Dependent Types and Theorem Proving: Introduction to Dependent Types

Wojciech Kołowski

March 2021

1. Greetings

2. General idea

3. First-class types
   - What does “first-class” mean?

General info

- The lectures will be held weekly on Fridays.
- Don't worry if you miss a lecture – the slides are pretty massive and the talks are going to be recorded.
- Each lecture ends with some exercises which will help you familiarize yourself with F* and better understand the ideas covered in the talk.
- But you don't need to do them if you don't want to.
- This talks repo: `https://github.com/wkolowski/Dependent-Types-and-Theorem-Proving`

Plan of lectures

- Lecture 1: Programming with dependent types.
- Lecture 2: Proving theorems with dependent types.
- Lecture 3: Differences between programming and proving.
- Lecture 4: Examples of bigger programs and longer proofs.
- Lecture 5: A deeper dive into F*.

Learning outcomes

- You won't be scared of all those obscure, scary and mysterious names and notations.
- You will get basic familiarity with the ideas behind dependent types.
- You will begin to see logic and mathematics in a very different light, much closer to your day job (at least if you are a programmer working in F#).
- If you do the exercises, you will gain a basic proficiency in F*.

Introducing F*

- F* (pronounced “eff star”) is a general-purpose purely functional programming language.
- Member of the ML family, syntactically most similar to F#.
- Aimed at program verification.
- Dependent types.
- Refinement types.
- Effect system.
- Not a .NET language.
- Neither compiled nor interpreted – it's a proof assistant, i.e. just a typechecker.
- To run a program, it has to be extracted to some other language, like F#, OCaml, C or WASM, and then compiled.

Don't worry, be happy, ask lots of questions

I KNOW YOU DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THE PREVIOUS SLIDE, BUT BY THE END OF THESE TALKS, YOU WILL – AND THAT'S THE POINT!

Useful F* links

- You can run F* inside your browser (and have a nice tutorial guide you): `http://www.fstar-lang.org/tutorial/`
- GitHub: `https://github.com/FStarLang/FStar`
- Homepage: `http://www.fstar-lang.org/`
- Download: `http://www.fstar-lang.org/#download`
- Papers (not approachable for ordinary mortals): `http://www.fstar-lang.org/#papers`
- Talks/presentations (more approachable): `http://www.fstar-lang.org/#talks` (some of these are quite approachable if you're interested)

Prerequisites

- To understand what we will be talking about, you should have a working knowledge of F# and the basic concepts of functional programming, namely:
- Functions as first-class citizens, including higher-order functions.
- Algebraic data types, including sum types and product types.
- Pattern matching and recursion.
- Even if you know these, you may be unfamiliar with the particular names – for example, "sum types" is a name used in academia and Haskell, but in F# they are better known as "tagged unions".
- We will now see some code that shows how these things look in F* (see the file `Lecture1/Prerequisites.fst`).

Dependencies

- To understand dependent types, first we have to understand dependency. It's easiest to do this by listing the forms of dependency you are likely to be familiar with:
- Values can depend on values: we can think that the sum `n + m` is a number that depends on the numbers `n` and `m`. This dependency can be expressed as a function: `fun (n m : int) -> n + m`.
- Values can depend on types. For example, the identity function `fun (x : 'a) -> x` depends on the type `'a`.
- Types can depend on types. For example, the F# type `Set<'a>` depends on the type `'a`.

Naming the dependencies

- I bet you spotted the pattern in the previous slide, but it's a good idea to also have a name for the feature provided by each kind of dependency.
- Values can depend on values: (first-class) functions.
- Values can depend on types: polymorphism (i.e. "generics").
- Types can depend on types: type operators.

Dependent types

- There's yet another kind of dependency, which is not present in F#, but is present in F* and is the topic of this lecture.
- Types can depend on values: dependent types.
- But what are dependent types good for? You have been living your whole life without them, after all!

Matrix multiplication

- We can only multiply matrices whose dimensions match, i.e. we can multiply an $n \times m$ matrix by a $m \times k$ and get an $n \times k$ matrix as a result.
- How to model this in our favourite programming language without dependent types?
- The best we can do is to have a types of matrices `Matrix` and then matrix multiplication has type `matmult : Matrix -> Matrix -> Matrix`.
- What happens when we call it with matrices of the wrong dimensions?
- `matmult` $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ is well-typed, but will throw an `IllegalArgumentException` or some other kind of runtime error, or maybe it will crash even less gracefully.

