

# Algorithms

History, State, Behavior, Enemies of OOP

YEGOR BUGAYENKO

Lecture #1 out of 8

90 minutes

All visual and text materials presented in this slidedeck are either originally made by the author or taken from public Internet sources, such as website. Copyright belongs to their respected authors.

History

Original Intent

Object Thinking vs. Algorithms

Enemies of Object Thinking

How to Pass the Exam?

Read and Watch

**WARNING!**

In the pursuit of academic enlightenment within this course, it is paramount to caution that the doctrines disseminated may present a potentially hazardous venture if employed in real-life software projects. This inherent risk arises from the potential incongruity with the broadly accepted canon of object-oriented programming and recognized best programming practices. If one remains resolute in their decision to adapt their coding methodologies to align with the principles propagated in this course, it would be prudent to employ a certain degree of foresight. A humorous, yet sincere suggestion, would be to secure alternate employment prior to a possible premature termination of one's current professional engagement.

Written by me, edited by ChatGPT

## Chapter #1:

# History

## Who started it?



Ivan Sutherland's seminal **Sketchpad** application was an early inspiration for OOP, created between 1961 and 1962 and published in his Sketchpad Thesis in 1963. Any object could become a “master,” and additional instances of the objects were called “occurrences”. Sketchpad's masters share a lot in common with JavaScript's prototypal inheritance.

(c) Wikipedia

## Who invented Objects, Classes, and Inheritance?



**Simula** was developed in the 1965 at the Norwegian Computing Center in Oslo, by Ole-Johan Dahl and Kristen Nygaard. Like Sketchpad, Simula featured objects, and eventually introduced classes, class inheritance, subclasses, and virtual methods. (c) Wikipedia

## Simula-67: Sample Code

```
1 Class Figure;  
2   Virtual: Real Procedure square Is Procedure square;;  
3 Begin  
4 End;  
5 Figure Class Circle (c, r);  
6   Real c, r;  
7 Begin  
8   Real Procedure square;  
9   Begin  
10    square := 3.1415 * r * r;  
11   End;  
12 End;
```

## Who coined the “OOP” term?



**Smalltalk** was created in the 1970s at Xerox PARC by Learning Research Group (LRG) scientists, including Alan Kay, Dan Ingalls, Adele Goldberg, Ted Kaehler, Diana Merry, and Scott Wallace. (c) Wikipedia



## Smalltalk: Sample Code

```
1 Object subclass: Account [  
2     | balance |  
3     Account class >> new [  
4         | r |  
5         r := super new. r init. ^r  
6     ]  
7     init [ balance := 0 ]  
8 ]  
9 Account extend [  
10     deposit: amount [ balance := balance + amount ]  
11 ]  
12 a := Account new  
13 a deposit: 42
```



“Everyone will be in a favor of OOP. Every manufacturer will promote his products as supporting it. Every manager will pay lip service to it. Every programmer will practice it (differently). And no one will know just what it is.”

— Tim Rentsch,  
Object Oriented Programming,  
ACM SIGPLAN Notices 17.9, 1982

## Who made it all popular?



C++ was created by Danish computer scientist Bjarne Stroustrup in 1985, by enhancing C language with Simula-like features. C was chosen because it was general-purpose, fast, portable and widely used.

You may enjoy watching this [one-hour dialog](#) of Dr. Stroustrup and me.

## C++: Sample Code

```
1 class Figure {  
2     virtual float square() = 0;  
3 };  
4 class Circle : public Figure {  
5     Circle(float c, float r) : c(c), r(r) {};  
6     float square() { return 3.1415 * r * r; };  
7 private:  
8     float c, r;  
9 };
```



“There are as many definitions of OOP as there  
papers and books on the topic”

— Ole Lehrmann Madsen et al.,  
What Object-Oriented Programming May Be—And What  
It Does Not Have to Be, ECOOP’89



“I made up the term ‘object-oriented,’ and I can tell you I didn’t have C++ in mind”

— Alan Kay, OOPSLA’97

There was an interesting debate between Alan Kay and a few readers of my blog, in the comments section under this blog post: [Alan Kay Was Wrong About Him Being Wrong](#)

## What happened later?

C++ was released in 1985. And then...

