of securities or commodities, and constituted fraudulent acts and fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 et seq.
- The acts and practices alleged herein violated GBL § 352-c(1)(a) in that the 214. Genesis/DCG Defendants used or employed fraud, deception, concealment, suppression, or false

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

pretense, where engaged in to induce or promote the issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation, or purchase within or from this state of securities or commodities, as defined in GBL § 352, regardless of whether issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation or purchase resulted, and constituted fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 *et seq*.

- 215. The acts and practices alleged herein violated GBL § 352-c(1)(b) in that the Genesis/DCG Defendants made promises or representations as to the future which were beyond reasonable expectation or unwarranted by existing circumstances where engaged in to induce or promote the issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation, or purchase within or from this state of securities or commodities, as defined in GBL § 352, regardless of whether issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation or purchase resulted, and constituted fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 et seq.
- 216. The acts and practices alleged herein violated GBL § 352-c(1)(c) in that the Genesis/DCG Defendants made representations or statements which were false, and with regard to which the Genesis/DCG Defendants: (i) knew the truth; (ii) with reasonable effort could have known the truth; (iii) made no reasonable effort to ascertain the truth; or (iv) did not have knowledge concerning the representation or statement made, where engaged in to induce or promote the issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation, or purchase within or from this state of securities or commodities, as defined in GBL § 352, regardless of whether issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation or purchase resulted, and constituted fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 et seq.
- 217. The acts and practices alleged herein violated GBL § 352-c(6) in that the Genesis/DCG Defendants intentionally engaged in fraud, deception, concealment, suppression, false pretense or fictitious or pretended purchase or sale, or made a material false representation

50

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

or statement with intent to deceive or defraud, while engaged in inducing or promoting the issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation or purchase within or from this state of securities or commodities, as defined in GBL § 352, and thereby wrongfully obtains property of a value in excess of two hundred fifty dollars, and constituted fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 *et seg*.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Martin Act Failure to Register—General Business Law § 359-e and Regulations Promulgated thereunder, 13 NYCRR § 10

(Against Defendant Genesis Capital)

- 218. The Attorney General repeats and re-alleges the paragraphs above as if fully stated herein.
- 219. The acts and practices alleged herein violated GBL § 359-e and regulations promulgated thereunder, including provision of Official Compilation of Codes, Rules, and Regulations of the State of New York Title 13, Chapter II, Subchapter A, Part 10, insofar as Genesis Capital's acts and practices constituted the sale or offer for sale to or purchase or offer to purchase from the public within or from New York, any securities issued or to be issued without filing a registration statement.
- 220. Genesis Capital has not registered with OAG as a securities broker or dealer and is not exempt from such registration requirement.
- 221. Each security transaction offered or effected by the referenced Defendant within New York constituted fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 et seq.
- 222. The referenced Defendant have repeatedly and persistently violated GBL § 359-e(3) and the Official Compilation of Codes, Rules, and Regulations of the State of New York Title 13, Chapter II, Subchapter A, Part 10.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Repeated and Persistent Fraud—Executive Law § 63(12)

(Against Defendant Gemini)

- 223. The Attorney General repeats and re-alleges the paragraphs above as if fully stated herein.
- 224. The acts and practices alleged herein constitute conduct proscribed by Executive Law § 63(12), in that Gemini engaged in repeated fraudulent acts or otherwise demonstrated persistent fraud in the carrying on, conducting or transaction of business.

## FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

*Repeated and Persistent Fraud—Executive Law § 63(12)* 

(Against the Genesis/DCG Defendants)

- 225. The Attorney General repeats and re-alleges the paragraphs above as if fully stated herein.
- 226. The acts and practices alleged herein constitute conduct proscribed by Executive Law § 63(12), in that the Genesis/DCG Defendants engaged in repeated fraudulent acts or otherwise demonstrated persistent fraud in the carrying on, conducting or transaction of business.

## SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Repeated and Persistent Illegality—Executive Law § 63(12) *Illegality: Martin Act* 

(Against Defendant Gemini)

- 227. The Attorney General repeats and re-alleges the paragraphs above as if fully stated herein.
- 228. The acts and practices alleged herein constitute conduct proscribed by Executive Law § 63(12), in that Gemini engaged in repeated fraudulent or illegal acts in violation of GBL §§ 352, 352-c, and 353.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

229. Accordingly, Gemini engaged in repeated fraudulent or illegal acts or otherwise demonstrated persistent fraud or illegality in the carrying on, conducting or transaction of business.

## SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Repeated and Persistent Illegality—Executive Law § 63(12) Illegality: Martin Act

(Against the Genesis/DCG Defendants)

- The Attorney General repeats and re-alleges the paragraphs above as if fully 230. stated herein.
- 231. The acts and practices alleged herein constitute conduct proscribed by Executive Law § 63(12), in that the Genesis/DCG Defendants engaged in repeated fraudulent or illegal acts in violation of GBL §§ 352, 352-c, and 353.
- 232. Accordingly, the Genesis/DCG Defendants engaged in repeated fraudulent or illegal acts or otherwise demonstrated persistent fraud or illegality in the carrying on, conducting or transaction of business.

## EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Repeated and Persistent Illegality—Executive Law § 63(12) Illegality: Scheme to Defraud under New York Penal Law

(Against Genesis/DCG Defendants)

- 233. The Attorney General repeats and re-alleges the paragraphs above as if fully stated herein.
- The acts and practices alleged herein constitute conduct proscribed by Executive 234. Law § 63(12), in that the Genesis/DCG Defendants engaged in repeated fraudulent or illegal acts by violating New York Penal Law § 190.65(1)(b), Scheme to Defraud in the First Degree.
- Pursuant to NY Penal Law § 190.65(1)(b), a person commits a scheme to defraud 235. in the first degree when he or she engages in a scheme constituting a systematic ongoing course

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

of conduct with intent to defraud more than one person or to obtain property from more than one person by false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, and so obtains property with a value in excess of one thousand dollars from one or more such persons.

- 236. The Genesis/DCG Defendants, through their conduct described above, have engaged in a scheme constituting a systematic ongoing course of conduct with intent to defraud more than one person or to obtain property from more than one person by false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, and so obtained property with a value in excess of one thousand dollars from one or more such persons.
- 237. With respect to the Genesis/DCG Defendants that are not natural persons, they are liable for the additional reasons that the conduct constituting the offense is engaged in, authorized, solicited, requested, commanded, or recklessly tolerated by the board of directors or by a high managerial agent acting within the scope of his employment and in behalf of the corporation.
- Accordingly, the Genesis/DCG Defendants engaged in repeated fraudulent or 238. illegal acts or otherwise demonstrated persistent fraud or illegality in the carrying on, conducting or transaction of business.

## NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Repeated and Persistent Illegality—Executive Law § 63(12) Illegality: Conspiracy in the Fifth Degree under New York Penal Law (Against the Genesis/DCG Defendants)

- 239. The Attorney General repeats and re-alleges the paragraphs above as if fully stated herein.
- The acts and practices alleged herein constitute conduct proscribed by Executive 240. Law § 63(12), in that the Genesis/DCG Defendants engaged in repeated fraudulent or illegal acts by violating New York Penal Law § 105.05(1), Conspiracy in the Fifth Degree.

54

54 of 58

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

241. Pursuant to NY Penal Law § 105.05(1), a person commits conspiracy in the fifth degree when, with intent that conduct constituting a felony be performed, he agrees with one or more persons to engage in or cause the performance of such conduct.

