# Econ720 - TA Session 3

Yanran Guo

**UNC-Chapel Hill** 

2020. 9. 4

Office Hour:

https://unc.zoom.us/j/99445141514

Meeting ID: 994 4514 1514

• Recitation:

https://unc.zoom.us/j/98956927094

Meeting ID: 989 5692 7094

#### 1. OLG with Arrow-Debreu

Explain why the following is the correct budget constraint:

$$w_t + q_{t+1}s_{t+1} + (1-\delta)p_{t+1}s_{t+1} = p_tc_t^y + p_{t+1}c_{t+1}^o + p_ts_{t+1}$$

#### Answer key:

In date t market, rent out labor and earn  $w_t$ , buy capital  $s_{t+1}$  and consumption  $c_t^y$  at price  $p_t$ . In date t+1 market, earn rental income  $q_{t+1}s_{t+1}$ , sell the undepreciated capital, and buy  $c_{t+1}^o$  at price  $p_{t+1}$ .

Derive the household's FOCs.

Answer key:

$$\mathcal{L} = u(c_t^y) + \beta u(c_{t+1}^o) + \lambda_t(w_t + q_{t+1}s_{t+1} + (1 - \delta)p_{t+1}s_{t+1} - p_tc_t^y - p_ts_{t+1} - p_{t+1}c_{t+1}^o)$$

$$[c_t^y]: u'(c_t^y) = \lambda_t p_t$$
  
 $[c_{t+1}^o]: \beta u'(c_{t+1}^o) = \lambda_t p_{t+1}$   
 $[s_{t+1}]: p_t = q_{t+1} + (1 - \delta)p_{t+1}$ 

Oefine a solution to the household problem.

#### Answer key:

$$(c_t^y, c_{t+1}^o, s_{t+1})$$
 that satisfy

Budget Constraint:

$$p_t c_t^y + p_t s_{t+1} + p_{t+1} c_{t+1}^o = w_t + q_{t+1} s_{t+1} + (1 - \delta) p_{t+1} s_{t+1}$$

Euler Equation:

$$u'(c_t^y) = \frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}} \beta u'(c_{t+1}^o)$$

• No-arbitrage Condition:  $p_t = q_{t+1} + (1 - \delta)p_{t+1}$ 



What is the real interest rate in this economy?

The relative price between consumption at t and t+1 is the **real** interest rate.

#### Answer key:

The real interest rate is  $\frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}}$ 

- Households can move consumption between dates at the exchange rate  $\frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}}$ .
- It it derived from E.E.
   The relative price of two goods = The marginal rate of substitution between these two goods

**5** Interpret the condition  $p_t = q_{t+1} + (1-\delta)p_{t+1}$ 

#### Answer key:

It is the No-arbitrage condition.

It comes from the equation  $\frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}} = \frac{q_{t+1}}{p_{t+1}} + (1-\delta)$ .

- The household can move consumption between date t market and date t+1 market at exchange rate  $\frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}}$ , which is defined as the real interest rate.
- The household can also move consumption by buying capital and renting it out.  $\frac{q_{t+1}}{p_{t+1}} + (1-\delta)$  is the return from investing in capital.

Both approaches must yield the same rate of return.

State the firm's FOCs. Watch your units!

Answer key:

$$max \quad p_t F(K_t, L_t) - w_t L_t - q_t K_t$$

$$q_t = p_t F_1(K_t, L_t)$$

$$w_t = p_t F_2(K_t, L_t)$$

Define a competitive equilibrium.

#### Answer key:

Allocations  $\{c_t^y, c_t^o, s_{t+1}, K_t, L_t\}$  and prices  $\{p_t, w_t, q_t\}$  that satisfy

- Household: Euler Equation, No-arbitrage Condition, Budget Constraint
- Firm: F.O.C (2)
- Market Clearing Conditions:
  - 1. Goods market:  $F(K_t, L_t) = N_t c_t^y + N_{t-1} c_t^o + K_{t+1} (1 \delta) K_t$
  - 2. Capital market:  $N_t s_{t+1} = K_{t+1}$
  - 3.Labor market:  $L_t = N_t$

Why don't we lose an equation due to Walras' Law?

