"Tower of Hanoi" implementation in C++

Milos Vukadinovic American University in Bulgaria COS460 Algorithms Prof. Emil Kelevedjiev

April 19, 2020


1 Assignment

The Tower of Hanoi is a mathematical game or puzzle. It consists of three rods and several disks different sizes, which can slide onto any rod. The puzzle starts with the disks in a neat stack in ascending order of size on the leftmost rod, the smallest at the top, thus making a conical shape. The objective of the puzzle is to move the entire stack to another rod, obeying the following simple rules:

- Only one disk can be moved at a time. list
- Each move consists of taking the upper disk from one of the stacks and placing it on top of another stack or on an empty rod.
- No larger disk may be placed on top of a smaller disk.

My assignment is to implement a solution to the "Tower of Hanoi" in C++ with simple graphic animation

I will implement various approaches to the problem and compare their efficiency.



2 Recursive approach

We will name the rods from left to right: source rod, auxiliary rod, destination rod. Following is a recursive algorithm:

Now let's find out what is the minimum number of moves we need to perform in order to solve the puzzle. We have the following reccurence relation. T(n)=T(n-1)+1+T(n-1)=2*T(n-1)+1

Using telescopic method we see the following:

$$T(\mathbf{n}) = 2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^{n-1} \implies T(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^i$$
 Consider $\mathbf{M} = 2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^n \implies M = \sum_{i=0}^n 2^i$ Then we know $M = T(n) + 2^n$ and $2 * T(n) = M - 1$

Exchanging M in second eq. $2 * T(n) = T(n) + 2^n - 1 \implies T(n) = 2^n - 1$ In conclusion, the minimum number of moves we need to solve the puzzle is $2^n - 1$ where n is the number of disks.

We can confirm the result using induction. Starting from the recurrence relation T(n) = 2 * T(n-1) + 1

- Basis step: T(0) = 0, T(1) = 1 It is trivial that to solve a puzzle with 0 disks we need 0 moves and for 1 disk we need 1 move.
- Induction Hypothesis: $T(n) = 2^n 1$
- Induction step: $T(n+1) = 2*T(n)+1 \implies T(n+1) = 2^n-2+1 \implies T(n+1) = 2^n-1$ So we confirm the result we obtained earlier.

3 Iterative approach

The list of moves given by our recursive approach has many regularities. We can observe them and come up with an iterative approach to the problem. I will explain one of the simplest iterative approaches. We proved that the minimum number of moves we need to perform to solve the puzzle is $2^n - 1$. Our approach will be to alternate moves between the smallest and the second smallest accessible disks. In other words, we will first move the smallest accessible disk to the first rod on the right that allows us to perform a legal move, and then we will move the second smallest accessible disk and move it to the first rod on the left that allows us to perform a legal move. However, if the starting number of elements is even, always move the smallest to left and the second smallest to the right. Also, consider our rods to be in the field of n=3, in other words, rod on the left of 0 is 2, and rod on the right of 2 is 0.

By observing the results from our previous approach, we can see that if the index of a move is m (zero indexing)

- if m divided by three gives a remainder 0, perform a move between source and destination rod
- if remainder is 1 perform a move between source and auxiliary rod
- if the remainder is 2 perform a move between auxiliary and destination rod.

Notice that I did not say perform a move from-to rod. A move between 2 rods is defined as either a move from 1st rod to the 2nd rod or from 2nd rod to the 1st rod, depending on what rules of the game allow. This is a pseudo-code for the algorithm.

4 Binary Solution

Now that we now from the iterative approach that we can sort each move into three groups based on the number of a move, we will use bits to solve the problem.

This is a description of our binary representation of the problem:

- There is one bit for each disk
- The leftmost bit represents the largest disk and the rightmost smallest
- If a bit has value 0 zero it means that a disk is on leftmost rod, and if it has value 1 on the rightmost rod
- A bit with the same value as the previous one means that it is stacked on the top of the previous one on the same rod (i.e all zeros mean that all disks are on the leftmost rod and all ones rightmost rod)
- A bit with a different value to the previous one means that corresponding disk is one position to the left or right of the previous one. In order to determine we use this rule:

Let's say that we want to determine a position of m-th disk (m-th bit) then let n be the number of bits in the interval (0,m) such that their value is the same as the value of the preceding bit. Add 1 to n if the largest disk is on the leftmost rod(i.e. if leftmost bit is 0). If n is even, the disk is located one rod to the left, if n is odd, the disk located one rod to the right (in case of even number of disks and vice versa otherwise).

Example: Describe the situation of 25th move, when we have 5 disks. $25_{10}=11001_2$

- Largest disk is on the dest peg
- Disk two is stacked on the largest disk on dest
- Disk three is $0 \text{ (n=1)} \implies \text{go right)}$ it's on the src
- Disk four is one $(n=1 \implies \text{stay in place})$ it's on the src
- Disk five is one (n=2 \implies go left) it's on the dest

In order to find what movement we performed in m-th step we use the following formula. M-th move was from (m & m -1) % 3 to (m + (m & -m)) % 3)

5 Code Explanation and Testing

My idea was to implement 3 different approaches, explained above. There is no significant difference in our application of them since they all run in $O(2^n)$, but they are useful for specific purposes. (For example to find out the ordering at after nth move in O(1) using binary approach). Furthermore, binary approach gives much shorter and better looking code.

After I implemented 3 approaches and tested them in console, I have used OpenGl to display it as an animation. I simply redraw the whole picture after each move is made, and make moves every 2 seconds. Apart from GUI part, console will always display result in text too. Since the running time is $O(2^n)$, my graphics will work properly for inputs n <= 10, since for n=10 user would have to wait $(2^{10}-1)*2 = 2046$ seconds. However if you want to test for n>10, you can disable GUI and sleep methods in C++ code and read console output.

I have attached the implementation of the project in C++. To build a program you need a C++ compiler, and OpenGL library for graphics. If you are not sure how to get started with OpenGL here is a link.

In Linux environment, go to the folder where .cpp file is located and run the following commands:


```
g++ towerofhanoi.cpp -o TowerOfHanoi -lglut -lGLU -lGL ./\operatorname{TowerOfHanoi}
```

Then the console will prompt for the number of disks you want to solve for. Finally, it will ask to input the number of algorithm you want to use.

See my example of running the program below. Also see the video attached.

```
(base) duffy_duck@x:~/TowerOfHanoi$ ./TowerOfHanoi
Input the number of disks

Choose the algorithm
For recursive approach type 1
For iterative approach type 2
For binary approach type 3
```



References

[1] Tower of Hanoi. Wikipedia, Wikimedia Foundation, 13 Apr. 2020, $en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tower_of_Hanoi$.