WB-31-Redesign-loginregister

Code Review
Waldbrandprävention
11. Januar 2023



User Story WB-31
Entwickler*in Adrian S.
Reviewer Yannic H.
Checkliste
 General ✓ Code is working. ✓ Continuous Integration (CI) is passing. ✓ Code is easily understood. ✓ Code is written following the coding standards/guidelines.
 ✓ Code is in sync with existing code patterns/technologies. ☐ Same code is not duplicated multiple times. (DRY) ✓ Functions/classes/components are reasonably small. ✓ Naming conventions followed for variables, file names, translations. ✓ Separation of Concerns followed.
 ○ Codestyle ✓ No hardcoded values, use constants values. ✓ No commented out code. ✓ No unnecessary comments and add necessary comments when needed.
 ○ Third-Party Libraries ✓ No new npm library was added - or - □ New npm library was added □ Library does not conflict with or break existing code
 ○ ESLint ✓ Code has no linting errors or warnings.
 ○ CSS/CSS in JS ✓ No CSS or styled-components used. - or - □ styled-components is used instead of CSS
 ○ React/TypeScript ✓ Use const over let where possible and avoid var. ✓ Use function components instead of class components

 ${\ensuremath{ \scalebox{\scalebox{$\scalebox{\scaleb

✓ Components are small.

○ Testing

2

•	Neither a Backend story nor API communication involved therefore no manual tests have been created for this story.	
	- or -	
	☐ Tests are readable and maintainable.	
	Test names are explicit and descriptive.	
	Don't test multiple concerns in the same test.	
	Cover the general case and the edge cases.	
○ Git		
•	Commits are small and divided into logical parts.	
•	Commits messages are small and understandable.	
•	Use branches for new features.	
•	Make sure no dist files, editor/IDE files, etc are checked in. (.gitignore))	

Festgestellte Probleme

•

Konsequenzen

• Kann auf dem Master gemered werden

Durchführung

• Durchgeführt von Yannic.