Erlang 1986

Eiffel 1986

Self 1987

Perl 1988

Haskell 1990

Python 1991

Lua 1993

JavaScript 1995

Ruby 1995

Java 1995

Go 1995

PHP3 1998

C# 2000

Rust 2010

Swift 2014



“There is no uniformity or an agreement on the set of features and mechanisms that belong in an OO language as the paradigm itself is far too general”

— Oscar Nierstrasz,  
A Survey of Object-Oriented Concepts, 1989



## Incomplete list of OOP features, so far:

Polymorphism  
Nested Objects  
Traits  
Templates  
Generics  
Invariants  
Classes  
NULL  
Exceptions  
Operators  
Methods  
Static Blocks  
Virtual Tables  
Coroutines

Monads  
Algebraic Types  
Annotations  
Interfaces  
Constructors  
Destructors  
Lifetimes  
Volatile Variables  
Synchronization  
Macros  
Inheritance  
Overloading  
Tuple Types  
Closures

Access Modifiers  
Pattern Matching  
Enumerated Types  
Namespaces  
Modules  
Type Aliases  
Decorators  
Lambda Functions  
Type Inference  
Properties  
Value Types  
Multiple Inheritance  
Events  
Callbacks

NULL Safety  
Streams  
Buffers  
Iterators  
Generators  
Aspects  
Anonymous Objects  
Anonymous Functions  
Reflection  
Type Casting  
Lazy Evaluation  
Garbage Collection  
Immutability



“Object oriented programs are offered as alternatives to correct ones... Object-oriented programming is an exceptionally bad idea which could only have originated in California.”

— Edsger W. Dijkstra, 1989



“C++ is a horrible language. . . C++ leads to really, really bad design choices. . . In other words, the only way to do good, efficient, and system-level and portable C++ ends up to limit yourself to all the things that are basically available in C.”

— Linus Torvalds, 2007  
Creator of Linux



“OO seems to bring at least as many problems to the table as it solves”

— Jeff Atwood, 2007  
Co-founder of Stack Overflow



“I think that large objected-oriented programs struggle with increasing complexity as you build this large object graph of mutable objects. You know, trying to understand and keep in your mind what will happen when you call a method and what will the side effects be.”

— Rich Hickey, 2010  
Creator of Clojure

The complexity of object-oriented code  
remains its primary drawback



“Reading an OO code you can’t see the big picture and it is often impossible to review all the small functions that call the one function that you modified”

— Asaf Shelly, 2015

Flaws of Object Oriented Modeling



“Object oriented programming promotes ease in designing reusable software but the long coded methods makes it unreadable and enhances the complexity of the methods”

— Zeba Khanam, 2018

Barriers to Refactoring: Issues and Solutions, International Journal on Future Revolution in Computer Science & Communication Engineering



Thus, we don't know anymore what exactly is object-oriented programming, and whether it helps us write better code :(

You can find more quotes in this blog post of mine: [What's Wrong With Object-Oriented Programming?](#)

Chapter #2:

## Original Intent



“The contemporary mainstream understanding of objects (which is not behavioral) is but a pale shadow of the original idea and anti-ethical to the original intent”

— David West,  
Object Thinking, 2004

You may enjoy watching our conversation with Dr. West: [part I](#) and [part II](#).

A system is a composition of objects that are abstractions, which hide data and expose behavior\*

\* This is how I understand the original intent.

## 1) What is an “abstraction”?



- Color: red
- Weight: 120g
- Price: \$0.99



```
1 var file = {  
2   path: '/tmp/data.txt',  
3   read: function() { ... },  
4   write: function(txt) { ... }  
5 }
```

We deal with an abstraction as if it was a real thing, but eliminating unnecessary details. We do `file.read()` instead of “open file handler for data.txt, read byte by byte, store in byte buffer, wait for the end of file, return the result.”

## How many abstractions are needed?

```
1 int square(x1, y1, x2, y2) {  
2     int w = x2 - x1;  
3     if (w < 0) { w = w * -1; }  
4     int h = y2 - y1;  
5     if (h < 0) { h = h * -1; }  
6     return w * h;  
7 }
```

```
1 int distance(left, right) {  
2     int d = right - left;  
3     if (d < 0) { d = d * -1; }  
4     return d;  
5 }  
6 int square(x1, y1, x2, y2) {  
7     return distance(x2, x1)  
8         * distance(y2, y1);  
9 }
```

There are two abstractions at the right snippet (“square” and “distance”), while only one abstraction at the left one (just “square”).