#### Answer key:

Neither equation is redundant by Walras' Law. We only lose an equation for one t.

Where is numeraire?

#### Answer key:

We can make the price of consumption goods at any date t equal 1.

Define a steady state.

#### Answer key:

At steady state, all per-capita, real variables do not change along with time.

Steady state:  $c^y, c^o, k, w/p, q/p, \pi$  that solve the equilibrium conditions without time subscripts.  $\pi_{t+1} = p_{t+1}/p_t$ 

• Under what condition do the Welfare theorems hold/fail? Recall that the Welfare theorems require  $\lim_{t\to\infty} p_t = 0$ .

#### Answer key:

- Welfare theorems require  $\lim_{t\to\infty}p_t=0$ , indicating that price can not explode.
- From no-arbitrage condition  $(\frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}} = \frac{q_{t+1}}{p_{t+1}} + 1 \delta)$ , no price explosion means  $\frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}} > 1$ , hence  $\frac{q_{t+1}}{p_{t+1}} + 1 \delta > 1$

$$\Rightarrow rac{q_{t+1}}{p_{t+1}} > \delta \Rightarrow \lim_{t o \infty} f'(k_{t+1}) > \delta$$

#### 2. OLG with Assets

Demographics: There are two types of households, indexed by h. In each period, a mass of 0.5 households is born of each type. Each person lives for 2 periods.

ightarrowHeterogeneous agents even in same cohort

Endowments: Households receive endowments  $(e^y, e^o)$  when young and old, respectively.

Preferences:  $ln(c_{h,t}^y) + \beta_h ln(c_{h,t+1}^o)$ 

ightarrowLog utility, hence consumption is a constant fraction of total income.

Technology: None.

ightarrowIt's an endowment economy. Hence no capital accumulation.

Markets: Households trade goods and one period bonds that are issued and purchased by households

→Sequential trading setup, not Arrow-Debreu. Why?

#### What does the budget constraint look like?

- Sequential trading setup
- No capital accumulation
- Endowment economy with bonds

$$c_{h,t}^{y} + b_{h,t+1} = e^{y}$$
  
 $c_{h,t+1}^{o} = (1 + r_{t+1})b_{h,t+1} + e^{o}$ 

Lifetime Budget Constraint:

$$c_{h,t}^{y} + \frac{c_{h,t+1}^{o}}{1 + r_{t+1}} = e^{y} + \frac{e^{o}}{1 + r_{t+1}}$$

• Define a solution to the household problem. Solve for the household's bond supply function.

#### Answer key:

$$\begin{split} \max & \ln(c_{h,t}^y) + \beta_h \ln(c_{h,t+1}^o) \\ s.t. & c_{h,t}^y + b_{h,t+1} = e^y \\ & c_{h,t+1}^o = (1+r_{t+1})b_{h,t+1} + e^o \\ & \mathscr{L} = \ln(c_{h,t}^y) + \beta_h \ln(c_{h,t+1}^o) + \lambda_{h,t}(e^y + \frac{e^o}{1+r_{t+1}} - c_{h,t}^y - \frac{c_{h,t+1}^o}{1+r_{t+1}}) \end{split}$$

$$[c_{h,t}^{y}]: \frac{1}{c_{h,t}^{y}} = \lambda_{h,t}$$
 $[c_{h,t+1}^{o}]: \frac{\beta_{h}}{c_{h,t+1}^{o}} = \frac{\lambda_{h,t}}{1 + r_{t+1}}$ 
 $\Rightarrow c_{h,t+1}^{o} = \beta_{h}(1 + r_{t+1})c_{h,t}^{y}$ 

