#### STAT 620: Asymptotic Statistics

Spring 2022

Lecture: Mar 22

Lecturer: Xianyang Zhang

## 1 Symmetrization

### 1.1 A theorem

For  $p \ge 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i) \right\|^p \le 2^p \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i X_i \right\|^p.$$

**Proof.** Let  $X_i'$  be an independent copy of  $X_i$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i) \right\|^p = \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_i') \right\|^p = \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \left[ (X_i - X_i') | X_i \right] \right\|^p$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - X_i') | X_1, ..., X_n \right] \right\|^p$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - X_i') \right\|^p | X_1, ..., X_n \right] \right] \text{ (Jensen for } f(x) = x^p \text{)}$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - X_i') \right\|^p \right].$$

Since  $X_i - X_i' = {}^d X_i' - X_i = {}^d \epsilon_i (X_i - X_i')$ , we have

$$L.H.S. \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_{i}-X_{i}')\right\|^{p}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_{i}(X_{i}-X_{i}')\right\|^{p} = 2^{p}\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_{i}X_{i}-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_{i}X_{i}'\right\|^{p}\right]$$

$$\leq 2^{p}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_{i}X_{i}\right\|^{p}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_{i}X_{i}'\right\|^{p}\right) = 2^{p}\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_{i}X_{i}\right\|^{p}.$$

# 2 Uniform law of large numbers

Using symmetrization argument, we can find a sufficient condition for ULLN.

## 2.1 Rademancher complexity

$$P\left(\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}|P_nf - Pf| > \epsilon\right) \le \frac{2}{\epsilon n}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i)\right|\right].$$

Proof.

$$P\left(\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}|P_nf-Pf|>\epsilon\right) \leq \epsilon^{-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}|P_nf-Pf|\right] \text{ (Markov ineq)}$$

$$= \epsilon^{-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\left|n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n(f(X_i)-\mathbb{E}f(X_i))\right|\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon n}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n(f(X_i)-f(X_i'))\middle|X_1,...,X_n\right]\right|\right] \text{ (Symmetrization)}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon n}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\sum_{i=1}^n(f(X_i)-f(X_i'))\middle|X_1,...,X_n\right|\right]\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon n}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^n(f(X_i)-f(X_i'))\middle|X_1,...,X_n\right|\right]\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon n}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^n(f(X_i)-f(X_i'))\middle|\right] = \frac{1}{\epsilon n}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^n\epsilon_i(f(X_i)-f(X_i'))\middle|\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon n}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^n\epsilon_if(X_i)\right| + \sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^n\epsilon_if(X_i')\right|\right]$$

$$= \frac{2}{\epsilon n}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^n\epsilon_if(X_i)\right|\right].$$

Therefore, to show ULLN, one way is to find a bound of  $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}|\sum_{i=1}^n\epsilon_i f(X_i)|\right]$ , and this quantity is called the **Rademancher complexity** of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and denote by  $R_n(\mathcal{F})$ . Therefore if  $R_n(\mathcal{F}) = o(n)$ , ULLN holds.

There is another way to define the Rademancher complexity in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then,

$$R_n(\mathcal{A}) := \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |\langle a, \epsilon \rangle|\right]$$

where  $\langle , \rangle$  is a dot product and  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ . Define the convex hull of  $\mathcal{A}$  by,

convex(
$$\mathcal{A}$$
) =  $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i a_i \middle| a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}, w_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1 \right\}.$ 

Then  $R_n(\text{convex}(\mathcal{A})) = R_n(\mathcal{A})$ . (Exercise)

## 2.2 A version of uniform law of large numbers

Assume there exists an  $F \in L_1(P)$  such that for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $|f| \leq F$ . The function F is called an **Envelope function**. For M > 0 and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , define

$$f_M(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} f(x) & |f(x)| \leq M \\ 0 & |f(x)| > M \end{array} \right.,$$

and define  $\mathcal{F}_M = \{f_M | f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . The following result links the connection between the covering number and the uniform law of large numbers.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of functions with the envelop function  $F \in L_1(P)$ . Assume for all M > 0 and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\log N\left(\mathcal{F}_M, L_1(P_n), \epsilon\right) = o_n(n),$$

where  $N(\mathcal{F}_M, L_1(P_n), \epsilon)$  is the covering number. Then,

$$||P_n - P||_{\mathcal{F}} \to_p 0.$$

**Proof.** Using the Markov inequality, it is enough to bound  $\mathbb{E}[\|P_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}}]$ . By the previous result,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|P_{n} - P\|_{\mathcal{F}}\right] \leq \frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i} f(X_{i}) \right| \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i} (f(X_{i}) - f_{M}(X_{i})) \right| \right] + \frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i} f_{M}(X_{i}) \right| \right]$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(X_{i})| I\{|f(X_{i})| > M\}\right]}_{=:A} + \underbrace{\frac{2}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i} f_{M}(X_{i}) \right| \right]}_{=:B}.$$

By the definition of the envelope function, we have

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |f(X_i)|I\{|f(X_i)| > M\} \le |F(X_i)|I\{|F(X_i)| > M\}.$$

Therefore, using  $F \in L_1$ ,

$$A \le 2\mathbb{E}[|F(X_i)|I\{|F(X_i)| > M\}] \to 0$$

as  $M \to \infty$ . To bound B, let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the minimal  $\epsilon$ -cover of  $\mathcal{F}_M$  ( $\mathcal{G}$  is finite by assumption). Then, by the definition of  $\epsilon$ -cover, for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}_M$ , we can find  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  which satisfies  $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(X_i) - g(X_i)| \le \epsilon$ . Therefore,

$$\left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right\| \le \max_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i g(X_i) \right\| + \epsilon.$$

Taking  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_M}$  gives an upper bound of B:

$$B = 2\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_M} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right\| \right] \le 2\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \left\| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g(X_i) \right\| \right] + 2\epsilon.$$

Next, conditioning on  $X_i$ ,  $(\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g(X_i))$  is  $(\sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i)^2)$ -sub-Guassian. Therefore, use the fact that  $n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i)^2 \leq M^2$ , it is easy to show  $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g(X_i))$  is  $M^2$ -sub-Gaussian. Therefore use the lemma from previous lecture,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{g\in\mathcal{G}}\left\|n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_{i}g(X_{i})\right\|\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[n^{-1/2}\max_{g\in\mathcal{G}}\left\|n^{-1/2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_{i}g(X_{i})\right\|\left|X_{1},\ldots,X_{n}\right|\right]\right] \\ \leq \mathbb{E}\left[M\left(\sqrt{2n^{-1}\log N\left(\mathcal{F}_{M},L_{1}(P_{n}),\epsilon\right)}\wedge1\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}[o_{p}(1)\wedge M] = o(1),$$

where we have used Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to claim that  $\mathbb{E}[o_p(1) \wedge M] = o(1)$ . By letting  $M \to +\infty$ ,  $n \to +\infty$  and  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ , A+B will go to zero. Thus  $\mathbb{E}[\|P_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}}] \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .