

Econ720 - TA Session 2

Yanran Guo

UNC-Chapel Hill

2020. 8. 28

- Office Hour:

<https://unc.zoom.us/j/99445141514>

Meeting ID: 994 4514 1514

- Recitation:

<https://unc.zoom.us/j/98956927094>

Meeting ID: 989 5692 7094

1. Recap: how to set up a competitive equilibrium?

- ① Read the question carefully and find how many sectors there are
- ② Solve each sector's problem (e.g. Household, Firm)
 - Choice variables
 - Prices
 - Rewrite HH BC in real terms if it is in unit of accounts
- ③ State the market clearing condition
- ④ Define the equilibrium
Allocations $\{ \dots \}$ and prices $\{ \dots \}$ that satisfy

Optimality conditions for each sector $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Household problem} \\ \text{Firm problem} \\ \dots \end{array} \right.$

Market clearing condition

Accounting identity

N objects, N+1 equations (Walras' Law)

- Do we have dynamically efficient steady state in a standard OLG model?
- Why?
- No inter-generational trade. How to fix this problem?

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

Model

Consider a standard two-period overlapping generations model with the following characteristics:

Demographics

- Each period a cohort of size $N_t = (1+n)^t$ are born. Each cohort lives for two periods.
→ 2 periods: c_t^y , c_{t+1}^o ; What's population growth rate?
- All cohorts are identical and behave competitively.

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

Endowments and Preferences

- Each young cohort is endowed with 1 unit of labor
- At $t = 0$, the old cohort is endowed with s_0 units of capital.
- Each cohort born in generic period t maximizes the following utility function:

$$U = (1 - \beta) \ln(c_t^y) + \beta \ln(c_{t+1}^o)$$

where c_t^y and c_{t+1}^o represent consumption when young and old respectively.

→ Utility only comes from consumption. So household supplies all their labor endowment, so that they can have more income, thus to support more consumption.

→ Can we say anything about labor mkt clearing condition already?

→ Anything else we can get from the utility function?

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

Technology

$$C_t + K_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)K_t = F(K_t, L_t) = K_t^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha}$$

→ Do we have firm sector? Do we have depreciation on capital?

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

Government

- The government only rolls over debt from one period to the next

$$B_{t+1} = R_t B_t$$

All the government does: issue new bonds to pay off the old ones.

→ Can we say anything about bond mkt clearing condition already?

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- How many sectors do we have?
- What's the optimization problem for each sector?
- How many mkts do we have?

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- How many sectors do we have?
- What's the optimization problem for each sector?
- How many mkts do we have? (Save this question to equilibrium)

Markets: goods, bonds, labor, capital rental

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

Questions

- 1 Solve the HH problem for **saving function**.
- 2 Write down and solve the firm's problem
- 3 Define a competitive equilibrium
- 4 Derive the law of motion for capital stock

$$(b_{t+1} + k_{t+1})(1 + n) = \beta(1 - \alpha)k_t^\alpha$$

where $b = B/L$, $k = K/L$

- 5 Derive the steady state capital stock for $b = 0$. Why does it not depend on δ .
- 6 Derive the steady state capital stock for $b > 0$
- 7 Can you show that the capital stock is lower in the steady state with positive debt?

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- ① Solve the HH problem for **saving function**.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & U(\cdot) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & BC \end{aligned}$$

How to write the BC?

$$(c_t^y, c_{t+1}^o, k_{t+1}, b_{t+1})$$

Key idea: **Income = Consumption + Saving**

Young:

$$w_t = c_t^y + k_{t+1} + b_{t+1}$$

Old:

$$q_{t+1}k_{t+1} + (1 - \delta)k_{t+1} + R_{t+1}b_{t+1} = c_{t+1}^o$$

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- ① Solve the HH problem for **saving function**.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & (1 - \beta) \ln(c_t^y) + \beta \ln(c_{t+1}^o) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & w_t = c_t^y + k_{t+1} + b_{t+1} \\ & q_{t+1} k_{t+1} + (1 - \delta) k_{t+1} + R_{t+1} b_{t+1} = c_{t+1}^o \end{aligned}$$

