

Lecture 3: Cryptography

*Lecturer: Somitra Sanadhya**Scribe: Sumit Kumar Prajapati (B20CS074)*

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

3.1 History

There were two area of study -

- **Cryptography:** designing secure communication schemes
- **Cryptoanalysis:** attacking above schemes to break it

Nowadays, we study both under Cryptography

3.2 Attack Models

The goal of the attacker is to gain some information about plaintext m^* corresponding to a given cipher text c^* . We categorise the attack models based on the resources accessible to the attacker.

1. Ciphertext Only

- The attacker has access to only ciphertext c^* .

2. Known Plaintext Attack

- The attacker has access to some (m_i, c_i) pairs s.t. $Enc_k(m_i) = c_i$ and $c_i \neq c^*$.

3. Chosen Plaintext Attack (CPA)

- The attacker has access to some (m_i, c_i) pairs s.t. $Enc_k(m_i) = c_i$ and $c_i \neq c^*$. The attacker can choose specific m_i and ask for its corresponding encryption c_i .

4. Chosen Ciphertext Attack (CCA)

- The attacker has access to some (m_i, c_i) pairs s.t. $Enc_k(m_i) = c_i$ and $c_i \neq c^*$. Here, the attacker can choose specific either m_i (and ask for the corresponding encryption c_i) or c_i (and ask for the corresponding decryption m_i) for each pair.
- CCA is further divided into two categories:
 - **CCA-1:** The c^* will be given at the end of after all pair-exchanges and no further query will be allowed.
 - **CCA-2:** The c^* can be asked by the attacker at any point of time. He/she can query more pairs even after c^* is revealed.

Clearly, the power(resources) of the attacker increases from top to bottom in the list. Our ultimate goal is to design a cryptographic scheme which will be secure under **CCA-2** attack model.

3.3 Encryption Scheme Model

- An encryption scheme consists of three spaces:
 - **Key Space** (\mathcal{K}): The key k is generated at random using $KeyGen()$ algorithm. It may have some security parameter as input (for eg. length of the key).
 - **Message Space** (\mathcal{M}): Messages come from some distribution; let D be a random variable for sampling the messages from the message space \mathcal{M} . Distribution D is known to the adversary. This captures a *priori* information about the messages.
 - **Ciphertext Space** (\mathcal{C}): The ciphertext $c = Enc(k, m)$ depends on:
 - * m chosen according to D .
 - * k chosen randomly (according to $KeyGen()$)
 - * Enc may also use some randomness
 These induce a distribution C over the ciphertexts c .
- For correctness of the scheme, following must hold: $\forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \forall m \in \mathcal{M}, Dec(k, Enc(k, m)) = m$.

3.4 ‘Unbreakable Cryptosystem’

- Intuitively, we might want to define perfect security of an encryption scheme as follows: **Given a ciphertext all messages are equally likely.**
- This can be formulated as:

$$\forall m_0, m_1 \in M, c \in C$$

$$Pr[M = m_0 | C = c] = Pr[M = m_1 | C = c]$$
- The probability here is over the randomness used in the $KeyGen()$ and Enc algorithms and the probability distribution over the message space.
- But this definition has a problem. It might be a priori known that the message m_0 is more likely than m_1 . We do not want ‘seeing the ciphertext’ to change this information.
- We want the ciphertext to provide **no additional information** about the message.

3.4.1 Shannon’s Secrecy

A cipher $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}, KeyGen, Enc, Dec)$ is Shannon secure w.r.t a distribution D over M if

$$\forall m' \in \mathcal{M}, \forall c \in \mathcal{C}$$

$$Pr[m \leftarrow D : m = m'] = Pr[k \leftarrow KeyGen() : m = m' | Enc(m, k) = c]$$

where the first probability is taken over \mathcal{M} chosen according to distribution D , over random keys K chosen in \mathcal{K} , and over the possible random choices of the (possibly) probabilistic encryption algorithm Enc , while the second probability is taken over $M \leftarrow D$.

It is Shannon secure if it is Shannon secure w.r.t **all distributions** D over \mathcal{M} .

