# **Assignment 3**

#### Q1 (10 points)

Show that if an encryption scheme is IND-CCA secure, it is IND-CPA secure.

#### Q2 (20 points)

Suppose that we remove the final error in an LWE encryption. That is, to encrypt a message m with public key (A, b), we sample random  $r \leftarrow \chi'_s$  and  $e' \leftarrow \chi'_e$ , and output

$$c_1 = r^T A + e'^T, c_2 = r^T b + m \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor.$$
 (1)

- 1. (10 points) Explain how and why the proof of IND-CPA security for the Kyber-like PKE fails for this modified scheme.
- 2. (10 points) Assume the above is instantiated as ring-LWE (that is, A, b, r, e',  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , m are all elements of the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}_a[x]/p(x)$ ). Construct a message distinguishing attack (hint: you will need to assume some polynomial is invertible).

#### Q3 (10 points)

Someone decides that rounding is too complicated, so they just implement naive Dilithium. That is:

KeyGen: Sample A uniformly at random as a  $k \times \ell$  matrix,  $s \leftarrow \chi_s$ , and  $e \leftarrow \chi_e$ . Let PK = (A, t = As + e), SK = s

Sign(SK, m): Select random y such that  $\|y\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma$ . Compute c = H(Ay, m), where H hashes onto the space of polynomials with exactly  $\tau$  non-zero coefficients, all in  $\pm 1$ . Set z = y + cs; if  $\|z\|_{\infty} \leq \beta - \tau \|\chi_s\|_{\infty}$ , output (w, c, z) as a signature; otherwise try again.

Verify(PK = (A, t), m, (w, z)): Compute c = H(w); output 1 if and only if  $Az \approx w + ct$ .

Show that with if c is invertible, one can recover the error e from this transcript. Does this matter?

## Q4 (15 points)

Let KeyGen, Sign, and Verify, be digital signature scheme secure against strong existential forgery under chosen-message attack. Let  $H:\{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^n$  be a collision-resistant hash function.

- 1. (5 points) Show how to make a new signature scheme with a public key that is only n bits long.
- 2. (10 points) Prove that your new signature scheme is also secure against strong existential forgery under chosen-message attack.

#### Q5 (10 points)

In an attempt to avoid rejection sampling, someone modifies proto-Dilithium so that  $\gamma_1$ , the bound on y, is increased. Recall the module-ISIS $(k, \ell, q, p(x), \beta)$  problem:

Input: A matrix  $A \in R_q^{k \times \ell}$  , a vector  $x \in R_q^k$   $(R_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[x]/p(x))$  .

Output: A vector  $v \in R_q$  such that Av = x and  $|v|_{\infty} \leq \beta$ 

- 1. (5 points) Given an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  that solves module-ISIS( $k, \ell, q, p(x), \gamma_1 np\tau$ ), construct a signature forgery attack on proto-Dilithium that uses no signature queries.
- 2. (5 points) Allowing signature queries, given an algorithm  $\mathcal A$  that solves module-ISIS $(k,\ell,q,p(x),\gamma_1)$ , recover the secret key in proto-Dilithium, if  $\gamma_1<\frac{q^{\frac{k}{\ell}}-1}{2}$ .

### Q0 (0 points)

Write the names of all of your collaborators.