Note on Quantum Chemistry

Takahiro Yamamoto

May 10, 2019

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Molecular orbital models
- 2.1 PEA

2.2 Hamiltonian reduction methods

To address molecular problems on our quantum processor, we rely on a compact encoding of the second- quantized fermionic Hamiltonians on to qubits.

2.3 Hydrogen molecule

The H_2 molecular Hamiltonian has 4 spin-orbitals, representing the spin-degenerate 1s orbitals of the two Hydrogen atoms. By useing a binary tree encoding [12], the map to a 4 qubit system can be reduced to 2 qubit system due to the spin-parities of the system [9].

2.4 Beryllium hydroride molecule

The BeH₂ Hamiltonian is defined upon the 1s, 2s, $2p_x$ orbitals associated to Be, assuming zero filling for the $2p_y$ and $2p_z$ orbitals since they do not interact strongly with the subset of orbitals considered, and 1s orbital associated to each H atom, for a total of 10 spin orbitals. We then assume perfect filling of the two innermost 1s spin-orbitals of Be, after dressing them via the diagonalization of the non-interacting part of the fermionic Hamiltonian. We map the 8 spin-orbital Hamiltonian of BeH₂ spin-orbital Hamiltonian using the parity mapping, and remove, as in the case of H₂, two qubits associated to the spin-parity symmetries, reducing this to a 6 qubit problem that encodes 8 spin-orbitals.

2.5 Lithium hydroride molecule

A similar approach is also used to map LiH onto 4 qubits.

The Hamiltonians for H₂, LiH and BeH₂ at their equilibrium distance are explicitly given in the Supplementary Information (TABLE S2) of [arXiv: 1704.05018] and the derivation of them are given at Appendix III.

2.6 BK-tree

2.7 VQE

- 2.7.1 Ground state
- 2.7.2 Excited state
- 2.7.3 Ansatz

2.7.4 UCC

Calculation check on Appendix B of [arXiv: 1805.04340]

$$T_1 = \sum_{ij} \theta_{ij} (a_i^{\dagger} a_j - a_j^{\dagger} a_i) \tag{1}$$

$$T_2 = \sum_{ijkl} \theta_{ijkl} (a_i^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} a_k a_l - a_l^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger} a_j a_i)$$
(2)

After the Jordan-Wigner transformation for N qubits, which is given by:

$$a_j = 1^{\otimes j} \otimes \frac{1}{2} (X + iY) \otimes Z^{\otimes N - j - 1}$$
(3)

$$a_j^{\dagger} = 1^{\otimes j} \otimes \frac{1}{2} (X - iY) \otimes Z^{\otimes N - j - 1} \tag{4}$$

then for i > j

$$a_i^{\dagger} = 1^{\otimes j} \otimes 1^{\otimes i - j} \otimes \frac{1}{2} (X + iY) \otimes Z^{\otimes N - i - 1}$$
 (5)

$$a_j = 1^{\otimes j} \otimes \frac{1}{2} (X - iY) \otimes Z^{\otimes i - j} \otimes Z^{\otimes N - i - 1}$$

$$\tag{6}$$

$$a_i^{\dagger} a_j = 1^{\otimes j} \otimes \frac{1}{2} (X - iY) \otimes Z^{\otimes i - j - 1} \otimes \frac{1}{2} (X + iY) Z \otimes 1^{\otimes N - i - 1}$$
 (7)

$$=1^{\otimes j}\otimes\frac{1}{2}(X-iY)\otimes Z^{\otimes i-j-1}\otimes\frac{1}{2}(-Y+iX)\otimes 1^{\otimes N-i-1} \tag{8}$$

$$a_j^{\dagger} a_i = 1^{\otimes j} \otimes \frac{1}{2} (X + iY) \otimes Z^{\otimes i - j - 1} \otimes \frac{1}{2} Z(X - iY) \otimes 1^{\otimes N - i - 1}$$

$$(9)$$

$$=1^{\otimes j}\otimes\frac{1}{2}(X+iY)\otimes Z^{\otimes i-j-1}\otimes\frac{1}{2}(Y+iX)\otimes 1^{\otimes N-i-1}$$
(10)