From budget constraints

$$c_{h,t}^{y} + \frac{c_{h,t+1}^{o}}{1 + r_{t+1}} = e^{y} + \frac{e^{o}}{1 + r_{t+1}}$$

$$c_{h,t}^{y} + \frac{\beta_{h}(1 + r_{t+1})c_{h,t}^{y}}{1 + r_{t+1}} = e^{y} + \frac{e^{o}}{1 + r_{t+1}}$$

$$c_{h,t}^{y} = \frac{1}{1 + \beta}(e^{y} + \frac{e^{o}}{1 + r_{t+1}})$$

Hence

$$egin{aligned} b_{h,t+1} &= e^y - c_{h,t}^y \ &= e^y - rac{1}{1+eta}(e^y + rac{e^o}{1+r_{t+1}}) \ &= rac{eta_h}{1+eta_h}e^y - rac{e^o}{(1+eta_h)(1+r_{t+1})} \end{aligned}$$

Solution: given  $r_{t+1}$ ,  $(c_{h,t}^y, c_{h,t+1}^o, b_{h,t+1})$  that satisfy 1 E.E. and 2 BCs.

Solve for the equilibrium bond interest rate.

#### Answer key:

We stated the budget constraint as

$$c_{h,t}^{y} + b_{h,t+1} = e^{y}$$
  
 $c_{h,t+1}^{o} = (1 + r_{t+1})b_{h,t+1} + e^{o}$ 

In equilibrium, it must be the case that  $\sum_h b_{h,t+1} = 0$ .

$$\sum_{h,t+1} b_{h,t+1} = 0$$

$$\sum_{h} \left( \frac{\beta_h}{1 + \beta_h} e^y - \frac{e^o}{(1 + \beta_h)(1 + r_{t+1})} \right) = 0$$

$$e^y \sum_{h} \frac{\beta_h}{1 + \beta_h} = \frac{e^o}{1 + r_{t+1}} \sum_{h} \frac{1}{1 + \beta_h}$$

$$1 + r_{t+1} = \frac{e^o}{e^y} \sum_{h} \frac{1}{1 + \beta_h}$$

Provide intuitions for the features of equilibrium bond interest rate.

#### Answer key:

(1). If old endowments are larger, r is higher.

If old endowments are larger, households have less incentive to buy bonds when they are young. Hence bond supply exceeds demand. As a result, the return of bond  $(r_{t+1})$  increases, in order to attract more people to buy bonds and to clear the bond market.

(2). If  $\beta_h$  increases, r decreases.

When  $\beta_h$  increases, households become more patient, which also means they value their old consumption more. Hence, households will reduce their young consumption and buy more bonds in order to consume more when they are old. Bond demand exceeds bond supply, leading to a decrease in bond return.  $r_{t+1}$  decreases.

(3). Because there is no intergenerational trade, and the endowment for each cohort and the parameters don't vary overtime, r is time invariant.

Now add a durable good to the economy. It is in fixed supply, K. It pays a dividend d per period (in units of consumption goods). Households trade shares of this good in an asset market at price p<sub>t</sub>, measured in units of consumption goods. Define a competitive equilibrium for this economy.

#### Answer key:

$$\begin{aligned} \max & \ln(c_{h,t}^{y}) + \beta_{h} \ln(c_{h,t+1}^{o}) \\ s.t. & c_{h,t}^{y} + b_{h,t+1} + p_{t} k_{h,t+1} = e^{y} \\ & c_{h,t+1}^{o} = (1 + r_{t+1}) b_{h,t+1} + dk_{h,t+1} + p_{t+1} k_{h,t+1} + e^{o} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{L} &= \textit{In}(c_{h,t}^{\textit{y}}) + \beta_{\textit{h}} \textit{In}(c_{h,t+1}^{\textit{o}}) \\ &+ \lambda_{h,t}(e^{\textit{y}} + \frac{e^{\textit{o}}}{1 + r_{t+1}} - c_{h,t}^{\textit{y}} - \frac{c_{h,t+1}^{\textit{o}}}{1 + r_{t+1}} - (p_t - \frac{p_{t+1} + d}{1 + r_{t+1}}) k_{h,t+1}) \\ \text{E.E.} \ \frac{c_{h,t+1}^{\textit{o}}}{c_{h,t}^{\textit{y}}} &= \beta_{\textit{h}} (1 + r_{t+1}) \end{split}$$

