
WB-117-Stammdaten-ändern

Code Review Waldbrandprävention 11. Januar 2023



User Story WB-117 Entwickler*in Adrian S. Reviewer Yannic H. Checkliste General \square Code is working. ✓ Continuous Integration (CI) is passing. ✓ Code is easily understood. ✓ Code is written following the coding standards/guidelines. ✓ Code is in sync with existing code patterns/technologies. ☐ Same code is not duplicated multiple times. (DRY) ✓ Functions/classes/components are reasonably small. ✓ Naming conventions followed for variables, file names, translations. ✓ Separation of Concerns followed. Codestyle \square No hardcoded values, use constants values. ✓ No commented out code. \square No unnecessary comments and add necessary comments when needed. ✓ No new npm library was added - or -☐ New npm library was added ☐ Library does not conflict with or break existing code ○ ESLint ✓ Code has no linting errors or warnings. ○ CSS/CSS in JS ✓ No CSS or styled-components used. - or -☐ styled-components is used instead of CSS ○ React/TypeScript \square Use const over let where possible and avoid var.

○ Testing

✓ Components are small.

Use function components instead of class componentsAll React components have proper prop types defined

✓ Variables, functions, and files have clear names and proper cases.

•	Neither a Backend story nor API communication involved therefore no manual tests have been created for this story.
	- or -
	☐ Tests are readable and maintainable.
	Test names are explicit and descriptive.
	Don't test multiple concerns in the same test.
	Cover the general case and the edge cases.
○ Git	
•	Commits are small and divided into logical parts.
•	Commits messages are small and understandable.
•	Use branches for new features.
•	Make sure no dist files, editor/IDE files, etc are checked in. (.gitignore))

Festgestellte Probleme

•

Konsequenzen

• Kann auf dem Master gemered werden

Durchführung

• Durchgeführt von Yannic.