Substitute out b_{t+1} , get life-time BC

$$w_t = c_t^y + k_{t+1} + \frac{1}{R_{t+1}} [c_{t+1}^o - (1 - \delta + q_{t+1}) k_{t+1}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & (1 - \beta) \ln(c_t^y) + \beta \ln(c_{t+1}^o) + \lambda_t \{ w_t - c_t^y - k_{t+1} \\ & - \frac{1}{R_{t+1}} [c_{t+1}^o - (1 - \delta + q_{t+1}) k_{t+1}] \} \end{aligned}$$

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- ① Solve the HH problem for **saving function**.

$$[c_t^y] : (1 - \beta) \frac{1}{c_t^y} = \lambda_t$$

$$[c_{t+1}^o] : \beta \frac{1}{c_{t+1}^o} = \frac{\lambda_t}{R_{t+1}}$$

$$[k_{t+1}] : R_{t+1} = 1 - \delta + q_{t+1}$$

\Rightarrow

$$\text{E.E. for bonds: } (1 - \beta) \frac{1}{c_t^y} = \beta \frac{1}{c_{t+1}^o} R_{t+1}$$

$$\text{E.E. for capital: } (1 - \beta) \frac{1}{c_t^y} = \beta \frac{1}{c_{t+1}^o} (1 - \delta + q_{t+1})$$

No-arbitrage condition/ Accounting identity!

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- ① Solve the HH problem for **saving function**.

$$\text{From the equ. } (1 - \beta) \frac{1}{c_t^y} = \beta \frac{1}{c_{t+1}^o} R_{t+1}$$

$$c_{t+1}^o = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} R_{t+1} c_t^y$$

Plug back to the life-time budget constraint:

$$w_t = c_t^y + k_{t+1} + \frac{1}{R_{t+1}} [c_{t+1}^o - (1 - \delta + q_{t+1}) k_{t+1}]$$

$$w_t = c_t^y + k_{t+1} + \frac{1}{R_{t+1}} \left[\frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} R_{t+1} c_t^y - R_{t+1} k_{t+1} \right]$$

$$c_t^y = (1 - \beta) w_t$$

Where does saving show up?

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- 1 Solve the HH problem for **saving function**.

$$s_{t+1} = w_t - c_t^y = w_t - (1 - \beta)w_t = \beta w_t$$

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- 2 Write down and solve the firm's problem

$$\max K_t^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha} - q_t K_t - w_t L_t$$

$$[K_t] : \alpha K_t^{\alpha-1} L_t^{1-\alpha} = q_t$$

$$[L_t] : (1-\alpha) K_t^\alpha L_t^{-\alpha} = w_t$$

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

3 Define a competitive equilibrium

Allocations $\{c_t^y, c_t^o, s_t, k_t^h, b_t^h, K_t, L_t, B_t\}$ and prices $\{R_t, q_t, w_t\}$ that satisfy

- H.H. Problem: B.C.(2), EE, $s_{t+1} = k_{t+1}^h + b_{t+1}^h$
- Firm Problem: FOC (2)
- Government: $B_{t+1} = R_t B_t$
- Market Clearing Conditions:
 - Goods market: $F(K_t, L_t) = N_t c_t^y + N_{t-1} c_t^o + K_{t+1} - (1 - \delta) K_t$
 - Labor rental market: $L_t = N_t$
 - Capital rental market: $K_{t+1} = N_t k_{t+1}^h$
 - Bonds market: $B_{t+1} = N_t b_{t+1}^h$
- Accounting Identity: $R_{t+1} = 1 - \delta + q_{t+1}$

11 unknowns, 12 equations. Walras' Law holds.

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- 4 Derive the law of motion for capital stock

$$(b_{t+1} + k_{t+1})(1 + n) = \beta(1 - \alpha)k_t^\alpha$$

where $b = B/L$, $k = K/L$

- Does $(\frac{K_t}{L_t})^\alpha$ look familiar?
- Which equation contains $(\frac{K_t}{L_t})^\alpha$?
- Which equation contains b , k and w ? Where to look at?

