
Conv-SINet

— Identify Speaker using a
fully-convolutional solution —

Use case

In meeting room:

Théo, Alban, Florian,

Timothé, Michèle, Liza




Under personal phone

Bart and Simon



Data set: VoxCeleb1

A wide range of different ethnicities, accents, professions and ages.



Cross validation and data set


General architecture

deep learning part

Trained one time for all


machine learning part

Trained by company




Data set: time vs STFT

16 kHz raw sample




STFT



The encoding

Frequency
encoding


Time
encoding



Convolution block

These blocks could be stacked to adjust complexity of neural network.
If NN is deep we should activate the inception mechanism.

Conv-D block comes from VGG-net architecture.



Inception use:


The first convolution have a kernel size equal to 1.

These features are simpler than features generated by a larger kernel size.


This inception stabilize the block.

Encoding results


Frequency encoder




Full time encoder



The enrollment



The recognition



Reference pool: impact of election

Pool size vs accuracy

Pool size	start acc	max acc
1	0.5037	0.5037
2	0.5454	0.5543
5	0.6785	0.6968
10	0.7179	0.7896
20	0.7596	0.8603

Base line == No election


+0.0089

+0.0183

+0.0717

Max impact of election + 0.1007

The strategy: mean vs top 4 vs best




best: OK only best matching is used.

top 4: OK we have enough matching vector.


mean: vectors without matching pattern
weighed down the results.

Identification results




Error bar for one sample under analysis

Error bar for 3 samples under analysis




The localization

2 mics signal



Global architecture for localization




Encoder accuracy


vector length	accuracy
16	96.80%
32	97.75%
64	97.74%
128	98.27%

Localization II

Room Impulsive Response



Octopus with 3 mics



Room simulation

Result : encoder genericity

Sample size	train acc	test acc
3	0.958	0.886
2	0.941	0.874
1	0.922	0.866

Encoding accuracy

short sample => more genericity

Accuracy for a speaker


sample sz	3	2	1
topk acc	0.825	0.813	0.853

Learning speed of encoding

Slow learning => Deep learning


Sample size	best test acc	best epoch	0.86 epoch
3	0.886	25	5
2	0.877	21	8
1	0.863	32	32

Results : confusion matrix



Confusion matrix for 20 speakers

Conclusion



specific encoder
point of interest selection
concatenation of results



Mixing deep learning
and ML works



Deep network
are lazy