# Dependent Types and Theorem Proving: Introduction to Dependent Types

Wojciech Kołowski

March 2021

- Greetings
- Why
- 3 Examples
- 4 The idea
- 6 First-class types
- 6 Functions
- Records
- 8 Life of Pi

#### General info

- The lectures will be held weekly on Fridays.
- Don't worry if you miss a lecture the slides are pretty massive and the talks are going to be recorded.
- Each lecture ends with some exercises which will help you familiarize yourself with F\* and better understand the ideas covered in the talk.
- But you don't need to do them if you don't want to.
- This talks repo: https://github.com/wkolowski/ Dependent-Types-and-Theorem-Proving

#### Plan of lectures

- Lecture 1: Programming with dependent types.
- Lecture 2: Proving theorems with dependent types.
- Lecture 3: Differences between programming and proving.
- Lecture 4: Examples of bigger programs and longer proofs.
- Lecture 5: A deeper dive into F\*.

## Learning outcomes

- You won't be scared of all those obscure, scary and mysterious names and notations.
- You will get basic familiarity with the ideas behind dependent types.
- You will begin to see logic and mathematics in a very different light, much closer to your day job (at least if you are a programmer working in F#).
- If you do the exercises, you will gain a basic proficiency in F\*.

# Introducing F\*

- F\* (pronounced "eff star") is a general-purpose purely functional programming language.
- Member of the ML family, syntactically most similar to F#.
- Aimed at program verification.
- Dependent types.
- Refinement types.
- Effect system.
- Not a .NET language.
- Neither compiled nor interpreted it's a proof assistant, i.e. just a typechecker.
- To run a program, it has to be extracted to some other language, like F#, OCaml, C or WASM, and then compiled.



## Don't worry, be happy, ask questions

I KNOW YOU DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THE PREVIOUS SLIDE, BUT BY THE END OF THESE TALKS, YOU WILL!

#### Useful F\* links

- You can run F\* inside your browser (and have a nice tutorial guide you):
   http://www.fstar-lang.org/tutorial/
- GitHub: https://github.com/FStarLang/FStar
- Homepage: http://www.fstar-lang.org/
- Download: http://www.fstar-lang.org/#download
- Papers (not approachable for ordinary mortals): http://www.fstar-lang.org/#papers
- Talks/presentations (more approachable):
   http://www.fstar-lang.org/#talks (some of these are quite approachable if you're interested)

## Prerequisites

- To understand what we will be talking about, you should have a working knowledge of F# and the basic concepts of functional programming, namely:
- Functions as first-class citizens, including higher-order functions.
- Algebraic data types, including sum types and product types.
- Pattern matching and recursion.
- Even if you know these, you may be unfamiliar with the particular names – for example, "sum types" is a name used in academia and Haskell, but in F# they are better known as "tagged unions".

## Code snippet no 1 - basics of F\*

- We will now see some code that shows how these things look in F\*.
- See the file Lecture1/Prerequisites.fst.

# Why should we care about dependent types? 1/3

- Programs written in dynamically typed languages perform a lot of runtime checks.
- Beyond a certain size dynamically typed software is hard to extend, refactor and maintain because errors manifest very late in the development process, i.e. at runtime.
- Statically typed languages make the situation better, because they move typechecking to compile time, which means a lot of errors get caught much sooner.
- Static typing is good.

# Why should we care about dependent types? 2/3

- But in simple functional languages like F# there's still plenty of runtime checks – division by zero, taking the head of empty list and a lot of user-defined checks which throw exceptions in case of failure.
- With dependent types, all runtime checks can be turned into static checks – all errors are type errors.
- This results in more extensible, refactorable and maintainable software (and also better performance – less stuff to do at runtime).
- We can not only get rid of runtime checks, dependent types can also replace most unit tests and property tests.
- Dependent types bring static typing to its limits.

# Why should we care about dependent types? 3/3

- And when I say all errors are typing errors, I really mean it –
  with dependent types, we can express all properties, formulate
  all specifications and describe all mathematical objects.
- Dependent types reveal a deep connection between functional programming and logic.
- Despite their great power, dependent types are easy to understand and significantly simplify the language design.
- Have you ever heard about fancy Haskell stuff like multi-param typeclasses, GADTs, higher-rank types, higher-kinded types, existential types and so on?
- No? No problem with dependent types, we get all of that (and much more) for free.

# Matrix multiplication

- We can only multiply matrices whose dimensions match, i.e. we can multiply an  $n \times m$  matrix by a  $m \times k$  and get an  $n \times k$  matrix as a result.
- How to model this in our favourite programming language without dependent types?
- The best we can do is to have a type of matrices Matrix and then matrix multiplication has type matmult: Matrix
   Matrix -> Matrix.
- What happens when we call it with matrices of the wrong dimensions?
- matmult  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$  is well-typed, but will throw an

IllegalArgumentException or some other kind of runtime error, or maybe it will crash even less gracefully.

