

Paper	Used ML?	User Study?	Directly relevant to project? (Sunwoo)	Directly relevant to project? (Shayan)	Directly relevant to project? (Alvitta)	Useful Data?	Notes
Srinivasan et al. (2018) [SPEB18]	No	No	No				
Setlur et al. (2016) [SBT*16b]	No	No	No				Minor user study with 12 participants
Dabek and Caban (2016) [DC16]	Yes	Yes (small)	No	No		No	Actions performed by user stored in final state machine
Mutlu et al. (2016) [MVT16]	No	No	No				
Chen et al. (2014) [CQW*14]	No	Yes	No			No	with user interactions, create a DAG (directed accyclic graph)
Koch et al. (2014) [KBGE09]	No	No	No				PatVis - interactive analysis of panntnet info, leverage iterative query refinement
Scheepens et al. (2015) [SMvdWvW15]	No	No	No				
Shrinivasan and van Wijk (2009) [SvW09]	No	No	No				
Gotz and Wen (2009) [GW09]	Yes	Yes	No			No	Recommend visualizations by monitoring user behavior
Endert (2014) [End14]	No	No	No				Semantic Interaction for VA (impact paper)
Endert et al. (2012) [EFN12a]	Yes	Yes (small)	No			No	ForceSPIRE used to support sensemaking
MacInnes et al. (2010) [MSW10]	Yes	Yes (small)	No	No		No	Classify user interaction with software app for intelligence analysis (used kmeans?)
Boukhelifa et al. (2013) [BCBL13]	Yes	Yes (small)	No			No	Aid exploration of multidimensional datasets with visual analytics and stochastic optimization
Endert (2015) [ECNZ15]	No	No	No				Report discussions generated during PNNL workshop in form of claims and design guidelines for next gen of VA systems
Ottley et al. (2019) [OGW19]	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	HMM paper
			Maybe (Depends on the user providing constraints/filters for the data)	Yes, with small modification (let's implement the Hellinger distance where we give it $H(d_u, d_v)$ where d_u is the underlying data and d_v is the interaction points.) Only applies to bias detection			
Gotz et al. (2016) [GSC16]	Yes	Yes (small)	Maybe	Yes (gotla look at BBNC)		No	Combating bias using adaptive contextualization during high dimensional visualization and data selection
Healey and Bennis (2012) [HB12]	Yes	No	Maybe				Use Bayesian classifiers to learn a user's preferences, and applt that knowledge to identify interesting data elements
Hu et al. (2019) [HBL*19]	Yes	No	No				Visualization recommendation
Correll and Gleicher (2016) [CG16]	No	Yes	No			No	visual query systems for time series data
Setlur et al. (2019) [STD19]	No	Yes	No			No	Generate useful visualization responses to underspecified utterances
Steichen et al. (2014) [SCC14]	Yes	Yes	No			No	Use eye gaze patterns to predict properties of the user's visualization task
Guo et al. (2019) [GDM*19]	No	Yes (small)	No			No	Novel visualization for exploring event sequence predictions
Wang et al. (2014) [WCW*14]	No	No	No				VA system that evaluates real traffic situations based on taxi trajectory data
Lee et al. (2019) [LDH*19]	No	Yes	No			No	VA system that helps users avoid drill-down fallacies (def of drill down: is the understanding of the behavior of data subsets by progressively adding filters)
Ceneda et al. (2019) [CGM19]	No	No	No				Review of papers dealing with guidance to support visual analysis tasks
Ragan et al. (2015) [RESC15]	No	No	No				Presented an organizational framework for clarifying the type of provenance information captured and the purpose for how it will be used.
Micallef et al. (2017) [MSM*17]	Yes	No	No				Approach for eliciting tacit knowledge from domain experts and using it as prior knowledge to improve the accuracy of prediction models
Wegba et al. (2018) [WLLW18]	Yes	Yes (small)	No			No	Proposed Latent Semantic Model that captures the statistical features of semantic concepts on 2D domains and abstracts user preferences for personal recommendation
Toker et al. (2014) [TSG*14]	Yes	No	No				Used prediction models that are trained on users' gaze data in order to ease the so-called 'learning curve' during a user's skill acquisition phase
Steichen and Conati (2013) [SCC13]	Yes	No	No				Predict user's current visualization task and long-term cognitive abilities with eye gaze data
Khan and Nandi (2019) [KN19]	No	Yes (small)	No			No	System that approximates caching for Physics-based Data Interaction
Sacha et al. (2018) [SKB*18]	Yes	Yes (small)	No			No	Uses Self-Organizing Maps for iterative cluster refinement for analyzing time-series data
Walch et al. (2019) [WSL*19]	Yes	Yes (small)	No			No	LightGuider: enhances interactive lighting design with visual analytics
Dextras-Romagnino and Munzner (2019) [DM19]	No	No	No				Segmentifier: supports an iterative process of refining collections of action sequences (clickstream data) into meaningful segments.