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- ④ Derive the law of motion for capital stock

$$(b_{t+1} + k_{t+1})(1 + n) = \beta(1 - \alpha)k_t^\alpha$$

where $b = B/L$, $k = K/L$

$$s_{t+1} = k_{t+1}^h + b_{t+1}^h$$

$$N_t s_{t+1} = N_t k_{t+1}^h + N_t b_{t+1}^h$$

$$L_t s_{t+1} = K_{t+1} + B_{t+1}$$

$$s_{t+1} = (k_{t+1} + b_{t+1})(1 + n)$$

$$\beta w_t = (k_{t+1} + b_{t+1})(1 + n)$$

$$\beta(1 - \alpha)k_t^\alpha = (k_{t+1} + b_{t+1})(1 + n)$$

k_{t+1}^h and b_{t+1}^h are HH choice for capital and bond. But the k and b in question is capital per labor and bond per labor.

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- 5 Derive the steady state capital stock for $b = 0$. Why does it not depend on δ .
- What is steady state?
- Which equation do we use to get steady state value?

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- 5 Derive the steady state capital stock for $b = 0$. Why does it not depend on δ .

In steady state, $k_{t+1} = k_t = k^*$

From the law of motion for capital stock

$$(b_{t+1} + k_{t+1})(1 + n) = \beta(1 - \alpha)k_t^\alpha$$

And we assume $b = 0$

$$k^*(1 + n) = \beta(1 - \alpha)k^*$$

$$k^* = \left(\frac{\beta(1 - \alpha)}{1 + n} \right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \alpha}}$$

Steady state k^* does not depend on δ . Why?

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- 5 Derive the steady state capital stock for $b = 0$. Why does it not depend on δ .
 - How can we link k with δ ?
 - Who choose k ?

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- ⑤ Derive the steady state capital stock for $b = 0$. Why does it not depend on δ .
 - log utility
 - saving is a constant fraction of income ($s_t = \beta w_t$)
 - saving is independent from rate of return
 - capital is part of saving, δ is part of rate of return. Hence these two things are also independent from each other.

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- 6 Derive the steady state capital stock for $b > 0$
 - To get steady state value, always start from law of motion
 - Can we use $(b + k)(1 + n) = \beta(1 - \alpha)k^\alpha$?
 - What's wrong with it?
 - **Law of Motion!!**

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- ⑥ Derive the steady state capital stock for $b > 0$

$$B_{t+1} = R_t B_t$$

$$B = RB \text{ correct? Why?}$$

$$b_{t+1}(1+n) = R_t b_t$$

$$\text{In steady state, } b_{t+1} = b_t = b^*$$

$$b^*(1+n) = Rb^*$$

$$R = 1+n$$

$$1 - \delta + q = 1 + n$$

$$1 - \delta + \alpha k^{\alpha-1} = 1 + n$$

$$k^* = \left(\frac{n + \delta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}$$

Golden rule level of capital stock can be obtained with positive debt.

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

- 7 Can you show that the capital stock is lower in the steady state with positive debt?

$$\begin{aligned} 7. \text{ Positive debt: } k_p^* &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{n+\delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \\ \text{No debt: } k_N^* &= \left(\frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{1+n} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \\ k_p^* + b^* &= \frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{1+n} k_p^{*\alpha} \leftarrow \text{law of motion of } k \\ b^* &= \frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{1+n} k_p^{*\alpha} - k_p^* > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{1+n} k_p^{*\alpha-1} - 1 > 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{1+n} \left(\frac{\alpha}{n+\delta} \right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{1-\alpha}} > 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{1+n} \frac{n+\delta}{\alpha} > 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{1+n} > \frac{\alpha}{n+\delta} \\ \therefore k_N^* &> k_p^* \end{aligned}$$

2. Example: Government Bonds in an OLG Model

Take-away from this example

- Introducing an infinitely lived asset fixes dynamic inefficiency.
- Gov is an infinitely lived agent who keeps trading the bonds. Hence the bond fixes dynamic inefficiency even though it lives only for one period.