

Econ720 - TA Session 10

Yanran Guo

UNC-Chapel Hill

2019. 11. 8

1. PS5 - 1

1. Relative Wealth Preferences

Consider the following version of the growth model in continuous time.

Notation: \bar{k} : average capital in the economy.

Demographics: There is one representative household who lives forever.

Preferences:

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} [u(c_t) + v(\frac{k_t}{\bar{k}_t})] dt$$

Endowments: The household starts with k_0 .

Technology:

$$\dot{k}_t = f(k_t) - c_t$$

Government budget constraint: The government taxes consumption at rate τ_c and lump-sum rebates the revenues R_t to the household.

$$R_t = \tau_c c_t$$

1. PS5 - 1

Markets: Goods (numeraire)

Household budget constraint:

$$\dot{k}_t = f(k_t) - (\tau_c + 1)c_t + R_t$$

Assumptions: u, v, f are strictly increasing and strictly concave.
 $f'(0) = \infty, f'(\infty) = 0$

Questions:

- 1 State the household's **current value Hamilton** and derive the FOCs. Do not yet substitute out the co-state. Define a solution to the household problem.

\bar{k}_t is exogenously given in HH problem.

1. PS5 - 1

Answer key:

$$\mathcal{H} = u(c_t) + v\left(\frac{k_t}{\bar{k}_t}\right) + \lambda_t[f(k_t) - (\tau_c + 1)c_t + R_t]$$

$$[c_t]: u'(c_t) = \lambda_t(\tau_c + 1)$$

$$[k_t]: v'\left(\frac{k_t}{\bar{k}_t}\right)\frac{1}{\bar{k}_t} + \lambda_t f'(k_t) = \rho \lambda_t - \dot{\lambda}_t$$

Solution to H.H. problem:

$\{c_t, k_t, \lambda_t\}$ that satisfy:

- FOC (2)
- BC
- Boundary conditions:

k_0 is given

$$\text{TVC: } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\rho t} \lambda_t k_t = 0$$

1. PS5 - 1

- 2 Define a competitive equilibrium.

Answer key:

Allocations $\{c_t, k_t, \lambda_t, \bar{k}_t, R_t\}$ that satisfy

- Household: FOC (2), BC
- Government: $R_t = \tau_c c_t$
- Goods Market Clearing Conditions: $\dot{k}_t = f(k_t) - c_t$
- Identity: $k_t = \bar{k}_t$

1. PS5 - 1

- 3 Derive an equation that implicitly solves for the steady state capital stock.

Answer key:

From the two FOCs,

$$v'\left(\frac{k_t}{\bar{k}_t}\right)\frac{1}{\bar{k}_t} + \frac{u'(c_t)}{1 + \tau_c} f'(k_t) = \rho \frac{u'(c_t)}{1 + \tau_c} - \frac{u''(c_t)}{1 + \tau_c} \dot{c}_t$$

In steady state, $\dot{c}_t = 0$, $\dot{k}_t = 0$, and we can use the equilibrium condition $k_t = \bar{k}_t$


$$v'(1)\frac{1}{\bar{k}} + \frac{u'(f(k))}{1 + \tau_c} f'(k) = \rho \frac{u'(f(k))}{1 + \tau_c}$$

1. PS5 - 1

4 Derive $\dot{k}_t = 0$ and discuss its shape.

Answer key:

$$\dot{k}_t = 0: f(k) - c = 0$$



1. PS5 - 1

- 5 Derive $\dot{c}_t = 0$ and discuss its slope/ intercept. For which values of k does $\dot{c}_t = 0$ have a solution?