Ren et al. (2019) [RLB19]	No	Yes (small)	No			No	An interactive authoring tool that enables the creation of bespoke and reusable chart layouts
Bors et al. (2019) [BGM19]	No	Yes (small)	No			No	Captures workflow provenance along the data wrangling process
Shadoan and Weaver (2013) [SW13]	No	No	No				
Gomez and Laidlaw (2012) [GL12]	No	Yes (small)	No			No	framework that helps developers quantitatively evaluate user interfaces and design iterations by using histories from crowds of end users
Liu et al. (2017) [LWD*17]	No	No	No				utilizes sequence mining on clickstream data to understand/find patterns
Smuc et al. (2009) [SML*09]	No	No	No				
Bylinskii et al. (2017) [BKO*17]	Yes	Yes	No			No	learned visual importance for graphic designs with neural networks
Fröhler et al. (2016) [FMH16]	Yes	No	No				
Blascheck et al. (2016) [BJK*16]	No	No	No				VA system that helps evaluate VA applications
Moritz et al. (2015) [MHHH15]	No	No		No			Very minor use-case study
Wongsuphasawat et al. (2016) [WMA*16]	Maybe	Yes		No			Not really ML; but uses heuristics to recommend visualizations for a dataset
Muthumanickam et al. (2016) [MVCJ16]	No	No		No			Searching time series by user sketch; small case study
Hoque et al. (2018) [HSTD18]	Yes	Yes		No			Unrelated IMO; Speech to visualization mapping; Uses natural language to generate plots
Weaver (2009) [Wea09]	No	No		No			
Ferreira et al. (2013) [FPV*13]	Maybe	No		No			Strategy for visualizing taxi trips (temporal data); small case study
Kadivar et al. (2009) [KCD*09]	No	No		No			
Shrinivasan et al. (2009) [SGL09]	No	No		No			Show old notes that may be relevant to the current query; Relevant score (not real ML); Small case study
Schlinder et al. (2013) [SWR*13]	No	No		No			
Kwon et al. (2017) [KKW*17]	Yes	No		Maybe			We like their underlying high dimensional dataset;
Bradel et al. (2014) [BNHL14]	No	No		No			It's for text and force-directed visualizations; there is an algorithm proposed update vis weights in light of interactions;

Paper	Used ML?	User Study?	Directly relevant to project? (Sunwoo)	Directly relevant to project? (Shayan)	Directly relevant to project? (Alvitta)	Useful Data?	Notes
Pezzotti et al. (2015) [RWF*13b]	No	No		No			
Brown et al. (2012) [BLBC12]	Yes	No		No			Dis-Function; Small case study of size 10; move data around on a 2d canvas
Ender et al. (2012) [EFN12a]	No	No		No			
Cook et al. (2015) [CCI*15]	Maybe	No		Maybe			The primary contribution is on guidelines. They talk about an ad-hoc task recognition and data relevance model, but there are close to no details.
Kunkel et al. (2017) [KLZ17]	Maybe	Yes		Maybe			User study on a movie dataset; Primary contribution is to visualize the space covered by recommendation systems
Kim et al. (2016) [KCPE16]	No	No		No (consider for HDR)			It's on visualizing high dimensional data on scatterplots in a user-driven manner (as opposed to dimensionality reduction techniques)
Fuchs et al. (2009) [FWG09]	Yes	No		No (consider for HDR)			Visualizing high dimensional data on scatterplots to reveal patterns (using AI to search various configurations)
Brown et al. (2014) [BOZ*14]	Yes	Yes		No			Finding Waldo; the ML side is not directly relevant to our work;
Cavallo and Demiralp (2018) [CD18]	Yes	No		No			VA system for inspecting ML classifiers
Ribicic et al. (2013) [RWF*13a]	No	No		No			
Hossain et al. (2012) [HOG*12]	Yes	No		No			Incorporating human knowledge into clustering algorithms; proposes an interaction scheme called "scatter/gather"
Sherkat et al. (2018) [SNMM18]	Yes	Yes (small)		No			Incorporating human feedback in document clustering
Gao et al. (2015) [GDA*15]	Maybe	Yes (small)		No			Natural language interface to visually explore data
Hottelier et al. (2014) [HBR14]	No	Yes (small)		No			Generating visualizations without programming (through an interactive interface instead)
Callahan et al. (2006) [CFS*06]	No	No		No			
Rübel and Bowen (2018) [RB18]	Maybe	No		No			Mainly a visualization tool to explore brain image data; It also uses some ML to group images together
Kandel et al. (2011) [KPHH11]	Maybe	Yes (small)		No			Wrangler; observes interactions and suggests future data transformations
Chung et al. (2010) [CYM*10]	No	No		No			Interface for multiple analysts to collaborate on analyzing text
Gotz et al. (2017) [GSC*17]	No	Yes (small)		Yes (Adaptive Contextualization)			They propose a bias metric similar to Emily Wall's; Their user study involves a sequence of filtering interactions; might be useful for bias detection
Xu et al. (2018) [XBL*18]	No	Yes (small)		No			Users inspect existing visualizations of the data to answer questions; can we predict which visualization they'll interact with next? We'd need to encode a visualization into structured data
Loorak et al. (2018) [LTC18]	No	Yes (small)		No			Tracking visual design changes over time
Smith et al. (2018) [SLMK18]	Yes	Yes (small)					I don't understand this paper; using ML and eye tracking data to predict user confidence in decision making?