Matrix multiplication with dependent types

- In a language with dependent types we can create a type `Matrix n m` of $n \times m$ matrices and give multiplication the type `matmult : (n : ℕ) -> (m : ℕ) -> (k : ℕ) -> Matrix n m -> Matrix m k -> Matrix n k`
- Now `matmult` is a function which takes five arguments: the three matrix dimensions and the two matrices themselves.
- After giving it the dimensions of the first matrix from the previous slide, `matmult 2 2` has type `(k : ℕ) -> Matrix 2 2 -> Matrix 2 3 -> Matrix 2 3`.
- It is clear that `matmult 2 2 k` $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ is not well-typed for any `k`, because the last argument is of type `Matrix 3 3`, but an argument of type `Matrix 2 k` was expected.

A nice paper

- Dependent types can also be used to keep track of units of measure.
- This is possible in F# too, but it's a built-in feature of the compiler, whereas the dependently typed solution is much more principled.
- It is also composable – we can keep track of both matrix dimensions and units.
- There's a nice paper about this: **Type systems for programs respecting dimensions** available at `https://fredriknf.com/papers/dimensions2021.pdf`

Array access

- When accessing the i-th element of an array, i must be smaller than the length of the array.
- How to model this in our favourite programming language without dependent types?
- We have a type `Array A` of arrays whose elements are of type `A` and we can access its elements with a function `get : Array A -> int -> A`.
- What happens, when i is greater than the length of the array? Or, what happens when i is negative?
- `get [| 'a'; 'b'; 'c' ] 5` is well-typed, but will throw an `IndexOutOfBoundsException` or result in a segmentation fault.

Array access with dependent types 1/2

- In a language with dependent types we can have a type `Array A n` of arrays holding elements of type `A` and whose length is the natural number `n`.
- Then we have a few possibilities to model the type of `get`.
- `get : (n : ℕ) -> Array A n -> (i : ℕ) -> i < n -> A`.
- In this variant, the fourth argument of `get` is a proof that the index isn't out of bounds (we will cover proofs in the next lecture).
- We can't prove `5 < 3`, so we don't have any proof to feed into `get 3 [| 'a'; 'b'; 'c' |] 5 : 5 < 3 -> Char`.

Array access with dependent types 2/2

- `get : (n : ℕ) -> Array A n -> (i : ℕ{i < n }) -> A.`
- In this variant we use refinement types (which we will cover later today) to automatically guarantee that `i` isn't out of bounds.
- `get 3 [| 'a'; 'b'; 'c' |] 5` is not well-typed, because the typechecker can't prove `5 < 3`, and thus `5` is not of type `ℕ{5 < 3}`.

Why should we care?

- Large software systems written in dynamically typed languages are hard to refactor, because all type checks occur at runtime.
- Statically typed languages make the situation better, because they move these checks to compile time, making them much more likely to be useful.
- But in simple functional languages like F# there's still plenty of room for dynamic runtime checks – array bounds checking, division by zero, and a lot of user-defined checks which throw exceptions in case of failure.
- With dependent types, these can be eliminated, which results in even more refactorability and maintainability.
- This is also a matter of performance – no runtime checks means faster programs.

We're getting serious

- The above slides present nice fairy tales. . .
- . . . but how do dependent types actually work?
- And how to use them in F*?
- And what can ordinary programmers use them for besides number crunching with matrices and arrays?

What does "first-class mean?

- In C, we can define functions that take an `int` and return an `int`.
- But we can't define a function that takes a function from `int`s to `int`s and returns an `int`.
- This means that functions from `int`s to `int`s are not treated the same as `int`s.
- As far as C is concerned, we can say that `int`s are first-class, but functions are not first-class (thus, they are "second-class").

A first-class quiz

- The concept of "first-class" is neither precisely defined nor exact. Rather, it's more of a functional programming folklore that obeys the "I know it when I see it" principle.
- So, we will have a little quiz to check if you get it right. Are the below language constructors first-class in F# or not?
- Functions?
- Recursive functions?
- Arrays?
- Interfaces?
- Records?
- Modules?
- Types?

A type-based definition of “first-class”

- I prefer to think about it this way.
- A concept C is first-class in some programming language if in that language there is, loosely speaking, a type of all Cs.
- This means that a language has first-class functions if for any two types A and B there is a type $A \to B$ of all functions from A to B.
- In C, that’s not the case and this is why functions are not first-class

Some helpers

- I you don't like the above characterization, you might use some other ones:
-

A first-class quiz

- The concept of “first-class” is neither precisely defined nor exact. Rather, it’s more of a functional programming folklore that obeys the “I know it when I see it” principle.
- So, we will have a little quiz to check if you get it right. Are the below language constructors first-class in F# or not?
- Functions?
- Recursive functions?
- Arrays?
- Interfaces?
- Records?
- Modules?
- Types?