

ElGamal cryptosystem

Ganyu (Bruce) Xu

August 5, 2024

1 The ElGamal cryptosystem

The ElGamal cryptosystem is a public key encryption scheme that mainly operates on the discrete log problem. Each instance of the encryption scheme is parameterized by a cyclic group G with prime order q , a generator g of this cyclic group. The routines of the encryption scheme is shown in figure 1

Algorithm 1 KeyGen

```
1:  $x \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_q$ 
2:  $u \leftarrow g^x$ 
3:  $\text{pk} \leftarrow u, \text{sk} \leftarrow x$ 
4: return (pk, sk)
```

Algorithm 2 Enc(pk = $u, m \in G$)

```
1:  $y \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_q$ 
2:  $v \leftarrow g^y$ 
3:  $w \leftarrow u^y$   $\triangleright w = g^{xy}$ 
4:  $c \leftarrow (v, m \cdot w)$ 
5: return  $c$ 
```

Algorithm 3 Dec(sk = x, c)

```
1:  $(c_1, c_2) \leftarrow c$ 
2:  $\hat{w} \leftarrow c_1^x$ 
3:  $\hat{m} \leftarrow c_2 \cdot \hat{w}^{-1}$ 
4: return  $\hat{m}$ 
```

Figure 1: ElGamal encryption scheme is IND-CPA secure if DDH holds

The IND-CPA security of the ElGamal cryptosystem depends on the hardness of the following two problems:

Definition 1.1 (Computational Diffie-Hellman Problem). *Let G be a cyclic group with prime order q and generator g . Let $x, y \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_q$ be uniformly random samples. Given g, g^x, g^y , compute g^{xy}*

Definition 1.2 (Decisional Diffie-Hellman Problem). *Let G be a cyclic group with prime order q and generator g . Let $x, y, z \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_q$ be uniformly random samples. Given g, g^x, g^y , distinguish g^{xy} from g^z*

Theorem 1.1. *For every IND-CPA adversary A against the ElGamal cryptosystem, there exists an adversary B against the DDH game such that*

$$\text{Adv}(A) = 2 \cdot \text{Adv}(B)$$

Because ElGamal ciphertexts are malleable, this encryption scheme is not secure against chosen-ciphertext attacks. However, a hybrid encryption scheme can be used to achieve chosen-ciphertext attack security [BS20]. Denote this construction by “ElGamal HPKE”.

To construct the HPKE, we need the cyclic group G of prime order q and generator g . We also need a symmetric cipher $(\text{Enc}_S, \text{Dec}_S)$ defined over $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$, and a hash function $H : G \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. The routines are listed in figure 2.

Algorithm 4 KeyGen

```

1:  $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ 
2:  $u \leftarrow g^x$ 
3:  $\text{pk} \leftarrow u$ 
4:  $\text{sk} \leftarrow x$ 
5: return  $(\text{pk}, \text{sk})$ 

```

Algorithm 5 Enc($\text{pk} = u, m \in \mathcal{M}$)

```

1:  $y \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_q$ 
2:  $v \leftarrow g^y$ 
3:  $w \leftarrow u^y$ 
4:  $k \leftarrow H(w)$ 
5:  $c' \leftarrow \text{Enc}_S(k, m)$ 
6:  $c \leftarrow (v, c')$ 
7: return  $c$ 

```

$\triangleright w = g^{xy}$

Algorithm 6 Dec($\text{sk} = x, c$)

```

1:  $(v, c') \leftarrow c$ 
2:  $\hat{w} \leftarrow v^x$ 
3:  $\hat{k} \leftarrow H(\hat{w})$ 
4:  $\hat{m} \leftarrow \text{Dec}_S(\hat{k}, c')$ 
5: return  $\hat{m}$ 

```

Figure 2: ElGamal HPKE

Theorem 1.2. *For every IND-CCA adversary A against the HPKE, there exists an interactive computational Diffie-Hellman problem adversary B and an IND-CPA adversary C against the symmetric encryption scheme such that*

$$\text{Adv}(A) \leq \text{NEED TO WRITE THIS PART}$$

While having a decryption oracle breaks the decisional Diffie-Hellman assumption, we still feel confident that the computational Diffie-Hellman remains hard, which is how we can reason about the security of the HPKE under chosen-ciphertext attacks.

Unfortunately, *this is not the case in Kyber*. Having a decapsulation oracle that can take arbitrary number of decapsulation queries will allow an adversary to completely recover the secret key, unlike ElGamal

HPKE where having a decryption oracle does not give away the secret key. *There is no immediate parallel loosening of security assumption we can make in Kyber*

References

[BS20] Dan Boneh and Victor Shoup. A graduate course in applied cryptography. *Draft 0.5*, 2020.