- 242. At least one of the Genesis/DCG Defendant co-conspirators engaged in an overt act, such as preparing the Promissory Note, financial statements, and risk reports, drafting talking points and communication related messaging, and/or corresponding with Gemini and the public concerning Genesis Capital's financial condition, in furtherance of the agreement.
  - 243. Overt acts in furtherance of the conspiracy occurred as late as 2022.
- 244. With respect to the Genesis/DCG Defendants that are not natural persons, they are liable for the additional reasons that the conduct constituting the offense is: i) engaged in, authorized, solicited, requested, commanded, or recklessly tolerated by the board of directors or by a high managerial agent acting within the scope of his employment and in behalf of the corporation, or ii) is engaged in by an agent of the corporation while acting within the scope of his employment and on behalf of the corporation, and the offense is a misdemeanor or a violation.
- 245. Thus, the Genesis/DCG Defendant engaged in a conspiracy to engage in a scheme to defraud, and violated the Martin Act, as referenced above.
- 246. Accordingly, the Genesis/DCG Defendants engaged in repeated fraudulent or illegal acts or otherwise demonstrated persistent fraud or illegality in the carrying on, conducting or transaction of business.

#### TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Repeated and Persistent Illegality—Executive Law § 63(12)

Martin Act – Failure to Register

(Against Defendant Genesis Capital)

55

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

247. The Attorney General repeats and re-alleges the paragraphs above as if fully stated herein.

- 248. The acts and practices alleged herein constitute conduct proscribed by Executive Law § 63(12), in that the Genesis Capital violated GBL§ 359-e and regulations promulgated thereunder, including provision of Official Compilation of Codes, Rules, and Regulations of the State of New York Title 13, Chapter II, Subchapter A, Part 10, insofar as Genesis Capital's acts and practices constituted the sale or offer for sale to or purchase or offer to purchase from the public within or from New York, any securities issued or to be issued without filing a registration statement.
- 249. Genesis Capital has not registered with OAG as a securities broker or dealer and is not exempt from such registration requirement.
- 250. Each security transaction offered or effected by the referenced Defendant within New York constituted fraudulent practices as defined in GBL § 352 et seq.
- 251. Accordingly, Genesis Capital engaged in repeated fraudulent or illegal acts or otherwise demonstrated persistent fraud or illegality in the carrying on, conducting or transaction of business.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- A. Permanently enjoining Defendants from violating the Martin Act, Article 23-A of the General Business Law, and Executive Law § 63(12) and from engaging in the fraudulent, deceptive and illegal acts alleged herein;
- B. Permanently enjoining Defendants from engaging in any business related to the issuance, offer, distribution, exchange, promotion, advertisement, negotiation, purchase, investment advice, or sale of securities or commodities within or from this state;

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

C. Directing Defendants to pay damages caused, directly or indirectly, by the fraudulent and deceptive acts and repeated fraudulent acts and persistent illegality complained of herein plus applicable pre-judgment interest;

- D. Directing Defendants to disgorge all amounts obtained in connection with or as a result of fraudulent and deceptive acts and repeated fraudulent acts and persistent illegality complained of herein;
- E. Directing Defendants to make restitution of all funds obtained by Defendants from investors in connection with the fraudulent and deceptive acts fraudulent and deceptive acts and repeated fraudulent acts and persistent illegality complained of herein;
- F. Directing Defendants to each pay the sum of \$2,000 to Plaintiff pursuant to GBL § 353(1);
- G. Directing such other equitable relief as may be necessary to redress Defendants' violations of New York Law; and

[Continued on next page]

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/19/2023

INDEX NO. 452784/2023

H. Granting such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York October 19, 2023

LETITIA JAMES

Attorney General of the State of New York

By:

Geoffrey Andreu

John Ruth

**Assistant Attorneys General** 

Gabriel Tapalaga

Senior Enforcement Counsel

Kenneth Haim

Deputy Chief, Investor Protection Burau

Shamiso Maswoswe

Chief, Investor Protection Bureau

28 Liberty Street

New York, New York 10005

Tel.: (212) 416-8769

Counsel for the People of the State of New York