

Group 4

- Summary of the report.

Cryptocurrencies is one of the trending assets for speculation and investment yet it has been proven to be wildly volatile, where a person can make a fortune and become a millionaire in one day, and lose all his assets the day after. This project attempts to predict some of the price movements in this field in advance and forecast short-term log returns in 14 popular cryptocurrencies through machine learning techniques including Light GBM, LSTM, Multivariate Time Series Transformer and Wavenet.

- Describe the strengths of the report.
 - The report makes use of various approaches with somewhat clear description accordingly.
 - The report maintains in general high coherence and cohesion throughout every sections.
- Describe the weaknesses of the report.
 - The report should be written in more proper and formal format.
 - Lack of elaboration for some figures used
- Evaluation on quality of writing (1-5): Is the report clearly written? Is there a good use of examples and figures? Is it well organized? Are there problems with style and grammar? Are there issues with typos, formatting, references, etc.? Please make suggestions to improve the clarity of the paper, and provide details of typos.

4. Evaluation is as follow and Group 4 can improve based on this

- The report is somewhat clearly written and moderately well-organized.
- Some examples and figures added into the report are lack of information.
- Evaluation on presentation (1-5): Is the presentation clear and well organized? Are the language flow fluent and persuasive? Are the slides clear and well elaborated? Please make suggestions to improve the presentation.

4. Evaluation is as follow and Group 4 can improve based on this

- The presentation is generally clear, well organized, and aligned with the report.
- The language flow is fluent and persuasive.
- Evaluation on creativity (1-5): Does the work propose any genuinely new ideas? Is this a work that you are eager to read and cite? Does it contain some state-of-the-art results? As a reviewer you should try to assess whether the ideas are truly new and creative. Novel combinations, adaptations or extensions of existing ideas are also valuable.

5. Evaluation is as follow

- The work doesn't propose any genuinely new idea yet can be considered as a moderately comprehensive comparative study among various Machine Learning approaches.
- The work is quite interesting to read and cite.
- Confidence on your assessment (1-3) (3- I have carefully read the paper and checked the results, 2- I just browse the paper without checking the details, 1- My assessment can be wrong)

2. I just browse the paper without checking the details