

**C2.**  $c \succ^+ d$  holds, for any elements  $c$  and  $d$  of  $\mathcal{CW}$ .

1. Let  $c$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{CW}$  and define

$$D \triangleq \{d \in \mathcal{CW} : \neg(c \succ^+ d)\}$$

It suffices to assume  $D$  is nonempty and obtain a contradiction.

PROOF: Obvious.

2.  $d \succ c$  holds, for all  $d \in D$ .

PROOF:  $\neg(c \succ^+ d)$  implies  $\neg(c \succeq d)$  (because  $c \succeq d$  implies  $c \succ^+ d$  by definition of the transitive closure), and  $\neg(c \succeq d)$  equals  $d \succ c$  by definition of  $\succ$ .

3. For all  $d \in D$  and all  $e \in \mathcal{CW} \setminus D$  :  $d \succ e$ .

3.1. It suffices to assume  $d \in D$ ,  $e \in \mathcal{CW} \setminus D$ , and  $\neg(d \succ e)$  and obtain a contradiction

PROOF: Obvious.

3.2.  $c \succ^+ e$

PROOF: By the assumption of 3.1, which implies  $e \notin D$ , and the definition of  $D$ .

3.3.  $e \succ d$

PROOF: By the assumption of 3.1, which asserts  $\neg(d \succ e)$ , and the definition of *succeq*.

3.4. Q.E.D.

Steps 3.2 and 3.3 and the definition of the transitive closure imply  $c \succ^+ d$ , which by the definition of  $D$  contradicts the step 3.1 assumption  $d \in D$ .

4.  $D$  is a dominating set.

PROOF: We must prove that if  $d \in D$ , then  $d \succ e$  for any candidate  $e$  not in  $D$ . If  $e$  is not in  $\mathcal{CW}$ , this follows because  $\mathcal{CW}$  is a dominating set. If  $e \in \mathcal{CW}$ , then  $e \in \mathcal{CW} \setminus D$  and this follows from step 3.

5. Q.E.D.

PROOF:  $D$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{CW}$  by definition. It is a proper subset of  $\mathcal{CW}$  because  $c \in \mathcal{CW}$  by the step 1 assumption and step 2 implies  $c \notin D$ . Therefore, step 4 implies that  $\mathcal{CW}$  is not the smallest dominating set, which is a contradiction.