#### STAT 620: Asymptotic Statistics

Spring 2022

Lecture: Feb 15

Lecturer: Xianyang Zhang

## 1 Exponential Family

Consider the exponential family

$$f(x|\beta) = h(x) \exp \left[ \eta(\beta)^{\top} T(x) - \gamma(\beta) \right],$$

where  $T: \chi \to \mathbb{R}^d$ . Set  $\theta = \eta(\beta)$ , we can rewrite the distribution as

$$f(x|\theta) = h(x) \exp \left[\theta^{\top} T(x) - A(\theta)\right],$$

with  $A(\theta) = \gamma(\eta^{-1}(\theta))$ . As  $\int f(x|\theta)d\mu = 1$ , we have

$$A(\theta) = \log \left( \int h(x) \exp \left\{ \theta^{\top} T(x) \right\} dx \right).$$

From this we can calculate the likelihood and further get the expectation of T(x) as a function of  $A(\theta)$ . To see this, note that

$$l(\theta) = \log f(x|\theta) = \log [h(x)] + \theta^{\top} T(x) - A(\theta)$$

which implies that

$$\nabla l(\theta) = T(x) - \nabla A(\theta), \quad \nabla^2 l(\theta) = -\nabla^2 A(\theta).$$

Thus we get

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = -\mathbb{E}\left[\nabla^2 l(\theta)\right] = \nabla^2 A(\theta).$$

On the other hand, we observe from the definition of  $A(\theta)$  that

$$\nabla A(\theta) = \frac{\int T(x)h(x)\exp\left[\theta^{\top}T(x)\right]dx}{\exp\left[A(\theta)\right]} = \int T(x)h(x)\exp\left[\theta^{\top}T(x) - A(\theta)\right]dx = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[T(X)\right],$$

and

$$\nabla^2 A(\theta) = \nabla \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ T(X) \right] = \int T(x) \nabla f(x|\theta) dx.$$

Noting that  $\nabla f(x|\theta) = (T(x) - \nabla A(\theta))f(x|\theta)$ , we have

$$\nabla^{2} A(\theta) = \int T(x) (T(x) - \nabla A(\theta))^{\top} f(x|\theta) dx$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ T(X) T(X)^{\top} \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ T(X) \right] \nabla A(\theta)^{\top}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ T(X) T(X)^{\top} \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ T(X) \right] \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ T(X) \right]^{\top}$$

$$= \operatorname{cov}_{\theta} \left[ T(X) \right].$$

# 2 Maximum Likelihood Estimator for Exponential Family

Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots X_n \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} f(x|\theta)$ . We aim to find

$$\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \theta^{\top} T(X_i) - A(\theta) \right].$$

Let  $g(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\theta^{\top} T(X_i) - A(\theta)]$  and note that

$$g'(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ T(X_i) - \nabla A(\theta) \right].$$

Thus the MLE satisfies that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}T(X_{i}) = \nabla A(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[T(X)\right].$$

Denote the MLE by  $\hat{\theta}_n$ . We know that  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is also a moment estimator that solves the moment equations defined based on T. Moreover, we have

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta\right) \stackrel{d}{\to} N\left(0, I^{-1}(\theta)\right),$$

which follows from the asymptotic normality for moment estimator.

#### 2.1 Example

Consider the Poisson distribution  $f(x|\lambda) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}$ . Rewriting this in terms of the exponential distribution, we get

$$f(x|\lambda) = \exp \left[x \log \lambda - \lambda - \log x!\right].$$

It implies that in this case  $\theta = \log \lambda$ , T(x) = x and  $A(\theta) = e^{\theta}$ . From this, we see that

$$\nabla A(\theta) = \nabla^2 A(\theta) = e^{\theta} \text{ and } \hat{\theta}_n = \log(\bar{X}).$$

Thus we get

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta\right) \stackrel{d}{\to} N\left(0, e^{-\theta}\right).$$

# 3 Asymptotic Relative Efficiency

#### 3.1 Definition

Let us assume that  $\hat{\theta}_n$  and  $T_n$  are the estimators of the parameter  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . We also assume that

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta\right) \stackrel{d}{\to} N\left(0, \sigma^2(\theta)\right).$$

Let  $m(n) \to \infty$  such that

$$\sqrt{n} \left( T_{m(n)} - \theta \right) \stackrel{d}{\to} N \left( 0, \sigma^2(\theta) \right).$$

The ARE of  $\hat{\theta}_n$  with respect to  $T_m$  is defined as the limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{m(n)}{n}$ .

