Econ720 - TA Session 12

Yanran Guo

UNC-Chapel Hill

2020. 11. 06

Questions:

Solve the problem of the final goods producer.

Answer key:

$$\max_{\substack{L_t, \{x_{jt}\}_{j=0}^{N_t} \\ [L_t] : \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \frac{Y_t}{L_t} = w_t} (1-\beta)^{-1} L_t^{\beta} \int_0^{N_t} x_{jt}^{1-\beta} dj - w_t L_t - \int_0^{N_t} p_{jt} x_{jt} dj$$

$$[L_t] : \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \frac{Y_t}{L_t} = w_t$$

$$[x_{jt}] : L_t^{\beta} x_{jt}^{-\beta} = p_{jt}$$

Hence the demand function for monopolistic inputs is $x_{jt}^m = L_t(p_{jt}^m)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$ The demand function for competitive inputs is $x_{jt}^c = L_t(p_{jt}^c)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$

Discussion:

- While prices differ across intermediate inputs, the final good producer takes these prices as given.
- Hence there is no need to explicitly separate monopolistic and competitive intermediates as

$$\max_{L_t, \{x_{jt}\}_{j=0}^{N_t}} (1-\beta)^{-1} L_t^{\beta} \int_0^{N_t} x_{jt}^{1-\beta} dj - w_t L_t$$
$$- \int_0^{N_{1t}} p_{jt}^m x_{jt}^m dj - \int_0^{N_{2t}} p_{jt}^c x_{jt}^c dj$$

Solve the problem of a monopolist intermediate input producer.

Answer key:

Monopolist intermediates producer maximize her profit by choosing price, given demand function (derived from the final good producer's problem).

$$\max_{p_{jt}^{m}} \pi_{jt}^{m} o \max_{p_{jt}^{m}} p_{jt}^{m} x_{jt}^{m} - \psi x_{jt}^{m}$$
 $\max_{p_{jt}^{m}} p_{jt}^{m} \mathcal{L}_{t}(p_{jt}^{m})^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} - \psi \mathcal{L}_{t}(p_{jt}^{m})^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$

From the FOC,
$$p_{jt}^m = \frac{\psi}{1-\beta}$$
.

Hence
$$x_{jt}^m=L_t(rac{\psi}{1-eta})^{-rac{1}{eta}}$$
 and $\pi_{jt}^m=eta L_t(rac{\psi}{1-eta})^{1-rac{1}{eta}}$

3 Solve for equilibrium interest rate.

Discussion:

- Equilibrium interest rate is derived from free entry condition.
- Free entry condition only means: cost = benefit e.g. FE doesn't imply $\eta V = 1$. This equation is NOT universally true!
- In this model, it costs η units of final good to create a new type of intermediate good.
- Hence the cost of creating a new type of intermediate is

$$\mathsf{Cost} = \eta \cdot \mathit{price}_{\mathit{final goods}} = \eta$$

Notes on Poisson Process

 In a discrete time model, in each period, with probability p a monopolistic firm loses its monopoly power. Then the present value of the stream of profits is

$$V = \pi_s + \frac{1}{1+r} (1-p)\pi_{s+1} + (\frac{1}{1+r})^2 (1-p)^2 \pi_{s+2} + \dots$$

= $\sum_{t=s}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{1+r})^{t-s} (1-p)^{t-s} \pi_t$

• Under continuous time setup, the probability of no event over a period of length τ is $e^{-\delta \tau}$, where δ is the Poisson arrival rate.

Hence, $e^{-\delta(t-s)}$ is the continuous time analogous of $(1-p)^{t-s}$

Answer Key:

- ullet Cost of creating a new type of intermediate is η
- Benefit of creating a new type of intermediate is

$$V = \int_{s}^{\infty} e^{-r(t-s)} e^{-\delta(t-s)} \pi_{t}^{m} dt$$

$$= \int_{s}^{\infty} e^{-(r+\delta)(t-s)} \beta L(\frac{\psi}{1-\beta})^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}} dt$$

$$= \beta L(\frac{\psi}{1-\beta})^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}} \frac{1}{r+\delta}$$

ullet Free entry condition: $\eta = V$

$$r = \beta L \left(\frac{\Psi}{1-\beta}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}} / \eta - \delta$$

ullet Derive equilibrium growth rate. Which patent duration δ maximizes growth? Does this also maximize welfare?

Answer key:

EE can be obtained by solving HH problem.

$$g = \frac{\dot{C}_t}{C_t} = \frac{r - \rho}{\theta} = \frac{\beta L \left(\frac{\psi}{1 - \beta}\right)^{1 - \frac{1}{\beta}} / \eta - \delta - \rho}{\theta}$$

Since $\delta \geq$ 0, $\delta =$ 0 will maximize growth rate. And it doesn't maximize welfare.

Reason:

- $\delta=0$ means once innovator succeeds, she can maintain monopoly power forever. It increases the benefit of doing R&D. Hence more potential entrants will participate in doing innovation. The variety of intermediate inputs increases quickly. That increases the production of final goods, hence the consumption.
- When $\delta=0$, intermediate goods market is monopolistic. The monopolists will set higher price to get more profit, which leads to inefficiency. This can be formally proved using a planner problem.