Stitz et al. (2019) [SGP*19]	Maybe	No		No			
Andrienko et al. (2011) [AAM*11]	No	No		No			
Cappers and van Wijk (2018) [CvW18]	No	No		No			
Zraggen et al. (2015) [ZDFD15]							This is a presentation?
Ragan et al. (2015) [RGT15]	No	Yes		No			
Walker et al. (2013) [WSD*13]	Maybe	No		No			
Porteous et al. (2010) [PCC10]	No (AI Planning)	No		No			Story telling using AI planning algorithms
Mathisen et al. (2019) [MHK*19]	No	No		No			
Gratzl et al. (2016) [GLG*16]	No	No		No			
Choe et al. (2015) [CLs15]	No	No		No			
Willett et al. (2013) [WGS*13]	no	yes					strategies that utilize multiple workers to detect redundant explanations. Task: Wikipedia articles; captured workers' browsing behavior
Bors et al. (2019) [BWD*19]	no	no					proposed a provenance task abstraction framework (seem odd that we listed this)
Bors et al. (2019) [BWD*19]	no	yes					presents a system that captures and visualizes provenance from data wrangling operations
Wall et al. (2018) [WDC*18]	yes	yes			no		observed users table ranking and learns the attributes that might inform their understanding of the data; includes a small case study
Liu et al. (2017) [LKD*17]	yes	no			no		analyse event sequences
Cho et al. (2017) [CWK*17]	no	yes					looks at how visual anchoring influences interaction behavior
Blascheck (2018) [BVV*18]	yes	yes			no	no	collect interaction logs to see how people discover visualization functionalities. They collected eye tracking data
Dou et al. (2009) [DJS*09]	no	yes					wirevis paper
Boukhelifa (2019) [BBT*19]	no	yes			no	no	study on how experts explore data. Data collected was qualitative
Guo et al. (2015) [GGZL15]	no	yes			no	maybe	Uses the Gastech data to learn about how people arrive at insights
Feng et al. (2019) [FPH19]	yes	yes			yes	yes	Patterns and Pace project; Used Well et al. bias metrics; Shayan used dataset in competing models paper
Battle and Heer (2019) [BH19]	no	yes			no	maybe	captured interaction with tableau.
Nguyen et al. (2018) [NTA*18]	no	yes			no	no	va system for identifying and investigating of 'unusual' action sequences
Wei et al. (2012) [WSSM12]	yes	yes			no	no	va system for exploring clickstream clusters on webpages. Uses EM algorithm
Blascheck et al. (2016) [BBB*16]	no	yes			no	no	va system "which integrates rich user data including transcripts, videos, eye movement data, and interaction logs."
Toker et al. (2017) [TLC17]	yes	yes			no	no	predict eye gaze on areas of interest on data visualization
Yu and Silva (2020) [YS20]	yes	yes			no	no	A Natural Language Interface for Visual Data Exploration
Mannino and Abouzied (2019) [MA19]	yes	yes			no	maybe	generates synthetic data. "It provides instant feedback on every user interaction by updating multiple visualizations of the generated dataset and even suggests data generation specifications from a few user examples and interactions"
Kodagoda et al. (2013) [KAW*13]	yes	yes			no	no	Classified use interactions using ML, but is done posthoc. They used SVM, RF, and HMM.
Madanagopal et al. (2019) [MRB19]	no	no			no	no	conducted an interview study with data analysts from domains such as intelligence analysis, cyber-security, and geospatial intelligence. Showed that domains have different provenance needs
Kondo and Collins (2014) [KC14]	no	no			no	no	show timeline hints when the user touches a datapoint. This can help the use understand how a datapoint changes when there is time varying data
Culter et al. (Ttrack)	no	no			no	no	Ttrack library capture provenance date
Monadjemi et al. 2020	yes	yes			yes		Competing Models
Gadhawe et al. 2020	yes	yes			yes		used k-means to predict intent for scatterplot brushing