# MIT 9.520/6.860, Fall 2018 Statistical Learning Theory and Applications

Class 07: Learning with Random Projections

Lorenzo Rosasco

## Learning algorithm design so far

► ERM + Optimization

$$\widehat{w}_{\lambda} = \underset{w \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\arg \min} \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i, w^\top x_i) + \lambda \|w\|^2}_{\widehat{L}^{\lambda}(w)}, \qquad w_{t+1} = w_t - \gamma_t \nabla \widehat{L}^{\lambda}(w_t)$$

Learning by optimization (GD/SGD)

$$\widehat{w}_{t+1} = \widehat{w}_t - \gamma_t \nabla \widehat{L}(\widehat{w}_t), \qquad \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i, w^\top x_i)}_{\widehat{L}(w)}.$$

Non linear extensions via features/kernels.

### Statistics and computations

 Regularization by penalization separates statistics and computations

 Implicit regularization: training time controls statistics and computations

What about memory?

## Large scale learning

In many modern applications, space is the real constraint.

$$\underbrace{\widehat{X}}_{n\times d}, \qquad \underbrace{\widehat{X}^{\top}\widehat{X}}_{d\times d}, \qquad \underbrace{\widehat{X}\widehat{X}^{\top}}_{n\times n} \text{ or } \widehat{K}$$

Think  $n \sim d$  large!

## Projections and dimensionality reduction

Let S be a  $d \times M$  matrix and

$$\widehat{X}_M = \widehat{X}S$$

Equivalenty

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad \mapsto \quad x_M = (s_j^\top x)_{j=1}^M \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

with  $s_1, ..., s_M$  columns of S.

### Learning with projected data

$$\min_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{M}}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(\mathbf{y}_{i}, \mathbf{w}^{\top}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{M}})_{i}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^{2}, \qquad \lambda \geq 0$$

We will focus on ERM based learning and least squares in particular.

#### **PCA**

The SVD of 
$$\widehat{X}$$
 is

$$\widehat{X} = U \Sigma V^T$$

Consider  $V_M$  the matrix  $d \times M$  of the first M columns of V.

A corresponding projection is given by

$$\widehat{X}_M = \widehat{X}S$$
,  $S = V_M$ .

### Representer theorem for PCA

Note that

$$\widehat{X} = U\Sigma V^{\mathsf{T}} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \widehat{X}^{\mathsf{T}} = V\Sigma U^{\mathsf{T}} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad V = \widehat{X}^{\mathsf{T}}U\Sigma^{-1}$$

and  $V_M = \widehat{X}^T U_M \Sigma_M^{-1}$ .

Then

$$\widehat{X}_M = \widehat{X} V_M = \underbrace{\widehat{X} \widehat{X}^\top}_{\widehat{K}} U_M \Sigma_M^{-1} = U_M \Sigma_M^{-1}$$

and for any x

$$x^{\top}v_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{x^{\top}x_{i}}_{k(x,x_{i})} \frac{u_{j}^{i}}{\sigma_{j}},$$

with  $(u_j, s_j^2)_j$  eigenvectors/eigenvalues of  $\widehat{K}$ .

#### Kernel PCA

If  $\Phi$  is a feature map, then the SVD in feature space is

$$\widehat{\Phi} = U \Sigma V^T$$

and if  $V_M$  is the matrix  $d \times M$  of the first M columns of V,

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{M} = \widehat{\Phi} V_{M}.$$

Equivalently using kernels

$$\widehat{\Phi}_M = \widehat{K} U_M \Sigma_M^{-1} = U_M \Sigma_M^{-1}$$
,

and for any x

$$\Phi(x)^{\top} v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n k(x, x_i) \frac{u_j^i}{\sigma_j}.$$

### PCA+ERM for least squares

Consider (no penalization)

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^M} \frac{1}{n} \left\| \widehat{X}_M w - \widehat{Y} \right\|^2.$$

The solution is<sup>1</sup>

$$\widehat{w}_{M} = (\widehat{X}_{M}^{\top} \widehat{X}_{M})^{-1} \widehat{X}_{M}^{\top} \widehat{Y}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Assuming invertibility for simplicity. In general replace with pseudoiverse<sub>20/6.860</sub> 2018

#### PCA+ERM for least squares

It is easy to see that that , for all x

$$f_{M}(x) = x_{M}^{\top} \widehat{w}_{M} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\sigma_{j}} u_{j}^{\top} \widehat{Y} v_{j}^{\top} x$$

where  $x_M = V_M x$ .

Essentially due to the fact that

$$\widehat{X}_M^{\top} \widehat{X}_M = V_M^{\top} \widehat{X}^{\top} \widehat{X} V_M$$

is the covariance matrix projected on its first M eigenvectors.

