

3D Surface Reconstruction from SEM/BSE multi-detector images

Vladislav A. Yastrebov

CNRS, Mines Paris - PSL, Centre des matériaux, Evry/Paris,
France

August 2023 - Dec 2024

License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1. Overview

This script reconstructs 3D surfaces from SEM images obtained from at least 3 BSE detectors without prior knowledge of their orientation. The reconstruction is based on SVD decomposition, x and y gradient components are obtained using Radon transform, the full surface is reconstructed either by direct gradient integration or, preferably, by an FFT-based method by (Frankot et al., 1988). The whole strategy is based on the method described in (Neggers et al., 2021).

2. Method

Below we list the main steps of the method:

1. Load images $I_i, i \in [1, N]$ with $N \geq 3$ (see fig. 1)
 - It is supposed that the SEM image has information block (FOV, magnification, etc.) in the bottom side of the image. This block is automatically trimmed. If it is not the case, the user can manually trim the image.
 - Image names with the full path is stored in the log file.
 - If requested, a Gaussian filter is applied to the images.
2. A correlation matrix C_{ij} is constructed from the images I_i with components $C_{ij} = \sum_{x,y} I_i(x,y)I_j(x,y)$.
3. A Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of the correlation matrix is performed: $C_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^N U_{ik}S_kV_{jk}$.




Figure 1: Original SEM images from three BSE detectors

4. The first three columns of the matrix U are used to construct the intensity image and two components of the gradient (along unknown principal directions) as follows (see fig. 2):

- Intensity: $A = \sum_i U_{i1} I_i$.
- Gradient 1: $G_1 = \sum_i U_{i2} I_i / A$
- Gradient 2: $G_2 = \sum_i U_{i3} I_i / A$




Figure 2: Original images I_1, I_2, I_3 obtained with three detectors and their principal components A, G_1, G_2 obtained through SVD

5. The x and y components of the gradient are obtained by using Radon transform of the gradient images G_1 and G_2 . Specifically, we keep only the circular region of G_1 and null all data around (requirements of the

Radon transform module). Then we compute Radon transform $R(\theta)$ and compute its RMS for every value of θ (see fig. 3). Since Radon transform is computationally intensive, we apply it on G_1 only and discretize probe $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ in 180 points. The angle θ_1 corresponding to the minimum RMS is the angle of the first principal direction θ_1 (see fig. 3). The second principal direction θ_2 is perpendicular to the first one. It could be evaluated in the same manner using G_2 .




Figure 3: RMS of the Radon transform of the gradient image G_1

6. The x and y components of the gradient are then obtained as follows:

- $G_x = G_1 \cos(\theta_1) + G_2 \cos(\theta_2)$
- $G_y = G_1 \sin(\theta_1) + G_2 \sin(\theta_2)$

In addition, a macroscopic tilt is subtracted from these gradients (see fig. 4). The tilt is computed as the average of the gradients over the whole image.

- $G_x = G_x - \langle G_x \rangle$
- $G_y = G_y - \langle G_y \rangle$

where $\langle G_x \rangle$ and $\langle G_y \rangle$ are the average values of the gradients G_x and G_y .

7. To reconstruct the surface from the gradients $G_x = \partial z / \partial x$ and $G_y = \partial z / \partial y$, we use a method based on Fourier transform, see (Frankot et al.,




Figure 4: Gradients $G_x = \partial z / \partial x$ and $G_y = \partial z / \partial y$

1988). The Frankot & Chellappa method, providing very high reconstruction quality (see fig. 5), is based on the following Fourier transform pair:

- $\partial^2 z / \partial x^2 \leftrightarrow -k_x^2 \hat{z}(k_x, k_y)$
- $\partial^2 z / \partial y^2 \leftrightarrow -k_y^2 \hat{z}(k_x, k_y)$ where $\hat{z}(k_x, k_y)$ is the Fourier transform of the surface $z(x, y)$ and k_x, k_y are the wave numbers. The surface is then reconstructed as follows:
- $\hat{z}(k_x, k_y) = -\frac{1}{k_x^2 + k_y^2} (k_x \hat{G}_x(k_x, k_y) + k_y \hat{G}_y(k_x, k_y))$
- $z(x, y) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \{ \hat{z}(k_x, k_y) \}$ where \mathcal{F}^{-1} is the inverse Fourier transform and $\hat{G}_x(k_x, k_y)$ and $\hat{G}_y(k_x, k_y)$ are the Fourier transforms of the gradients G_x and G_y .