## Matrix multiplication with dependent types

- In a language with dependent types we can create a **type of**  $n \times m$  **matrices** Matrix n m and give multiplication the type matmult :  $(n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (m : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (k : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow$  Matrix n m  $\rightarrow$  Matrix m k  $\rightarrow$  Matrix n k
- Now matmult is a function which takes five arguments: the three matrix dimensions and the two matrices themselves.
- After giving it the dimensions of the first matrix from the previous slide, matmult 2 2 has type (k : N) → Matrix 2 2 → Matrix 2 3 → Matrix 2 3.
- It is clear that matmult 2 2 k  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$  is not well-typed for any k, because the last argument is of type Matrix 3 3, but an argument of type Matrix 2 k was expected.

#### A nice paper

- Dependent types can also be used to keep track of units of measure.
- This is possible in F# too, but it's a built-in feature of the compiler, whereas the dependently typed solution is much more principled.
- It is also composable we can keep track of both matrix dimensions and units.
- There's a nice paper about this: Type systems for programs respecting dimensions available at https://fredriknf.com/papers/dimensions2021.pdf

## Array access

- When accessing the *i*-th element of an array, *i* must be smaller than the length of the array.
- How to model this in our favourite programming language without dependent types?
- We have Array A, the type of arrays of As, and we can access its elements with a function get: Array A -> int -> A.
- What happens, when i is greater than the length of the array?
   Or, what happens when i is negative?
- get [| 'a'; 'b'; 'c'] 5 is well-typed, but will throw an IndexOutOfBoundsException or result in a segmentation fault.

# Array access with dependent types 1/2

- In a language with dependent types we can have Array A n, the type of arrays of As whose length is n.
- Then we have a few possibilities to model the type of get.
- get : (n : N) -> Array A n -> (i : N) -> i < n -> A.
- In this variant, the fourth argument of get is a proof that the index isn't out of bounds (we will cover proofs in the next lecture).
- We can't prove 5 < 3, so we don't have any proof to feed into get 3 [| 'a'; 'b'; 'c' |] 5 : 5 < 3 -> Char.

# Array access with dependent types 2/2

- get : (n :  $\mathbb{N}$ ) -> Array A n -> (i :  $\mathbb{N}$ {i < n }) -> A.
- In this variant we use refinement types (which we will cover later today) to automatically guarantee that i isn't out of bounds.
- get 3 [| 'a'; 'b'; 'c' |] 5 is not well-typed, because the typechecker can't prove 5 < 3, and thus 5 is not of type  $\mathbb{N}\{5 < 3\}$ .

## We're getting serious

- The above slides present nice fairy tales. . .
- ... but how do dependent types actually work?
- And how to use them in F\*?
- And what can ordinary programmers use them for besides number crunching with matrices and arrays?

## Values and types

- To understand dependent types, first we have to understand dependency.
- And to understand dependency, we need to be aware of the distinction between values and types.
- By **values**, we mean the bread-and-butter of programming: numbers, strings, arrays, lists, functions, etc.
- It should be pretty obvious to you that in most languages,
   types are not of the same status as numbers or functions.

## Dependencies

- Dependency is easy to understand. In fact, if you know basic F#, then you already know most of it, because in F#:
- Values can depend on values: we can think that the sum n
   + m is a number that depends on the numbers n and m. This dependency can be expressed as a function: fun (n m : int) -> n + m.
- Values can depend on types: for example, the identity function fun (x : 'a) -> x depends on the type 'a.
- Types can depend on types: for example, the F# type Set<'a> depends on the type 'a.

## Naming the dependencies

- I bet you spotted the pattern in the previous slide, but it's a good idea to also have a name for the feature provided by each kind of dependency.
- Values can depend on values: (first-class) functions.
- Values can depend on types: polymorphism (i.e. "generics").
- Types can depend on types: type operators.

## Dependent types

- There's yet another kind of dependency, which is not present in F#, but is present in F\* and is the topic of this lecture.
- Types can depend on values: dependent types.
- But what are dependent types good for? You have been living your whole life without them, after all!

## The running summary 1

• Dependent types are types that can depend on values.

## Juggling dependencies

- Given a functional language like F#, how to enable types to depend on values?
- Of course we want to retain the other kinds of dependencies (values on values, values on types, types on types).
- It turns out it's best throw away all kinds of dependencies besides the basic one (values on values)...
- ... and then turn types into values!

## Values and types

- So now values encompass both old, ordinary values (integers, tuples, functions, etc.) and new values (types).
- This way we get all four kinds of dependencies:
- Ordinary values can depend on ordinary values.
- Ordinary values can depend on type values.
- Type values can depend on type values.
- Type values can depend on ordinary values.

