CSE 579: Knowledge Representation & Reasoning

Module 6: KRR with Uncertainty

Ali Altunkaya Spring 2023

Outline

- 1. Basics of Probability
 - 1. Random Variables & Possible Worlds
 - 2. Joint Distribution & Marginalization
 - 3. Conditioning
 - 4. Bayes Rule & Product Rule
 - 5. Marginal Independence & Conditional Independence
- 2. Bayesian Networks
- 3. Markov Logic
- 4. Language LPMLN

Overview of Module 6

Overview

- Basics of Probability
- What is Bayesian Networks? How Bayesian Networks utilize conditional independence?
- Markov Logic (combines FOL Logic + Probability)
- LPMLN (combines ASP + Markov Logic) is a formalism, a probabilistic extension of ASP.
- How to compute probabilistic stable models of LPMLN.
 - first turn LPMLN into ASP program
 - then apply LPMLN inference on probabilistic reasoning

http://reasoning.eas.asu.edu/lpmln/Tutorial.html

1-) Basic Axioms:

- 0 ≤ P(A) ≤ 1 → A probability is between 0 and 1.
- P(tautology) = 1
- P(A ∨ B) = P(A) + P(B) → If A and B are "mutually exclusive" which means they cannot happen at the same time.

2-) Random Variable & Possible Worlds:

- A random variable X, can have a set of values it can take with probability p(X_i).
 - $\sum p(X_i) = 1$
- A possible world w, specifies an assignment to each random variable in the world. (very similar to interpretations)
 - For example, a dice can take six values:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} p(X_i) = 1$$

3-) Joint Probability Distribution:

- $P(X \land Y) \rightarrow Joint probability$: if X and Y happens at the same time.
- Joint probability distribution, contains all possible pairs of outputs for X and Y

$$- \sum p(X=x_i, Y=y_i) = 1$$

- **4-) Marginalized Probability:** Given joint probability distribution, we can compute distributions over smaller sets of variables.
 - Summing out a dimension in the JPD table.

- 5-) Conditional Probability: Probability of an event, given a condition.
 - P(temp=hot | weather=sunny) → what is the probability of temp=hot, given that weather is sunny.
- P(t=hot | w=sunny) = P (t=hot ^ w=sunny) / P(w=sunny)
- $P(h|e) = P(h \land e) / P(e)$
- **6-) Bayes Rule:** Bayes rule utilizes conditional probability.
 - P(h | e) = P(h ∧ e) / P(e) can be written as below in two forms:
 - $P(h \land e) = P(h \mid e) P(e)$
 - $P(e \land h) = P(e \mid h) P(h)$
 - Since P (h ^ e) = P (e ^ h) then:
 - P(h | e) P(e) = P(e | h) P(h)
 - $P(h \mid e) = P(e \mid h) P(h) / P(e) \rightarrow Bayes Rules$

- 7-) Product Rule (aka Chain Rule): How to compute joint probability of many random variables using conditional probabilities.
- $p(f_1 \wedge f_2) = p(f_1) p(f_2 | f_1)$
- $p(f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3) = p(f_1) p(f_2 | f_1) p(f_3 | f_1, f_2)$
- $p(f_1 \land f_2 ... f_n) = p(f_1) p(f_2 | f_1) p(f_3 | f_1, f_2) ... p(f_n | f_1, f_2, ..., f_{n-1})$
- 8-) Marginal Independence: If value of Y has no effect on value of X then
 - $P(X=x_i, Y=y_i) = P(X=x_i)$
- **9-) Conditional Independence:** If X is independent of Y given Z, then
- $P(X=x_i | Y=y_i, Z=z_k) = P(X=x_i | Z=z_k)$
- **10-) Exploiting Conditional Independence:** Product (Chain) Rule doesn't help us to reduce the size of joint-distribution table, which is 2ⁿ.
- Using conditional independence, we can reduce the size of JD table and can gain compactness.
- For example: if the probability of next letter depends only the current letter.
- Another example will be covered in Bayesian Networks.