## PCR, TSVD, Filtering

$$f_{M}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\sigma_{j}} u_{j}^{\top} \widehat{Y} v_{j}^{\top} x$$

- ► PCA+ERM is called Principal component regression in statistics
- ... and truncated singular value decomposition in linear algebra.
- It corresponds to the spectral filter

$$F(\sigma_j) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sigma_j}, & j \leq M \\ 0, & \text{oth.} \end{cases}$$

Compare to Tikhonov and Landweber,

$$F_{\mathsf{Tik.}}(\sigma_j) = \sigma_j/(1 + \lambda \sigma_j)$$
  $F_{\mathsf{Land.}}(\sigma_j) = (1 - (1 - \gamma \sigma_j)^t)\sigma_j^{-1}.$ 

## Projection and complexity

#### Then,

- ► PCA + ERM = regularization.
- ▶ In principle, down stream learning is computationally cheaper...

...however SVD requires time

$$O(nD^2 \vee d^3)$$

or with kernel matrices

$$O(n^2C_K\vee n^3)$$

### Sketching

Let S be a  $d \times M$  matrix s.t.  $S_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$  and

$$\widehat{X}_M = \widehat{X}S.$$

Computing  $\widehat{X}_M$  is time O(ndM) and memory O(nd)

## Dimensionality reduction with sketching

Note that if  $x_M = S^\top x$  and  $x_M' = S^\top x'$ , then

$$\frac{1}{M} \mathbb{E}[x_M^\top x_M'] = \frac{1}{M} \mathbb{E}[x^\top SS^\top x'] = x^\top \mathbb{E}[SS^\top] x' = \frac{1}{M} x^\top \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbb{E}[s_j s_j^\top] x' = x^\top x'.$$

- Inner products, norms distances preserved in expectation..
- ► ... and with high probability for given *M* (Johnson-Linderstrauss Lemma).

## Least squares with sketching

Consider

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^M} \frac{1}{n} \left\| \widehat{X}_M w - \widehat{Y} \right\|^2 + \lambda \|w\|^2, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Regularization is needed. For sketching

$$\widehat{X}_{M}^{\top}\widehat{X}_{M} = S^{\top}\widehat{X}^{\top}\widehat{X}S,$$

is **not** the covariance matrix projected on its first *M* eigenvectors, but

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{X}_{M}\widehat{X}_{M}^{\top}] = \mathbb{E}[\widehat{X}SS^{\top}\widehat{X}^{\top}] = \widehat{X}\widehat{X}^{\top}.$$

There is extra variability.

## Least squares with sketching (cont.)

Consider

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^{M}} \frac{1}{n} \left\| \widehat{X}_{M} w - \widehat{Y} \right\|^{2} + \lambda \left\| w \right\|^{2}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

The solution is

$$\widehat{w}_{\lambda,M} = (\widehat{X}_M^{\top} \widehat{X}_M + \lambda n I)^{-1} \widehat{X}_M^{\top} \widehat{Y}.$$

Computing  $\widehat{w}_{\lambda,M}$  is time  $O(nM^2 + ndM)$  and memory O(nM).

## Beyond linear sketching

Let S be a  $d \times M$  random matrix and

$$\widehat{X}_{M} = \sigma(\widehat{X}S)$$

where  $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a given nonlinearity.

Then consider functions of the form,

$$f_M(x) = x_M^\top w = \sum_{j=1}^M w^j \sigma(s_j^\top x).$$

## Learning with random weights networks

$$f_M(x) = x_M^\top w = \sum_{i=1}^M w^i \sigma(s_j^\top x)$$

Here,  $w^1, \dots, w^M$  can be computed solving a convex problem

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^M} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f_M(x_i)^2 + \lambda ||w||^2, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

in time  $O(nM^2 + ndM)$  and memory O(nM).

## Neural networks, random features and kernels

$$f_M(x) = \sum_{j=1}^M w^j \sigma(s_j^\top x)$$

- It is a one hidden layer neural network with random weights.
- ▶ It is defined by a random feature map  $\Phi_M(x) = \sigma(S^T x)$ .
- There are a number of cases in which

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_M(x)^{\top}\Phi_M(x')] = k(x,x')$$

with k a suitable pos. def. kernel k.

#### Random Fourier features

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $s \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$  and

$$\Phi_{M}^{j}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \underbrace{e^{is_{j}x}}_{\text{complex exp.}}.$$

For  $k(x, x') = e^{-|x-x'|^2 \gamma}$  it holds

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_M(x)^{\top}\Phi_M(x')] = k(x,x').$$

Proof: from basic properties of the Fourier transform

$$e^{-|x-x'|^2\gamma} = const. \int ds$$
  $e^{isx}$   $e^{-isx}$   $e^{-isx}$   $e^{\frac{s^2}{\gamma}}$ 

## Random Fourier features (cont.)

▶ The above reasoning immediately extends to  $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Using symmetry one can show the same result holds for

$$\Phi_M^j(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \cos(s_j^\top x + b_j)$$

with  $b_j$  uniformly distributed.

