

# **CSER 2207: Numerical Analysis**

## **Lecture-12**

### **Numerical Differentiation**

**Dr. Mostak Ahmed**  
**Associate Professor**  
**Department of Mathematics, JnU**

# Lagrange Polynomial

**Theorem 3.2** If  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  are  $n + 1$  distinct numbers and  $f$  is a function whose values are given at these numbers, then a unique polynomial  $P(x)$  of degree at most  $n$  exists with

$$f(x_k) = P(x_k), \quad \text{for each } k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

This polynomial is given by

$$P(x) = f(x_0)L_{n,0}(x) + \dots + f(x_n)L_{n,n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(x_k)L_{n,k}(x), \quad (3.1)$$

where, for each  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n,k}(x) &= \frac{(x - x_0)(x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_{k-1})(x - x_{k+1}) \cdots (x - x_n)}{(x_k - x_0)(x_k - x_1) \cdots (x_k - x_{k-1})(x_k - x_{k+1}) \cdots (x_k - x_n)} \\ &= \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq k}}^n \frac{(x - x_i)}{(x_k - x_i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

We will write  $L_{n,k}(x)$  simply as  $L_k(x)$  when there is no confusion as to its degree.

**Theorem 3.3** Suppose  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  are distinct numbers in the interval  $[a, b]$  and  $f \in C^{n+1}[a, b]$ . Then, for each  $x$  in  $[a, b]$ , a number  $\xi(x)$  (generally unknown) between  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and hence in  $(a, b)$ , exists with

$$f(x) = P(x) + \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x))}{(n+1)!} (x - x_0)(x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_n), \quad (3.3)$$

where  $P(x)$  is the interpolating polynomial given in Eq. (3.1).

# General Derivative Approx.

To obtain general derivative approximation formulas, suppose that  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  are  $(n + 1)$  distinct numbers in some interval  $I$  and that  $f \in C^{n+1}(I)$ . From Theorem 3.3 on page 112,

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(x_k)L_k(x) + \frac{(x - x_0) \cdots (x - x_n)}{(n + 1)!} f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x)),$$

for some  $\xi(x)$  in  $I$ , where  $L_k(x)$  denotes the  $k$ th Lagrange coefficient polynomial for  $f$  at  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Differentiating this expression gives

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n f(x_k)L'_k(x) + D_x \left[ \frac{(x - x_0) \cdots (x - x_n)}{(n + 1)!} \right] f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x)) \\ &\quad + \frac{(x - x_0) \cdots (x - x_n)}{(n + 1)!} D_x[f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x))]. \end{aligned}$$

# Cont...

We again have a problem estimating the truncation error unless  $x$  is one of the numbers  $x_j$ . In this case, the term multiplying  $D_x[f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x))]$  is 0, and the formula becomes

$$f'(x_j) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(x_k) L'_k(x_j) + \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x_j))}{(n+1)!} \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^n (x_j - x_k), \quad (4.2)$$

which is called an  **$(n+1)$ -point formula** to approximate  $f'(x_j)$ .

In general, using more evaluation points in Eq. (4.2) produces greater accuracy, although the number of functional evaluations and growth of round-off error discourages this somewhat. The most common formulas are those involving three and five evaluation points.

We first derive some useful three-point formulas and consider aspects of their errors. Because

$$L_0(x) = \frac{(x - x_1)(x - x_2)}{(x_0 - x_1)(x_0 - x_2)}, \quad \text{we have} \quad L'_0(x) = \frac{2x - x_1 - x_2}{(x_0 - x_1)(x_0 - x_2)}.$$

## Cont...

Similarly,

$$L_1'(x) = \frac{2x - x_0 - x_2}{(x_1 - x_0)(x_1 - x_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad L_2'(x) = \frac{2x - x_0 - x_1}{(x_2 - x_0)(x_2 - x_1)}.$$

Hence, from Eq. (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x_j) = & f(x_0) \left[ \frac{2x_j - x_1 - x_2}{(x_0 - x_1)(x_0 - x_2)} \right] + f(x_1) \left[ \frac{2x_j - x_0 - x_2}{(x_1 - x_0)(x_1 - x_2)} \right] \\ & + f(x_2) \left[ \frac{2x_j - x_0 - x_1}{(x_2 - x_0)(x_2 - x_1)} \right] + \frac{1}{6} f^{(3)}(\xi_j) \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^2 (x_j - x_k), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

for each  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , where the notation  $\xi_j$  indicates that this point depends on  $x_j$ .

# Three-Point Formulas

## Three-Point Formulas

The formulas from Eq. (4.3) become especially useful if the nodes are equally spaced, that is, when

$$x_1 = x_0 + h \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = x_0 + 2h, \quad \text{for some } h \neq 0.$$

We will assume equally-spaced nodes throughout the remainder of this section.

