## Question 2

(1)

First, notice that for the given shortest vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L}$  and base vector  $\mathbf{b}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{b}_i \in \mathcal{L}(B')$ . This is true because:

$$\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{b}_{i} = \mathbf{b}_{1} a_{1} + \mathbf{b}_{2} a_{2} + \ldots + \mathbf{b}_{i} (a_{i} + 1) + \ldots + \mathbf{b}_{n} a_{n}$$

$$= \mathbf{b}_{1} a_{1} + \mathbf{b}_{2} a_{2} + \ldots + \mathbf{b}_{i} (2k + 1 + 1) + \ldots + \mathbf{b}_{n} a_{n}$$

$$= \mathbf{b}_{1} a_{1} + \mathbf{b}_{2} a_{2} + \ldots + 2 \mathbf{b}_{i} (k + 1) + \ldots + \mathbf{b}_{n} a_{n}$$

Denote the output of  $\text{CVP}_{\gamma}(B', \mathbf{b}_i)$  by  $\mathbf{u}$ , then by the definition of  $\gamma$ -CVP:

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{b}_i\| \le \gamma \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}(B')} \|\mathbf{b}_i - \mathbf{x}\|$$

$$\le \gamma \|\mathbf{b}_i - (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{b}_i)\|$$

$$= \gamma \|\mathbf{v}\| = \gamma \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}(B))$$

In other words,  $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{b}_i$  is a solution to  $SVP_{\gamma}(B)$ 

(2)

Let B be the basis of a lattice for which we want to solve  $SVP_{\gamma}(B)$ .

We can modify B by replacing one of its base vector  $\mathbf{b}_i$  with  $2\mathbf{b}_i$ . For a chosen i, denote the modified basis by  $B_i$ . In other words:

$$B_i = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, 2\mathbf{b}_i, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$$

With a  $\text{CVP}_{\gamma}$  oracle, we can solve  $\text{CVP}_{\gamma}(B_i, \mathbf{b}_i)$ . Denote the output by  $\mathbf{w}_i$ . It's easy to see that  $\mathbf{w}_i - \mathbf{b}_i \in \mathcal{L}(B)$  because  $B_i$  generates a sub-lattice of  $\mathcal{L}(B)$ .

Notice that if  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mathbf{b}_i \in \mathcal{L}(B)$  is a shortest lattice point, then at least one of the coefficient  $a_i$  must be odd. This is true because if all of coefficients are even, then  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}$  is necessarily a shorter vector than  $\mathbf{v}$ , creating a contradiction.

Therefore, for at least one such  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{b}_i$  falls into the scenario described in part (1), and is thus a solution to  $SVP_{\gamma}(B)$ . Any shorter  $\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{b}_j$  will also suffice.

## **Algorithm 1** Solve $\gamma$ -SVP with $\gamma$ -CVP oracle

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{v} \leftarrow \mathbf{b}_1 & \qquad \qquad \triangleright \text{Start with some arbitrary lattice point} \\ \mathbf{for} \ i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \ \mathbf{do} \\ B_i \leftarrow \text{replacing } \mathbf{b}_i \text{ with } 2\mathbf{b}_i \\ \mathbf{u}_i \leftarrow \text{CVP}_{\gamma}(B_i,\mathbf{b}_i) \\ \text{if } \mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{b}_i \text{ is shorter than } \mathbf{v} \text{ then} \\ \mathbf{v} \leftarrow \mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{b}_i \\ \text{end if} \\ \mathbf{end for} \\ \mathbf{return } \mathbf{v} \end{array}
```