## Levels of abstraction

```
1 int distance(left, right) {  
2   int d = right - left;  
3   if (d < 0) { d = d * -1; }  
4   return d;  
5 }  
6 int square(x1, y1, x2, y2) {  
7   return distance(x2, x1)  
8     * distance(y2, y1);  
9 }
```



Higher level abstractions must not know and/or rely on semantics of lower level abstractions.

## 2) What is “data hiding”?

```
1 f = new File("/tmp/data.txt");  
2 // The data escapes the object! :(  
3 p = f.getPath();  
4 FileUtils.deleteFile(p);
```

```
1 f = new File("/tmp/data.txt");  
2 // The boolean data escapes too :)  
3 done = f.delete();  
4 assert(done);
```

Obviously, some data must escape your objects.



### 3) What is “behavior exposing”?

This is so called “anemic” object:

```
1 var user = {  
2   login: 'jeff',  
3   password: 'swordfish',  
4   age: 32  
5 }  
6 function print(u) {  
7   console.log(`Hello, ${u.login},  
8     you are ${u.age} today!`);  
9 }  
10 print(user);
```

This object is “alive”:

```
1 var user = {  
2   login: 'jeff',  
3   password: 'swordfish',  
4   age: 32,  
5   print: function() {  
6     console.log(`Hello, ${this.login},  
7       you are ${this.age} today!`);  
8   }  
9 }  
10 user.print();
```

## An object as a function

```
1 int distance(left, right) {  
2     int d = right - left;  
3     if (d < 0) { d = d * -1; }  
4     return d; }  
5 int square(x1, y1, x2, y2) {  
6     return distance(x2, x1)  
7         * distance(y2, y1); }
```

```
1 class Distance {  
2     private int r; private int l;  
3     Distance(l, r) { l = l; r = r; }  
4     int value() {  
5         int d = right - left;  
6         if (d < 0) { d = d * -1; }  
7         return d; } }  
8 int square(x1, y1, x2, y2) {  
9     return new Distance(x2, x1).value()  
10        * new Distance(y2, y1).value(); } }
```

The Java object `Distance` on the right snippet is semantically equivalent to the C function `distance()` on the left one.

## Identity, State, Behavior

```
1 class Circle {  
2     private float radius;  
3     Circle(float r) {  
4         radius = r; }  
5     void getRadius() {  
6         return radius; }  
7     void setRadius(float r) {  
8         radius = r; }  
9     float square() {  
10         return 3.14 * radius * radius; }  
11 }
```

```
1 // Identity:  
2 c1 = new Circle(42.0);  
3 c2 = new Circle(42.0);  
4 c1 != c2;  
5  
6 // State:  
7 c1 = new Circle(42.0);  
8 c2 = new Circle(42.0);  
9 c1.getRadius() == c2.getRadius();  
10  
11 // Behavior:  
12 c1 = new Circle(42.0);  
13 c2 = new Circle(-42.0);  
14 c1.square() == c2.square();
```

## State vs. Behavior

```
1 class Circle {
2     private float r;
3     void setR(float r) { this.r = r; }
4     float getR() { return this.r; }
5 }
6 class FigureUtils {
7     static float calculateSquare(Circle c) {
8         return 3.14 * c.getR() * c.getR();
9     }
10 }
11 Circle c = new Circle();
12 c.setR(42.0);
13 float s = FigureUtils.square(c);
```

```
1 class Circle {
2     private float r;
3     Circle(float r) { this.r = r; }
4     float square() {
5         return 3.14 * this.r * this.r;
6     }
7 }
8 Circle c = new Circle(42.0);
9 float s = c.square();
```

How to decide what is state and what is behavior?

## 4) What is “composition”?

```
1 canvas = new Canvas();  
2 canvas.addCircle(new Circle(42));  
3 canvas.draw();
```

```
1 canvas = new Canvas();  
2 circle = new Circle(42);  
3 circle.drawOn(canvas);
```

What is composition? What is the “right” composition?

