

## Q10

Recall from textbook LWE the decryption routine:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(\text{sk}, (\mathbf{c}_1, c_2)) &= c_2 - \mathbf{c}_1 \mathbf{s} \\
 &= (\mathbf{s}_1^\top \mathbf{b} + e' + m \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor) - (\mathbf{s}_1^\top A \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e}_1^\top \mathbf{s}) \\
 &= (\mathbf{s}_1^\top (A \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e}) + e' + m \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor) - (\mathbf{s}_1^\top A \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{e}_1^\top \mathbf{s}) \\
 &= \mathbf{s}_1^\top \mathbf{e} + e' + m \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor - \mathbf{e}_1^\top \mathbf{s} \\
 &= (\mathbf{s}_1^\top \mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}_1^\top \mathbf{s}) + e' + m \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor
 \end{aligned}$$

Also recall the definition of the rounding operator:  $\lfloor a \rfloor = a + \delta$  for some  $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ .

### (1)

Where  $\mathbf{e}_1$  is maliciously set to all zeros except for the  $i$ -th component,  $\mathbf{e}_1^\top \mathbf{s}$  evaluates to  $s_i \cdot \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor$ , where  $s_i$  is the  $i$ -th component of the LWE secret  $\mathbf{s}$ .

If  $s_i = 2k$  is even, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(\text{sk}, (\mathbf{c}_1, c_2)) &= (\mathbf{s}_1^\top \mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}_1^\top \mathbf{s}) + e' + m \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor \\
 &= \mathbf{s}_1^\top \mathbf{e} + e' - 2k(\frac{q}{2} + \delta) + m \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor \\
 &\equiv \mathbf{s}_1^\top \mathbf{e} + e' - 2k\delta + m \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, where  $k$  is sufficiently small (corresponding to  $s_i$  being small),  $\mathbf{s}_1^\top \mathbf{e} + e' + 2k\delta$  has a high probability of being less than  $\frac{q}{4}$ , so the ciphertext will be correctly decrypted.

If  $s_i = 2k + 1$  is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(\text{sk}, (\mathbf{c}_1, c_2)) &= (\mathbf{s}_1^\top \mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}_1^\top \mathbf{s}) + e' + m \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor \\
 &= \mathbf{s}_1^\top \mathbf{e} + e' + (2k + 1) \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor + m \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor \\
 &\equiv \mathbf{s}_1^\top \mathbf{e} + e' + 2k\delta + \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor + m \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice the additional  $\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor$  term in the R.H.S., which will cause decryption to be incorrect with high probability. Thus, with high probability, decryption will be correct if and only if  $s_i$  is even.

### (2)

Eve can recover the parity of all entries of the secret  $\mathbf{s} \in \chi_s^n$  by preparing  $n$  emails  $\{(\mathbf{c}_{(i,1)}, c_{(i,2)})\}_{i=1}^n$  where  $(\mathbf{c}_{(i,1)}, c_{(i,2)})$  is generated using the procedure described in part (1):  $\mathbf{e}_{(i,1)}$  is all 0's except for the  $i$ -th entry, which is set to  $\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor$ . For each of these  $n$  emails, Eve sends it to Alice's server and receives the auto-response. The quoted email in the auto-response is checked against the original email: if they are identical, then Alice's server correctly decrypted Eve's email, so the corresponding entry in  $\mathbf{s}$  is even; otherwise, the corresponding entry in  $\mathbf{s}$  is odd.

### (3)

We will describe an algorithm for recovering the value of a single component of the secret key  $\mathbf{s}$ . From here, the algorithm can be repeated  $n$  times to recover the value of all components of the secret key. If the algorithm for recovering a single value is efficient, then repeating it  $n$  times is also efficient.

Consider the ciphertext  $(\mathbf{c}_1, c_2)$  where all entries of  $\mathbf{c}_1$  is set to 0 except for the  $i$ -th entry, which we denote by  $\mathbf{c}_{(1,i)}$ . Recall from the decryption procedure the fact that decryption outputs 0 if and only if  $-\lfloor \frac{q}{4} \rfloor \leq m' \leq \lfloor \frac{q}{4} \rfloor$ , which is equivalent to:

$$-\lfloor \frac{q}{4} \rfloor + c_2 \leq c_{(1,i)} \cdot s_i \leq \lfloor \frac{q}{4} \rfloor + c_2$$

Where  $s_i$  is the  $i$ -th entry of the secret  $\mathbf{s}$ .

In other words, we can check whether  $s_i$  falls within a certain range of values given some values of  $c_2$  and  $c_{(1,i)}$ . In addition, changing the value of  $c_2$  will translate the range, while changing the value of  $c_{(1,i)}$  will scale the range. Thus, we can perform a binary search by adjusting the values of  $c_2, c_{(1,i)}$  and pinpoint the value of  $s_i$  in  $O(\log q)$  steps.

(4)

Converting textbook LWE to be resistant to chosen-ciphertext attack is non-trivial. In Kyber/Dilithium a tweaked version of Fujisaki-Okamoto transformation is applied to the textbook LWE to obtain a CCA2-secure cryptosystem. Due to time constraint, the details will not be covered in this write-up.