## First-class types

- How do we turn types into values?
- In programming languages' parlance, this process is called making types first-class citizens of the language.
- But what does "first-class" mean, anyway?

#### What does "first-class" mean?

- In C, we can define functions that take an int and return an int.
- But we can't define a function that takes a function from ints to ints and returns an int.
- This means that functions from ints to ints are not treated the same as ints.
- As far as C is concerned, we can say that integers are first-class, but functions are not first-class (thus, they are "second-class").
- But C has function pointers, so you may be skeptical when I claim it doesn't have first-class functions.

#### Some heuristics

- The concept of "first-class" is neither precisely defined nor exact. Rather, it's more of a functional programming folklore that obeys the "I know it when I see it" principle.
- However there are some heuristics that can help you.
- Something is first-class when it can be:
- bound/assigned to variables.
- stored in data structures.
- passed to functions as an argument.
- returned from functions.
- constructed at runtime.
- nameless, i.e. it can exist without giving it any name.
- So, which of these is criteria is not fullfilled by C's function pointers?



## A first-class quiz

- Let's have a little quiz to check if you get it.
- Are the below language features first-class in F# or not?
- Functions?
- Recursive functions?
- Arrays?
- Modules?
- Records?
- Types?

### A type-based definition of "first-class"

- Heuristics from previous slides are nice. . .
- but I prefer to think about first-class-ness in a different way, which is better from the functional programming point of view.
- For a given programming, a concept X is first-class if there
  is a type of all Xs, loosely speaking.
- This means that a language has first-class functions if for any two types A and B there is a type  $A \rightarrow B$  of all functions from A to B.

## A first-class quiz

- Let's have a little quiz to check if you get it.
- Are the below language features first-class in F# or not?
- Functions?
- Recursive functions?
- Arrays?
- Modules?
- Records?
- Types?

### A first-class quiz answers

- Functions? For any 'a and 'b there's a type of functions 'a
  -> 'b.
- Recursive functions? There's no separate type of recursive functions, even though there's a syntactic distinction between let and let rec!
- Arrays? For any type 'a there's a type of arrays, namely array<'a>.
- Modules? Modules don't have types, they have signatures.
   But signatures are not types, so modules are not first-class.
- Records? This one is mixed depending on how you understand it. On the one hand, for any kind of record you can imagine, there's a corresponding type. But on the other hand, there is no type of all record types.
- Types? There are types in F# and there are type variables a',
   b', c' etc., but we can't assign them any type!

## Computing with first-class types

- Previously we learned that "X is first-class" means that there is a type of all Xs.
- For types, this means that we need to have a **type of types**.
- And that's it we don't need anything else.
- Note: the phrase "types of types" sounds (and looks) bad, so
  we will call it the universe of types, or in short, just the
  universe.
- Because types are first-class in F\*, we can assign them to variables, pass them to functions as arguments and return them from functions, and even compute types by recursion.

# Code snippet no 2 - first-class types in F\*

- It might a bit difficult to wrap your head around the idea of first-class types, so let's see how it plays out in F\*.
- The code snippet can be found in Lecture1/FirstClassTypes.fst

# The running summary 2

- Dependent types are types that can depend on values.
- In dependently typed languages:
- There is a universe a type whose elements are themselves types.

## So far so good

- So far so good, but we still don't know how dependent types work.
- We saw some in the examples, but they were left unexplained.
- We also saw some more in the last code snippet, but those were the crudest and most primitive dependent types in existence.
- Now that we have learned about first-class types and the universe of types, we can learn dependent types proper.

## Dependent types by analogy

- We will introduce dependent types by analogy.
- Each of the various kinds of dependent types out there is just a generalization of an ordinary non-dependent type that is well-known to functional programmers:
- Dependent function types are a generalization of function types.
- Dependent pair types are a generalization of products.
- Dependent record types are a generalization of records.
- Inductive types are a generalization of algebraic data types.

### Type families

- In the coming slides, we will often refer to **type families**.
- A family of types indexed by type a is just a function a -> Type.
- There can be many indices, like in a -> b -> Type.
- We have already seen examples in the last code snippet:
- vec : Type -> nat -> Type is a family of types whose members vec a n are lists of length n and elements of type a
- matrix: Type -> nat -> nat -> Type is a family of types whose members matrix a n m are n x m matrices with entries of type a.

### Non-dependent functions

- Recall how ordinary function types work in F#.
- If a: Type is a type and b: Type is a type, then there is a type a -> b: Type of functions that take an element of a and return an element of b.
- We create functions of type a -> b by writing fun (x : a)
   -> e where e is an expression of type b in which x may occur.
- If we have a function f : a -> b and x : a, then we we can apply f to x, written f x, to get an element of type b.