5 Consider the balanced growth path. Show that $\frac{N_1}{N_2} = \frac{g}{\delta}$

Idea:

- Step-1. Prove $g_{N_2} = g_N$ using the law of motion for N_2
- Step-2. Prove $g_N = g_Y$ using production function
- Step-3. Prove $g_N = g_C$ using resource constraint

Answer Key:

• Step-1. Prove $g_{N_2}=g_N$ using the law of motion for N_2

$$egin{align} \dot{\mathcal{N}}_{2t} &= \delta \, \mathcal{N}_{1t} = \delta (\mathcal{N}_t - \mathcal{N}_{2t}) \ g_{\mathcal{N}_2} &= rac{\dot{\mathcal{N}}_{2t}}{\mathcal{N}_{2t}} = \delta (rac{\mathcal{N}_t}{\mathcal{N}_{2t}} - 1) \ \end{split}$$

On BGP, since g_{N_2} is constant, $\frac{N_t}{N_{2t}}$ must be constant. Hence, on BGP, $g_{N_2} = g_N$

Answer Key:

• Step-2. Step-2. Prove $g_N = g_Y$ using production function

$$\begin{split} Y_t &= (1 - \beta)^{-1} L^{\beta} \int_0^{N_t} x_{jt}^{1 - \beta} \, dj \\ &= (1 - \beta)^{-1} L^{\beta} \left\{ \int_0^{N_{1t}} (x_{jt}^m)^{1 - \beta} \, dj + \int_0^{N_{2t}} (x_{jt}^c)^{1 - \beta} \, dj \right\} \\ &= (1 - \beta)^{-1} L^{\beta} \left\{ N_{1t} \left(L \left(\frac{\Psi}{1 - \beta} \right)^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right)^{1 - \beta} + N_{2t} \left(L \Psi^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right)^{1 - \beta} \right\} \\ &= \underbrace{\Omega_1}_{\text{constant}} N_{1t} + \underbrace{\Omega_2}_{\text{constant}} N_{2t} \\ &= \Omega_1 \left(N_t - N_{2t} \right) + \Omega_1 N_{2t} \end{split}$$

$$\frac{Y_t}{N_t} = \Omega_1 + (\Omega_2 - \Omega_1) \frac{N_{2t}}{N_t}$$

On BGP, since $\frac{N_{2t}}{N_t}$ is constant, $\frac{Y_t}{N_t}$ is constant.

Hence, on BGP, $g_N = g_Y$

Answer Key:

• Step-3. Prove $g_N = g_C$ using resource constraint

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= C_t + X_t + Z_t \\ &= C_t + \left\{ \int_0^{N_{1t}} \psi x_{jt}^m dj + \int_0^{N_{2t}} \psi x_{jt}^c dj \right\} + \frac{1}{\eta} \dot{N}_t \\ &= C_t + \left\{ \underbrace{\Omega_3}_{\text{constant}} N_{1t} + \underbrace{\Omega_4}_{\text{constant}} N_{2t} \right\} + \frac{1}{\eta} \dot{N}_t \\ &= C_t + \Omega_3 N_t + (\Omega_4 - \Omega_3) N_{2t} + \frac{1}{\eta} \dot{N}_t \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{Y_t}{N_t} = \frac{C_t}{N_t} + \Omega_3 + (\Omega_4 - \Omega_3) \frac{N_{2t}}{N_t} + \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\dot{N}_t}{N_t}$$

On BGP, $\frac{N_t}{N_t}$, $\frac{N_{2t}}{N_t}$, $\frac{Y_t}{N_t}$ are constant.

Hence $\frac{C_t}{N_t}$ is constant, which means $g_N = g_C = g$

Hence on BGP, $g_{N_2} = g_N = g$

Due to law of motion of N_2 , $\dot{N}_{2t} = \delta N_{1t}$

$$g = \frac{\dot{N}_{2t}}{N_{2t}} = \delta \frac{N_{1t}}{N_{2t}} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{N_{1t}}{N_{2t}} = \frac{g}{\delta}$$

Define a competitive equilibrium.

Answer key:

$$\{C_{t}, L_{t}, A_{t}, Y_{t}, x_{jt}^{m}, x_{jt}^{c}, \pi_{jt}^{m}, V_{j}, N_{1t}, N_{2t}, Z_{t}\} \text{ and } \{p_{jt}^{m}, p_{jt}^{c}, w_{t}, r_{t}\}$$

- Household: EE, BC
- ullet Final good producer: FOC for labor, FOC for competitive intermediates, FOC for monopolistic intermediates, definition for Y_t
- Monopoly intermediate producer: p_{jt}^m , x_{jt}^m , π_{jt}^m
- Competitive intermediate producer: x_{jt}^c
- R&D sector: free entry condition
- Market clearing: RC, intermediates, labor, asset
- Law of motion for N_{2t}