Answer key:

$$\dot{c}_t = 0: v'(1)\frac{1}{k} + \frac{u'(c)}{1+\tau_c}f'(k) = \rho \frac{u'(c)}{1+\tau_c}$$

- Slope: (Want to know $\frac{\partial c}{\partial k} < 0$ or > 0)

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv v'(1)\frac{1}{k} + \frac{u'(c)}{1+\tau_c}(f'(k) - \rho) = 0$$

Apply Implicit Function Theorem,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial k} = -\frac{v'(1)}{k^2} + \frac{u'(c)}{1+\tau_c}f''(k) < 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial c} = \frac{u''(c)}{1+\tau_c}(f'(k) - \rho) \quad ?$$

1. PS5 - 1

Due to $\dot{c}_t = 0$: $v'(1)\frac{1}{k} + \frac{u'(c)}{1+\tau_c}f'(k) = \rho \frac{u'(c)}{1+\tau_c}$

$$u'(c) = \frac{v'(1)(1+\tau_c)}{k(\rho - f'(k))}$$

Since u is strictly increasing and strictly concave, it must be that case that $u'(c) > 0$.

This is only defined for sufficiently high k , such that $\rho - f'(k) > 0$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial c} = \frac{u''(c)}{1+\tau_c}(f'(k) - \rho) > 0$$


\Rightarrow

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial k} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F} / \partial k}{\partial \mathcal{F} / \partial c} > 0$$

1. PS5 - 1

- Intercept:

From the above analysis about $\dot{c}_t = 0$, we know that k must be sufficiently high, such that $\rho > f'(k)$. It implies that on $\dot{c}_t = 0$ curve, no matter what value c takes, the corresponding k must be some positive number, such that $\rho > f'(k)$.




1. PS5 - 1

- 6 Assume that $\dot{c}_t = 0$ is concave,
$$\frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial^2 k} \Big|_{\dot{c}=0} < 0$$

and that it intersects $\dot{k}_t = 0$ twice. Discuss the stability properties of the two steady states.

Answer key:



2. Money in the Utility Function

Demographics: Time is continuous. There is a single representative household who lives forever.

Preferences: $\int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} u(c_t, m_t) dt$

where c is consumption and m denotes real money balances.

Endowments: Households work 1 unit of time at each instant. Households are initially endowed with k_0 units of capital and m_0 units of real money.

Technology: $f(k_t) - \delta k_t = c_t + \dot{k}_t$

Money: nominal money grows at exogenous rate $g(M)$. New money is handed to households as a lump-sum transfer: $\dot{M}_t = p_t x_t$

Market: money (numeraire), goods, capital rental (price r), labor (w)

2. PS5 - 2

Questions:

- ① The household's budget constraint is given by

$$\dot{k}_t + \dot{m}_t = w_t + r_t k_t + x_t - c_t - \pi_t m_t - g(\dot{m}_t)$$

where $g(\dot{m}_t)$ is the cost of adjusting the money stock. $g'(0) = 0$ and $g''(\dot{m}_t) > 0$. State the **Hamiltonian**.

Answer Key:

Define a new variable v_t as $z_t = \dot{m}_t$

The budget constraint becomes:

$$\dot{k}_t + z_t = w_t + r_t k_t + x_t - c_t - \pi_t m_t - g(z_t)$$

Notice that we have two 'dot' equations, one is for m , one is for k

$$\mathcal{H} = e^{-\rho t} u(c_t, m_t) + \lambda_t [w_t + r_t k_t + x_t - c_t - \pi_t m_t - g(z_t) - z_t] + \mu_t z_t$$

2. PS5 - 2

Questions:

- 2 State the first-order conditions

Answer Key:

Two choice variables: c_t, z_t

Two state variables: k_t, m_t

$$[c_t] \quad e^{-\rho t} u_c(c_t, m_t) = \lambda_t \quad (1)$$

$$[z_t] \quad \lambda_t (g'(z_t) + 1) = \mu_t \quad (2)$$

$$[k_t] \quad \lambda_t r_t = -\dot{\lambda}_t \quad (3)$$

$$[m_t] \quad e^{-\rho t} u_m(c_t, m_t) - \lambda_t \pi_t = -\dot{\mu}_t \quad (4)$$