Chapter #3:

## Object Thinking vs. Algorithms

## While-Do loop

```
1 buffer = []
2 while true
3   c = STDIN.readchar
4   break if c == "\n"
5   if buffer.length > 3
6     STDOUT.puts buffer.join
7     buffer = []
8   end
9   buffer << c
10 end
```

```
1 $ echo 'Hello, world!' | ruby a.rb
2 Hell
3 o, w
4 orld
```

## Buffer abstraction

```
1 buffer = []
2 while true
3   c = STDIN.readchar
4   break if c == "\n"
5   if buffer.length > 3
6     STDOUT.puts buffer.join
7     buffer = []
8   end
9   buffer << c
10 end
```

```
1 class Buffer
2   def initialize; @data = []; end
3   def push(c)
4     if @data.length > 3
5       STDOUT.puts @data.join
6       @data = []
7     end
8     @data << c
9   end
10 end
11 buffer = Buffer.new
12 while true
13   c = STDIN.readchar
14   break if c == "\n"
15   buffer.push c
16 end
```



## Loop abstraction

```
1 class Buffer
2   def initialize; @data = []; end
3   def push(c)
4     if @data.length > 3
5       STDOUT.puts @data.join
6       @data = []
7     end
8     @data << c
9   end
10 end
11 buffer = Buffer.new
12 while true
13   c = STDIN.readchar
14   break if c == "\n"
15   buffer.push c
16 end
```

```
1 class Buffer
2   # the same
3 end
4 class Pull
5   def initialize(b); @buf = b; end
6   def again
7     c = STDIN.readchar
8     return false if c == "\n"
9     @buf.push c
10    true
11  end
12 end
13 buffer = Buffer.new
14 pull = Pull.new(buffer)
15 while pull.again; end
```

## Loop abstraction

```
1 class Buffer
2   # the same
3 end
4 class Pull
5   def initialize(b); @buf = b; end
6   def again
7     c = STDIN.readchar
8     return false if c == "\n"
9     @buf.push c
10    true
11  end
12 end
13 buffer = Buffer.new
14 pull = Pull.new(buffer)
15 while pull.again; end
```

```
1 class Buffer
2   # the same
3 end
4 class Pull
5   # the same
6 end
7 class Pulls
8   def initialize(p); @pull = p; end
9   def fetch
10    while @pull.again; end
11  end
12 end
13 Pulls.new(Pull.new(Buffer.new)).fetch
```

[ While Buffer Loop Loop [Composition](#) ]

## Object composition

```
1 class Buffer
2   def initialize; @data = []; end
3   def push(c)
4     if @data.length > 3
5       STDOUT.puts @data.join
6       @data = []
7     end
8     @data << c
9   end
10 end
11
12 class Pull
13   def initialize(b); @buf = b; end
14   def again
15     c = STDIN.readchar
16     return false if c == "\n"
17     @buf.push c
```

```
18     true
19   end
20 end
21
22 class Pulls
23   def initialize(p); @pull = p; end
24   def fetch
25     while @pull.again; end
26   end
27 end
28
29 Pulls.new(
30   Pull.new(
31     Buffer.new
32   )
33 ).fetch
```

Chapter #4:

## Enemies of Object Thinking

## What makes us think as algorithms

Global scope (static methods)

Anemic objects (getters)

Mutability (setters)

Workers (“-er” suffix)

NULL references

Type casting (reflection)

Inheritance

Chapter #5:

## How to Pass the Exam?

## Make a software project, which...

- ... is larger than 5,000 lines of functional code,
- ... compiles and works,
- ... doesn't have static methods,
- ... has no getters or public attributes,
- ... doesn't use NULL references,
- ... has only immutable objects,
- ... doesn't use inheritance.

Otherwise, just attend 75% of all lectures and you will get your “C”.

Chapter #6:

## Read and Watch



## Read and watch:

David West, Object Thinking, 2004

Yegor Bugayenko, Elegant Objects, 2016

Read my 80+ blog posts about OOP, here

Watch my 15+ lectures about OOP, on YouTube

“Object Thinking” meetup, watch on YouTube.