Using Eq. (4.3) with  $x_j = x_0, x_1 = x_0 + h$ , and  $x_2 = x_0 + 2h$  gives

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{h} \left[ -\frac{3}{2}f(x_0) + 2f(x_1) - \frac{1}{2}f(x_2) \right] + \frac{h^2}{3}f^{(3)}(\xi_0).$$

Doing the same for  $x_j = x_1$  gives

$$f'(x_1) = \frac{1}{h} \left[ -\frac{1}{2}f(x_0) + \frac{1}{2}f(x_2) \right] - \frac{h^2}{6}f^{(3)}(\xi_1),$$



## Cont...

and for  $x_j = x_2$ ,

$$f'(x_2) = \frac{1}{h} \left[ \frac{1}{2} f(x_0) - 2f(x_1) + \frac{3}{2} f(x_2) \right] + \frac{h^2}{3} f^{(3)}(\xi_2).$$

Since  $x_1 = x_0 + h$  and  $x_2 = x_0 + 2h$ , these formulas can also be expressed as

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{h} \left[ -\frac{3}{2} f(x_0) + 2f(x_0 + h) - \frac{1}{2} f(x_0 + 2h) \right] + \frac{h^2}{3} f^{(3)}(\xi_0),$$

$$f'(x_0 + h) = \frac{1}{h} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} f(x_0) + \frac{1}{2} f(x_0 + 2h) \right] - \frac{h^2}{6} f^{(3)}(\xi_1),$$

and

$$f'(x_0 + 2h) = \frac{1}{h} \left[ \frac{1}{2} f(x_0) - 2f(x_0 + h) + \frac{3}{2} f(x_0 + 2h) \right] + \frac{h^2}{3} f^{(3)}(\xi_2).$$

# Cont...

As a matter of convenience, the variable substitution  $x_0$  for  $x_0 + h$  is used in the middle equation to change this formula to an approximation for  $f'(x_0)$ . A similar change,  $x_0$  for  $x_0 + 2h$ , is used in the last equation. This gives three formulas for approximating  $f'(x_0)$ :

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h}[-3f(x_0) + 4f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 + 2h)] + \frac{h^2}{3}f^{(3)}(\xi_0),$$

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h}[-f(x_0 - h) + f(x_0 + h)] - \frac{h^2}{6}f^{(3)}(\xi_1),$$

and

$$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h}[f(x_0 - 2h) - 4f(x_0 - h) + 3f(x_0)] + \frac{h^2}{3}f^{(3)}(\xi_2).$$

Finally, note that the last of these equations can be obtained from the first by simply replacing  $h$  with  $-h$ , so there are actually only two formulas:



# Cont...

## Three-Point Endpoint Formula

- $$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h}[-3f(x_0) + 4f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 + 2h)] + \frac{h^2}{3}f^{(3)}(\xi_0), \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\xi_0$  lies between  $x_0$  and  $x_0 + 2h$ .

## Three-Point Midpoint Formula

- $$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h}[f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 - h)] - \frac{h^2}{6}f^{(3)}(\xi_1), \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\xi_1$  lies between  $x_0 - h$  and  $x_0 + h$ .

# Five-Point Formula

## Five-Point Midpoint Formula

- $$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{12h} [f(x_0 - 2h) - 8f(x_0 - h) + 8f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0 + 2h)] + \frac{h^4}{30} f^{(5)}(\xi), \quad (4.6)$$

where  $\xi$  lies between  $x_0 - 2h$  and  $x_0 + 2h$ .

The derivation of this formula is considered in Section 4.2. The other five-point formula is used for approximations at the endpoints.

## Five-Point Endpoint Formula

- $$f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{12h} [-25f(x_0) + 48f(x_0 + h) - 36f(x_0 + 2h) + 16f(x_0 + 3h) - 3f(x_0 + 4h)] + \frac{h^4}{5} f^{(5)}(\xi), \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\xi$  lies between  $x_0$  and  $x_0 + 4h$ .

# Example

**Example 2** Values for  $f(x) = xe^x$  are given in Table 4.2. Use all the applicable three-point and five-point formulas to approximate  $f'(2.0)$ .

**Table 4.2**

$x$	$f(x)$
1.8	10.889365
1.9	12.703199
2.0	14.778112
2.1	17.148957
2.2	19.855030

**Solution** The data in the table permit us to find four different three-point approximations. We can use the endpoint formula (4.4) with  $h = 0.1$  or with  $h = -0.1$ , and we can use the midpoint formula (4.5) with  $h = 0.1$  or with  $h = 0.2$ .

Using the endpoint formula (4.4) with  $h = 0.1$  gives

$$\frac{1}{0.2}[-3f(2.0) + 4f(2.1) - f(2.2)] = 5[-3(14.778112) + 4(17.148957) - 19.855030] = 22.032310,$$

and with  $h = -0.1$  gives 22.054525.

Using the midpoint formula (4.5) with  $h = 0.1$  gives

$$\frac{1}{0.2}[f(2.1) - f(1.9)] = 5(17.148957 - 12.7703199) = 22.228790,$$

and with  $h = 0.2$  gives 22.414163.

# Cont...

The only five-point formula for which the table gives sufficient data is the midpoint formula (4.6) with  $h = 0.1$ . This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{1.2}[f(1.8) - 8f(1.9) + 8f(2.1) - f(2.2)] &= \frac{1}{1.2}[10.889365 - 8(12.703199) \\ &\quad + 8(17.148957) - 19.855030] \\ &= 22.166999\end{aligned}$$

If we had no other information we would accept the five-point midpoint approximation using  $h = 0.1$  as the most accurate, and expect the true value to be between that approximation and the three-point mid-point approximation that is in the interval  $[22.166, 22.229]$ .

The true value in this case is  $f'(2.0) = (2 + 1)e^2 = 22.167168$ , so the approximation errors are actually:

Three-point endpoint with  $h = 0.1$ :  $1.35 \times 10^{-1}$ ;

Three-point endpoint with  $h = -0.1$ :  $1.13 \times 10^{-1}$ ;

Three-point midpoint with  $h = 0.1$ :  $-6.16 \times 10^{-2}$ ;

Three-point midpoint with  $h = 0.2$ :  $-2.47 \times 10^{-1}$ ;

Five-point midpoint with  $h = 0.1$ :  $1.69 \times 10^{-4}$ . ■

# Thank You