If one wants to reconstruct only main features of the roughness and ignore or smooth out small scale roughness and noise, then a cut-off frequency can be introduced, in the GUI it is called **FFT cutoff** and provides the maximal wave number k_{\max} (in percentage of Niquist frequency) beyond which the Fourier components are set to zero.

Caution: my attempts to remove the curvature directly in the FFT space were not successful. The curvature is removed in the final step.

7.b. An alternative method is a direct integration along x and y direction followed by minimizing distance between adjacent profiles and averaging between profiles integrated along x and y . In general, this method provides results of much lower quality, and is based on the following relations: + Assume that the first profile along y is zero $z_x^{1,j} = 0$ for $j \in [1, N_y]$. + Integrate the first profile along x direction $z_x^{i+1,1} = z_x^{i,1} + G_x^{i,1} \Delta x$ for $i \in [1, N_x - 1]$, where Δx is the pixel size. + Integrate next profile along x direction $\tilde{z}_x^{i+1,j} = z_x^{i,j} + G_x^{i,j} \Delta x$ for




Figure 5: FFT reconstruction of the surface $z(x, y)$

$i \in [1, N_x - 1]$ and $j \in [2, N_y]$ and remove the average difference with respect to the previous profile $z_x^{i+1,j} = \tilde{z}_x^{i+1,j} - \langle \tilde{z}_x^{i+1,j} - z_x^{i+1,j-1} \rangle$. + Repeat the previous step for all profiles along y direction using G_y to get $z_y^{i,j}$. + Remove the average value of $z_x^{i,j}$ and $z_y^{i,j}$, i.e. $z_x^{i,j} = \tilde{z}_x^{i,j} - \langle \tilde{z}_x^{i,j} \rangle$ and $z_y^{i,j} = \tilde{z}_y^{i,j} - \langle \tilde{z}_y^{i,j} \rangle$. + Construct the final surface as $z(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} (z_x^{i,j} + z_y^{i,j})$.




Figure 6: Reconstructed surface $z(x, y)$ using direct integration

8. The final step is the removal of the curvature of the surface which apparently comes from the deformation of the field of view especially for high zooms. This is done by fitting a parabolic surface in principal axes

$$z(x, y) = \frac{x^2}{R_x^2} + \frac{y^2}{R_y^2} + z_0$$

and subtracting it from the surface. The curvatures R_x, R_y and the offset z_0 are obtained by the least square fitting procedure. This is the key step in the reconstruction procedure which allows us to flip the surface on the correct side (thanks to this curvature) and also to determine whether the order of images provided to the steps 2,3,4 are well ordered. If the resulting curvatures have different signs, the image is still reconstructed but it must be meaningless. A Warning is issued to let the user reorder the images to get a proper reconstruction. For example, if the initial order was $\{A, B, C\}$ it can be reordered as $\{A, C, B\}$.

References

- Frankot, R. T. and Chellappa, R., A Method for Enforcing Integrability in Shape from Shading Algorithms, *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. **10**, no. 4, pp. 439–51, 1988.
- Neggers, J., Hérupré, E., Bonnet, M., Boivin, D., Tanguy, A., Hallais, S., Gaslain, F., Rouesne, E. and Roux, S., Principal Image Decomposition for Multi-Detector Backscatter Electron Topography Reconstruction, *Ultramicroscopy*, vol. **227**, p. 113200, 2021.