Rebuttal from group 4

Review 1:

Rebuttal on quality of writing: We have acknowledged that the correlation was a typo in our poster, however, we believe that this is the only typo in our poster and there are no grammar mistakes.

Rebuttal on creativity: We think we deserve a higher mark in the creativity part. Besides adopting the state-of-art LightGBM model, we also proposed an aggregation method to merge several datasets in our analysis, which is not done by other groups. We think this should be considered as adaptations and extensions of existing ideas and deserves a higher mark.