### 3.2 Sample Size

Suppose  $\frac{m(n)}{n} \to c$  as  $n \to \infty$  for some constant c. If  $c \ge 1$  then we need cn samples corresponding to n samples to get an estimate of same quality as  $\hat{\theta}_n$ .

### 3.3 Confidence Interval

Next we ask the question that what is the asymptotic distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta)$ . Let us assume that  $\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, \tau^2(\theta))$ . Note that

$$\sqrt{n}(T_{m(n)} - \theta) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{m(n)}} \sqrt{m(n)} (T_{m(n)} - \theta).$$

By comparing the variance, we get that

$$\lim_{n} \frac{n}{m(n)} \tau^{2}(\theta) = \sigma^{2}(\theta) \implies \frac{\sigma^{2}(\theta)}{\tau^{2}(\theta)} \approx \frac{n}{m(n)} \implies \tau^{2}(\theta) \approx c\sigma^{2}(\theta).$$

Next we construct a  $(1-\alpha)100\%$  asymptotic confidence Interval  $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}$  for  $\theta$  such that

$$P\left[\theta \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}\right] \to (1-\alpha) \in (0,1).$$

We consider two intervals:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\hat{\theta}_n,\alpha}: \left(\hat{\theta}_n - z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n}}, \hat{\theta}_n + z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n}}\right),$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_{T_n,\alpha}: \left(T_n - z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{c\sigma^2(\theta)}{n}}, T_n + z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{c\sigma^2(\theta)}{n}}\right).$$

From this, we can calculate the ratio of the lengths of two confidence intervals

$$\frac{\mathcal{I}_{T_n,\alpha}}{\mathcal{I}_{\hat{\theta}_n,\alpha}} \approx \sqrt{c}.$$

# 4 Super Efficiency

Let  $\hat{\theta}_n$  and  $T_n$  be two estimators of the parameter  $\theta$ . Suppose

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta\right) \stackrel{d}{\to} N\left(0, I_{\theta}^{-1}\right)$$

and

$$\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, \tau^2(\theta)),$$

where  $\tau^2(\theta) \leq I_{\theta}^{-1}$  and there is some point  $\theta$  such that  $\tau^2(\theta) < I_{\theta}^{-1}$ . In this case  $T_n$  is said to be a supper efficient estimator for  $\theta$ .

### 4.1 Hodges' Estimator

Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, 1)$  and  $\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Let

$$T_n = \begin{cases} \hat{\theta}_n & \text{if } |\hat{\theta}_n| \ge n^{-1/4}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

If  $\theta = 0$ , then

$$P_{0}(\sqrt{n}T_{n} = 0) = P(|\hat{\theta}_{n}| < n^{-1/4})$$

$$= P(-n^{-1/4} < \hat{\theta}_{n} < n^{-1/4})$$

$$= P(-n^{1/4} < \sqrt{n}\hat{\theta}_{n} < n^{1/4}) \to 1$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore

$$\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) \stackrel{d}{\to} 0.$$

When  $\theta \neq 0$ ,

$$\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)\mathbf{1}\{|\hat{\theta}_n| \ge n^{-1/4}\} + \sqrt{n}(0 - \theta)\mathbf{1}\{|\hat{\theta}_n| < n^{-1/4}\}.$$

We claim that  $\mathbf{1}\{|\hat{\theta}_n| \geq n^{-1/4}\} \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} 1$ . To see this, note that

$$P(|\hat{\theta}_n| > n^{-1/4}) = P(\hat{\theta}_n > n^{-1/4}) + P(\hat{\theta}_n < n^{-1/4})$$

$$= P(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) > \sqrt{n}(n^{-1/4} - \theta)) + P(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) < \sqrt{n}(-n^{-1/4} - \theta)) \to 1$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . This is a famous counterexample of an estimator which is "superefficient".