#### Other random features

The relation

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi_M(x)^{\top}\Phi_M(x')] = k(x,x').$$

is satisfied by a number of nonlinearities and corresponding kernels:

- $ReLU \sigma(a) = |a|_+ \dots$
- ▶ Sigmoidal  $\sigma(a),...$
- ▶ ..

As for all feature map the relation with kernels is not one two one.

## Infinite networks and large scale kernel methods

▶ One hidden layer network with infinite random weights= kernels.

Random features are an approach to scaling kernel methods: from

time
$$O(n^2C_k \vee n^3)$$
 memory $O(n^2)$ 

to

$$timeO(ndM \vee nM^2)$$
 memory $O(nM)$ 

## Subsampling aka Nyström method

Through the representer theorem, the ERM solution has the form,

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i c_i = \widehat{X}^{\top} c.$$

For M < n, choose a set of centers  $\{\widetilde{x}_1, \dots, \widetilde{x}_M\} \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and let

$$w_{M} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} x_{i}(c_{M})_{i} = \widetilde{X}_{M}^{\top} c_{M}.$$

## Least squares with Nyström centers

#### Consider

$$\min_{w_M \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{n} \left\| \widehat{X} w_M - \widehat{Y} \right\|^2 + \lambda \left\| w_M \right\|^2, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

#### Equivalently

$$\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}^{M}} \frac{1}{n} \| \underbrace{\widetilde{X} \widetilde{X}_{M}^{\top}}_{\widehat{K}_{nM}} c_{M} - \widehat{Y} \|^{2} + \lambda c_{M}^{\top} \underbrace{\widetilde{X}_{M} \widetilde{X}_{M}^{\top}}_{\widehat{K}_{M}} c_{M}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

### Least squares with Nyström centers

$$\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}^{M}} \frac{1}{n} \| \underbrace{\widetilde{X} \widetilde{X}_{M}^{\top}}_{\widehat{K}_{nM}} c_{M} - \widehat{Y} \|^{2} + \lambda c_{M}^{\top} \underbrace{\widetilde{X}_{M} \widetilde{X}_{M}^{\top}}_{\widehat{K}_{M}} c_{M}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

The solutions is

$$\widehat{c}_{\lambda,M} = (\widehat{K}_{nM}^{\top} \widehat{K}_{M} + n \lambda \widehat{K}_{M})^{-1} \widehat{K}_{nM}^{\top} \widehat{Y}$$

requiring

$$timeO(ndM \lor nM^2)$$
 memory $O(nM)$ 

## Nyström centers and sketching

Note that Nyström corresponds to sketching

$$\widehat{X}_M = \widehat{X}S$$
,

with

$$S=\widetilde{X}_{M}.$$

## Regularization with sketching and Nyström centers

Considering regularization as we did for sketching leads to

$$\min_{C \in \mathbb{R}^M} \frac{1}{n} \|\widehat{X} \widetilde{X}_M^\top c_M - \widehat{Y}\|^2 + \lambda c_M^\top c_M, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

In the Nyström derivation we ended up with Equivalently

$$\min_{c \in \mathbb{R}^M} \frac{1}{n} \|\widehat{X} \widetilde{X}_M^\top c_M - \widehat{Y}\|^2 + \lambda c_M^\top \widetilde{X}_M \widetilde{X}_M^\top c_M, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Different regularizers are considered.

## Nyström approximation

A classical discrete approximation to integral equations. For all  $\boldsymbol{x}$ 

$$\int k(x,x')c(x')dx' = y(x) \qquad \mapsto \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{M} k(x,\tilde{x}_j)c(\tilde{x}_j) = y(\tilde{x}_j)$$

Related to connection to quadrature methods.

From operators to matrices For all i = 1, ..., n

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} k(x_i, x_j) c_j = y_j \qquad \mapsto \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{M} k(x_i, \tilde{x}_j) c_i = y_j$$

# Nyström approximation and subsampling

For all i = 1, ..., n

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} k(x_i, x_j) c_j = y_j \qquad \mapsto \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{M} k(x_i, \tilde{x}_j) c_i = y_j$$

Above formulation highlights connection to columns subsampling

$$\widehat{K}c = \widehat{Y} \qquad \mapsto \qquad \widehat{K}_{nM}c_M = \widehat{Y}$$

#### In summary

Projection (dim. reductions) regularizes.

Reducing computations by sketching

Nyström approximation and columns subsampling.