## Proving R2 for the Handshake Algorithm

THEOREM  $Inv_H \wedge Inv_{H'} \wedge Next_H \Rightarrow [\overline{Next_A}]_{\overline{vars_A}}$ 

1.  $p1_H \Rightarrow \text{UNCHANGED } \overline{vars_A}$ 

PROOF: Obvious, since  $p1_H$  implies that p, c, and box are unchanged.

2. Assume:  $Inv_H \wedge p2_H$ 

PROVE:  $\overline{Producer_A}$ 

2.1.  $p \oplus c = 0$ 

PROOF:  $p2_H$  implies p = c, which by the first two conjuncts of  $Inv_H$  imply  $p \oplus c = 0$ .

2.2.  $box' = \overline{Put_A}(box)$ 

PROOF: Follows from the definition of  $p2_H$ , since  $\overline{Put_A}(box)$  equals  $Put_H(box)$ .

2.3.  $(p \oplus c)' = 1$ 

PROOF:  $p2_H$  implies  $(p \oplus c)' = (p \oplus 1) \oplus c$ , which by 2.1 and the first two conjuncts of  $Inv_H$  implies  $(p \oplus c)' = 1$ .

2.4. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By 2.1–2.3, which prove the three conjuncts of  $\overline{Producer_A}$ .

3.  $c1_H \Rightarrow \text{UNCHANGED } \overline{vars_A}$ 

PROOF: Obvious, since  $c1_H$  implies that p, c, and box are unchanged.

4. Assume:  $Inv \wedge c2_H$ 

PROVE:  $\overline{Consumer_A}$ 

PROOF: Similar to the proof of step 2.

5. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By 1–4 and simple logic, because  $Next_H$  equals

$$p1_H \vee p2_H \vee c1_H \vee c2_H$$

and 
$$[\overline{Next_A}]_{\overline{vars_A}}$$
 equals

 $\overline{Producer_A} \lor \overline{Consumer_A} \lor \text{UNCHANGED } \overline{vars_A}$