# **Modern Methods of Data Analysis**

# Homework 1, Vitaliy Pozdnyakov

#### **Task 1.1**

## (1) Show that $U_A$ is indeed a positive measure

• Check that  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ 

$$U_A(\emptyset) = \frac{\lambda_d(A \cap \emptyset)}{\lambda_d(A)} = \frac{\lambda_d(\emptyset)}{\lambda_d(A)} = \frac{0}{\lambda_d(A)} = 0$$

• Check the countable additivity  $\mu(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \ldots) = \mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2) + \ldots$ 

$$\begin{split} U_A(B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \ldots) &= \frac{\lambda_d(A \cap [B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \ldots])}{\lambda_d(A)} = \frac{\lambda_d([A \cap B_1] \cup [A \cap B_2] \cup \ldots)}{\lambda_d(A)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_d(A \cap B_1)}{\lambda_d(A)} + \frac{\lambda_d(A \cap B_2)}{\lambda_d(A)} + \cdots = U_A(B_1) + U_A(B_2) + \ldots \end{split}$$

• Check the monotonicity  $\mu(B) \leq \mu(C)$  where  $B, C \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $B \subset C$ 

Denote  $C=B\cup \bar{B}$ , then  $U_A(C)=U_A(B\cup \bar{B})=U_A(B)+U_A(\bar{B})$ 

 $U_A \ge 0$ , thereby  $U_A(B) \le U_A(C)$ 

## (2) Show that $U_A \ll \lambda_d$

Chose *B* such that  $\lambda_d(B) = 0$ 

Obviously,  $(A \cap B) \subseteq B$ , then via monotonicity  $\lambda_d(A \cap B) \le \lambda_d(B)$ 

Thereby

$$U_A(B) = \frac{\lambda_d(A \cap B)}{\lambda_d(A)} = \frac{0}{\lambda_d(A)} = 0$$

(3) Show that both  $U_A$  and  $\lambda_d$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measures

Def. The measure  $\mu$  is called a  $\sigma$ -finite if there exists subsets  $\{S_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  such that  $S_n \in \mathcal{S}, S_n \subset S_{n+1}, \cup_n S_n = S$  and  $\mu(S_n) < +\infty$ .

• Consider  $\lambda_d$ 

Let  $S_n = (-n, n)^d$  where  $0 \le n < +\infty$ .

Then  $S_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $S_n \subset S_{n+1}$ ,  $\cup_n S_n = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\lambda_d(S_n) = (2n)^d < +\infty$ 

• Consider  $U_{\scriptscriptstyle A}$ 

Let  $A = (-m, m)^d$  and  $B_n = (-n, n)^d$  where  $0 \le n \le m < +\infty$ .

Then  $B_n \in \mathcal{B}(R^d), \, B_n \subset B_{n+1}, \, \cup_n B_n = (-m,m)^d$  and

$$U_A(B_0) = \frac{\lambda_d(A \cap B_0)}{\lambda_d(A)} = \frac{0}{\lambda_d(A)} = 0$$

$$U_A(B_m) = \frac{\lambda_d(A \cap B_m)}{\lambda_d(A)} = \frac{\lambda_d(A)}{\lambda_d(A)} = 1$$

$$0 \le U_A(B_n) \le 1 < +\infty$$

(4) Give the expression of the density of  $U_A$  with respect to  $\lambda_d$ 

$$\frac{dU_A}{d\lambda_d} := f \Rightarrow U_A(B) = \int_B f d\lambda_d$$

Consider  $\lambda_d(A \cap B)$ 

$$\lambda_d(A \cap B) = \int_{A \cap B} f d\lambda_d = \int_B \mathbb{1}_A d\lambda_d$$

Then

$$U_A(B) = \frac{\lambda_d(A \cap B)}{\lambda_d(A)} = \frac{\int_B \mathbb{1}_A d\lambda_d}{\lambda_d(A)} = \int_B \frac{\mathbb{1}_A}{\lambda_d(A)} d\lambda_d$$

Thereby

$$f = \frac{\mathbb{1}_A}{\lambda_d(A)}$$

Check:

$$U_A(B) = \int_B \frac{\mathbb{1}_A}{\lambda_d(A)} d\lambda_d = \int_S \frac{\mathbb{1}_A \mathbb{1}_B}{\lambda_d(A)} d\lambda_d = \frac{\lambda_d(A \cap B)}{\lambda_d(A)}$$

(5) Suppose that d=2 and that set A is of the form  $A=T([0,1]^2)=\{T(x):x\in[0,1]^2\}$  where  $T:R^2\to R^2$  is defined, for all  $x=(x_1,x_2)\in R^2$ , by  $T(x)=(\alpha(x_1\cos\theta+x_2\sin\theta),\alpha(x_2\cos\theta-x_1\sin\theta))$ , for some  $\theta\in[0,2\pi)$  and  $\alpha>0$ . Give the explicit form of the density of  $U_A$  with respect to  $\lambda_d$ .

Consider the transformation T

$$T\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \cos \theta & \alpha \sin \theta \\ -\alpha \sin \theta & \alpha \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = MX$$

$$\det M = \alpha^2(\cos^2\theta + \sin^2\theta) = \alpha^2$$

Then

$$\lambda_d(T([0,1]^2)) = |\det M|\lambda_d([0,1]^2) = \alpha^2(1-0)\cdot(1-0) = \alpha^2$$

And consequently

$$\frac{dU_A}{d\lambda_d} = \frac{\mathbb{1}_A}{\lambda_d(A)} = \frac{\mathbb{1}_A}{\alpha^2}$$

where  $A = T([0, 1]^2)$ 

(6) Recall that the counting measure  $\eta$  on  $(R^d,\mathcal{B}(R^d))$  is defined for any  $A\in\mathcal{B}(R^d)$  by  $\eta(A)=card(A)$  (i.e.  $\eta(A)$  is the number of elements in A). Show that  $\eta$  is not  $\sigma$ -finite.

Consider the sequence  $S_1, S_2, \ldots$  where  $S_n \subset S_{n+1}$ . If  $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \cdots = R^d$ , then at least one  $S_i$  is open or closed segment and  $\eta(S_i) = +\infty$ . Thereby  $\eta(A)$  is not  $\sigma$ -finite.

Show that  $\lambda_d \ll \eta$ .

$$\eta(B) = 0$$
 iff  $B = \emptyset$  and  $\lambda_d(\emptyset) = 0$ , then  $\lambda_d \ll \eta$ 

Show that  $\lambda_d$  does not have a density with respect to  $\eta$ : there exists no measurable and positive function  $f:R^d\to R^+$  such that

$$\lambda_d(A) = \int_A f d\eta$$

Suppose that  $\lambda_d$  have a density with respect to  $\eta$ . Consider arbitrary point  $x \in R^d$ 

$$\lambda_d(\lbrace x\rbrace) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_d(\lbrace x\rbrace) = \int_{\lbrace x\rbrace} f d\eta = \int_{R^d} \mathbb{1}_{\lbrace x\rbrace} f d\eta = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0$$

But it means that for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(R^d)$ 

$$\lambda_d(A) = 0$$

and it is contradition.

Thereby  $\lambda_d$  does not have a density with respect to  $\eta$ .