2. PS5 - 2

Derive the EEs

$$\text{From (1)} \quad -\rho e^{-\rho t} u_c + e^{-\rho t} u_{cc} \dot{c}_t + e^{-\rho t} u_{cm} \dot{m}_t = \dot{\lambda}_t \quad (5)$$

$$\text{From (1), (3), (5)} \quad -u_c(r_t - \rho) = u_{cc} \dot{c}_t + u_{cm} \dot{m}_t \quad (6)$$

$$\text{From (2)} \quad \dot{\mu}_t = \dot{\lambda}_t(g'(z_t) + 1) + \lambda_t g''(z_t) \dot{z}_t \quad (7)$$

$$\text{Plug (7), (1), (3) into (4)} \quad \frac{u_m}{u_c} - \pi_t = r_t(g'(z_t) + 1) - g''(z_t) \dot{z}_t \quad (8)$$

Questions:

- 3 Define a competitive equilibrium

Answer key:

Allocations $\{c_t, z_t, k_t, m_t, \lambda_t, \mu_t, r_t, w_t, \pi_t\}$ that satisfy

- Household: FOC (4), BC (2)
- Firm: standard conditions (2)
- Goods Market Clearing Condition: $\dot{k}_t = f(k_t) - \delta k_t - c_t$
- Capital Market Clearing Condition: implicit in notation
- Money Growth: $\frac{\dot{M}_t}{M_t} = \frac{\dot{m}_t}{m_t} + \pi_t$

2. PS5 - 2

Questions:

- ④ Characterize the steady state to the extent possible. What is the effect of a permanent change in $g(M)$?

Answer key:

- Always start with $\dot{k}_t = 0$, $\dot{c}_t = 0$, $\dot{m}_t = 0$, etc.
WARNING!!! It's dangerous to start with $\dot{\lambda}_t = 0$, $\dot{\mu}_t = 0$
- Equations with “dot”
 - ① $f(k_t) - \delta k_t = c_t + \dot{k}_t$
 - ② $\dot{m}_t = z_t$
 - ③ $\lambda_t r_t = -\dot{\lambda}_t$
 - ④ $e^{-\rho t} u_m(c_t, m_t) - \lambda_t \pi_t = -\dot{\mu}_t$
 - ⑤ $-u_c(r_t - \rho) = u_{cc} \dot{c}_t + u_{cm} \dot{m}_t$
 - ⑥ $\frac{u_m}{u_c} - \pi_t = r_t(g'(z_t) + 1) - g''(z_t)\dot{z}_t$

Notice that I didn't include HH BC

2. PS5 - 2

$$\dot{k}_t = 0, \dot{c}_t = 0, \dot{m}_t = 0$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad f(k_t) - \delta k_t = c_t + \dot{k}_t \quad f(k) - \delta k = c$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \dot{m}_t = z_t \quad z = 0$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad -u_c(r_t - \rho) = u_{cc}\dot{c}_t + u_{cm}\dot{m}_t \quad -u_c(r - \rho) = 0$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \frac{u_m}{u_c} - \pi_t = r_t(g'(z_t) + 1) - g''(z_t)\dot{z}_t \quad \frac{u_m}{u_c} - \pi = r$$

Get r , get k , get c . Hence $g(M)$ doesn't affect steady state capital and consumption. **Money is super-neutral**. It only affects steady state money hold by the following two equations

$$g(M) = \overbrace{\dot{m}_t / m_t}^{=0 \text{ in steady state}} + \pi_t$$
$$\frac{u_m}{u_c} - \pi = r$$

2. PS5 - 2

Notice that in this case $\dot{\lambda}_t \neq 0$

- FOC $\lambda_t r_t = -\dot{\lambda}_t$ implies that in steady state $\dot{\lambda}/\lambda = -r = -\rho$
- λ changes at a constant growth rate $-\rho$ in steady state
- But under setup of Current Value Hamiltonian, we can derive $\dot{\lambda}_t = 0$

- Where does the divergence come from?

Hamiltonian: $H = e^{-\rho t} u(.) + \mu_t(\dots)$

The costate variable μ_t represents the value of the state variable at time t in units of time zero levels of utility

Reduced form conclusion:

When characterizing steady state, always start with $\dot{k}_t = 0$, $\dot{c}_t = 0$, $\dot{m}_t = 0$, etc.

Questions:

- 5 What is the optimal rate of inflation? Explain

Answer key:

- Friedman Rule
- Nominal interest rate is 0
- $i = r + \pi$
- $\pi = -r$