

<i>data set</i>	N	N_c	N_r
abalone	8	11	3842
iris	4	3	150
water	38	4	513
wine	13	3	178
wisconsin	9	2	683
yeast	8	9	1479

Table 1 - Classification data sets used in the experiment

<i>data set</i>	<i>EM^{stc}</i>	<i>GA</i>	<i>FS</i>	<i>EM</i>	<i>EM_{RF}^{stc}</i>	<i>GA_{RF}</i>	<i>EM_{RF}</i>
abalone	24.35	24.37	23.99	<i>opt</i>	52.50	50.00	57.50
iris	98.00	98.00	99.39	<i>opt</i>	55.00	60.00	50.00
water	73.34	66.28	-	80.03	54.21	47.89	63.16
wine	98.57	98.57	99.80	<i>opt</i>	58.46	61.54	72.31
wisconsin	98.25	98.04	98.62	<i>opt</i>	53.33	40.00	48.89
yeast	47.07	47.03	51.15	<i>opt</i>	17.50	12.50	22.50

Table 2 - Accuracy and feature reduction comparison for 1NN classifier

<i>data set</i>	<i>FS_t (s)</i>	<i>EM^{stc}_t (s)</i>	<i>GA_t (s)</i>	<i>EM_t (s)</i>
abalone	8.4	1376.1	7097.2	7.2
iris	0.0	7.5	288.6	0.9
water	>3 days	262.8	1574.5	55.7
wine	1.9	153.0	269.9	2.5
wisconsin	0.6	70.6	2096.8	2.0
yeast	1.2	234.7	2252.3	2.4

Table 3 - Computational times for 1NN classifier

<i>data set</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>N_c</i>	<i>PSO¹</i>	<i>PSO²</i>	<i>FS</i>	<i>EM</i>	<i>PSO¹_d</i>	<i>PSO²_d</i>	<i>EM_d</i>
breast-cancer	30	2	96.83	97.66	-	95.92	11.1	12.2	6.4
heart	13	2	84.30	86.01	83.74	opt	8.6	7.5	3.5
wine	13	3	99.19	99.72	97.30	opt	8.3	8.6	6.7

Table 4 - Accuracy and number of features comparison table for SVM classifier