### Dependent functions

- Now, watch the analogy unfold...
- If a: Type is a type and b: a -> Type is a family of types, then there is a type (x: a) -> b x of dependent functions which take an element of a named x and return an element of b x.
- We create functions of type (x : a) -> b x by writing fun (x : a) -> e where e is an expression of type b x in which x may occur.
- If we have a function f : (x : a) -> b x and x : a, then we can apply f to x, written f x, to get an element of type b x.
- Hint: it's probably easiest to pronounce (x : a) -> b x as
   "for all x of type a, b of x". Thus is revealed the connection
   to logic, which we will see in the next lecture.

### More dependent functions

- Of course, we can iterate the dependent function type to get a type of functions whose output type dependent on the value of many inputs.
- (x : a) -> b x
- $(x : a) \rightarrow ((y : b x) \rightarrow c x y)$
- Dependent function type associates to the right, just like ordinary function type, so we can drop the parentheses. We can also drop all but the last arrow.
- (x : a) (y : b x) (z : c x y) -> d x y z
- (x : a) (y : b x) (z : c x y) (w : d x y z) -> e x y z w
- etc.

## Code snippet no 3 - dependent functions in F\*

- Let's see how to use dependent functions in F\*.
- See the code snippet Lecture1/DependentFunctions.fst

# The running summary 3

- Dependent types are types that can depend on values.
- In dependently typed languages:
- There is a universe a type whose elements are themselves types.
- There is a type of dependent functions which are just like ordinary functions, but their output TYPE can depend on the VALUE of their input.

#### Non-dependent pairs

- Recall how ordinary pairs work in F#.
- If a: Type is a type and b: Type is a type, then there is a type a \* b: Type of pairs.
- To create a pair, we write (x, y) where x is of type a and y is of type b.
- To use a pair p: a \* b, we use projections we have fst p: a and snd p: b.
- We can also pattern match on pairs.

## Dependent pairs

- Now, watch the analogy unfold...
- If a: Type is a type and b: a -> Type is a family of types, then there is a type (x: a) & b x: Type of dependent pairs.
- To create a dependent pair, we write (| x, y |) where x is
  of type a and y is of type b x.
- To use a pair p: (x: a) & b x, we use projections we have fst p: a and snd p: b (fst p) (note that the type of the second projection depends on the value of the first projection).
- We can also pattern match on dependent pairs.

### More dependent pairs

- We can iterate the dependent pair type, while dropping unneeded parentheses – analogously to what we did for dependent functions.
- (x : a) & b x
- (x : a) & (y : b x) & c x y
- (x : a) & (y : b x) & (z : c x y) & d x y z
- But using iterated dependent pairs is very inconvenient!
- To access component of a dependent quadruple p we would have to write fst p, fst (snd p), fst (snd (snd p)) and snd (snd (snd p)).

## Dependent record types

- There's a better way than iterating dependent pair types: dependent record types.
- A record is basically a labeled tuple.
- A dependent record is basically a labeled dependent tuple.
- This means that the TYPES of later fields in a dependent record can depend on the VALUES of earlier fields.

## Code snippet no 4 - dependent records in F\*

- Let's see how dependent records work in F\*.
- See the code snippet Lecture1/DependentRecords.fst

## The running summary 4

- Dependent types are types that can depend on values.
- In dependently typed languages:
- There is a universe a type whose elements are themselves types.
- There is a type of dependent functions which are just like ordinary functions, but their output TYPE can depend on the VALUE of their input.
- Dependent record types are just like ordinary records, but the TYPES of later fields can depend on the VALUE of earlier fields.

# Pi type and multiplication

- The dependent function type is also known as the Pi type.
- This name comes from a notation:  $(x : a) \rightarrow b x is$ sometimes written as  $\prod b(x)$ .
- This notation comes from an analogy with **multiplication**. In math  $\prod a_k$  means  $a_0 \cdot a_1 \cdot ... \cdot a_n$ .
- We can think about dependent function types in this way too. For example, the type  $(x : bool) \rightarrow p x is$ equivalent to p true \* p false.
- The result of multiplication is called a product, hence the dependent function type is also known as the dependent product type.
- As it turns out, the dependent function type generalizes both the ordinary function type and the product type, but in different ways.



# Sigma type and addition

- The dependent pair type is also known as the Sigma type.
- This name comes from a notation: (x : a) & b x is sometimes written as  $\sum_{x \in a} b(x)$ .
- This notation comes from an analogy with addition. In math  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k$  means  $a_0 + a_1 + ... + a_n$ .
- We can think about dependent pair types in this way too. For example, the type (x : bool) & p x is equivalent to p true + p false (where + just means a simple tagged union).
- The result of addition is called a sum, hence the dependent pair type is also known as the dependent sum type.
- As it turns out, the dependent pair type generalizes both the product type and the sum type, but in different ways.