Then

$$a_i^{\dagger} a_j - a_j^{\dagger} a_i = 1^{\otimes j} \otimes \frac{1}{2} \left[X \otimes Z^{\otimes i - j - 1} \otimes Y - Y \otimes Z^{\otimes i - j - 1} \otimes X \right] \otimes 1^{\otimes N - i - 1}$$
 (11)

$$= \frac{i}{2} \bigotimes_{a=i+1}^{i-1} Z_a [Y_j X_i - X_j Y_i]$$
 (12)

Since

$$[Y_i X_i, X_i Y_i] = Y_i X_i X_i Y_i - X_i Y_i Y_i X_i = -Z_i Z_i + Z_i Z_i = 0,$$
(13)

Therefore

$$\prod_{ij} \exp\left[\theta_{ij}(a_i^{\dagger}a_j - a_j^{\dagger}a_i)\right] = \prod_{i>j} \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\theta_{ij} \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a(Y_jX_i - X_jY_i)\right]$$

$$= \prod_{i>j} \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\theta_{ij} \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a(Y_jX_i)\right] \exp\left[-\frac{i}{2}\theta_{ij} \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a(X_jY_i)\right]$$
(14)

Suppose we choose a set of $(a_i^{\dagger}a_j - a_j^{\dagger}a_i)$ so each of them conserves s_z , and the total number of electrons.

$$\exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\theta \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a(Y_j X_i)\right] = \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) 1 + i \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a(Y_j X_i)$$
(16)

$$\exp\left[-\frac{i}{2}\theta\bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1}Z_a(X_jY_i)\right] = \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)1 - i\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1}Z_a(X_jY_i) \tag{17}$$

$$\exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\theta \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a(Y_j X_i - X_j Y_i)\right] = \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) 1 + \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) (Z_j Z_i)$$
(18)

$$+ i \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \bigotimes_{a=i+1}^{i-1} Z_a(Y_j X_i - X_j Y_i) \tag{19}$$

Now since i is the index of a virtual orbital and j the index of an occupied orbital, $(Z_j \otimes Z_i)$ on HF state yiels -1. And also,

$$\bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a Y_j X_i |00 \cdots 01 \cdots 11\rangle = \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a (i Z_j X_j) X_i |00 \cdots 01 \cdots 11\rangle$$
 (20)

$$= i(-)^n |0\cdots 1\cdots 01\cdots 1\cdots 1\rangle \tag{21}$$

and

$$\bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a X_j Y_i |00 \cdots 01 \cdots 11\rangle = \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a X_j (iZ_i X_i) |00 \cdots 01 \cdots 11\rangle$$
 (22)

$$= -i(-)^n |0\cdots 1\cdots 01\cdots 1\cdots 1\rangle \tag{23}$$

Thus we can simplify Eq. 18 to obtain

$$\exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\theta \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a(Y_j X_i - X_j Y_i)\right] = \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) 1 - \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) 1 \tag{24}$$

$$+2i\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\bigotimes_{a=i+1}^{i-1}Z_a(Y_jX_i) \tag{25}$$

$$= \cos(\theta)1 + i\sin(\theta) \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a(Y_j X_i)$$
 (26)

On the contrary, if we were to choose (i, j) are both the indices of occupied or virtual orbitals, we obtain $(Z_j \otimes Z_i) = 1$ and

$$\bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a X_j Y_i | \text{HF} \rangle = \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a Y_j X_i | \text{HF} \rangle.$$
 (27)

Therefore Eq. 18 becomes

$$\exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\theta \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a(Y_j X_i - X_j Y_i)\right] = \cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) 1 + \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) 1 = 1$$
 (28)

For UCCD, from the relation $Y|0\rangle = -i|1\rangle$ and $Y|1\rangle = i|0\rangle$, we obtain

$$X_0 X_1 Y_2 X_3 |0011\rangle = -i |1100\rangle$$
 (29)

$$Y_0 X_1 Y_2 Y_3 |0011\rangle = (-i)^2 i |1100\rangle$$
 (30)

$$X_0 Y_1 Y_2 Y_3 |0011\rangle = (-i)^2 i |1100\rangle$$
 (31)

$$X_0 X_1 X_2 Y_3 |0011\rangle = -i |1100\rangle$$
 (32)

and

$$Y_0 X_1 X_2 X_3 |0011\rangle = i |1100\rangle$$
 (33)

$$X_0 Y_1 X_2 X_3 |0011\rangle = i |1100\rangle$$
 (34)

$$Y_0 Y_1 Y_2 X_3 |0011\rangle = (-i)i^2 |1100\rangle$$
 (35)

$$Y_0 Y_1 X_2 Y_3 |0011\rangle = (-i)i^2 |1100\rangle$$
 (36)