3.4.2 Perfect Security

- Suppose we have two messages: $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$.
- What is the distribution of ciphertexts for m_1 ?

$$C_1 := \{Enc(m_1, k) \mid k \leftarrow KeyGen()\}$$

- What is the distribution of ciphertexts for m_2 ?

$$C_2 := \{Enc(m_2, k) \mid k \leftarrow KeyGen()\}$$

- For **perfect secrecy**:
 C_1 and C_2 must be identical for every pair m_1, m_2 .
 \Rightarrow Ciphertexts are *independent* of the plaintext(s)

Definition: A Scheme $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}, KeyGen, Enc, Dec)$ is **perfectly secure** if

$$\forall m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}, \forall c \in \mathcal{C}$$

$$Pr[k \leftarrow KeyGen() : Enc(m_1, k) = c] = Pr[k \leftarrow KeyGen() : Enc(m_2, k) = c]$$

where both probabilities are taken over the choice of K in \mathcal{K} and over the coin tosses of the (possibly) probabilistic algorithm $Enc()$

- So much simpler than Shannon Secrecy!
- No mention of distributions, a priori or posteriori.
- Much easier to work with.

3.4.3 Which notion is better?

- We have two definitions: Shannon secrecy and Perfect secrecy.
- Both of them intuitively seem to guarantee great security!
- Is one better than the other?
- If our intuition is right, shouldn't they offer 'same level' of security?

3.4.4 Equivalence of Shannon Secrecy and Perfect Secrecy

Theorem: A private-key encryption scheme is *perfectly secure* if and only if it is *Shannon secure*.

$$Perfect\ Secrecy \Leftrightarrow Shannon\ Secrecy$$

Simplifying Notation

- We drop $KeyGen$ and D when clear from context.
- $Enc_k(m)$ will be shorthand for $Enc(k, m)$.

• For example:

- $Pr_m[\dots] = Pr[m \leftarrow D : \dots]$
- $Pr_k[\dots] = Pr[k \leftarrow KeyGen() : \dots]$
- $Pr_{k,m}[\dots] = Pr[m \leftarrow D, k \leftarrow KeyGen() : \dots]$

Proof: Perfect Secrecy \Rightarrow Shannon Secrecy

Given: $\forall (m_1, m_2) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}, \forall c \in \mathcal{C} :$

$$Pr_k[Enc_k(m_1) = c] = Pr_k[Enc_k(m_2) = c]$$

To Show: for every D over $\mathcal{M}, m' \in \mathcal{M}, c \in \mathcal{C}$

$$Pr_{k,m}[m = m' \mid Enc_k(m) = c] = Pr_m[m = m']$$

$$L.H.S = Pr_{k,m}[m = m' \mid Enc_k(m) = c] \tag{3.1}$$

$$= \frac{Pr_{k,m}[m = m' \cap Enc_k(m) = c]}{Pr_{k,m}[Enc_k(m) = c]} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\tag{3.3}$$

$$= \frac{Pr_{k,m}[m = m' \cap Enc_k(m') = c]}{Pr_{k,m}[Enc_k(m) = c]} (\because m = m') \tag{3.4}$$

$$\tag{3.5}$$

$\because Pr[m = m']$ is independent of k and $Pr[Enc_k(m') = c]$ is independent of m

$$= \frac{Pr_{k,m}[m = m'] \cdot Pr[Enc_k(m') = c]}{Pr_{k,m}[Enc_k(m) = c]} \tag{3.6}$$

$$= R.H.S \times \frac{Pr[Enc_k(m') = c]}{Pr_{k,m}[Enc_k(m) = c]} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\tag{3.8}$$

Now, we will show that $\frac{Pr[Enc_k(m') = c]}{Pr_{k,m}[Enc_k(m) = c]} = 1$

The probability that we get a cipher-text c from any message m is the sum of the probabilities of each test in the message set \mathcal{M} leading to c on encryption using Enc

$$\therefore Pr[Enc_k(m') = c] = \sum_{m=m''} Pr_m[m = m''] Pr_k[Enc_k(m'') = c] \tag{3.9}$$

$$\tag{3.10}$$

\therefore probability of getting ciphertext c is equal for every message in \mathcal{M}

$$= \sum_{m=m''} Pr_m[m = m''] Pr_k[Enc_k(m') = c] \quad (3.11)$$

$$= Pr_k[Enc_k(m') = c] \sum_{m=m''} Pr_m[m = m''] \quad (3.12)$$

$$= Pr_k[Enc_k(m') = c] \times 1 \text{ (QED)} \quad (3.13)$$

$$(3.14)$$

Proof: Perfect Secrecy \Leftarrow Shannon Secrecy

Given: for every D over \mathcal{M} , $m' \in \mathcal{M}$, $c \in \mathcal{C}$

$$Pr_{k,m}[m = m' \mid Enc_k(m) = c] = Pr_m[m = m']$$

To Show: $\forall (m_1, m_2) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$, $\forall c \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$Pr_k[Enc_k(m_1) = c] = Pr_k[Enc_k(m_2) = c]$$

