


WB64: API Account

Code Review

Waldbrandprävention
20 Dezember 2022



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT



Entwickler*in

Philipp B.

Reviewer

Noel G.

Checkliste

- General
 - ☒ Does the code work?
 - ☒ Code is easily understand.
 - ☒ Code is written following the coding standards?
 - ☒ Code is in sync with existing code patterns/technologies.
 - ☒ DRY. Is the same code not duplicated more than twice?
 - ☒ Are any functions/classes/components reasonably small?
 - ☒ Naming conventions were followed.
 - ☐ Removed unused packages.
 - ☒ Separation of concerns followed.
- Codestyle
 - ☒ No hardcoded values, use constants values.
 - ☐ No commented out code.
 - ☒ No unnecessary comments or undocumented code.
- FastAPI
 - ☐ No changes to the API have been made.
 - ☒ API functions are declared as async.
 - ☒ API functions return proper status codes.
 - ☒ Proper Error messages gets send.
- SQLite
 - ☒ No changes to the data bank have been made.
 - ☐ The data bank model depict the model used in the rest of the project.
 - ☐ All entries have the correct data types.
 - ☐ All queries are properly done.
- Testing
 - ☒ Manual test have been done.
- Git
 - ☒ Commits are small and divided into logical parts.
 - ☒ Commits messages are small and understandable.
 - ☒ Use branches for new features.
 - ☒ Make sure no dist files, editor/IDE files, etc are checked in. (.gitignore))

Durchgeführte manuelle Tests

- Echte User können sich mittels E-Mail anmelden.
- Passwort Einschränkungen werden eingehalten.

Festgestellte Probleme

- Alter code aus der prototyp phase der Anwendung war noch vorhanden.

Konsequenzen

- Ungenutzter Code muss entfernt werden.

Durchführung

- Code wurde von Philipp noch in der gleichen Iteration entfernt