In the same token, if we choose (k, l) are both the indices of occupied orbitals and (i, j) the indices of virtual orbitals,

$$\left(\bigotimes_{b=l+1}^{k-1} Z_b \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a\right) X_l X_k Y_j X_i | \text{HF} \rangle = \left(\bigotimes_{b=l+1}^{k-1} Z_b \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a\right) Y_l X_k Y_j Y_i | \text{HF} \rangle$$
(37)

$$= \left(\bigotimes_{b=l+1}^{k-1} Z_b \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a\right) X_l Y_k Y_j Y_i | \text{HF} \rangle$$
 (38)

$$= \left(\bigotimes_{b=l+1}^{k-1} Z_b \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a\right) X_l X_k X_j Y_i | \text{HF} \rangle$$
 (39)

$$= -\left(\bigotimes_{b=l+1}^{k-1} Z_b \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a\right) Y_l X_k X_j X_i | \text{HF} \rangle \qquad (40)$$

$$= -\left(\bigotimes_{b=l+1}^{k-1} Z_b \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a\right) X_l Y_k X_j X_i | \text{HF} \rangle \qquad (41)$$

$$= -\left(\bigotimes_{b=l+1}^{k-1} Z_b \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a\right) Y_l Y_k Y_j X_i | \text{HF} \rangle \qquad (42)$$

$$= -\left(\bigotimes_{b=l+1}^{k-1} Z_b \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a\right) Y_l Y_k X_j Y_i | \text{HF} \rangle \tag{43}$$

and

$$\exp\left[i\frac{\theta}{8}\bigotimes_{b=l+1}^{k-1} Z_b \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a(X_l X_k Y_j X_i + \dots - Y_l X_k X_j X_i - \dots)\right]$$
(44)

$$= \exp \left[i \frac{\theta}{2} \bigotimes_{b=l+1}^{k-1} Z_b \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a(X_l X_k Y_j X_i - Y_l X_k X_j X_i) \right]$$
(45)

$$=\cos^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)1 + \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)(Z_l Z_j) \tag{46}$$

$$+ i \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \left(\bigotimes_{b=l+1}^{k-1} Z_b \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a\right) \left(X_l X_k Y_j X_i - Y_l X_k X_j X_i\right) \tag{47}$$

$$= \cos(\theta)1 + i\sin(\theta) \left(\bigotimes_{b=l+1}^{k-1} Z_b \bigotimes_{a=j+1}^{i-1} Z_a\right) X_l X_k Y_j X_i$$

$$\tag{48}$$

2.7.5 Heuristic Ansatz

2.7.6 Circuit building

$$SXS^{\dagger} = Y \tag{49}$$

$$HXY = Z \tag{50}$$

$$HYH = -Y \tag{51}$$

$$CNOT_{12}(1 \otimes Z_2)CNOT_{12} = Z_1 \otimes Z_2$$
(52)

Applying the last relation recursively, we obtain

$$Z_{1} \otimes Z_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes Z_{n} = \text{CNOT}_{12}(1 \otimes Z_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes Z_{n}) \text{CNOT}_{12}$$

$$= \text{CNOT}_{12} \cdots \text{CNOT}_{(n-1),n} (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Z_{n}) \text{CNOT}_{(n-1),n} \cdots \text{CNOT}_{12}$$

$$(54)$$

And using this relation and the fact that $CNOT_{ij}^2 = 1$, we see for instance

$$\exp\left[i\frac{\theta}{2}Z_1 \otimes Z_2 \otimes Z_3\right] = \text{CNOT}_{12}\text{CNOT}_{23}\exp\left(i\frac{\theta}{2}Z_3\right)\text{CNOT}_{23}\text{CNOT}_{12}$$
 (55)

3 non-MO