2. Bayesian Networks

Bayesian Networks have two components:

- 1) DAG (Directed Acyclic Graph) where
 - each node corresponds to a random variable
 - each edge indicates a direct influence between random variables using CPD table
- 2) CPD (Conditional Probability Distribution) table shows the probability of a random variable given its parents.
 - P(X_i | Parent(X_i)) where Parent(X_i) are parents of X_i.
 - Independence Assumption: Each random variable is independent of its non-descendants, given its parents.
 - We can achieve compact representation in computing chain rule on Bayesian Networks, due to Independence Assumption.
 - $P(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) = \prod P(X_i \mid Parent(X_i))$

2. Bayesian Networks

Types of Inferences on Bayesian Networks:

- Diagnostic
- Predictive
- Mixed
- Inter-causal
- Etc...

We can compute all types of inferences using the same Chain Rule and Conditional probability as below:

•
$$P(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) = \prod P(X_i \mid Parent(X_i))$$

3. Markov Logic

Combines Logic and Probability. Because we need it, for example as seen below, not all smokers get cancer.

Cancer(x) ← Smokes(x)

So we assign weight to each rule. We give more weight to important rules/formulas.

- Head ← Body (hard rule)
- w Head ← Body (soft rule, because there is a weight for each rule)

Log probability of a world is proportional with the sum of weights.

- $\log P(\text{world}) \leftrightarrow \sum \text{weights of rules}$ see example below
 - log P(0.2 * 0.4) \leftrightarrow ∑ (0.2 + 0.4) equals 3.6 \leftrightarrow 0.6
 - $\log P(0.2 * 0.4 * 0.2) \leftrightarrow \sum (0.2 + 0.4 + 0.2)$ equals $5.9 \leftrightarrow 0.8$
- It can be also written as below
 - P(world) ↔ exp(∑ weights of rules)

LPMLN is a formalism, which combines ASP with Markov Logic Networks.

Syntax:

- Each rule has a weight, which indicates the importance of a rule. It is either:
 - a real number such as 1, 2, 3 etc. or
 - an infinite weight (α)
- Variables are grounded with the domain values.

Semantics:

- Soft stable model: Each interpretation (or a model) has a weight too, using the sum of log probabilities.
- We can calculate the probability of each model, and make ranking. So we can see which model is better or more important.

Reward-based weight is proportional with Penalty-based weight:

- Reward-based weights: Counting rules that are true under the interpretation.
- Penalty-based weights: Counting rules that are false under the interpretation. Also add minus.

What is the difference between MLN and LPMLN?

- MLN (FOL + Probabilities)
- LPMLN (ASP + Probabilities)

LPMLN relation to other Languages.

1-) ASP \rightarrow LPMLN:

- ASP can be considered as a special case of LPMLN where each rule weight is infinite.
- If Π has at least one deterministic stable model in ASP, then all probabilistic stable models of P(Π) has the same probability in LPMLN.
- If Π has no deterministic stable model in ASP, then P(Π) can still have some probabilistic stable models in LPMLN.

2-) $MLN \rightarrow LPMLN$:

- We can convert MLN program into LPMLN program, by adding choice rule for every ground atom. So both programs will have the same stable models.
 - Since we add choice rule, we can easily satisfy "minimal model" stuff...

LPMLN relation to other Languages.

3-) LPMLN \rightarrow MLN:

- We can convert LPMLN program into MLN program via "completion" process (converting ASP program into Propositional Formula) in two steps:
 - For each atom, add a new rule, by changing the direction of implication, collecting all bodies in the same rule, and head is the atom.
- Completion process does not work on non-tight programs (if there is a cycle)
 - Positive dependency graph: only use positive atoms (no negation).
 - Loop is a path of length>0 in the Positive dependency graph.
 - A tight-program has no loops.
- For any tight LPMLN program Π , the probability of its stable models are the same with the completion of Π under MLN semantics.
- **4-) LPMLN** → **ASP:** We can convert LPMLN program to ASP using weak constraints. Using levels and ranking. Then
 - For any LPMLN program Π , the most probable stable models of Π are the same with the stable models of ASP(Π).

LPMLN inference examples:

- Finding the most probable stable models of a program.
- Finding marginal probability of a query on the stable models.
- Finding conditional probability of a query on the stable models.
- Representing Bayesian Networks in LPLMN
 - Encoding CPT (Conditional Probability Table) in clingo code
 - Encoding DAG (Directed Acyclic Graph) in clingo code
- Representing Probabilistic Graphs in LPMLN

Thanks & Questions