Rebuttal

LI Haobo, CHEN Zixin, TENG fei, SHENG Rui
April 29, 2023

1 Rebuttal on HUANG Zhanmiao's review

For Zhanmiao's review of our project, I believe He made a mistake since his comments are not the content of our work. We used PCA and t-SNE to make the dimension reduction and visualise the historical time series and the prediction results with a visualization system that enables interactions. Thus, His comment on MDS and other things may be the comment of another group whose dataset is also NIPS. Please have a check.

2 Rebuttal on RUAN yuyan's review

Thanks for RUAN yuyan's review of our project. I might have some different opinions. For your cons, I think it is just a mini-project, and actually, we think our pipeline can be considered complex compared to others. Therefore, it might not be necessary to take so many things (e.g., academic writing) into account. It is more about ideas. We would appreciate it if you could reconsider the evaluation of Technical Quality and the overall rating if possible. Could you please slightly improve our ratings? Really thankful! By the way, we think your group has completed a nice project since two of our group members who reviewed your project have given a good rating.

3 Rebuttal on YAN Ningyu's review

Thanks for YAN Ningyu's review. We have several questions about the review. First, it is about the evaluation on Technical Quality. We think the reviewer gave positive comments. However, the rating of this part is just 3. Besides, since we use data reduction to visualize the result, we think it is not necessary to compare multiple methods as long as they are reasonable. Comparing multiple methods does not contribute much to our pipeline. For the writing part, since we need to consider the visualization effect (since three members' research area in our team is visualization) and do not violate the principles of visualization, this figure is one of the best solutions we have been able to devise. Maybe it is because our idea is somewhat complex, we think our layout is fine. From mentioned above, we hope that the reviewer can reconsider the rating score.