Now,

$$Pr_{k,m}[m = m_1 \mid Enc_k(m) = c] = \frac{Pr_{k,m}[m = m_1 \cap Enc_k(m) = c]}{Pr_{k,m}[Enc_k(m) = c]} \quad (3.15)$$

$$= \frac{Pr_{k,m}[m = m_1 \cap Enc_k(m_1) = c]}{Pr_{k,m}[Enc_k(m) = c]} (\because m = m_1) \quad (3.16)$$

$$= \frac{Pr_{k,m}[m = m_1] \cdot Pr[Enc_k(m_1) = c]}{Pr_{k,m}[Enc_k(m) = c]} \quad (3.17)$$

$$(3.18)$$

$$\therefore Pr_{k,m}[m = m_1 \mid Enc_k(m) = c] = Pr_{k,m}[m = m'] \quad (3.19)$$

$$\frac{Pr_{k,m}[m = m_1] \cdot Pr[Enc_k(m_1) = c]}{Pr_{k,m}[Enc_k(m) = c]} = Pr_{k,m}[m = m'] \quad (3.20)$$

$$Pr[Enc_k(m_1) = c] = Pr_{k,m}[Enc_k(m) = c] \quad (3.21)$$

$$Pr[Enc_k(m_1) = c] = Pr_{k,m}[Enc_k(m) = c] \quad (3.22)$$

$$\text{Similarly, } Pr[Enc_k(m_2) = c] = Pr_{k,m}[Enc_k(m) = c] \quad (3.23)$$

$$\therefore Pr[Enc_k(m_1) = c] = Pr[Enc_k(m_2) = c] \forall m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M} \text{ (QED)}$$

3.4.5 Perfect Security: Key Size Requirement

Theorem: For Perfect Security, $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$ must hold.

Proof by Contradiction

- Assume that there is a perfectly secure cipher with $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$.
- Choose any random $m_1 \in \mathcal{M}, k \in \mathcal{K}$ and let $c = Enc_k(m)$.
- Now let $M = \{Dec'_k(c)\}$ for all possible keys k'
- Clearly, $|M| \leq |\mathcal{K}|$
- Since $|\mathcal{K}| < |\mathcal{M}|$, this means $\exists m_2 \notin M$.
- Hence, $Pr[Enc_k(m_2) = c] = 0$
- But, $Pr[Enc_k(m_1) = c] > 0$
- Note: The above probability will be 1 for a deterministic encryption scheme.
- There exist m_1, m_2, c s.t. $Pr[Enc_k(m_1) = c] \neq Pr[Enc_k(m_2) = c]$.
- Contradiction.

3.4.6 One Time Pad: A perfect secure scheme

- let n be an integer = length of plaintext messages.
- Message space $\mathcal{M} := \{0, 1\}^n$ (bit-strings of length n)
- Key space $\mathcal{K} := \{0, 1\}^n$ (keys too are length n bit-strings)
- The key is as long as the message. A random key is used **only once**.
- The Encryption Scheme:
 - $KeyGen()$: samples a key uniformly at random $k \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n \Rightarrow Pr_k[k = k'] = 2^{-n}$
 - $Enc(m, k) = m \oplus k$ (bit-by-bit xor)
 Let $m = m_1m_2...m_n$ and $k = k_1k_2...k_n$;
 Output $c = c_1c_2...c_n$ where $c_i = m_i \oplus k_i \forall i \in [n]$
 - $Dec(c, k) = c \oplus k$.
 - Return m where $m_i = c_i \oplus k_i \forall i$

ENCRYPT		
\oplus	0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 Plaintext	
	1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 Secret Key	
=	1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 Ciphertext	
DECRYPT		
\oplus	1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 Ciphertext	
	1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 Secret Key	
=	0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 Plaintext	

Theorem: One Time Pad is a perfectly secure private-key encryption scheme.

Proof

Fix $m \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and $c \in \{0, 1\}^n$.

$$Pr_k[Enc(m) = c] = Pr[m \oplus k = c] \tag{3.24}$$

$$= Pr[k = m \oplus c] = 2^{-n} \tag{3.25}$$

$$\tag{3.26}$$

$\Rightarrow \forall (m_1, m_2) \in \{0, 1\}^{n \times n}, \forall c :$

$Pr[Enc_k(m_1) = c] = Pr_k[Enc_k(m_2) = c] = 2^{-n}$ (QED)

The One Time Pad (OTP) scheme is also known as the **Vernam Cipher**.

References

- <https://www.ics.uci.edu/~stasio/fall04/lect1.pdf>
- <https://www3.cs.stonybrook.edu/~omkant/L02-short.pdf>
- <https://www.cs.purdue.edu/homes/hmaji/teaching/Fall%202016/lectures/03.pdf>