inst. $ V $	E	density	inst.	V	E	density
m-t1 991	4161					
m-t2 602	1520	0.0084	r-t2	1183	17776	0.0254
m-t3 177 2	269				1782	
m-t4 129	166	0.0201	r-t4	377	321	0.0045
m-t5 75	84	0.0303	r-t5	45	27	0.0272

Table 1 - A view of considered biological metabolite networks

k inst.	opt	best	$V_{best}$	$V_{avg}$	$V_{gap}$	$V_t^{tot}$	ILP	$ILP_t$
1 m-t1	1866	1866	opt	1864	0.11	3600.22	opt	2296.94
1 m-t2	1538	1538	opt	1538	0	1072.51	opt	1.25
1 m-t3	910	910	opt	910	0	92.96	opt	0.02
1 m-t4	831	831	opt	831	0	45.5	opt	0
1 m-t5	723	723	opt	723	0	15.73	opt	0
2 m-t1	-	2151	new	2147.3	0.17	3600.14	-	-
2 m-t2	-	1773	new	1771.8	0.07	1495.49	-	-
2 m-t3	1021	1021	opt	1021	0	100.74	opt	50.43
2 m-t4	907	907	opt	907	0	54.75	opt	3.03
2 m-t5	801	801	opt	801	0	16.42	opt	0.2
3 m-t1	-	2353	new	2337.1	0.68	3600.18	-	-
3 m-t2	-	1943	new	1939.4	0.19	1988.38	-	-
3 m-t3	-	1141	new	1141	0	121.08	-	-
3 m-t4	-	1022	new	1022	0	69.79	-	-
3 m-t5	887	887	opt	887	0	17.62	opt	34.2

 $\label{thm:continuous} \textbf{Table 2-Experimental results obtained on SC-NIP-m-tr instances}$ 

k inst.	opt	best	$V_{best}$	$V_{avg}$	$V_{gap}$	$V_t^{tot}$	ILP	$ILP_t$
1 r-t1	-	57681	new	57544.6	0.24	3607.77	-	
1 r-t2	34576	34576	opt	34561.6	0.04	3601.2	opt	4.26
1 r-t3	5411	5411	opt	5411	0	1550.95	opt	0.08
1 r-t4	1232	1232	opt	1232	0	327.82	opt	0
1 r-t5	140	140	opt	140	0	3.71	opt	0.02
2 r-t1	-	57729	new	57496	0.4	3602.58	-	
2 r-t2	-	34592	new	34563.6	0.08	3601.65	-	
2 r-t3	-	5423	new	5423	0	1569.11	3183	>10800
2 r-t4	1245	1245	opt	1245	0	331.75	opt	6.4
2 r-t5	140	140	opt	140	0	3.82	opt	0.01
3 r-t1	-	57775	new	57587.4	0.33	3602.19	_	
3 r-t2	-	34641	new	34572.5	0.2	3601.26	-	
3 r-t3	-	5465	new	5465	0	1496.84	-	
3 r-t4	-	1245	new	1245	0	327.45	-	
3 r-t5	140	140	opt	140	0	3.84	opt	0.14

Table 3 - Experimental results obtained on SC-NIP-r-tr instances

$\overline{k}$	inst.	opt	best	$\overline{V_{best}}$	$V_{avg}$	$V_{gap}$	$V_t^{tot}$	ILP	$ILP_t$
1	c200-1	98711	98711	opt	98711	0	234.43	opt	47.08
2	c200-1	98711	98711	opt	98543.2	0.17	202.87	opt	567.44
3	c200-1	-	98711	new	98571.8	0.14	193.7	-	-
1	c200-2	213248	213248	opt	213246.8	0	540.89	opt	0.22
2	c200-2	213248	213248	opt	212194.6	0.49	360.5	opt	47.28
3	c200-2	-	213248	new	211143.8	0.99	292.97	-	-
1	h6-2	65472	65472	opt	65472	0	114.53	opt	0.2
2	h6-2	-	65472	best	65472	0	61.91	best	> 10800
3	h6-2	-	65472	best	65472	0	46.15	best	> 10800
1	h6-4	6336	6336	opt	6336	0	53.29	opt	0.34
2	h6-4	-	8184	new	8184	0	74.81	6966	> 10800
3	h6-4	-	10560	new	10560	0	77.57	4567	> 10800
1	j8-2-4	1260	1260	opt	1260	0	7.63	opt	0.06
	j8-2-4	-	1365	new	1363.5	0.11	10.41	1355	> 10800
3	j8-2-4	-	1996	best	1996	0	7.34	best	>10800
1	j8-4-4	-	27874	new	27874	0	169.18	27864	> 10800
2	j8-4-4	-	31320	new	31147.2	0.55	124.87	12770	> 10800
3	j8-4-4	-	37096	new	35910.3	3.2	155.73	12948	>10800
	M_a9	14868	14868	opt	14865	0.02	27.55	opt	1215.34
2	$M_a9$	-	23055	new	23053.8	0.01	25.96	23047	>10800
3	M_a9	33660	33660	opt	33660	0	14.23	opt	319.24

Table 4 - Experimental results obtained on smaller and sparser DIMACS instances