

## **Group6**

### **Summary:**

Based on previous project, the author proposes tree-based models to see improve prediction accuracy.

### **Strength:**

Based on the result of project1, the author has made great progress in accuracy. Also, the authors discussed many tree-based methods.

### **Weakness:**

The idea about tree-based model is already discussed in project1 by another group.

### **Evaluation on quality of writing: 4**

The poster is well written and clearly shows the project methodology. Yet the reference part could be clearer if the title of the reference is given instead of just providing links, which makes readers unclear about what reference the authors are using.

### **Evaluation on presentation: 4**

The author clearly presented the project. The slides are well written and clearly shows the project flow.

### **Evaluation on creativity: 3**

The use of tree-based model on Titanic dataset has already been discussed by another group in project1. Yet the author has presented some difference in detailed implementation.

### **Confidence on your assessment: 3**