No-arbitrage condition:  $1 + r_{t+1} = \frac{p_{t+1} + d}{r}$ 

#### Competitive equilibrium:

Allocations  $\{c_{h,t}^y, c_{h,t}^o, b_{h,t+1}, k_{h,t+1}\}$  and prices  $\{r_t, p_t\}$  that satisfy

- Household problem: E.E. (2), BC (4);
- Market clearing condition:

Goods market: 
$$e^{y} + e^{o} + dK = 0.5 \sum_{h,t} c_{h,t}^{y} + 0.5 \sum_{h} c_{h,t}^{o}$$

Bonds market:  $\sum b_{h,t+1} = 0$ 

Durable Good Market:  $0.5\sum k_{h,t+1} = K$ 

• No-arbitrage Condition:  $1 + r_{t+1} = \frac{p_{t+1} + d}{p_t}$ 

10 objects, 10 equations

Why do you find that the number of equations equals the number of objects to be determined? Usually, we find that we have one additional equation, which is redundant by Walras' law.

#### Answer key:

Because due to No-arbitrage condition, the real return of bonds is equal to the real return of durable goods, hence households do not need to specify the particular amount of  $b_{h,t+1}$  and  $k_{h,t+1}$ , and can put them into one portfolio.

• Derive an equation that determines the equilibrium price sequence  $p_t$ .

#### Logic

- Equation for price sequence
  - $\rightarrow$  Equation that shows the relationship between today's p and tomorrow's p:  $F(p_t, p_{t+1})$
- Which equilibrium condition contains both  $p_t$  and  $p_{t+1}$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  No-arbitrage condition
- Which condition can be further developed using no-arbitrage condition?
  - → Lifetime budget constraint
- Two endogenous variables  $c_{h,t}^y$  and  $c_{h,t+1}^o$ , how to get rid of one?  $\rightarrow$  E.E.
- Equation for  $c_{h,t}^y$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  Which condition contains  $c_{h,t}^{y}$
- How to take  $b_{h,t+1}$  away from B.C. for young?
- How to take  $k_{h,t+1}$  away from B.C. for young?

Answer key:

In equilibrium,  $1+r_{t+1}=\frac{p_{t+1}+d}{p_t}.$  Hence the life-time budget constraint of household

$$e^{y} + \frac{e^{o}}{1 + r_{t+1}} = c_{h,t}^{y} + \frac{c_{h,t+1}^{o}}{1 + r_{t+1}} + (p_{t} - \frac{p_{t+1} + d}{1 + r_{t+1}})k_{h,t+1}$$

becomes

$$e^{y} + \frac{e^{o}}{1 + r_{t+1}} = c_{h,t}^{y} + \frac{c_{h,t+1}^{o}}{1 + r_{t+1}}$$

Substituting Euler Equation  $\frac{c_{h,t+1}^o}{c_{h,t}^y}=\beta_h(1+r_{t+1})$  into life-time budget constraint,

$$c_{h,t}^{y} = \frac{e^{y} + \frac{e^{o}}{1 + r_{t+1}}}{1 + \beta_{h}} = \frac{e^{y} + \frac{e^{o}p_{t}}{p_{t+1} + d}}{1 + \beta_{h}}$$

From the durable goods market clearing condition

$$2K = \sum_{h,t+1} k_{h,t+1}$$

$$2p_t K = \sum_{h} p_t k_{h,t+1}$$

$$= \sum_{h} p_t k_{h,t+1} + \sum_{h} b_{h,t+1}$$

$$= 2e^y - \sum_{h} c_{h,t}^y$$

$$= 2e^y - \sum_{h} \frac{e^y + \frac{e^o p_t}{p_{t+1} + d}}{1 + \beta_h}$$